

**HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD
EXTRAORDINARY AGENDA**

WEDNESDAY 22 SEPTEMBER 2010

AT 2PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE,
180 SMITH STREET, LINWOOD**

Community Board: Bob Todd (Chairperson), Rod Cameron, Tim Carter, David Cox, John Freeman, Yani Johanson, and Brenda Lowe-Johnson.

Community Board Adviser:

Jo Daly

Phone: 941 6601 DDI

Email: jo.daly@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 1 SEPTEMBER 2010**
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
- PART B 4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**
- PART B 5. NOTICE OF MOTION**
- PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE**
- PART B 7. BRIEFINGS**
- PART A 8. FORMAL NAMING OF PARK KNOWN AS PEACOCKS GALLOP**
- PART C 9. PROPOSED ROAD NAMING**
- PART C 10. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUNDING**
- PART C 11. HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND 2010/11 APPLICATIONS – LINFIELD CULTURAL RECREATIONAL SPORTS CLUB INC, MANAAKI SOUNDS TRUST, SUMNER COMMUNITY POOL AND MT PLEASANT SCOUT GROUP**
- PART B 12. FREEDOM CAMPING IN WINDSPORTS PARK**
- PART B 13. HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD SMALL GRANTS FUND ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE – MEETING REPORT OF 25 AUGUST 2010**
- PART C 14. NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' BEST PRACTICE AWARDS 2011**

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

22. 9. 2010

- 2 -

- PART B 15. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
- PART B 16. BOARD MEMBERS QUESTIONS**
- PART B 17. BOARD MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART C 18. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**
- PART C 20. RESOLUTION TO BE PASSES - SUPPLEMENTART REPORT**
- PART A 21. TEMPORARY LIQUOR BAN HAGLEY PARK NORTH BAND TOGETHER CONCERT**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 1 SEPTEMBER 2010

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 1 September 2010 are **attached**.

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 1 September 2010 be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

- 3.1 Julie Comfort, on behalf of Fryberg Developments Ltd and Grant Chellow representing Rangers AFC regarding clause 9 - Proposed Road Naming.
- 3.2 Craig Smith from Linfield Cultural Recreational Sports Club regarding clause 11 – Application to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.
- 3.3 Linda Ngata from Manaaki Sounds Trust regarding clause 11 – Application to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

8. FORMAL NAMING OF PARK KNOWN AS PEACOCKS GALLOP

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Unit Manager
Author:	Joanne Walton – Consultation Leader Greenspace

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the recommendation of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to the Council to formally name the park currently known as Peacocks Gallop, and a decision of the Board confirming support in principle for installation of an interpretative panel.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Peacocks Gallop is a local park situated on Main Road at the base of Clifton Hill and opposite Shag Rock.
3. Since 2003, several requests have been made by the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society to remove the name "Peacocks Gallop" from this park on the basis that it is not historically correct. Further information is provided under the Background (The Issues) section of this report.
4. The Council has a current naming practice for new parks and reserves, which is to give them the name of the adjoining road. This convention makes it easy for people, and for emergency services, to locate the parks, since the names match the street names. However this area is not known to have been named after Main Road at any time. The Council's policy for the naming of reserves and facilities states that for existing reserves, whether previously formally or informally named, and through common usage are accepted by the community, generally these names will be retained. While no records have been located on the formal naming of the park as Peacocks Gallop, the name appears to have been widely used and accepted by members of the community for some time, and has been shown to have a historical basis. During public consultation on the Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities document in 2008, 19 out of 25 submissions on this naming issue preferred the name "Peacocks Gallop" to be retained. On the basis of these results, the change of name was not pursued further by staff.
5. Funding was then sought by staff for an interpretation panel for the park as an alternative means of acknowledging aspects of the history of the park, but it became evident that the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society still wished to see the name "Peacocks Gallop" removed. It is the view of staff that it is necessary to formally adopt a name for the park before proceeding with any further signage in the park. In conjunction with the formal adoption of a name for the park, staff are now seeking confirmation from the Board that the installation of the interpretation panel is still supported in principle. If this is confirmed, a further report presenting a proposed draft design and seeking funding will be presented to the Board for their recommendation to Council that the project be funded from the Board's Local Discretionary Response Fund.
6. The Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society have suggested alternative names for the park, but now believe that the park should be known by what they consider to be its original name "Shag Rock Reserve". This name is very similar to "Shag Rock", the name by which the area of beach around Shag Rock opposite is known. However the Coastal Area Ranger Team consider that the two sites are visually and geologically linked in close proximity, and they would have no issues with the use of this name.
7. Overall, taking into account historical research, along with community views and current parks naming policy, it is the view of staff that the name of Peacocks Gallop should be formally adopted for this park.

8 Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. If a name other than Peacocks Gallop is proposed, the financial implications (excluding staff time) would be limited to the cost of installing new park signage. The cost of installing a medium sized double post entrance sign in accordance with the new signage standards for park signs is approximately \$4,368.
9. Currently there is no specific funding in the 2009 -19 LTCCP for the renaming of reserves.
10. Funding may be sought from the local Discretionary Response Fund at a future date to install a new interpretation panel in this park for the further information and benefit of the public.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, as above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

12. The Council Policy for Naming of Parks and Reserves, adopted by the Council in July 1993, states that the Council has the final decision regarding names of parks and reserves. The Councils Register of Delegations states that the following is a Council decision: "*To declare that a reserve shall be known by a specified name and can change the name of any reserve (by resolution): Section 16(10) Reserves Act 1977.*"

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. While the naming of features is not specifically mentioned in the LTCCP, the costs associated with this process are covered within the existing operational budgets.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendations align with the Council's Policy Register's code of practice for the naming and re-naming of reserves, and the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. Yes, as above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. The naming of the park was addressed within the context of the Council's proposed Master Plan for the Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks, a much wider management and development planning programme for the overall area. Public consultation on the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document was undertaken over August/September 2008. The document provided information about the reserve land including the likely origin of the name, and asked the following questions:

"Should the name be used only for the old roadway, or should it be used for the parkland between the road and the cliffs? Should a new name be chosen for the parkland?"

8 Cont'd

A total of 150 submissions were received from the community, 25 of which included comments about the naming of Peacocks Gallop. Of these, a majority of 19 submitters wished to retain the name Peacocks Gallop, with 6 suggesting the name be changed. Four alternative names were suggested; Clifton, Clifton Cliffs, Clifton Park and Soldiers Memorial Reserve. On this basis, it was considered by staff that the matter not be pursued further.

