

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

MONDAY 12 SEPTEMBER 2011

AT 4PM

**IN THE BOARD ROOM,
CORNER BERESFORD AND UNION STREETS,
NEW BRIGHTON**

Community Board: Linda Stewart (Chairperson), Tim Baker, David East, Julie Gorman, Glenn Livingstone, Tim Sintes and Chrissie Williams.

Community Board Adviser
Peter Dow
Phone 941-5305 DD1
Email: peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX	PG NO	
PART C	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 23 AUGUST 2011 AND 29 AUGUST 2011
PART B	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT 3.1 White Elephant Trust – Youth Services
PART B	4.	PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS
PART B	5.	NOTICES OF MOTION
PART B	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	7.	BRIEFINGS
PART C	8.	SOUTH NEW BRIGHTON CAMPING GROUND – LEASE EXPIRY
PART C	9.	BANKS AVENUE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION
PART A	10.	DELEGATIONS TO COMMUNITY BOARDS - REVIEW
PART C	11.	BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUNDING SCHEME 2011/12 - APPLICATIONS
PART B	12.	RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS

12. 9. 2011

- 2 -

- PART B 13. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
13.1 Upcoming Board Activities
- PART B 14. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 23 AUGUST 2011 AND 29 AUGUST 2011

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meetings of 23 August 2011 and 29 August 2011, are **attached**.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 WHITE ELEPHANT TRUST – YOUTH SERVICES

Nathan Durkin, from the White Elephant Trust, will address the Board regarding the work of the Trust.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

8. SOUTH NEW BRIGHTON CAMPING GROUND – LEASE EXPIRY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Recreation and Sport
Author:	Lewis Burn, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the approval of the Community Board, under delegated authority from the Council, to extend and vary the current lease of the South New Brighton Camping Ground which expires on 31 August 2011, until 31 August 2012. The extension will allow information to be gathered and assessed to compile an options report to the Council on the issues and process to allocate a new lease of the camping ground.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The current lease of the South New Brighton Camping Ground to Lyndom Holdings Limited will finally expire on 31 August 2011 with no further rights of renewal. The present lessee and operators, Dominic Brownin and Lynda Pilling, acquired the lease in July 2005 which originally commenced on 1 September 1992.
3. The present operators have indicated they are keen to continue operating the camp. Prior to the February earthquake discussions were underway with the lessee on the process for granting a new lease. It is the general practice of the Council that upon final expiry of a commercial lease, to put the opportunity of a new lease out to competitive tender in the open market to ensure transparency and fairness moving forward. During the most recent discussions with the lessee they have been informed that this process will most likely be followed but they will have an opportunity to submit a tender for consideration as part of that process.
4. The disruption that followed the February earthquake and subsequent seismic events has meant that matters have not progressed sufficiently to be in a position to put the lease out to competitive tender by the time the current lease expires and consequently a 'holding position' is now sought to allow time to pull together the information that will be needed to make an informed decision on the options for a new lease. The extension will keep the camp operating and also let the incumbent tenant trade over the coming summer months to alleviate trade loss they have sustained through the disruption to their business.
5. The South New Brighton Camping Ground is a long standing well established coastal camping facility within South New Brighton Park. The South New Brighton Reserves Management Plan approved on 12 August 2010, recognises this and contemplates a further long term lease with the need for some upgrading of facilities including water and electricity reticulation. Both the Council and the current lessee have ownership interests in the camp improvements.
6. An independent building/improvements condition report is being called for to assess and formulate options on ownership and control of the camp's built assets including the financial implications for the Council in granting a new lease. Rapid assessment reports following the 13 June quakes note minor to moderate damage to the residence and the number two ablution block, with both buildings being posted as restricted use. The number two ablution block is not presently in use, while the rear of the dwelling is recommended for restricted use for short periods of time. The options for repair and/or replacement will need to be worked through to arrive at a situation where the camp improvements are fit for purpose under a new lease.
7. The present lease requires the Council to keep the camp built assets insured at the cost of the lessee. With Council assets not presently insured this clause will need to be varied to remove this obligation from the Lessor.

8. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The cost of an independent condition assessment report is estimated to be up to \$5,000. The financial implications for the Council in granting a new lease will be covered in the option report to come.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The Camping Ground is situated on Recreation Reserve subject to the Reserves Act 1977. Section 54 1(a) of that Act is the authority to grant leases for camping grounds. As the adopted Management Plan contemplates a further long term lease of this facility within its existing leased area, the proposal to extend the term for a short period as means of facilitating the process to reach a decision on a new lease is considered to conform with the objectives of the management plan and public notification is not required.
11. The Community Board has the delegated authority of the Council to grant leases and licences over reserves including the power to vary leases and licences. It is proposed the extension of the lease term be by way of variation to the lease in respect to the term expiry and the insurance provisions with all other terms and conditions remaining the same.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Yes, business as usual asset and lease management.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. Refer above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. Not required. Refer comment at paragraphs 5 and 10 on the Management Plan.

8. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board:

- (a) Vary the lease to Lyndom Holdings Ltd to:
 - (i) Extend the term of the lease so it will finally expire on 31 August 2012.
 - (ii) Vary Clause 10 of the lease (insurance provisions) to provide that during the term of the extended lease the Council will not be responsible to insure the Camp built assets (the variation to be worded by the Council's legal Services Unit).
- (b) Receive a further report from staff by the end of 2011 on the options for the granting of a new lease of the South New Brighton Motor Camp.

9. BANKS AVENUE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Acting Transport and Greenspace Manager
Authors:	Jon Ashford / Paul Forbes, Traffic Operations

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Banks Avenue.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has received a request from the owner of number 39 Banks Avenue advising that vehicles are frequently parked at the short length of kerb between the driveways of numbers 39 and 41 Banks Avenue. This proposal is to extend the existing No Stopping restriction at the traffic calming 'chicane' in front of number 41 to the driveway of number 39. Please refer to the **attached** plan.
3. Banks Avenue is a local road that extends south eastwards from North Parade to River Road. On the Rebuild Christchurch Website (www.rebuildchristchurch.co.nz), this section of Banks Avenue is currently in the Orange Zone. The street has been extensively 'calmed', with three raised platforms and five chicanes. Banks Avenue Primary School and Woodchester Eventide Aged Care Facility are located in the street and there is a heavy demand for parking close to the school particularly at drop off and pick up times.
4. There is a short length of kerb between the driveways of numbers 39 and 41. Although the kerb is not long enough for a vehicle to legally park without obstructing the driveways, vehicles frequently park there. The existing broken yellow lines at the chicane in front of number 41 currently start part way across the driveway.
5. As it is against the Road User Rules to park within one metre of a vehicle entrance, the Council does not usually mark broken yellow lines across driveways, except at the approach to a traffic calming device, pedestrian crossing points or in and around school and community facilities.
6. This proposal will extend the existing No Stopping restriction at the traffic calming chicane by three metres in a northerly direction to the driveway to number 39. It will prevent vehicles from parking illegally and maintain the visibility leading into the traffic calming chicane.
7. No consultation has been undertaken as the residents of numbers 39 and 41 support this proposal, no legal on street parking is being removed and no other parties are deemed to be adversely affected by the extension of the existing No Stopping restriction.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$50.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
11. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.

9. Cont'd

12. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes - Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

17. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. No consultation has been undertaken as the residents of numbers 39 and 41 support this proposal and no legal on street parking is being removed. No other parties are deemed to be adversely affected by the extension of the existing No Stopping restriction.
19. The Officer in Charge - Parking Enforcement, agrees with this recommendation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board:

Revoke the following on Banks Avenue:

- (a) All existing parking restrictions on the east side of Banks Avenue commencing at a point 139 metres south of its intersection with Coopers Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 30 metres.

Approve the following on Banks Avenue:

- (b) That the stopping of vehicles is prohibited on the east side of Banks Avenue commencing at a point 136 metres south of its intersection with Coopers Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 33 metres.

