

**SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

WEDNESDAY 2 NOVEMBER 2011

AT 4PM

**PAPANUI RETURNED AND SERVICES ASSOCIATION,
55 BELLEVUE AVENUE, PAPANUI**

Community Board: Chris Mene (Chairperson), Anna, Button, Ngaire Button, Kathy Condon, Pauline Cotter, Chris English and Aaron Keown.

Community Board Adviser

Peter Croucher

Phone 941 5414 DDI

Email: peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – BOARD MEETING OF 19 OCTOBER 2011**
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
 - 3.1 Tony Spowart – New Zealand Transport Agency**
- PART B 4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**
- PART B 5. NOTICE OF MOTION**
- PART B 6. BRIEFINGS**
- PART C 7. WESTMINSTER/HILLS ROAD - NO STOPPING RESTRICTIONS AND BUS STOP MARKINGS**
- PART C 8. LANGDONS ROAD - PROPOSED NO STOPPING**
- PART C 9. APPLICATION TO THE SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD'S 2011/12 POSITIVE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – SHANNALEE RACHEL HENRY**
- PART C 10. APPLICATION TO SHIRLEY PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – SIGJAWS TRUST**
- PART B 11. CORRESPONDENCE**
- PART B 12. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
 - 12.1 Current Issues**
 - 12.2 Meeting Dates for 2012**

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

2. 11. 2011

- 2 -

PART B 13. ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE

PART B 14. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES– 19 OCTOBER 2011

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Wednesday 19 October 2011 will be **separately circulated.**

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Wednesday 19 October 2011 be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 TONY SPOWART – NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY

Tony Spowart will update the Board on New Zealand Transport Agency matters relevant to the ward.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. BRIEFINGS

7. WESTMINSTER/HILLS ROAD - NO STOPPING RESTRICTIONS AND BUS STOP MARKINGS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Acting Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Penny Gray, Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on Westminster Street and Hills Road where they intersect and that the existing bus stops on Hills Road be marked on the road surface as shown in the **attached** plan.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Staff have identified the intersection of Westminster Street and Hills Road as a priority scheme on our minor safety database. This intersection is a wide skewed T-intersection with sweeping corners that allow for relatively high speed turning manoeuvres. This leads to an undesirable environment for vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and has resulted in crashes at this intersection.
3. Staff are proposing to install a splitter island at this intersection. This will give pedestrians a refuge when crossing and also narrow the traffic lanes. The kerb buildouts in conjunction with the island will square up the intersection onto Hills Road. Drivers will be forced to negotiate the intersection at a lower speed. The introduction of these measures requires the extension of the no stopping restriction on the south-eastern side of Westminster Street. Staff are also taking the opportunity to mark the existing bus stops on Hills Road in accordance with the Council standards. Staff are requesting that the proposed road marking changes are resolved.
4. The no stopping restrictions on the north-west corner of Westminster Street and Hills Road are existing restrictions. This scheme does not change the start and finish point of the no stopping restriction and therefore a new resolution is not needed for these.
5. Consultation forms were hand delivered to thirteen residents and businesses with absentee owners also sent the forms. Forty key stakeholders were also emailed the information. We received four responses and they were all in support of this proposal. There was a comment about the location of the bus stop on the western side of Hills Road being too close to the intersection. This is an existing bus stop that serves the Obiter bus route. If a bus is briefly stopped at this stop and is blocking the sightlines of drivers at the intersection, the driver should wait for the bus to clear the bus stop before proceeding. There was also a comment about the landscaping on the kerb buildout. A resident has requested that this is installed as pavers instead of landscaping due to rubbish and maintenance issues. The landscaping area forces pedestrians around the corner to the designated crossing point. Installing concrete pavers would not have this effect. We are installing a new rubbish bin outside of the fish and chip shop to mitigate the rubbish issue and we have budgeted for a four month aftercare programme for this garden to make sure that it is maintained to a high standard.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The estimated cost of this proposal is \$32,000, this will be funded through the Council's Minor Safety Projects budget.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. The Minor Safety Projects budget is a line item in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.

7 Cont'd

9. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions.
10. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003 and Road Safety Strategy 2004.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Consultation leaflets were hand delivered to 321, 323, 325, 327 Westminster Street and 341, 345-349, 352, 354 and 356 Hills Road. Forty leaflets were also sent out to key stakeholders. We received four responses, two from residents in the area and two from the stakeholders list. All responses were in support of the proposal.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board:

Revoke the following parking restrictions:

- (a) That any existing parking restrictions on the south-east side of Westminster Street between the intersection with Hills Road and a point 15 meters south-east of the intersection be revoked.

Approve the following:

- (a) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-east side of Westminster Street commencing at the south-western kerbline of Hills Road and extending in a south-westerly direction for a distance of 17 metres.
- (b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-west side of Hills Road commencing at the south-eastern kerbline of Westminster Street and extending in a south-easterly direction for a distance of 19 metres;
- (c) That a bus stop box be installed on the south-west side of Hills Road commencing at a point 19 metres from the south-eastern kerbline of Westminster Street and extending in a south-easterly direction for a distance of 14 metres;

7 Cont'd

- (d) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-west side of Hills Road commencing at a point 33 metres from the south-eastern kerblines of Westminster Street and extending in a south-easterly direction for a distance of 4 metres;
- (e) That a bus stop box be installed on the north-east side of Hills Road commencing at a point 15 metres from the south-eastern kerblines of Westminster Street and extending in a south-easterly direction for a distance of 19 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