19. The Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society have been asked for their preference for the name of the park at this time. The Society is of the view that the original name of Shag Rock Reserve should be kept. This name has never been altered or removed to the Society's knowledge. Although the Society has previously suggested the new name of Soldiers Memorial Reserve, they now believe this would require more consultation with associated costs in time and money. The Society believes that the park may have been named Shag Rock Reserve because it is near Shag Rock, or the sand from around Shag Rock was used in the 1930s to fill in the area that is now the park. The Society have noted that their records include an undated newspaper report stating that the Sumner Beautifying Society would be planting native trees on Shag Rock Reserve. Staff have subsequently identified that the newspaper article is from The Press dated 31 August 1940 (p.7) suggesting that the name was in use at that time.
20. Consultation undertaken with manawhenua for the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document did not make specific mention of Peacocks Gallop. Manawhenua did identify the importance of telling of the original histories and occupations of the area, including through interpretation, and the importance of bilingual signage with both Maori and European place names together on signage. The correct use of Te Reo was encouraged, for example, "Rapanui" is not a literal translation of "Shag Rock" but rather is named in memory of their original homeland "Rapanui" or Easter Island.
21. The Clifton Neighbourhood Committee have been asked for their views on the naming of the park, and the Board will be advised of any feedback received prior to considering this report at the meeting of 15 September 2010.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

- (a) Recommend to the Council that the name of Peacocks Gallop is formally adopted.
- (b) Confirm support in principle for the installation of a interpretive panel at Peacocks Gallop, and see a future report to the Board on design and funding.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)**22. Issues raised about the name**

On several occasions since 2003, correspondence has been received by the Council, and deputations made to the Hagley Ferrymead Community Board, from the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society on the park currently known as Peacocks Gallop. The Society have expressed their concern about the continued use of the name "Peacocks Gallop" which they believe has no factual historical basis and no relationship to this area. The Society has noted that this matter was first raised with local government in the 1980s. Research by members of the Society indicates that the only known historical names for the area have been Clifton Heights, Harrow Swimming Pool or Shag Rock Reserve. The Society also stated that they had previously requested that the park be named after the McCoomb family but were advised that a McCoomb Memorial Garden already existed within Woolston Park. The use of a new name associated with the unemployed men who had worked in the area in the 1930s was also suggested by the Society.

8 Cont'd

23. A letter from Council staff to the Society in October 2003 states that according to records, the park was previously known as Shag Rock Reserve, but that name is not appropriate as this refers to the area on which Shag Rock sits, and which is covered by water at high tide. The letter notes that the name Peacocks Gallop is widely used and accepted within the Council and community.
24. Information on Peacocks Gallop was provided to Board members in a memorandum dated 22 November 2006 following a further deputation to the Board meeting of 24 May that year by the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society. The Society requested that the signage at the reserve be changed to remove the reference to Peacocks Gallop based on the assumption that it was to the Hon. John Thomas Peacock (1827-1905) who had no direct association with the reserve area. The Board were advised that Peacocks Gallop referred to the portion of stopped road situated between Reserve 4252 and the cliffs (refer **Attachment 1**). The reserve was vested in the Sumner Borough Council under the Sumner Borough Land Vesting Act 1929, although it did not appear that it was filled in and useable until after 1938. Staff supported the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society's view that the Hon. JT Peacock could not have galloped on the reserve as it was not in existence during his lifetime, and therefore it should not be named after him. Consideration of signs or plaques to advise of the historical alignment of the old Sumner Road along the base of the cliffs was suggested.
25. On 24 February 2007, the Board considered a report advising on the issue previously raised by the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society about the naming of the Peacocks Gallop Reserve and to seek approval for funding from the Board's discretionary funds for two commemorative plaques. The report stated that subsequent research by staff, along with information provided by a descendant of the late John Jenkins Peacock (1798-1868), identified that the name Peacocks Gallop refers to John Jenkins Peacock, not John Thomas Peacock. The Board resolved to note that a previous historical association exists with respect to part of the old Sumner Main Road also known as Peacocks Gallop, and that funding be allocated to meet the costs of two memorial plaques acknowledging "Peacocks Gallop". The Board also resolved that consideration be given to removing the name "Peacocks Gallop" in respect of the reserve area and substituting same with an historical or local interpreted Maori name and discussion on the wording of a suitable plaque, in consultation with the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society and other interested organisations.

Suggested new name for the park

26. In March 2007, the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society proposed that the park be named in remembrance of those who built it, rather than after any one individual. The present road and the area that is now the park were filled in with sand carted manually from the adjoining beach. The men, who were World War I returned soldiers, were working on Unemployment Scheme "U5" during the Depression of the 1930s. The Society proposed the name "Soldiers Memorial Reserve" in conjunction with a Maori interpretation of "Te Tohu O Nga Toa".

Further recommendations by staff

27. In February 2008, the Board were provided with an update on the matter following a deputation by the Sumner Redcliffs Historical Society on 7 February 2008. Staff had undertaken preliminary research on Peacocks Gallop but now recommended that the installation of plaques, or alternatively interpretive panels, be held over until the matter of changing the name of this park was addressed within the wider context of the proposed Master Plan for the Moncks Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks. Plan. The report also identified that the \$2,500 allocated from the Board's Discretionary Fund for the installation of two memorial plaques in the park had not been transferred to the Transport and Greenspace Unit budget due to an administrative error.

8 Cont'd

28. In addition, further on-site investigations by staff indicated that the proposed locations for the placement of the plaques were in close proximity to the cliff-faces. Staff were concerned that this may be encouraging passing members of the public to linger in locations where they may be at risk from falling rocks. Staff had searched for an alternative safe location along the road frontage of the park and away from the cliff-faces. As the park drops away from the footpath boundary, the possibility of attaching the plaques to the existing stone-pillar lamp standards (restored earlier by the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society) was considered. It was identified that this would require careful consideration of the effects on the heritage values of both the lamps and the existing memorial plaques on the stone bases. In addition, the Transport and Greenspace Unit was at that time in the process of developing guidelines and standards for memorial plaques and staff wished to ensure that the installations are consistent with these.

Consultation under the proposed Master Plan

29. The naming of the park was then addressed within the context of Council's proposed Master Plan for the Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks, a much wider management and development planning programme for the overall area. Public consultation on the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document was undertaken over August/September 2008. The document provided information about the reserve land including the likely origin of the name, and asked the following questions:

"Should the name be used only for the old roadway, or should it be used for the parkland between the road and the cliffs? Should a new name be chosen for the parkland?"

A total of 150 submissions were received from the community, 25 of which included comments about the naming of Peacocks Gallop. Of these, a majority of 19 submitters wished to retain the name Peacocks Gallop, with 6 suggesting the name be changed. Four alternative names were suggested; Clifton, Clifton Cliffs, Clifton Park and Soldiers Memorial Reserve. On this basis, it was the view of staff that the matter of changing the name not be pursued further.

30. Staff subsequently identified that installation of two further commemorative plaques in Peacock's Gallop would not be consistent with Council's *Draft guidelines on the commissioning, design, installation, maintenance and decommissioning of plaques* which require that the number of existing plaques, memorials, artworks and other objects in the vicinity is taken into account, and that plaques not be attached or engraved into natural features.
31. Staff suggested to the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society in November 2008 and June 2009 that a new historical interpretation panel be installed as an alternative. This was considered by staff to enable the provision of more comprehensive and up to date information, including illustrations, on the many interesting facets of the history of the park. The Society were also advised in June 2009 and November 2009 that the majority of people who responded to the issue of the naming of Peacocks Gallop during consultation on the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document identified that they wished this name to remain. The Society did not identify any concerns about this outcome at this time.

Funding for a proposed interpretation panel

32. A report seeking funding from the 2009/10 Hagley Ferrymead Community Board Discretionary Fund to complete the installation of an interpretation panel at Peacock's Gallop was considered by the Board on 16 December 2009. It was the belief of staff at that time that the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society understood that the name Peacocks Gallop would be retained. However a deputation was made to this meeting by the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society outlining their concern about the matter and their understanding that the name "Peacocks Gallop" would be removed. They also considered that as the name Shag Rock Reserve had been given by the Council and had never been removed, the easiest solution would be to keep this name. The Board declined the funding request but resolved to approve the installation of an information panel at locations identified in principle, with the final design to be presented to the Board for approval after consultation with the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society.