10. DELEGATIONS TO COMMUNITY BOARDS - REVIEW

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Legal Services Manager
Authors:	Vivienne Wilson, Solicitor and Chris Gilbert, Legal Services Unit Manager

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to submit to each Community Board, for review, the current delegations from the Council. The current delegations are set out in **Attachment A** and **Attachment B**.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. On 9 June 2011, the Council resolved to delegate to Community Boards the matters set out in Attachment A. However, the Council also resolved that the Community Boards be asked to review the delegations and bring them back to the Council by November 2011.
3. This report sets out the terms of the current delegations to Community Boards. Each Community Board is asked to review the current delegations and identify any issues they may have with the current provisions.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

4. The Local Government Act 2002 provides that “... *for the purposes of efficiency and effectiveness in the conduct of a local authority's business, a local authority may delegate to a committee or other subordinate decision-making body, community board, or member or officer of the local authority any of its responsibilities, duties, or powers*” except for certain specified responsibilities, duties and powers. The Council is also able to impose any conditions, limitations or prohibitions on any delegations it may make.
5. The Local Government Act 2002 also provides that the Council must consider whether or not to delegate to a Community Board if the delegation would enable the Community Board to best achieve its role.
6. Section 52 of the Act defines the role of Community Boards as follows:
 - (a) *represent, and act as an advocate for, the interests of its community; and*
 - (b) *consider and report on all matters referred to it by the territorial authority, or any matter of interest or concern to the community board; and*
 - (c) *maintain an overview of services provided by the territorial authority within the community; and*
 - (d) *prepare an annual submission to the territorial authority for expenditure within the community; and*
 - (e) *communicate with community organisations and special interest groups within the community; and*
 - (f) *undertake any other responsibilities that are delegated to it by the territorial authority.*
7. The Act provides that once a delegation has been made by the Council to a Community Board then that Board is legally able to make a decision within the delegations as if it were the Council itself. This means that decisions made by a Community Board within the delegations legally bind the Council. If a matter or issue does not fall within these delegations, as a default position, a decision on that matter or issue is one for the Council itself.

10. Cont'd

8. The Act provides that the Council itself cannot rescind or amend a decision made by a Community Board made under delegated authority. However, the Council can at any time amend or revoke a delegation so as to apply to any future decisions.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Yes. The delegations comply with the Local Government Act 2002.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Staff from the Legal Services Unit discussed the delegations with the chairs of each Community Board on 22 July 2011 at the Community Board Chairs Forum. The Chairs raised a number of issues in relation to delegations set out below. Comments on those issues follow immediately after:

(a) *From time to time, Community Boards would like the opportunity to make, on their own account, submissions on notified resource consent hearings.* **Comment:** Following legal advice, the Council's position is that Community Boards are not able to make submissions on notified resource consent hearings on their own account unless this power has been delegated to them. The delegations currently provide that Community Boards have the power to make submissions on behalf of the Council, on applications for resource consents, to other territorial authorities or the Canterbury Regional Council, where the application is of particular concern to the local community.

(b) *Thought needs to be given to the role of Community Boards following the earthquakes, ie Suburban Recovery Planning.* **Comment:** At the 23 June 2011 meeting of the Council, the Council considered a report outlining a proposed Suburban Centres programme. The aim of the Suburban Centres programme of work is to assist in the recovery and rebuild of earthquake damaged commercial centres through: assisting with planning, design and transport related matters; facilitating discussions with property owners and commercial ventures; and providing contact details for other agencies. The work programme consists of two streams of work:

- masterplans for the larger, more damaged centres; and
- case management for smaller centres.

Prior to taking the 23 June report to the Council, the Community Boards were individually consulted on the proposed work to ensure they were aware of this initiative and to provide an opportunity for discussion. Their feedback was sought on whether the centres identified in their area should be treated as masterplans or through case management.

There will be further opportunities for community involvement in the masterplan process. Each masterplan will have an approximately five month project design phase involving community and stakeholder engagement. The project aims to provide the stakeholders (including businesses, community groups and local residents) with information and an opportunity to engage and partake in the rebuild of centres. The process for developing each masterplan includes focus group discussions with key stakeholders, technical workshops, public meetings and elected member presentations. The outputs include an agreed vision and masterplan for each centre, together with an implementation plan.

10. Cont'd

(c) *With respect to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board, could there be some explanation/justification for the approach of not permitting the Board to exercise its delegated functions in the Central City Area.* **Comment:** the Community Board delegations under the heading of "Roads, Parks and Leases" do not apply to that part of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board area situated within the "Central City Area" marked on the plan (Plan A) attached. Delegations for those "Roading and Parks issues" in that "Central City Area" are to be exercised by the Council with reports on those matters coming directly to the Council. Prior to the earthquakes in 2010 and 2011, the rationale for this approach was that the central city area was of metropolitan significance to the well-being and growth of the city as a whole. (This is currently recognised in the City Plan, the Central City Revitalisation Strategy and the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy.) There was therefore a need to both consider issues within this area on a city-wide basis and to be able to respond without undue delay. It was considered that it would quicken the process for dealing with central city issues if matters went directly to the Council without first proceeding to the Board.

