

## 7. APOLLO PLACE - P120 PARKING RESTRICTIONS

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Road Corridors Operations Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Penny Gray, Traffic Engineer

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to report back to the Board on the parking survey undertaken in Apollo Place and to revoke the southern parking restrictions.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. It is recommended that the existing southern P120 parking restriction along Apollo Place (resolved by the Board on 1 September 2010) be revoked (**Attachment 1**).
3. Staff have monitored this site from the end of November 2010 to the end of September 2011. During this time there have been a number of factors that would have increased parking in this area. The first was Christmas when the shops at the nearby Northlands Mall would have been fully staffed and the mall would have experienced heavy trading. The second was the February earthquake and the subsequent closing of malls on the east side of the city. Northlands Mall was open shortly after the earthquake and became extremely busy. During this period it has been observed that Apollo Place is not heavily parked.
4. The site was visited on 21 separate occasions and the parking monitored in the four separate sections; the existing P120 parking restriction, the proposed P120 parking restrictions, the unrestricted north-side of the road and the unrestricted south-side of the road. The average number of cars parked on this street during this survey period was 12 vehicles. A breakdown of the parking can be seen in the **attached** parking survey results (**Attachment 2**). If drivers parked to the best of their ability you could park 51 vehicles along Apollo Place. Therefore on average the street was only 24% parked.
5. Staff have concluded from this survey that the southern P120 parking restriction is not required on Apollo Place. The street is not heavily parked and the cars that are parked along the street utilise the northern section of the street.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$90. This is to remove the two sockets for the poles that were installed for this restriction.

### Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. The installation and removal of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
9. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions.
10. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

### Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As above.

### ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

13. As above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

14. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003 and Road Safety Strategy 2004.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

15. As above.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

16. No consultation was undertaken as part of this scheme.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board:

(a) Revoke the following on Apollo Place:

That any existing parking restrictions be revoked on the south side of Apollo Place commencing at a point 101 metres from its intersection at Main North Road and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 96 metres.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.