8 Cont'd

33. On 16 April 2010, a staff memorandum updated the Board on this issue, advising that given the issues raised in the earlier deputation, staff were of the opinion that it was necessary to formally adopt a name for the park before proceeding any further with an interpretation panel or other any signage in the park. Staff indicated their intention to work with the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society on a design for the panel, and seek funding again for its installation, once a name for the park had been formally adopted.
34. Subsequently, at its meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to make changes to the criteria of the Local Discretionary Response Fund. Under these changes, the Fund does not cover projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council, however Community Boards can recommend to Council for consideration of grants under these circumstances. Transport and Greenspace Unit staff have confirmed that the installation of a panel is likely to lead to ongoing operational costs over time for maintenance, repair and replacement.
35. Therefore, in conjunction with the formal adoption of a name for the park, staff are now seeking confirmation from the Board that the installation of the interpretation panel is still supported in principle. If so, and when the name of the park is formally resolved, staff will work with the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society on the content and design of the panel. A further report presenting a proposed draft design and seeking funding will be presented to the Board for their recommendation to Council that the project be funded from the Board's Local Discretionary Response Fund.

THE OBJECTIVES

36. The objective is to formally adopt a name for the park currently known as Peacocks Gallop to resolve local concerns about this matter and provide interpretation for the information of the wider community.

THE OPTIONS

Option 1

37. Formally adopt the name Peacocks Gallop for this park.

This will allow for the formal recognition and continued use of a name that has through common informal usage been accepted by the community, and has been found to have a historical basis. The risk of confusion amongst the community from a change of name is avoided. This is also consistent with the results of community consultation on the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document, where 19 out of the 25 submissions on this issue were in support of retaining the name Peacocks Gallop. However the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society do not support this name.

Option 2

38. Formally adopt the name Shag Rock Reserve for this park.

This will allow for the use of a name that has been referred to in earlier historical records and addresses the concerns of the Sumner-Redcliffs Historical Society on this matter. This name may not be widely known amongst the community and may result in confusion. A change of name is not consistent with feedback received during consultation on the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document.

8 Cont'd

Option 3

39. Maintain the status quo.

Continuing with the informal use of the name Peacocks Gallop is not considered a viable option as concerns about this informal use have been an ongoing issue for some members of the community for many years. This would be consistent with the results of community consultation on the *Monck's Bay to Scarborough Beach Parks Issues and Opportunities* document, where 19 out of the 25 submissions on this issue were in support of retaining the name Peacocks Gallop. However the name would not be formally adopted or recognised, and similar issues may continue to arise in the future.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

40. The preferred option is **Option 1** – To formally adopt the name Peacocks Gallop for this park.

9. PROPOSED ROAD NAMING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Environment Policy and Approvals Unit Manager
Author:	Leonie Stapleton, Subdivision Planner

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board's approval for one new road name and one new lane name to be created through the subdivision of 148A McGregors Road, formerly known as Eric Adams Park or Rangers Park (refer **Attachment 1**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new road names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure it will not be confused with names currently in use.

There is no possibility of confusion so consultation has not been undertaken with Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) or New Zealand Post, but as part of this process they will be informed of the new approvals prior to the new street signs being erected.

Freyberg Developers Ltd considers that the development should reflect and recognise the history of the site and its integral relationship with the local community over a significant number of years. With that in mind together with the Rangers AFC the parties have come up with the following suggested names for the new road and right of way.

Eric Adam Way

Eric Adam was a stalwart of the Rangers AFC having been a member since 1927 until his death in 1999. He was involved as a player, coach, selector and held all official positions of the club. The Christchurch City Council honoured Eric Adam for his services to Rangers and the community over a period of more than 60 years. In recognition of his service to the club, Rangers AFC renamed their home ground Eric Adam Park in 2000 after Eric's death in 1999.

Rangers Park Lane

Although this is the club's second preference this name will not be suitable as we already have a Rangers Street in Christchurch and the subdivision officer in charge of road names for the Council feels that this would be confusing for emergency services.

Rangers AFC was founded in 1910 and moved to McGregors Road in 1962 when they purchased land for their home ground. Rangers Park was the original name of the home ground.

Ian Marshall Lane

Ian Marshall was a player for four seasons and was a coach at Rangers AFC for nine seasons. It was during those nine seasons that the club experienced its most consistent and successful period, including winning several league championships. Ian Marshall went on to coach Canterbury and was appointed the All White coach in 1989 to 1994. Ian Marshall passed away in 2003.

9 Cont'd

Fitzpatrick's Lane

Rita and Ric Fitzpatrick were life members of Rangers AFC with both having passed away, Rita in 2008 and Ric in 2010. Rita was heavily involved in the organising and management of woman's football at Rangers AFC for both juniors and seniors, while Ric was also involved in the management of junior teams. Both Rita and Ric Fitzpatrick served as delegates of Rangers AFC on the Canterbury Football Association committees and were also involved organising the social aspects of the club.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. Council has a statutory obligation to approve road names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. There are no legal implications

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Where proposed road names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with LINZ and New Zealand Post. There is no possibility of confusion so consultation has not been undertaken with LINZ or New Zealand Post, but as part of this process they will be informed of the new approvals prior to the new street signs being erected.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider and approve the proposed road name and lane name as submitted.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

9 Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

13. There are no issues.

THE OBJECTIVES

14. Approval by the Community Board of the road names proposed in this report.

THE OPTIONS

15. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

16. Approve the names **Eric Adam Way** for the road name and **Ian Marshall Lane** or **Fizpatrick's Lane** for the lane name.

10. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUNDING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Andrew Hensley, Community Engagement Adviser Hagley/Ferrymead

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to consider applications to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board Neighbourhood Week 2010 Fund, allocate funds accordingly, and set in place a process should any late applications need to be considered.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Local community groups, including residents' associations and neighbourhood support groups have been sent information inviting them to apply for Neighbourhood Week Funding. Information has also been released to the wider community.
3. Neighbourhood Week is a dedicated week in which individuals and groups are encouraged to get together to know one another locally. Neighbourhood Week 2010 is to be held from 30 October to 7 November 2010. Applications for funding close on 3 September 2010.
4. A seminar with Board members was held on 19 August 2009, to discuss and establish local guidelines to assist in decision making. This included confirming barbeque food, skip hire and dumping fees as appropriate for funding, while transport and entertainment such as music and bouncy castles as not considered appropriate. This is in addition to the general guidelines shown in **Attachment 1**.
5. A matrix outlining applications and staff recommendations will be circulated to Board members separately prior to the meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The Board has allocated \$3,500 from the 2010/11 Strengthening Communities Fund to assist individuals and groups to run events. It is not the intention of this funding to totally fund events. Those applying for funding are expected to partially resource events themselves either financially or through the supply of materials.
7. In some previous years where demand for Neighbourhood Week funding has exceeded the funds sets aside, or late applications have been received, the Board has allocated additional funds out of the Board's Discretionary Funding. Should this be the case, the Board may wish to allocate a set amount of its Discretionary Funding as a contingency towards the above situations, with the proviso that Discretionary Funding not required be returned.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Page 172 of the LTCCP under Community support- Strengthening communities and page 176 of the LTCCP under Community support - Community grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. Under Council Standing Order 2.10 (Powers of Delegation), a subcommittee may be appointed and given the power to act.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Page 172 of the LTCCP under Community support- Strengthening communities and page 176 of the LTCCP under Community support- Community grants.