(d) *The Community Boards would like to be involved at much earlier stage with respect to proposed Council works in reserves in their local areas. This relates to maintenance, renewals and capital projects.* **Comment:** The delegations currently provide that Community Boards have the powers of the Council (except the hearing of submissions/objections) in relation to preparation, review and change of management plans for reserves. Under section 41 of the Reserves Act 1977, management plans are to provide for and ensure the use, enjoyment, maintenance, protection, and preservation, as the case may require, and, to the extent that the administering body's resources permit, the development, as appropriate, of the reserve for the purposes for which it is classified. Management plans must also incorporate and ensure compliance with the principles set out in section 17, section 18, section 19, section 20, section 21, section 22, or section 23, as the case may be, of the Reserves Act for a reserve of that classification. .

At present, reserve management plans are not in place for all reserves in the district, or some reserve management plans are at a high level. As reserve management plans are prepared or reviewed, there is scope for the plans to provide in more detail for proposed works. However, capex and opex expenditure are considered as part of the Annual Plan and Long Term Plan processes. Recent management plans have indicated that developments mentioned in the plans are dependent on the Long Term Plan process.

(e) *Boards have the responsibility to make submissions on the Annual Plan. Six years ago, it was the practice for Boards to have input into the draft of the Annual Plan before it was consulted on. Can that be specified in the delegations?* **Comment:** There is a very tight timeframe each year for the preparation of the Annual Plan. It would be difficult to build in extra time for Community Boards to comment on the draft Annual Plan before it is signed off by the Council to start the formal consultation process. Once the special consultative procedure starts, Community Boards are able to participate fully in making a submission and providing quality feedback to the Council on the draft Annual Plan. It is acknowledged that under section 52(d) of the Local Government Act 2002, the role of Community Boards includes preparing an annual submission to the Council for expenditure within the community. However, it is considered that this role is ordinarily provided for in the current Annual Plan process.

(f) *With abundance of local Reserve Management Committee on the peninsula, there is the opportunity when reserve planning takes place to involve all stakeholders – the Council, the Community Board and land owners. In general a clearer pathway is needed for consultation.* **Comment:** The Community Boards have specific delegated powers for local projects but not all local projects. This means that not all local projects will be referred to Community Boards for a decision. However, there is scope within the current delegations dealing with reserves and reserve management plans for discussions about reserve planning in the future, as discussed at paragraph (d) above.

10. Cont'd

- (g) *When matters are considered in public excluded before the Community Board, Board members are subsequently excluded from the public excluded part of the meeting when the matter comes before Council. Could this be clarified?* **Comment:** As you will be aware, under section 48 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, the Council (and Community Boards) may by resolution exclude the public from the whole or any part of the proceedings of any meeting only on one a number of specified grounds. Under section 48(5), any such resolution may provide for one or more specified persons to remain after the public has been excluded if that person, or persons, has or have, in the opinion of the local authority, knowledge that will assist the authority. Section 48(6) states that the resolution must state the knowledge possessed by that person or those persons which will be of assistance in relation to the matter to be discussed and how it is relevant to that matter. It would be open to the Council to resolve that members of a Community Board may stay in the public excluded part of the meeting if this is appropriate.

11. The comments from each Community Board will in due course be reported back to the Council. It is anticipated that before the Council considers the report with the Community Board comments there will be a workshop between the Councillors and Community Board members.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board consider each of its current delegations and indicate whether it would like to see any amendments.

10. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

12. After each local authority election, it is the practice of the Council to reconsider and resolve the delegations it makes to the Community Boards. Following the disruption caused by the earthquakes on 4 September 2010 and 22 February 2011, the Council delegated various responsibilities, duties and powers to the Community Boards on 9 June 2011. However, the Council also resolved that the Community Boards be asked to review the delegations and bring them back to the Council by November 2011.
13. It should be noted that even though the Council did not resolve the delegations until 9 June 2011, the previous delegations continued in force over that period. There was no question that, in the interim, the Boards acted without delegated authority.
14. The current delegations, as set out in Attachment A, cover a wide range of matters, including financial delegations, roads, sale of liquor, resource management, parks, leases and other miscellaneous matters. There are some specific provisions relating to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board, the Lyttelton/Mt Herbert Community Board, and the Akaroa/Wairewa Community Board.
15. It should be noted that it has been the Council's procedure for many years that any exercise of the Board delegations must be within any policies or standards set by the Council. So if the Council has resolved a particular position then it is not open to a Community Board to make a decision which conflicts with that Council position.
16. Experience has also shown it is not feasible to write delegations which cover every permutation of a subject. The question may arise as of whether a matter falls within a Board's delegated authority.
17. To assist in these situations a decision on whether or not a Board has delegated authority on a particular matter will be a matter for joint decision by the General Manager City Environment (as most matters are considered to be delegated are operational issues that fall within that group) and the General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services. This is provided for in Attachment A.
18. Where there is a matter outside a Board delegation, such as a metropolitan facility which has a city-wide impact but is situated in a particular Community Board area, and where the Board historically has taken an interest in the activities on that facility within their community, the issue has been addressed in the following way: a report on a particular matter involving the metropolitan facility is forwarded to the Community Board for comment before referring the final report to the Council.
19. Following the report to the Council on 9 June 2011, it has come to the attention of staff that there are some further delegations that have been made by the Council to Community Boards that have not been reflected in Attachment A. These delegations relate to the Council's Road Stopping Policy and are set out in **Attachment B**. The road stopping delegations were made on 9 April 2009 and are still in force. However, it would be desirable for these delegations to be contained in the Council's Delegation Register with the other delegations.

THE OBJECTIVES

20. The purpose of the review is to provide an opportunity for each Community Board to consider and comment on their current set of delegations with respect to any issues that they may have.

10. Cont'd

THE OPTIONS

- 21.** There are two options;

Option 1 – consider the current set of delegations but provide no comments.

Option 2 - consider the current set of delegations and provide comments to the Council with respect to any issues the Board may have.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

- 22.** The preferred option is option 2. The Council has expressed a desire for the Community Boards to review their current delegations and provide feedback to the Council.

11. **BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUNDING SCHEME 2011/12 - APPLICATIONS – CHRISTOPHER JARDEN, BRYCE MCMILLEN, ALEXANDRA BOYD, MICKAELA RICHARD, MARK PUGH-WILLIAMS**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
Author:	Jacqui Miller, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present for the Board's consideration five applications for funding assistance from the Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Funding Scheme. The balance of this fund is \$2,500.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by five applicants; Christopher Jarden, Bryce McMillen, Alexandra Boyd, Mickaela Richards and Mark Pugh-Williams.
3. **Christopher Jarden**, 17 years old from Burwood, has been selected by the Canterbury Alpine Ice Skating Club to be part of a team of skaters to represent them at the Australian National Short Track Competitions to be held in Melbourne on 7 and 8 October 2011.

The Team of skaters and coaches plan to travel to Melbourne to participate in the competition and travel slightly earlier to allow some practice sessions prior to the competition.

Christopher has been speed skating for the Canterbury Alpine Ice skating club since the age of 10. He has competed every year at both local and national levels. Christopher trained over the summer break at the Olympic Oval in Salt Lake City, United States of America, with international skaters. Christopher's aim for this year is to improve his personal best times and is aiming to qualify to compete at the 2011 Winter Games, Junior World Championships February 2012, and the 2014 Winter Olympics.

Christopher skates on ice three times per week and trains most days off the ice as well. He is also attending the New Zealand Institute of Sport this year for academic studies. His main interest is speed skating although he also plays ice hockey. Christopher gives back to his club by helping with new skaters at club nights. A letter of reference from the Director of the NZ Speed Performance Programme states that Christopher delivered a high stand of training and enthusiasm. The progress of the junior and development skaters is a result of his training and leadership skill and experience.

4. **Bryce McMillen**, 14 years old from Burwood, has been selected to participate in the New Zealand Provincial Futsal Championships from 22 to 24 July 2011, in Napier. This competition is a great opportunity for top South Island players to show their ability against North Island players. It is a chance to compare skill levels and ability which is such a rare occasion. National selectors, coaches and the sports administrators can see the level of South Island players. It also gives the players some exposure to upper level selectors, so they can potentially become part of national training camps and national representative teams and improve their playing abilities. Selection of the teams was made on 30 June 2011 which has not allowed a lot of time for players to fundraise, nor for families to financially prepare for this expense.