8. LANGDONS ROAD - PROPOSED NO STOPPING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Acting Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Penny Gray, Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at anytime outside 147 Langdons Road as shown on the **attached** plan.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. A request was lodged with the Council to look at the no stopping restriction outside 147 Langdons Road. A resident at this property complained that cars were parking too close to the driveway, thereby blocking the access to the property. Staff investigated on site and noted that if a car was parked at this location it blocked the access to the pedestrian refuge island outside 147 Langdons Road. On this basis staff are proposing an extension to the existing no stopping restriction outside of 147 Langdons Road.
3. Langdons Road is classified as a collector road, with a posted speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour and is 13 metres wide. At the intersection with Morrison Avenue, north-west of number 147, there is a block of neighbourhood shops. The pedestrian refuge island outside of 147 provides access for pedestrians and cyclists to cross Langdons Road to access these shops. It is also used by school children to cross Langdons Road. Presently a car can park opposite this island, thereby blocking access to this crossing point.
4. No consultation was undertaken for this proposal. This is a safety issue. The resident at 147 Langdons Road supports the extension of the no stopping restriction as it stops cars parking close to their driveway.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$20.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
8. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions.
9. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

8 Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003 and Road Safety Strategy 2004.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. No consultation was undertaken for this scheme. This is seen as a safety issue and the adjacent resident is in support.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board:

- (a) Revoke the following parking restrictions:

That any existing parking restrictions on the south-west side of Langdons Road between the intersection with Ellery Street and a point 30 meters north-west of the intersection be revoked.

- (b) Approve the following:

That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-west side of Langdons Road commencing at the north-western kerbline of Ellery Street and extending in a north-westerly direction for a distance of 34 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

9. APPLICATION TO THE SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD'S 2011/12 POSITIVE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – SHANNALEE RACHEL HENRY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support
Author:	Bruce Meder, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Shirley/Papanui Community Board's 2011/12 Positive Youth Development Scheme.
2. There is currently \$9,450 in the 2011/12 Positive Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. The purpose of the Positive Youth Development Scheme is to celebrate and support young people living in the Shirley/Papanui ward by providing financial assistance for their development. The Community Board also seeks to acknowledge young people's effort, achievement and potential excellence in the community.
4. The Positive Youth Development Scheme will consider applications for the following activities:
 - Personal Development and Growth - For example leadership training, career development, Outward Bound, Spirit of Adventure, extra curricular educational opportunities.
 - Representation at Events - Applicants can apply for assistance if you have been selected to represent your school, team or community at a local, national or international event or competition. This includes sporting, cultural and community events.
5. The following eligibility criteria must be met:
 - Age groups 12-25 years.
 - Projects must have obvious benefits for the young person and if possible the wider community.
 - Only one application per person permitted per year.
 - Applicants should be undertaking other fundraising activities and not relying solely on Community Board support.
6. The applicant is Shannalee Henry a 17 year old, who lives in Harbour Road, Brooklands. Shannalee is seeking funds to assist with costs of an eight week German exchange trip.
7. Shannalee attends Avonside Girls' High School and is an active member of the Avonside Z-Club (a subsection of Zonta) as well as the local Gay-Straight Alliance. She also volunteered with the Pippins group in Belfast until a lack of numbers meant that her services were no longer needed.
8. This exchange is organised by the Education Department of North Rhine-Westphalia (Germany) and runs from 2 December 2011 until 26 January 2012.
9. Shannalee anticipates that this exchange will be of great benefit to her by enabling her to further her German studies and help her become an independent member of her community. Whilst in Germany Shannalee will be staying at a small village outside the city of Höxter as well as spending a week in Berlin with other students on the exchange. She will be able to experience Christmas in Germany as well as attend a number of cultural activities and events.
10. Shannalee has undertaken a variety of fundraising activities to help pay for this trip including organising a Community Gala Day in Brooklands. Shannalee is rightly proud of the Gala Day as it had the dual purpose of helping to earn funds and to raise the community morale of a suburb that is undergoing the stress and uncertainty of the earthquakes. As well as the Gala Day, other fundraising activities that Shannalee has undertaken include: income from working at a bar and café, a job at Henry Transport Services Ltd., two cleaning jobs, a stall at Riccarton market (selling candles), selling fudge, raffles and two sausage sizzles. Shannalee has so far managed to raise approximately \$2,700 towards this trip, leaving a balance of \$1,945.

9 Cont'd

It is worth noting that Shannalee has not included the costs of winter apparel nor personal spending in her budget.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. The total cost of the exchange is \$4,645, made up of travel costs of \$3,750, insurance of \$195 and accommodation in Berlin of \$700.
12. The Shirley/Papanui Community Board currently has a balance of \$9,450 in the 2011/12 Positive Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. This fund aligns with the 2009-19 LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. This fund aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board make a grant of \$500 from its 2011/12 Positive Youth Development Fund to Shannalee Rachel Henry for costs of German exchange trip from 2 December 2011 to 26 January 2012.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

10. APPLICATION TO SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – SIGJAWS TRUST

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Duncan Innes, Community Grants Funding Team Leader

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Shirley/Papanui Community Board to consider one application for funding from its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund from SigJaws Trust for \$5,800.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2011/12, the total pool available for allocation for the Shirley/Papanui Discretionary Response Fund is \$75,936. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request fall outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*
6. Based on these criteria, the application from SigJaws Trust for funding towards the 2011 Paddington Neighbourhood Event is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (**Attachment 1**)

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. There is currently \$46,620 remaining in the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176

10 Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board declines the application from SigJaws Trust to its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund towards the 2011 Paddington Neighbourhood Event.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

11. CORRESPONDENCE

Items of correspondence have been received and separately circulated to members.

12. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

12.1 CURRENT ISSUES

12.2 MEETING DATES FOR 2012 (GENERAL DISCUSSION)

13. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The purpose of this exchange is to provide a short brief to other members on activities that have been attended or to provide information in general that is beneficial to all members.

14. MEMBERS QUESTION