10 Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes, see paragraph 10.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. Funding for Neighbourhood Week activities aligns with the Council's Strong Communities strategic outcomes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

- (a) Consider the applications as set out in the circulated matrix and allocate Neighbourhood Week funds accordingly.
- (b) Consider allocating a set contingency amount from the Board's 2010/2011 Discretionary Response Fund should the applications for Neighbourhood Week funding exceed the funds set aside, or late applications have been received.
- (c) Assign delegated authority to the Board Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson to consider any late applications and allocate funding, should any funds remain, before 8 October 2010.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

11. HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND 2010/11 APPLICATIONS – LINFIELD CULTURAL RECREATIONAL SPORTS CLUB INC, MANAAKI SOUNDS TRUST, SUMNER COMMUNITY POOL AND MT PLEASANT SCOUT GROUP

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Advisor

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to consider four applications for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund. The applications are from:
 - Linfield Cultural Recreational Sports Club Inc – KiwiSport Co-ordinator, \$15,000;
 - Manaaki Sounds Trust – Matariki at the Marae 2011, \$10,000;
 - Sumner Community Pool - Solar Heating, \$10,000;
 - Mt Pleasant Scout Group – Repairs of Rescue Boat, \$3,300.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Hagley/Ferrymead Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*
6. Based on this criteria, the applications received are eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the applications and staff comments are included in the **attached** Decision Matrix.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The Board has \$33,573 available for allocation in its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund. Should the Board grant the recommended amount there will be a fund balance of \$11,073 for allocation to 30 June 2011.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

11 Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Strengthening Communities Strategy, Children and Youth Strategy, Sport and Recreation Strategy as detailed in the attached funding decision matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

- (a) Make a grant of \$10,000 to the Linfield Recreation Sport and Cultural Club for the costs associated with the co-ordination and delivery of the KiwiSport programme.
- (b) Make a grant of \$10,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Manaaki Sounds Trust towards the costs associated with 'Matariki at the Marae' celebration in June 2011.
- (c) Make a grant of \$2,500 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Sumner Community Pool towards solar heating.
- (d) Decline the request from the Mt Pleasant Scout Group towards repair of the rescue boat.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

BACKGROUND

Application from Linfield Cultural Recreational Sports Club Inc

15. As this group is located on the boundary between Hagley/Ferrymead and Burwood/Pegasus they have been working with Community Recreation from both wards. Therefore this application is split proportionately between these wards. Staff have been working with this group since March 2010, liaising with Sport Canterbury staff and outlining Council funding options. Refer to staff comments in the following Matrix for more information.

Application from Manaaki Sounds Trust

16. The Hagley/Ferrymead and Burwood/Pegasus Community Boards have both supported this initiative in the past and indicated that this event should submit an application to the Council's Festivals and Events Fund.

11 Cont'd

17. As such, Manaaki Sounds Trust submitted an application to the Metropolitan Community Event category of this fund in March 2010. They were unsuccessful in receiving this fund that the event does not currently meet the criteria for this fund, which is: *Events which add value to the city's image and support other Council community outcomes, by attracting at least 8,000 people per day, maximising community outcomes and reaching a strategic target market, i.e. Classical Sparks and the Chinese Lantern Festival'.*
18. For the application to be successful in the future the Events Development team will work with the planning committee to meet the criteria which includes the above and a three year business plan. It is envisaged that it will take two to three years to achieve this.
19. Council's marketing and communications team help 'spread the word' about the variety of Matariki activities and events occurring at the Marae as part of the citywide Matariki Celebration, other activities are held across the Library network, Our City Otautahi and the Christchurch Art Gallery.
20. Matariki at the Marae provides informative, engaging daytime programmes for preschool and primary school aged children from Monday to Thursday and community programmes on Tuesday and Thursday evenings. For the duration of the event the Marae is lit up in the evening. A series of weekend workshops were also offered in 2009.
21. During the daytime programme learning about Matariki and the Marae is done in many age appropriate ways. Waiata is important and each year an original waiata has been written for Matariki which the children learn and sing together when they farewell and thank the Marae. Healthy kai is also promoted as is exercise and the learning of a new art and craft. Computer and storytelling is used to learn about Matariki. The evening programme is also informative and highly engaging. Cultural performances, speakers, drama, star gazing, storytelling, arts and crafts, hot soup and the lighting up the marae is spectacular. A very polished 45 minute drama specifically produced for Matariki at the Marae by Pacific Underground is now getting bookings from throughout New Zealand. For those attending the evening programme 45 per cent of participants responding to the survey said it was their first visit to the Marae, 92 per cent were satisfied with the event and 82 per cent would like to come again next year. The majority of those attending are from the Hagley/Ferrymead and Burwood/Pegasus wards (71 per cent).
22. Matariki at the Marae has been recognised nationally by other Marae and it is being used an example of best practice to develop other events around the country.

Application from Sumner Community Pool Incorporated

23. Please refer to the staff comments in the matrix.

Application from Mt Pleasant Sea Scouts

24. Please refer to the staff comments in the matrix.

12. FREEDOM CAMPING IN WINDSPORTS PARK

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Strategy and Planning, DDI 941 8281
Officer responsible:	Strong Communities Programme Manager
Author:	Vincie Billante, Policy Analyst Bylaws

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To report on the incidences of freedom camping occurring at Windsports Park (referred to as Wind Surf Reserve in the Community Board 19 May 2010 meeting minutes) and other key coastal areas in the Hagley/Ferrymead ward being monitored and to advise the results of any displacement occurring as a result of camping prohibitions in place in the Sumner Reserves car park.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At the 19 May 2010 meeting, the Board requested:
 - (a) A report on freedom camping in the Wind Surf Reserve (legally titled as Windsports Park); and that;
 - (b) That staff monitor and advise the Board of any displacement of freedom camping into other areas.

Background Information

3. The Board has previously asked staff to investigate freedom camping issues occurring in places such as Cranmer Square, Sumner, Taylors' Mistake and Redcliffs, with a view of how to prohibit such activity through the Public Places Bylaw 2008.
4. Staff have advised the Board on the different options that could be used to address freedom camping with the various bylaws, depending on where the activity was occurring.
5. In reserves areas all camping is prohibited through the Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2008 unless that area is set aside for that purpose, or written authorisation from a Council officer has been granted. In order to enforce that prohibition, signage needs to be in place and resourcing allocated to night-time enforcement through contracting ADT Security. This has occurred at the Sumner Reserves car park adjacent to the public toilets by the Surf Life Saving Club.
6. In all other public places, this can happen by declaring those areas Special Use Areas prohibiting camping under the Public Places Bylaw 2008. Again, signage and resourcing for night time enforcement is needed to effectively implement this prohibition. This action was taken at Cranmer Square, which proved to be immediately effective at Cranmer Square itself, although the freedom camping activity was displaced to areas such as the Botanic Gardens car park and Gloucester Street.
7. The Council may impose parking restrictions on any Council-controlled legal roads through the Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008. This potentially could be used where freedom camping occurs, thereby allowing parking enforcement options to be utilised. In addition, there are problems with night time enforcement because parking wardens do not work after hours and ADT Security officers are not warranted to issue infringement notices.

Current Situation

8. Wind Surf Reserve is officially titled as Windsports Park. Despite its name, the areas where anecdotal reports of freedom camping are occurring are titled as road reserve with parking spaces adjacent to a public toilet. This road surrounds a drainage canal and has freehold land titled to the Council immediately adjacent to the north side of the legal road, (**Attachment 1** shows the defined areas).

12 Cont'd

9. ADT Security has been contracted to monitor the freedom camping occurring along the foreshore areas in Hagley/Ferrymead and Burwood/Pegasus wards from 21 July until 31 August 2010. They also ask people found freedom camping in the prohibited areas (Sumner Reserves) and in locked Council car parks to move along and then monitor any displacement that occurs as a result.
10. From 21 July until 15 August, four different vehicles have been identified at Windsports Park. Two were privately owned and stayed for five nights, one was privately owned and stayed one night and one was a hire vehicle that stayed one night. No environmental damage or evidence of nuisance (litter or toileting waste) was recorded.
11. In the same time period, 63 different vehicles have been recorded in areas along the foreshore. Ten of these vehicles (16 per cent) were recorded as being hire vans from rental companies; all others were privately owned vehicles. A few of the vehicles identified, particularly in the New Brighton Pier car park, are homeless residents as opposed to tourists freedom camping.
12. As of 10 August, No Camping signs officially were in place in the Sumner Reserve car park adjacent to the public toilets and the Surf Life Saving Club. One vehicle was parked there on 11 August but was asked to move and has not been seen in the area since. No displacement has been noted, but due to the very low numbers at this time of year at the Sumner Reserves car park it cannot be determined if the signage has had much effect or if it will lead to displacement elsewhere in Sumner. The warmer summer months will be a better indication when freedom camping numbers are higher overall.
13. The draft Freedom Camping Management Plan is being presented to the Regulatory and Planning Committee workshop on 30 of August and to the meeting on 2 September. It will then be presented to the Council for adoption. As part of the draft plan, a monitoring component is included which will continue to report on the numbers and locations of freedom camping throughout the district. Once the Management Plan is in place and monitoring has commenced, these figures can be reported to the Community Board.
14. Due to the lack of evidence of any nuisance or current issues in either Windsports Park or Sumner, it is recommended that no further action be taken at this time, but to keep abreast of the situation throughout the ward through regular reporting from the monitoring proposed in the draft Freedom Camping Management Plan.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

15. There are no financial implications for the recommendation of Windsports Park at this stage. Ongoing monitoring costs are covered in the proposed Freedom Camping Management Plan to be presented to the Council meeting on 23 September 2010.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

16. Not applicable.

12 Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Local Government Act 2002 Bylaws and Enforcement Options

Public Places Bylaw 2008

17. The Public Places Bylaw 2008 is made under section 145 of the Local Government Act 2002. There is no blanket regulation around freedom camping in public places in the bylaw. Council did not enact the provision banning staying overnight in public places that was proposed in the draft bylaw. The Council considered that clause 13 of the bylaw was a mechanism that could be used instead, to address any concerns in specific places if needed. Clause 13 gives Council the power to declare certain public places to be Special Use Areas where certain activities are either prohibited or allowed. This was the option chosen for Cranmer Square in February 2010; camping was prohibited and the appropriate signage and contracted evening enforcement was instituted successfully. However, this action served to move the campers to other areas, including the Botanic Gardens car parks, rather than stopping freedom camping in the area altogether.

Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2008

18. This bylaw is made under section 145 of the Local Government Act 2002 and the Reserves Act 1977. Any camping on parks or reserves is prohibited as the Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2008 states that "no person shall camp in any reserve except with the prior permission in writing from a Council-authorised officer, or in areas set aside specifically for the purpose of camping". If permission has been granted for people to camp on reserves, they will be issued a letter signed by a Council-authorised officer granting permission.

Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008

19. This bylaw is made under the Local Government Act 2002 and the Transport Act 1962, which states the Council may impose parking restrictions on any Council-controlled legal roads. This potentially could be used where freedom camping occurs, thereby allowing parking enforcement options to be utilised. There are also problems with night time enforcement because parking wardens do not work afterhours and ADT Security officers are not warranted to issue infringement notices.
20. Enforcement consists of issuing infringement notices for any breach and orders the person to pay the fees as set out in Schedule 2 of the Transport Act 1962, or on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding \$500.
21. Enforcement is an issue for all bylaws. General bylaw enforcement approaches start with people's attention being drawn to the offence being committed and then being requested (often in writing) to stop committing the offence. Enforcement tools for bylaws made under the Local Government Act 2002 (Public Places, Parks and Reserves) are injunctions, prosecution and/or the seizure of property not on private land. All of these tools are costly, time consuming and may require Police involvement to enforce, which makes the use of them somewhat prohibitive in nature. At a practical level, as those involved in freedom camping are often overseas tourists in the country for a relatively short period of time, there are difficulties in being able to apply these enforcement tools rapidly enough.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

22. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

23. Not applicable.

12 Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

24. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

25. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

26. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

27. Consultation on freedom camping has occurred with key stakeholder groups in the community, including residents' associations and community board members.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

28. It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

(a) Receive the report.

(b) Note that the draft Freedom Camping Management Plan will be presented to the Regulatory and Planning Committee and the Council in September and that monitoring and reporting will be undertaken as part of that process.

13. HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD SMALL GRANTS FUND ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE – MEETING REPORT OF 25 AUGUST 2010

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Unit Manager
Author:	Jo Daly, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to submit for information and record purposes, the following outcomes of the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee meeting held on Wednesday 25 August 2010 at 4.01pm in the Boardroom, Linwood Service Centre, 180 Smith Street, Linwood.

The meeting was attended by Bob Todd (Chairperson), David Adamson, Edward Byrne, Alison Brown, Rod Cameron, Mona Contractor, Rhonda Hira, Yani Johanson and Brenda Lowe-Johnson.

Yani Johanson arrived at 4.03pm and was absent for part of clause 1.

Rod Cameron arrived at 5.07pm and was absent for part of clause 1.

1. HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD SMALL GRANTS FUND 2010/11 - ALLOCATIONS

The Committee considered a report and an accompanying matrix detailing the applications made to the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund for 2010/11.

- (a) The Committee **resolved** on the motion of Brenda Lowe-Johnson, seconded by Rhonda Hira, to approve the allocations of \$72,529 from the 2010/11 Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund, as follows:

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
1	Avebury House Community Trust	Recreation for Older Adults	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,250 to Avebury House Community Trust towards weekly recreation programmes and events for older adults.
2	Bromley Community Association Inc	Community Fair	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,500 to Bromley Community Association Inc towards the Bromley Community Fair.
3	Bromley Community Association Inc	Playgroup	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,100 to Bromley Community Association Inc towards the Playgroup.
4	Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust	Children's Day 2011	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$500 to Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust for a Children's Day event in 2011.

13 Cont'd

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
5	Phillipstown Community Centre Charitable Trust	Community Festival/Subsidised Family Holiday	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,840 to Phillipstown Community Centre Charitable Trust towards Alive in Phillipstown Festival excluding the Phillipstown Family Camps.
6	Shoreline Youth Trust	Community New Zealand Sign Language Classes	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$3,590 to Shoreline Youth Trust towards the New Zealand Sign Language classes.
7	Te Mapua Child & Youth Trust	Te Mapua Administration	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$2,402 to Te Mapua Child & Youth Trust towards administration costs.
8	Te Whare Roimata Trust	Linwood Community Arts Centre's arts programme	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$4,000 to Te Whare Roimata Trust for the arts programme based at the Linwood Community Arts Centre.
9	Te Whare Taonga O Nga Iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre Trust	Operational Costs	<p>That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$3,000 to Te Whare Taonga o nga iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre Trust towards administration and overhead costs.</p> <p>(Note: Mona Contractor declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting on this item.)</p>
10	Te Whare Taonga O Nga Iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre Trust	Garden Maintenance and Security	<p>That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$3,500 to Te Whare Taonga o Nga Iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre Trust towards garden maintenance and security.</p> <p>(Note: Mona Contractor declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting on this item.)</p>
11	The Friends of Edmonds Factory Garden Inc.	Edmonds Community Events	<p>That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,200 to The Friends of Edmonds Factory Garden Inc towards the cost of staging three community events.</p> <p>(Note: David Adamson declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting on this item.)</p>

13 Cont'd

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
12	Woolston Community Association Inc	Co-ordinators Wages	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$4,143 to Woolston Community Association Inc towards the coordinator's wages.
13	Woolston Community Association Inc	Programmes and Activities	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,515 to Woolston Community Association Inc towards programmes and activities.
14	Woolston Community Association Inc	Woolston Gala	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$2,525 to Woolston Community Association Inc towards the Woolston Gala.
15	Woolston Community Association Inc	Older Adults Recreation	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$250 to Woolston Community Association Inc for fortnightly recreation programme for older adults.
16	Avon Hockey Club Inc	Junior Player Development	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$850 to Avon Hockey Club Inc towards training courses for volunteer coaches/umpires and equipment purchase.
17	Avon Loop Planning Association	Administration of Community facility	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,750 to Avon Loop Planning Association towards its administration costs, excluding rates.
18	Bromley Community Association Inc	Computer Tuition	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,680 to Bromley Community Association Inc towards computer tuition courses.
19	Bromley Community Association Inc	Volunteer Recognition	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Bromley Community Association Inc towards volunteer training, volunteer recognition and subscriptions to Volunteering Canterbury.
20	Community Watch City - Sumner Incorporated	Stationery and Equipment Requirements	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Community Watch City - Sumner Incorporated towards stationery, a printer and accessories.

13 Cont'd

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
21	Heathcote Cricket Club	Funding for Equipment 2010-11	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to the Heathcote Cricket Club towards purchasing cricket equipment.
22	Heathcote Mt Pleasant Anglican Parish	The Community Social Interaction Programme	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$700 to Heathcote Mt Pleasant Anglican Parish towards costs for the community social interaction programme.
23	Kidsfirst Kindergarten Linwood	Trips and Cultural Experiences	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Kidsfirst Kindergarten Linwood towards trips and cultural experiences.
24	Kidsfirst Kindergarten Phillipstown	Outdoor Equipment Upgrade	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Kidsfirst Kindergarten Phillipstown towards outdoor play equipment.
25	Lancaster Park Cricket Club Inc	Cricket Balls for Junior and Youth 10/11	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Lancaster Park Woolston Cricket Club Inc towards the purchase of cricket balls for junior and youth players.
26	Lifestart Society Incorporated	Recreational services for LifeStart clients for 2010 -2011	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,500 to Lifestart Society Incorporated towards coordinator wages, administration, stationery, travel and venue expenses and activities.
27	Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust	Volunteer Recognition	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$500 to Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust towards volunteer recognition.
28	Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust	Office Equipment	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$400 to Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust towards the purchase of a printer/fax/scanner.
29	Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust	Community Newsletter	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$300 to Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust towards production of the community newsletter.

13 Cont'd

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
30	Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust	Family Keep Fit	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$500 to the Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust towards purchasing a projector screen and exercise DVDs.
31	Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust	Craft Group Supplies	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$300 to the Linwood Avenue Community Corner Trust towards purchasing craft materials and storage boxes.
32	Mt Pleasant Memorial Community Centre & Residents Association Inc	Community Event	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$750 to Mt Pleasant Memorial Community Centre & Residents Association Inc towards the annual community event.
33	Nga Wahine Ki Otautahi Trust	Computer Programme	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$880 to Nga Wahine Ki Otautahi Trust towards learning resources.
34	Roimata Community Incorporated Society	Hello Neighbour! Project	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$750 to Roimata Community Incorporated Society for the Hello Neighbour! Project.
35	Shoreline Youth Trust	Fuse Youth Cafe	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,500 to Shoreline Youth Trust towards seating.
36	St Chad's Friendship Afternoon	Increasing social interaction.	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$500 to St Chad's Friendship Group towards purchasing tables.
37	St John the Evangelist, Woolston	St John's Community Capacity Project - Tables	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$750 to St John the Evangelist Woolston towards the Capacity Project - Tables.
38	St John the Evangelist, Woolston	St John's Community Capacity Project - Chairs	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$750 to St John the Evangelist Woolston towards the Capacity Project - Chairs.

13 Cont'd

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
39	Sumner Bays Union Trust	Sumner Community Garden, Sumner Community Choir, Senior Forum	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,500 to Sumner Bays Union Trust towards their garden and senior forum programmes, excluding the Sumner choir.
40	Sumner Residents' Association	Payment of annual Post Office Box Fee	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$135 to Sumner Residents' Association towards annual post office box fees.
41	Sumner Rugby Club	Sports Equipment	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Sumner Rugby Club towards practice and match balls for junior players and first aid kits.
42	Sumner Surf Life Saving Club	Purchase of a new surf ski	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Sumner Surf Lifesaving Club towards equipment. (Note: Bob Todd declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting on this item.)
43	Sumner-Redcliffs Ladies Probus Club	Bus Outings for the Elderly	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$500 to Sumner-Redcliffs Ladies Probus Club towards outings for older adults.
44	Te Mapua Child & Youth Trust	Te Mapua Camp Resources	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Te Mapua Child & Youth Trust towards camp resources.
45	Te Whare Roimata Trust	Inner City East Ethnic Women's Sewing Group	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$2,000 to Te Whare Roimata Trust towards their ethnic women's sewing group costs.
46	Te Whare Roimata Trust	Inner City East Refugee Children's Homework Class	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Te Whare Roimata Trust towards their refugee children's homework class.

13 Cont'd

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
47	Te Whare Roimata Trust	Gold Coin Café Project	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$2,500 to Te Whare Roimata Trust towards their Gold Coin Cafe costs.
48	Te Whare Taonga O Nga Iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre Trust	Programme Costs	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$2,000 to Te Whare Taonga o Nga Iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre towards programme costs. (Note: Mona Contractor declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting on this item.)
49	Te Whare Taonga O Nga Iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre Trust	Volunteer Recognition	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,500 to Te Whare Taonga o Nga Iwi Katoa Linwood Resource Centre towards volunteer recognition. (Note: Mona Contractor declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting on this item.)
50	The Friends of Linwood Cemetery Charitable Trust	Maintenance and Encouraging Local Community Participation in Linwood Cemetery	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,200 to The Friends of Linwood Cemetery Charitable Trust towards maintenance and encouraging local community participation in Linwood Cemetery.
51	Woolston Boxing	Boxing Equipment	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Woolston Boxing towards training equipment. (Note: Yani Johanson declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting on this item.)
52	Woolston Community Association Inc	Volunteer Recognition	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$500 to Woolston Community Association Inc towards volunteer costs.
53	Woolston Development Project Inc	Heat Pump	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Woolston Development Project Inc towards purchase of a heat pump.

13 Cont'd

No.	Group	Project	Committee Decision
54	Woolston Development Project Inc	Programme Resources	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$1,000 to Woolston Development Project Inc towards equipment for children's after school and holiday programmes.
55	Woolston Welcome Club	Entertaining Senior Citizens	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$500 to Woolston Welcome Club towards travel costs for the bus trips.
56	Bromley Community Association Inc	Cleaning	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee makes a grant of \$519 to the Bromley Community Association Inc for cleaning.
57	Te Mapua Child & Youth Trust	Te Mapua Resource Storage Request	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee declines the application from Te Mapua Child & Youth Trust for purchase of storage boxes.
58	Mapusaga Youth Group	Hoodies For Winter Projects	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee declines the application from Mapusaga Youth Group for hoodies.
59	United Afghan Association of Canterbury	Playing Strips and Football Equipment	That the Hagley/Ferrymead Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee declines the application from the United Afghan Association of Canterbury for playing strips and football equipment.

- (b) The Committee **resolved** on the motion of Brenda Lowe-Johnson, seconded by Yani Johanson to delegate authority to the Committee Chairperson and Edward Byrne to confirm the minutes of the meeting.

The Chairperson thanked Committee members and staff for their attendance and contributions, and declared the meeting closed at 5.14pm.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the report be received and noted for record purposes.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

14. NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' BEST PRACTICE AWARDS 2011

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Unit Manager
Author:	Jo Daly, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board's views as to whether it wishes to submit any entries to the New Zealand Community Board Conference Best Practice Awards 2011.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The 8th biennial Community Board Conference is scheduled to take place in Rotorua from 5 to 7 May 2011. One of the highlights of the conference is the Community Boards' Best Practice Awards which acknowledge excellence in the implementation of projects in local government. Prizes will be presented to the winners of each category and the best overall project. The objectives of the Awards are to:
 - recognise significant contributions made by Community Boards to the achievement of excellence in local government
 - promote quality improvements in the functioning of Community Boards
 - foster the exchange of best practice and innovative ideas.

The categories are:

Consultation (eg how the Board has actively consulted with its community)	Significant Project (eg a major project led by the Board (jointly or singularly) that achieved a desired outcome)
Facilitation (eg identification of a situation/s where the Board has taken a facilitation role to overcome a community problem)	Partnership (eg a partnership with parent council/other Boards/community organisation/s to address an issue)
Heritage (eg a heritage project in your community in which your Board has played an active role)	Working with Maori (eg projects which feature your Board working with a local Maori organisation or in a Maori community)
Working with Children and Youth (eg projects in your community in which your Board has been involved with children and youth)	Harmonious Relations (eg projects in which your Board has promoted diversity and harmonious relations)
Safety (Sponsored by NZ Police) (eg projects in which your Board and the Police have achieved safety outcomes for your community)	
Leadership The Yvonne Palmer leadership trophy is given for outstanding leadership, for enhancing the work of Community Boards and the recipient will hold it for two years	For any elected member including a community board, a community board member, a councillor or mayor, or for a council staff member

14 Cont'd

3. The Working with Children and Youth, Safety and Harmonious Relations categories are sponsored and awarded by UNICEF, the New Zealand Police and the Human Rights Commission respectively. Separate criteria apply to these categories.
4. The application form and supporting information which will outline the format of entries should take are expected shortly. In the meantime the Board is encouraged to reflect on what activities the Board has been involved in that are innovative, have made a real difference to the community and would be suitable as applications to the awards. All entries will be reviewed by the Conference Organising Committee's Judging Panel and must be submitted **by 4 February 2011**. Given this timing, most of the work to prepare the entry will need to occur prior to the Christmas break, hence the views of the Board on its entry or entries are now being sought. Depending on those views, staff will, if required, report back on any financial implications and staff capacity requirements.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the recommendations of this report align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. There are no costs associated with lodging an entry for a Best Practice Award. As indicated in paragraph 4 above, staff will assess any financial implications relevant to any entry that the Board wishes to submit, and report back to the Board if required.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

6. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009/19 LTCCP?

7. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

8. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

9. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board give consideration to any entries it may wish to submit to the New Zealand Community Boards' Best Practice Awards 2011.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

15. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

15.1 August Update of Current Projects (**attached**).

16. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

17. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

18. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

(Attached)

20. RESOLUTION TO BE PASSED - SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

Approval is sought to submit the following report to the meeting of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board Extraordinary Meeting on 22 September:

- Temporary Liquor ban Hagley Park North Band Together Concert

The reason, in terms of section 46(vii) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, why the report cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting of the Community Board is because the report is being submitted to the last meeting of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board before the final Council meeting of the term.


STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the report be received and considered at the meeting of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board on 22 September 2010.

21. TEMPORARY LIQUOR BAN HAGLEY PARK NORTH BAND TOGETHER CONCERT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Strategy and Planning, DDI 941 8281
Officer responsible:	Strong Communities Programme Manager
Authors:	Vivienne Wilson and Terence Moody

PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 
1. For the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to consider and make a recommendation to the Council on a proposal for a Temporary Alcohol Ban for Hagley Park North from 7am until 10pm on Saturday 23 October 2010 and on Sunday 24 October 2010, to complement the existing permanent ban from 10pm to 7am daily for the Band Together Concert.
 2. The outcome of the Board's consideration of this matter will be reported to the Council Meeting of 23 September 2010.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. Following the 4 September 2010 earthquake, a concert has been organised for Canterbury, called the Band Together Concert. It will be held on 23 October 2010 in Hagley Park North from noon 12pm until 8pm. (The postponement date is Sunday 24 October 2010 with same times.) It will feature a number of well known New Zealand bands/musicians as well as Christchurch bands. The theme of the event will be to celebrate Canterbury's spirit after the earthquake. The concert will be free and it is estimated that as many as 150,000 people could attend.
4. The Events Team have been in discussions with the Police about the concert. The Police have indicated that they support the concert provided that it is alcohol-free and an alcohol ban was in place to provide them the tool for enforcement. Given the number of people that may attend and that it is a community and family orientated event, it is considered appropriate to make the concert an alcohol-free event.
5. There is a Permanent Alcohol Ban in place that applies to Hagley Park seven days per week but this only applies during the hours of 10pm until 7am each day. This will not cover the hours of the concert. The Council has the power to declare a Temporary Alcohol Ban under clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Alcohol Restrictions in Public Places Bylaw 2009 ("the Bylaw").
6. A Temporary Alcohol Ban has the same effect as a Permanent Alcohol Ban, but the Temporary Alcohol Ban will apply for a limited time only. The area to which the Temporary Alcohol Ban is proposed to apply is North Hagley Park as set out in the **attached** map. This area is bounded by Park Terrace, Harper Avenue and Deans Avenue. The southern boundary would be Riccarton Avenue (from Deans Avenue) to approximately the Riccarton Cricket Club, then following River Avon around to Armagh Bridge.
7. It is also intended to apply clause 8(1) of the Bylaw to make it easier for the Police to enforce the Alcohol Ban. This means that the Police will be able to use their search powers in respect of containers and vehicles under section 170 of the Local Government Act 2002 ("the LGA 02") immediately and without notice.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. Financial provision will be required for public notices and display advertisements as well as appropriate signage. The costs of enforcement rest with the Police under powers in the Local Government Act 2002.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. There is no specific budgetary provision for introducing temporary alcohol bans. The costs of investigating the temporary ban can be absorbed in the Long Term Policy and Planning Activity. The costs of public notices and signage should be absorbed in the Events Activity.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The Bylaw is made under the authority of section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002 (“the LGA 02”).
11. Under the Bylaw, there is a Permanent Alcohol Ban in Hagley Park and its environs during the hours of 10pm to 7am, seven days per week. The specific area to which the Permanent Alcohol Ban applies is set out in the Schedule to the Bylaw. The effect of the Permanent Alcohol Ban is that no person may:
 - (a) consume alcohol in a public place; or
 - (b) consume alcohol in a vehicle in a public place; or
 - (c) bring alcohol into a public place, whether in a vehicle or not; or
 - (d) possess alcohol in a public place, whether in a vehicle or not.
12. The times during which the Permanent Alcohol Ban apply do not cover the times the Band Together Concert will take place. However, under clause 5 of the Bylaw the Council is able to declare a Temporary Alcohol Ban in a public place by way of resolution and the same alcohol restrictions will apply. Any such resolution must describe the specific area that is a Temporary Alcohol Ban Area and the times, days or dates during which the alcohol restrictions apply to any public places in the area.
13. Under clause 5(2) of the Bylaw, before the Council declares a Temporary Alcohol Ban Area, the Council will consider:
 - (a) if the proposed Ban relates to an event:
 - (i) the nature of the expected event;
 - (ii) the number of people expected to attend;
 - (iii) the history of the event (if any); and
 - (iv) the area in which the event is to be held; and
 - (b) the nature and history of alcohol-related problems usually associated with the area, together with any anticipated alcohol-related problems; and
 - (c) whether the benefits to local residents and to the city would outweigh the restrictions the resolution would impose on local residents and other people, including those who may be attending any events, in the area covered by resolution; and
 - (d) any information from the Police and other sources about the proposed dates, the event or the area to be covered by the resolution; and
 - (e) whether the Police support the proposed Temporary Alcohol Ban Area; and
 - (f) any other information the Council considers relevant.
14. The Police have various powers to enforce the Bylaw, including the power to search containers and vehicles in public places for alcohol, seize and remove alcohol, and arrest any person who is found to be breaching the Bylaw. Before the Police exercise these powers they must comply with the warning provisions in section 170 of the Local Government Act 2002. However, in certain circumstances as set out in section 170(3), the Police can search immediately and without notice. The Police have requested for this specifically for this event. In order to give the Police this power, the Council would need to resolve that clause 8(1) of the Bylaw applies to the Temporary Liquor Ban.¹

¹ Note that clause 8 provides as follows:

8. POLICE POWERS OF SEARCH IN TEMPORARY ALCOHOL BAN AREAS

- (1) This bylaw authorises a member of the Police to exercise the power of search under section 169(2)(a) of the Act for the purposes of section 170(2) of the Act in areas to which a resolution declaring a Temporary Alcohol Ban Area applies.
- (2) Clause 8(1) only applies if the resolution declaring a Temporary Alcohol Ban Area provides that clause 8(1) of this bylaw will apply.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

15. Yes. The proposed Temporary Alcohol Ban will apply to a public place within the meaning of section 147 of the LGA 02. Hagley Park North is an area that is under the control of the Council and it is open to and is used by the public.
16. In terms of clause 5(1) of the Bylaw, the proposed resolution describes the specific area to which the Alcohol Ban will apply and the times and dates that it will apply. It is for a one-off event.
17. In terms of the considerations under clause 5(2) of the Bylaw, it is noted as follows:
 - (a) Clause 5(2)(a)(i) – the proposed ban does relate to an event. The nature of the event is a concert which is community and family orientated.
 - (b) Clause 5(2)(a)(ii) – the number of people expected to attend the event could be in the order of 150,000.
 - (c) Clause 5(2)(a)(iii) – this event is new but given the reason for the event it is a one-off event.
 - (d) Clause 5(2)(a)(iv) – the area in which the event is to be held is Hagley Park North. This area has been used for other events/concerts in the past but generally a Temporary Alcohol Ban has not applied during these events.
 - (e) Clause 5(2)(b) – in the past the nature and history of alcohol related problems associated with Hagley Park North have stemmed from boy racers along Harper Avenue. Other concerts held in Hagley Park North such as Classical Sparks and Christmas in the Park have not had Temporary Alcohol Bans in place, and people have enjoyed alcohol at such events. The Permanent Alcohol Ban applies after 10pm and has therefore has not interfered with concert goers drinking alcohol at these concerts. However, the large number of people anticipated to attend the Band Together Concert could lead to alcohol related problems such as drunken and disorderly behaviour, damage to the trees and other plants in the park, broken glass and litter, people urinating in public.
 - (f) Clause 5(2)(c) – whether the benefits to local residents and to the city would outweigh the restrictions the resolution would impose on local residents and other people, including those who may be attending any events, in the area covered by resolution. If the Temporary Alcohol Ban is imposed it will prevent concert attendees from enjoying alcoholic beverages at the concert. However, it is considered that the benefits to local residents and to the city at large will outweigh any disadvantages of this restriction. The restriction will be in operation for a relatively short period of time. It is a one-off restriction and will aid in promoting a safe and secure event for the whole of the city. Given the circumstances in which this concert is being held, it is considered important to focus on providing a safe environment.
 - (g) Clauses 5(2)(d) and (e) – given the tight frames involved the Police have not provided any written information about the proposed date of the event, the event or the area to be covered by the resolution except that the Police support a Temporary Alcohol Ban being put in place, and would like it to be alcohol-free event.
 - (h) Clause 5(2)(f) – it is considered a relevant consideration that this Concert will likely have a wider appeal than Classical Sparks or Christmas in the Park which are perhaps more family orientated events. While these events have not had alcohol bans in place, given the wider focus of this event on the community as well as families, it is considered appropriate to consider whether there should be greater restrictions on the consumption of alcohol at the concert.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. Ordinarily, the agreed process for establishing a Temporary Liquor Ban is that the relevant Community Board investigates the proposal, including the matters listed above and any implementation requirements such as signage or advertising, and associated costs. If the Community Board agrees that there is a need for a Temporary Liquor Ban, it must then report to the Regulatory and Planning Committee, which, if it agrees, will refer the report to the Council. The process could potentially take a number of weeks to complete.

19. Given the tight time frame it has not been possible for this matter to be investigated at the Community Board level and then a report prepared for the Regulatory and Planning Committee. However, the internal discussions have taken place between the Events Team, the Liquor Licensing Team, Strategy and Planning, and the Legal Services Unit. The Events Team and Strategy and Planning have discussed the matter with the Police and the Police indicated that they were basing their support for the event on the basis that it is alcohol-free and they would have the enforcement tool of the Temporary Alcohol Ban to support their enforcing this at the event.

ALTERNATIVE OPTION

20. If a Temporary Alcohol Ban is not imposed then alcohol can still be prohibited. The Council as a land owner is able to control who enters its land and the terms on which those persons enter its land. Technically it grants a "licence" to each person who enters Hagley Park. In other words, "a personal permission" to enter. In order for persons to be aware of the terms of entry (ie the terms of the licence), these terms would need to be clearly set out on a notice where event attendees are able to read the terms (and therefore accept the terms) before they enter concert area. These terms of entry could include a power to search and seize alcohol.
21. The disadvantage with this option is the power of the Police to enforce it. If a person breaches the terms of his or her licence, ordinarily the landowner would ask the person to leave the premises. If a person refuses to leave the premises then the landowner could use the provisions of the Trespass Act 1980. Section 3(1) of the Trespass Act 1980 provides that a person commits an offence against this Act who trespasses on any place and, after being warned to leave that place by an occupier of that place, neglects or refuses to do so. The Police have the power to arrest a person committing an offence against the Trespass Act. However, before they may do so, the Council as occupier will need to give the person committing the trespass a warning.
22. The Police have specifically requested for the Temporary Alcohol Ban as opposed to the option of exercising their authority under the Trespass Act and have made this conditional of their support for the proposed concert.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

23. See 23 below.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

24. Introducing a temporary alcohol ban in Hagley Park North could be considered to broadly align to the following LOS in the Strengthening Communities Activity Management Plan, 2.2.3.1. Maintain Safe City Accreditation every 15 years.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

25. The Safer Christchurch Strategy aims to see rates of injury and crime decline, for people to feel safe at times in Christchurch City and for Christchurch to have excellent safety networks, support people and services.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

26. Yes – as above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board recommends to the Council that it resolves to introduce a Temporary Alcohol Ban in the Hagley Park North area from 7am until 10pm on both Saturday 23 October 2010 and on Sunday 24 October 2010 to complement the existing permanent ban from 10pm to 7am daily for the Band Together Concert.