Bryce has been playing club level football since aged four years for various clubs and schools around Christchurch. He currently plays for the Coastal Spirit Club. He received \$350 funding from the Board's Youth Development Scheme in 2009/10 to travel to Barcelona with Ricki Herbert's Academy for the Mediterranean International Cup, in March 2010.

11. Cont'd

Futsal is a smaller, faster indoor version of outdoor football which enhances ball skills and team work. After more than three seasons of futsal and a few league wins, Bryce is excited to be in the Canterbury Futsal team. His team is now training at the Bishopdale YMCA and the CPIT. Bryce has done a few small part-time jobs for fundraising including delivering circulars.

5. **Alexandra Boyd**, 19 years old of Burwood, is to represent New Zealand at the 14th FINA Women's Water Polo World Championships held in Shanghai, China from 17 to 29 July 2011. Prior to the competition in China the team is attending an international training camp in Perth, Australia from 6 to 14 July, training and playing games against Australia, Hungary and Greece.

Alexandra has been playing waterpolo for many years. Last year she was named in the New Zealand Women's team to play in the World Cup and this year she played for New Zealand in the World League. In order to strive for her goal of making the World Championships team she went to Australia for the months of February to April this year to complete in their National League for the University of New South Wales team. While there she continued with her University studies by correspondence. Prior to this she has had a part time job at the Pita Pitt in the Christchurch Central Business District which has been closed since the 22 February earthquake. She has not been able to find another part time job which enables her time to study and train for waterpolo, therefore she has struggled to raise the funds for this trip. Prior to the earthquake she had been involved in coaching the Villa Maria School team and also competing in the New Zealand National League for the Queen Elizabeth II Crushers Team. As a Club, they are struggling currently as they have very limited use of Jellie Park, therefore Alexandra attends one pool training per week, training with the under 16 age group teams where she helps out if needed and pass on her knowledge to the younger players. Alexandra's parents home has been damaged in the earthquakes and will be a rebuild therefore her parents are not in a position to help her financially with this trip.

6. **Mickaela Richards**, 12 years old of Dallington, is to represent Canterbury and compete at the New Zealand National Gymsports Championships in Auckland at the North Shore Events Centre from 28 to 31 July 2011. Mickaela has been doing rhythmic gymnastics for four years and thoroughly enjoys it. She started at level one and has done really well in competitions since then. She has progressed through to level four which is the first year competitors can qualify for the Nationals. She trains twice a week for 6.5 hours in total, this includes training for three individual routines plus the grade 4 group which she competes in. She states that participating in Nationals has always been her goals and will help her train towards her long term goal of representing New Zealand at the Commonwealth Games. She gained third overall at the recent Wellington Competition in level 4 grade. She trains at Delta Rhythmic Gymnastics, currently based at Westburn Primary School.

She attends Heaton Intermediate School and will be attending high school next. Mickaela is one of six children. Her parent's income has been impacted by the earthquake.

Mickaela gained 16th place overall in her grade with a total of 30 competing and her team got fourth place overall.

The 2011 National Championships will take place at two different times and places this year due to the Rugby World Cup. Continuing to build on excellence, GymSports New Zealand is hosting and organising the 2011 National GymSports Championships for Aerobics, Rhythmic Gymnastics and Trampoline at the North Shore Events Centre. GymSports New Zealand aims to provide quality Championships that meets the needs of all gymsports, the athletes, the coaches, officials and volunteers involved.

11. Cont'd

7. **Mark Pugh-Williams**, 18 year old of Burwood, is to attend the 2011 World Climbing Championship in Arco Italy from 15 to 24 July 2011.

Mark started climbing about seven years ago and has been climbing competitively for four years. Mark states that climbing is probably the largest part of his life as he trains four to five days a week, not including climbing outdoors in the weekends. Because of his training he has been able to win the title of New Zealand Open Male, becoming the national champion in 2010 and also taking second place in the Oceania Open Males Championships. He currently works as a route setter at The Roxx Climbing Gym as well as completing his last year of high school. His goal for climbing is to one day climb 9a, (an extremely hard climbing grade). To participate in the World Championships this year would benefit Mark in many ways such as inspiration, watching some of the Worlds Best climbers compete, learning different climbing techniques and being able to compete with the best.

Mark has a great list of achievements from his sport including three national and international titles; 1st New Zealand Youth Male 2009, 2nd New Zealand Open Male 2009, 1st Oceanic Male Open Speed Climbing and the National Cup Youth A Male for 2010.

Mark is the younger of two children, the only one living with his parents. His father works full-time and mum works part-time. Marks parents paid between \$8,000 to \$10,000 last year for Mark to attend the four National Cup tournaments (three in the North Island), the National tournament and the Oceania tournament in Australia.

8. **Financial implications:**

The following tables detail event expenses and funding requested for the applicants:

Expenses for Christopher Jarden – Australian National Short Track Competition – Melbourne (October 2011)	Cost (NZ\$)
Airfares	560
Accommodation	380
Airport Transfers and Transport	80
Uniform and Equipment	200
Entry into championships	300
Travel insurance	40
Extra Training Ice Time (22 weeks)	220
Total	\$1725
Amount requested	\$500
Fundraising	
Raffles, Sausage Sizzle, Garage Sale and Cookie Sales	\$00 (each)

Expenses for Bryce McMillen New Zealand Provincial Futsal Championships team -22-24 July 2011, Napier	Cost (NZ\$)
Total costs including accommodation and travel Breakdown not provided	800
Total	\$800
Amount requested	\$500
Fundraising	
Fudge Sales	\$50

11. Cont'd

Expenses for Alexandra Boyd 14 th FINA Women's Water Polo World Championships Shanghai, China 17-29 July 2011	Cost (NZ\$)
Flights	3,153
Accommodation	1,150
Visa for China	100
Travel and airport transfers	100
Registration Fee	100
Total	\$4,603
Amount requested	\$500 (each)
Personal Contribution Part-time job at Pita Pit prior to Feb 2011 EQ	\$3,003
Other funding Sources Crushers Water Polo Club	\$200
Canterbury Water Polo Assn	\$800

Expenses for Mickaela Richards New Zealand National Gymsports Championships in Auckland at the North Shore Events Centre from 28 to 31 July 2011.	Cost (NZ\$)
Flights	175
Accommodation	350
Canterbury Team Uniform	100
Travel and airport transfers	100
Registration Fee	140
Gymnasts share of coach expenses	70
Total	\$ 935
Amount requested	\$500 (each)
Fundraising None due to Earthquakes	\$0

Expenses for Mark Pugh-Williams	Cost (NZ\$)
Flights	2,900
Insurance	271
Accommodation	0
Total	\$3,171
Amount requested	\$500 (each)
Other funding sources: New Zealand Alpine Club	\$250 Approved
Canterbury Alpine Club	\$250 Approved
Fundraising None due to Earthquakes	\$0

9. Christopher Jarden, Alexandra Boyd, Mickaela Richard are all first time applicants to the Burwood/Pegasus Youth Development Scheme Fund.
10. Bryce McMillen received \$350 from the 2009/10 scheme, and Mark Pugh-Williams received \$450 from the 2010/11 scheme.
11. There is currently a balance of \$2,500 available in the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme fund.

11. Cont'd

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. This fund aligns with the 2009-19 LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Application aligns with the Youth Strategy and the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board allocate grants totalling \$1,300 from its 2011/12 Youth Development Funding Scheme as follows:

- (a) Christopher Jarden \$300 to compete at the Australian National Short Track Ice Skating Competitions to be held in Melbourne on 7 and 8 October 2011.
- (b) Bryce McMillen, \$200 to participate in the New Zealand Provincial Futsal Championships from 22 to 24 July 2011 in Napier.
- (c) Alexandra Boyd, \$300 to represent New Zealand at the 14th FINA Women's Water Polo World Championships held in Shanghai, China from 17 to 29 July 2011.
- (d) Michaela Richards, \$200 to represent Canterbury and compete at the New Zealand National Gymsports Championships in Auckland at the North Shore Events Centre from 28 to 31 July 2011.
- (e) Mark Pugh-Williams, \$300 to attend the 2011 World Climbing Championship in Arco, Italy from 15 to 24 July 2011.

12. RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS

A representative from the Southshore Residents' Association will update the Board on current activities.

13. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

13.1 UPCOMING BOARD ACTIVITIES

14. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS