

HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

WEDNESDAY 1 SEPTEMBER 2010

AT 3PM

IN THE BOARDROOM, LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE, 180 SMITH STREET, LINWOOD

Community Board: Bob Todd (Chairperson), Rod Cameron, Tim Carter, David Cox, John Freeman,

Yani Johanson, and Brenda Lowe-Johnson.

Community Board Adviser:

Jo Daly

Phone: 941 6601 DDI Email: jo.daly@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

PART C 1. APOLOGIES

PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 18 AUGUST 2010

PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

PART B 4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

PART B 5. NOTICE OF MOTION

PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE

PART B 7. BRIEFINGS

PART A 8 HAGLEY PARK – SURRENDER OF UNITED BOWLING CLUB LEASE AND PROCESS FOR

FUTURE LEASE OF SITE

PART A 9. MONCKS SPUR RESERVE - REQUEST FOR CHANGE OF NAME

PART C 10. BARNETT PARK SUBCOMMITTEE - FINAL CONSULTATION PLAN FOR BARNETT PARK

BIKE AND SKATE AREA

PART C 11. HARGOOD STREET – PROPOSED PARKING AND STOPPING RESTRICTIONS

PART C 13. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH

DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – SARAH ELIZABETH GILMOUR

PART C 14. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARDS 2010/11 YOUTH

DEVELOPMENT SCHEME - MARLIA FATIMA OFA-KI LISALA

- 2 -

PART C	15.	APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME - DAVID LEEH EMANEL CORREOS
PART C	16.	APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – EDRIAN ROBIN GROUFSKY
PART C	17.	APPLICATIONS TO HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - KIDSCAN STANDTALL CHARITABLE TRUST AND TE WHARE ROIMATA
PART B	18.	COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE
PART B	19.	BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS
PART B	20.	BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES - 18 AUGUST 2010

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 18 August 2010 will be circulated under separate cover.

The public excluded minutes of 18 August 2010 will be separately circulated to the Board.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting on 18 August 2010 (both open and public excluded sections) be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

6.1 Correspondence from Peter Croft and Kate Bovet, regarding clause 9, Moncks Spur Reserve – Request for Change of Name.

7. BRIEFINGS

8. HAGLEY PARK – SURRENDER OF UNITED BOWLING CLUB LEASE AND PROCESS FOR FUTURE LEASE OF SITE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Panning Unit Manager
Author:	John Allen, Policy and Leasing Administrator / Martin McGregor, Sports Liaison Advisor

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board recommendation
to the Council to accept the surrender of the United Bowling Club's lease on Hagley Park, and
to provide information and seek endorsement on the process being followed to lease the site to
other parties.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The United Bowling Club who have leased part of North Hagley Park for 106 years (since 1904) have surrendered their lease effective from when the lease they had with the Council ended on 30 June 2010. Please see attached the club's letter of surrender dated 18 December 2009, (refer **Attachment 1**) and a plan on which is shown the leased site (refer **Attachment 2**).
- 3. The reason that the Club decided not to renew their lease was because of their elderly membership, not being able to attract new members, partly because of the location of the club's premises not being in a residential area, and the resulting increase in costs to maintain the premises with a falling membership. The Club has not used their second green for a number of years. The majority of members have joined up with the Barrington Bowling Club, thereby strengthening this Club's membership.
- 4. Outlined below for the information of Board members and Councillors is information about the process officers are working through with a number of interested parties to lease the former site to other sports clubs, this being undertaken in accordance with the direction of the Hagley Park Management Plan and its policies.
- 5. Once the details of who is going to lease the former United Bowling Club site have been finalised, a further report will be placed before the Board and the Council for their consideration and approval of the granting of a lease(s) to the sports bodies involved.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. There are no financial implications to the Council with the work being undertaken by staff to assist interested clubs to sort out and dovetail their requirements for use of the area. This work may include the facilitation of the formation of partnerships between different clubs. All this work is, covered within existing Council budgets. The prospective lessees will pay for the public advertising, the Minister of Conservation approval, and Council legal fees incurred in approving the lease(s) and putting them in place, these processes taking place after a further report is prepared for Board and Council consideration.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes, see the previous section.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. The leases over the site will be granted under section 54 of the Reserves Act 1977, being subject to public advertising of the granting of the leases for one calendar month, and the approval of the Minister of Conservation.

- 9. Officers when working with sporting organisations and clubs wishing to lease part of Hagley Park are mindful of the need to work within the policies as set out in the Hagley Park Management Plan and especially, but not only, to the policies set out in section 13 Organised Recreation, section 17 Buildings and Structures, and section 30 Leases and Licences and Rights to Occupy.
- 10. Clause 24 of the lease that the United Bowling Club had with the Council requires the Council to ensure that any incoming lessee pays the outgoing lessee the value of their improvements, (buildings etc), on the land as determined by the lessor (Council) this infrastructure belonging to the lessee. The Council only leases vacant unimproved park and reserve land to lessees on which to build their infrastructure. Council officers facilitate this process using independent valuations, being mindful of the very small market these facilities are able to be sold to (sports clubs), because of the necessary constraints placed on such sales on the land by the Reserves Act 1977.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. Yes, see the previous section.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

- 12. The LTCCP's strong communities strategic directions section prioritises: providing accessible and welcoming public buildings, spaces and facilities; providing parks, public buildings, and other facilities that are accessible, safe, welcoming and enjoyable to use; working with partners to reduce crime, help people avoid injury and help people feel safer; providing and supporting a range of arts, festivals and events; and protecting and promoting the heritage character and history of the city.
- 13. The LTCCP's healthy environment strategic directions section prioritises: providing a variety of safe, accessible and welcoming local parks, open spaces and waterways; providing street landscapes and open spaces that enhance the character of the city; and protecting and enhancing significant areas of open spaces within the metropolitan area.
- 14. The LTCCP's liveable city strategic directions section prioritises: improving the way in which public and private spaces work together.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. Yes, see the previous section.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

- 16. This work is aligned with the Christchurch Active Living Strategy, by supporting the general publics' mental stimulation through active participation in sport.
- 17. This work also supports the Christchurch Visitor Strategy by adding another attraction that visitors both to Christchurch and the park can experience, and participate in.
- 18. The work is in alignment with the Council's Strategic Direction to support Strong Communities. It encourages residents to enjoy living in the city and to have fun, thereby supporting Christchurch as being a good place to live.
- 19. This work is in alignment with goals 1 and 2 of the Physical Recreation and Sports Strategy 2002, Goal 1 Facilities and Environment, a safe physical environment that encourages participation in recreation and sport. Goal 2 Availability and Accessibility, a wide range of physical recreation and sport activities that are made available to all citizens of Christchurch and beyond.

20. This work is in alignment with goal five and goal six of the strengthening communities' strategy. Goal five- ensuring communities have access to community facilities that meet their needs, goal six - increasing participation in community recreation and sport programmes and events.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

Yes, see the previous section.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

22. Formal public consultation will be required in accordance with the requirements of section 54(2) of the Reserves Act 1977, this being after Council has conditionally granted a lease or leases to the sports clubs who have applied to use the former United Bowling Club leased site.

APPLICANTS

- 23. Council officers are working with four applicants who already have a close affiliation with the park, three of which are located within premises on the park, namely Christchurch Petanque Club, United Croquet Club, and United Tennis Club, while the fourth applicant is the High School Old Boys Rugby Club who presently have clubrooms in Ayr Street, their home grounds being across Deans Avenue in North Hagley Park.
- 24. The aforementioned applicants and the executive of the United Bowling Club are aware that it will take some time for all the details to be worked through before reporting back to the Board and Council, officers are not expecting to be in this position before February 2011.
- 25. Officers are working with all parties to ensure that the best harmonious association occurs between all parties who wish to lease part of the former United Bowling Club site, being mindful of the policies contained within the 2007 Hagley Park Management Plan, and the overall recreation objectives of the Christchurch City Council.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board recommend to the Council:

- (a) That the Council accept United Bowling Club's wish to not to enter into a new lease of their former Hagley Park site, and support a letter being written to the Club extending best wishes to all members in their future sporting endeavours.
- (b) That the Council endorse officers' actions to find new lessees for the former leased site thereby ensuring that the maximum recreational benefit is derived of this opportunity, both for the potential lessees and for the greater recreational benefit of the City as a whole.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

9. MONCKS SPUR RESERVE - REQUEST FOR CHANGE OF NAME

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Manager
Author:	Joanne Walton, Consultation Leader Greenspace

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the recommendation of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to the Council to change the name of Moncks Spur Reserve to Morten Settlement Reserve.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. Moncks Spur Reserve is a local neighbourhood reserve of 2050 metres squared situated towards the upper end of Moncks Spur Road on Moncks Spur, Redcliffs.
- 3. In 2004, local residents suggested that the name of Morten Settlement Reserve be given to the reserve. The Council subsequently undertook consultation on the proposed change of name for the reserve in conjunction with a proposed draft landscape concept plan for its development in 2005, but a decision was not finalised. Given the length of time that has elapsed since the earlier consultation on the proposed change of name, further consultation has been undertaken on the proposal to ascertain the current views of residents prior to reporting on this matter to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board and the Council.
- 4. A new information letter outlining the proposed change of name for Moncks Spur Reserve was circulated to approximately 145 neighbouring residents and absentee property owners, along with the Redcliffs Residents Association, Mount Pleasant Memorial Community Centre and Residents Association, and the Sumner Redcliffs Historical Society.
- 5. A total of 29 submissions were received from residents, with 21 clearly indicating their support for the proposed change of name to Morten Settlement Reserve. A deputation on the matter was also made by a resident to the Board meeting of 16 June 2010. Further information is provided in the Consultation Fulfilment section later in this report.

Number of responses					
	Moncks Spur	Morten	Other	Not indicated	Total
	Reserve	Settlement	suggestions		
		Reserve			
Support for proposal	7	21	Nil	1	29

Note: The number of submissions received, and the number in support of Morten Settlement Reserve, is very similar in the 2005 and 2010 consultations.

6. Overall, taking into account historical research confirming that Morten Settlement is an early place name associated with this area, along with community views and current parks practice, it is the view of staff that the name of Moncks Spur Reserve should be changed to Morten Settlement Reserve.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7. If the name Morten Settlement Reserve is proposed, the financial implications (excluding staff time) would be limited to the cost of installing new park signage. The cost of installing a medium-sized double post entrance sign in accordance with the new signage standards for park signs is approximately \$4,368.
- 8. Currently there is no specific funding in the 2009-19 LTCCP for the renaming of reserves.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

No. As above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 10. The Council' Policy for Naming of Parks and Reserves, adopted by the Council in July 1993, states that the Council has the final decision regarding names of parks and reserves. The Councils Register of Delegations states that the following is a Council decision: "To declare that a reserve shall be known by a specified name and can change the name of any reserve (by resolution): Section 16(10) Reserves Act 1977."
- 11. Moncks Spur Reserve is classified as a local neighbourhood reserve/park for asset management purposes.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. Yes. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. While the naming of features is not specifically mentioned in the LTCCP, the costs associated with this process are covered within the existing operational budgets.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The recommendations align with the Council's Policy Register's code of practice for the naming and re-naming of reserves.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. Yes. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 17. A letter outlining the proposed change of name for Moncks Spur Reserve was circulated to approximately 145 neighbouring residents and absentee property owners, along with the Redcliffs Residents Association, Mount Pleasant Memorial Community Centre and Residents Association, and the Sumner Redcliffs Historical Society. Residents were presented with three options:
 - (a) To retain the name Moncks Spur Reserve, or;
 - (b) To change the name to Morten Settlement Reserve, or;
 - (c) To suggest another name, indicating their reasons for doing so.
- 18. A total of 29 submissions were received from residents with many offering additional comments. There were seven submissions in support of retaining the name Moncks Spur Reserve. There were 21 submissions in support of the change of name to Morten Settlement Reserve, including three in which the submitters did not indicate their preference but provided written comments that are clearly in support of the change of name. One other submission did not clearly indicate a preference for either. There were no suggestions received for another alternative name for the reserve. One submission was anonymous, and two submissions were received after the closing date, but all have been taken into account. A deputation on the matter was also made by a resident to the Board meeting of 16 June 2010. Note: The number of submissions received, and the number in support of Morten Settlement Reserve, is very similar in the 2005 and 2010 consultations.

Number of responses					
	Moncks Spur Reserve	Morten Settlement Reserve	Other suggestions	Not indicated	Total
Support for proposal	7	21	Nil	1	29

- 19. Six of the seven submitters who preferred retaining the name Moncks Spur Reserve, provided additional comments. Several preferred the retention of the existing name for various reasons including:
 - (a) Supporting the practice of naming after the street for ease of recognition and reducing confusion.
 - (b) The existing name was suited the site or had personal meaning for them.
 - (c) The name "Mortens" was known only to a few people.
 - (d) The cost of the change was not justified with better uses for ratepayers' money.
 - (e) Supporting signage providing historical information instead.
- 20. 13 of the 21 submitters who supported the changing of the name to Morten Settlement Reserve also provided additional comments. Several supported changing the name for various reasons including:
 - (a) The importance of naming for historical associations.
 - (b) The importance of preserving historical names especially with the loss of so many others.
 - (c) The place, or the name of Morten, had special meaning and personal history for them.
 - (d) This was consistent with the results of the 2005 consultation process.
 - (e) The Council is not following policy in naming the reserve Moncks Spur Reserve.
 - (f) There are inconsistencies with other parks names, that is, other parks are not named after streets.
- 21. One submitter asked a number of questions in relation to the previous and current consultation processes which have been addressed under the Background (Issues) section of this report.
- 22. One submitter, who did not indicate a preference for a name, provided historical information but questioned the significance of the Morten Settlement. The submitter also expressed concern about potential lobbying for the name of the whole area to be changed to Morten Settlement, providing extracts from Environment Court proceedings describing the area as being Moncks Spur. Formal naming of the wider area or suburb is outside the scope of this reserve naming process.
- 23. The Redcliffs Residents Association, Mount Pleasant Memorial Community Centre and Residents Association, and the Sumner Redcliffs Historical Society all indicated their support for the proposed change of name to Morten Settlement Reserve.
- 24. An aerial photo showing the location of the reserve in relation to the surrounding properties, along with the locations of previous and current submitters, as requested by the Board on 16 June 2010, is attached (refer **attached**).
- 25. All respondents who provided contact details have been sent a final letter of reply thanking them for their input. The letter has also informed respondents that the report would be presented to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for approval. Details of the meetings were provided so that any interested people could attend.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board recommend to the Council that the name of Moncks Spur Reserve be changed to Morten Settlement Reserve.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

Acquisition of the reserve

- 26. In 1998, the Council purchased land at 183 Moncks Spur Road (being Lots 1 and 2 DP 19708) for the Moncks Spur reservoir and pump station. Part of the site was to be developed for water supply purposes, with an alternative use, or resale, of the remaining portion of land to be investigated.
- 27. In 1999, a further land exchange occurred at 177-183 Moncks Spur Road between the Council and adjoining landowners to improve the proposed reservoir site and provide an adjacent reserve with a longer road frontage. In September 1999, a proposed Moncks Spur Reserve Development Plan showing landscaping and parking bays was produced for the new local park, but was not implemented.

Previous consultation outcomes

- 28. In May 2004, the Council wrote to 15 property owners in the immediate vicinity of the new reserve inviting them to provide their input into the design brief as a first step in the consultation process for the design of the reserve. The letter asked residents "to please include in your submission what you consider to be important ingredients in the layout of the reserve, planting composition, park furniture, etc." The letter did not include the issue of the naming, or re-naming, of the reserve.
- 29. Seven submissions were received, one of which asked for the reserve to be named The Morten Settlement Reserve to reflect local history, and another which asked that the reserve be called Mortens Settlement Reserve. The remaining five submissions made no comment about the name of the reserve.
- 30. In early 2005, the proposed landscape concept plan for the development of the reserve was produced and further consultation undertaken with approximately 100 households. In response to the earlier feedback, the consultation document also included the statement:
 - "It has also been suggested to rename the reserve Morten Settlement Reserve, to reflect the historical name for the area where Moncks Spur Road meets Mt Pleasant Road."
- 31. Residents were asked to indicate whether or not they supported the renaming of the reserve Morten Settlement Reserve on the accompanying comment form. No other options were presented. A total of 30 submissions were received, with 22 of these indicating support for the proposed name change, and four against.

Note: The number of submissions received, and the number in support of Morten Settlement Reserve, is very similar in the 2005 and 2010 consultations.

32. One current submitter has commented that the results of the previous consultation had been misrepresented in the current consultation document with respect to the four submissions against the proposed change of name. A report presenting the results of the previous consultation on the proposed landscape concept plan and the proposed name change was considered by the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board at its meeting on 13 April 2005. This report stated that:

"Submitters were also asked to indicate their support for renaming the reserve Morten Settlement Reserve; 22 supported this renaming and 4 opposed it. Submitters suggested various spellings of Morton, Mortens and with or without Settlement which will require further investigation and consultation".

33. Recent re-examination of the 2005 submissions shows that all four of these submitters had answered no to the following statement on the comment form:

"I/we support renaming the reserve Morten Settlement Reserve".

- 34. Two of these four suggested the name be shortened to Morten Reserve, one commented that they would like it called Moncks Spur Reserve, and one made no additional comments.
- 35. The report also stated that:

"The proposed renaming of Moncks Spur Reserve would require further consultation, a report back to the Board then approval from the Council followed by the New Zealand Geographical Board."

36. The Board considered the report and decided to approve the amended concept plan for Moncks Spur Reserve, however there was no resolution passed to change the name of Moncks Spur Reserve. The report clearly indicated the intention to undertake further investigation and consultation before reporting back to the Board, but the matter was not progressed further at that time.

Further research and information

- 37. Morten Settlement is mentioned in the Council publication *Christchurch Street Names Vanished Streets* (http://christchurchcitylibraries.com/Heritage/PlaceNames/LostChristchurch) and various other historical references. It is described as an area of land between Moncks Spur Road between Crest Lane and Mount Pleasant Road. It was named after its developers, Richard May Downes Morten (1877-1950) and his brother, Arthur Roscoe Vernon Morten (1878-1931), who were the sons of Richard May Morten (1823-1909). Morten was the second run holder of the Mount Pleasant Estate which covered the whole of the Port Hills between Heathcote, Sumner, Mount Pleasant and Lyttelton. In 1909 the station consisted of 6000 acres of freehold land. The Morten Settlement was opened up in 1912 by Morten's sons, with a further subdivision in 1920. A survey plan titled Plan of Morten Settlement dated August 1920 shows 13 lots. The properties were much valued by families who wanted a smallholding where they could grow fruit, vegetables and early flowers for the market. Some sections were later offered to World War I veterans.
- 38. During the 2005 consultation, submitters suggested various spellings of Morton and Mortens, with or without the additional word "Settlement". Additional information has since been provided by residents. Further research has located the historical certificates of title for the land, and an original survey plan dated 1920, which give the spelling of the name as "Morten" and the name of the original subdivision as "Morten Settlement". It is the view of staff that a shortened version of the proposed name "Morten Settlement Reserve" to just "Morten Reserve" would detract from its historical meaning.

Other issues raised

- 39. One submitter has raised questions about the process of naming the reserve as Moncks Spur Reserve.
- 40. The *New Zealand Gazette* is the official newspaper of the New Zealand government. The name Moncks Spur Reserve was not gazetted, because the New Zealand Gazette is not used for naming of parks.
- 41. The Board did not resolve to name the park. It was named in accordance with the Council's long-standing practice for naming new parks, which is to give them the name of the adjoining road. This convention makes it easy for people, and for emergency services, to locate the parks, since the names match the street names. The new reserve therefore became known as Moncks Spur Reserve after Moncks Spur Road. This convention of naming a new park after the street has been in existence within the Council for some time. The name is allocated on acquisition of the new park or when it is added to the Council inventories for asset management and maintenance contracts. A Council resolution is required before the adoption of any other name for a park, or to change a park's name.

10. BARNETT PARK SUBCOMMITTEE - FINAL CONSULTATION PLAN FOR BARNETT PARK BIKE AND SKATE AREA

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Joanne Walton, Consultation Leader – Greenspace

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present the recommendation from the Barnett Park Subcommittee that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the draft consultation plan for the Barnett Park bike and skate area (refer **Attachment 2**) to proceed to public consultation to be undertaken by an independent external consultant.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. On 17 March 2010, the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board resolved on the motion of Bob Todd, seconded by Rod Cameron, that the Board adopt the process for a revised consultation plan and communication plan with an external independent party appointed to peer review the questions, as recommended in the staff memorandum from the General Manager City Environment, as a means of progressing the Barnett Park Bike and Skate Area issue.
- 3. It was also on the motion of Bob Todd, seconded by Rod Cameron, that a subcommittee comprising the Chairperson, Deputy Chairperson and Rod Cameron be established to work with staff to devise a revised consultation plan and to produce a communication plan for the consultation of the Barnett Park Bike and Skate Area to be presented back to the Board for approval.
- 4. On 21 July 2010, the Subcommittee resolved on the motion of Bob Todd, seconded by Rod Cameron, that the revised draft consultation plan prepared by Council staff, members of the project team, Communications team and the Subcommittee members proceed to peer review by an independent external consultant, prior to the Subcommittee confirming the draft plan and presenting it to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for approval.
- 5. The revised draft consultation plan was reviewed by an independent external consultant (refer **Attachment 1**). Some amendments have been made to the wording of the draft consultation plan, in particular the questions to be asked of the community, as a result (refer **Attachment 2**).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6. Funding for this project is provided in the 2009-19 LTCCP Sports Parks Playground and Recreation Facilities (page 239) as shown below:
 - (a) 2010/11: \$52,183

There is sufficient funding to undertake the proposed consultation. Depending on the outcome of the consultation, there may be insufficient funds to complete the actual construction and additional funds will need to be applied for through future LTCCP or Annual Plan allocations.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. As above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. The Subcommittee has been formally appointed by the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to work with staff in the development of the proposed consultation plan. No other legal considerations have been identified.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. The project aligns with the 2009-2019 Long Term Council Community Plan as outlined above. The proposed consultation plan is in line with current Council practice for projects of this type.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes, as above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 12. Council staff have been working with the Subcommittee of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board on the development of a revised consultation plan for the Barnett Park Bike and Skate Area.
- 13. The consultation plan has been peer reviewed by an independent external consultant.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve that the final draft revised consultation plan for the Barnett Park bike and skate area as shown in **Attachment 2**, including the communications plan, proceed to public consultation to be undertaken by an independent external consultant.

SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the Subcommittee recommendation be adopted.

11. HARGOOD STREET - PROPOSED PARKING AND STOPPING RESTRICTIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager,
Author:	Steve Hughes, Traffic Engineer - Community

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Boards approval to install 10 minute parking and no stopping restrictions in sections of Hargood Street.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Hargood Street is a collector road running from Linwood Avenue to Ferry Road. Vehicle data from August 2007 show an average of 7600 vehicles per day use this road. The peak traffic flow is 712 vehicles in the hour between 5pm and 6pm. It is the section of Hargood Street from Ferry Road to just north of its intersection with Glenroy Street that this report relates to (refer **attached** plan).

Proposed 10 Minute Parking Restriction outside 5 and 7 Hargood Street.

- 3. Staff received a request from the owners of the dairy at number 5 Hargood Street to install an area of 10 minute parking outside their shop.
- 4. Between the dairy and Ferry Road is a newly constructed pre-school. No stopping restrictions extend from Ferry Road along the front of the pre-school to the southern boundary of the dairy. Outside the dairy there is currently unrestricted parking that, apart from bus stops, extends almost to Linwood Avenue.
- 5. Vehicles are sometimes being parked all day in front of the dairy. Consequently there is often no space available near the dairy for customer parking. This can result in prospective customers choosing to bypass this dairy to go to other establishments where parking is more readily available.
- 6. The installation of a 17 metre long area of 10 minute parking starting at the southern boundary of the dairy and extending north to the driveway of the neighbouring property will provide short term parking space for three vehicles that can be used by customers to not only the dairy but also to the other businesses in the area. As the dairy operates seven days a week to late at night, the restriction should apply at any time. The 10 minute time limit should ensure a regular turnover of parks and provide the best opportunity for customers to find an empty parking space.
- 7. Motorists wanting longer term and all day parking can park in any of the remaining unrestricted parking on the western or eastern sides of Hargood Street.

Proposed No Stopping restrictions from 11 to 21 Hargood Street

- 8. A separate request has been received from a member of the public to install stopping restrictions on Hargood Street to allow the free movement of vehicles north along Hargood Street when other northbound vehicles are waiting to turn right into Glenroy Street.
- 9. At the present time if any vehicles are parked on the western side of Hargood Street opposite Glenroy Street there is insufficient space for straight through vehicles to pass on the left or inside of a right turning vehicle waiting for a gap in oncoming traffic to turn right into Glenroy Street.
- 10. Glenroy Street provides access to child-care establishments, a supermarket, a shopping centre and a number of other business premises. The most use of these facilities coincides with peak traffic flows. Therefore if a vehicle is waiting in Hargood Street for a gap in the southbound traffic to turn right into Glenroy Street, northbound traffic can back up to the intersection with Ferry Road.

11. The installation of no stopping restrictions for 54 metres along the western side of Hargood Street before and after its intersection with Glenroy Street will allow space for northbound vehicles to pass on the left hand or inside of a right turning vehicle and prevent vehicles queuing up to Ferry Road.

Consultation

12. Consultation on both proposals was done together with the affected residents. Seventy eight per cent of the respondents supported both proposals. The remaining 22 per cent of respondents indicated neither support for or objection against the proposed restrictions. The Woolston Community Association Inc also support the proposed changes. See Paragraphs 23 and 24 for further details.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

13. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$400.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

14. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 15. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
- 16. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated December 2009. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control Devices.
- 17. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/ or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

18. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

19. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

20. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

21. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

22. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

23. Consultation documents were distributed to 17 properties on Hargood and Glenroy Streets.

Nine or 52 per cent were returned.

Eight or 78 per cent supported both proposals

One or 11 per cent indicated support for the 10 minute parking but made no indication as to whether they supported or objected to the no stopping proposal.

One or 11 per cent indicated no support or objection for either proposal.

24. The Woolston Community Association Inc support both proposals.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the following on Hargood Street:

- (a) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes on the western side of Hargood Street commencing at a point 38 metres north from its intersection with Ferry Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 17 metres. This restriction is to apply at any time.
- (b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the western side of Hargood Street commencing at a point 75 metres north from its intersection with Ferry Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 54 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

13. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – SARAH ELIZABETH GILMOUR

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
Author:	Community Recreation Advisor, Diana Saxton

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The applicant, Sarah Elizabeth Gilmour, is a 17 year old Mt Pleasant resident seeking Community Board support to represent New Zealand in the World Youth Climbing Championships in Edinburgh, Scotland, from 9 to 12 September 2010.
- 3. Sarah is one of ten members, aged 14 to 18 years in the New Zealand team. She has been climbing competitively for five years and attended the World Youth Climbing Championships for the last three years (Ecuador, Sydney and France) and the Open World Championships in China where she became the first New Zealander to gain a podium finish. This year Sarah won the Under 18 and Open Female titles in the Nation Cup Series which took place in different locations all over New Zealand.
- 4. Sarah is committed to giving back to her sport. She coaches younger climbers at Flying Geckos every Friday and is coaching and managing the Avonside Girls High School climbing team this year. Sarah also assisted with running a climbing activity at LYFE (Linwood Youth Festival Experience) in March, 2010.
- 5. Sarah is passionate about climbing and trains four to five times a week. Travelling to competitions throughout New Zealand and overseas is very demanding financially. To support her climbing, Sarah has a part time job and gets involved in fundraising activities. Sarah attends Avonside Girls High School where she is completing NCEA Level 3 and also tutors maths. Next year Sarah aims to attend Canterbury University to study Engineering.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

SARAH ELIZABETH GILMOUR	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Flights	3,000
Accommodation	1,250
Insurance	250
Uniform	285
Training costs	140
License and entry fees	130
Food and miscellaneous	400
Management costs	915
Total Cost	\$7,070

- 7. This is the second time the applicant has applied to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Boards Youth Development Scheme. Sarah received \$500 to attend the World Youth Climbing Championships held in Eucador in August 2007.
- 8. Sarah is actively fundraising for her trip. She has a part time job and is also involved in group fundraising activities for the three athletes competing from Christchurch. To date, the group has raised \$2,230 from a family adventure day, selling food at a climbing film festival, running a clip and climb fundraiser and doing raffles.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes. This application is seeking funding from the Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme, which was established as part of the Boards Discretionary Funding. There is a balance of \$8,620 in the Community Boards 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the application and allocate \$600 from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Fund for Sarah Elizabeth Gilmour to compete at the World Youth Climbing Championships in Scotland in September 2010.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

14. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – MARLIA FATIMA OFA-KI LISALA

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The applicant Marlia Lisala, a 15 year old resident of Bromley, has been selected to represent New Zealand in the Federation of International Basketball Association (FIBA) Oceania Youth Tournament in New Caledonia from 30 October to 7 November 2010.
- 3. Marlia is a Year 11 student Christchurch Girls High where she plays for Girls High Senior A Basketball team and is responsible for coaching the Year 9 Basketball team and refereeing. Marlia plays for the Canterbury Under 17 years Women's Basketball team. Being selected to play to play in the FIBA Oceania Youth tournament means that Marlia has been identified by Basketball New Zealand's High Performance Unit as having the potential to excel at an international level for her age group and furthermore as a potential future Tall Fern.
- 4. Marlia has been playing for New Zealand for three years and is very dedicated to the game. Preparation for the upcoming international tournament requires rigorous training and travel including attendance at a High Performance National Camp in April and two more preparation camps leading up to the FIBA Oceania Youth Tournament in July.
- 5. Marlia is the only player selected to play in the tournament from the South island and is regarded as a basketball player of rare potential. She has both the physical skills and desire to develop into an outstanding player.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The total cost that each player must contribute is \$2,125. Marlia has after school and holiday jobs. Playing at this level places a high financial demand on Marlia's family. Marlia is a deserving applicant and support from the Board would provide significant assistance.

EXPENSES PER PLAYER	Cost (\$)
Airfare	1,394
Ground transport	147
Insurance	63
Medical supplies	130
Uniforms and gear	249
Court hire	25
Admin fees and staff costs	117
Total	2,125

6. This is the first time that the applicant has applied to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for financial support.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes. This application is seeking funding from the Community Boards 2009/10 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Boards 2010/11 Discretionary Funding. There is a balance of \$8,620 in the Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. Aligns with Council Activities and Services, Community Support, Community Grants and Grants, pages 176 and 184, in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Yes. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy, Physical Recreation and Sports Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. Yes. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the application and allocate \$750 funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme for Marlia Lisala to represent New Zealand in the FIBA Oceania Youth Tournament in New Caledonia from 30 October to 7 November 2010.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board Agenda 1 September 2010

15. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – DAVID LEEH EMANEL CORREOS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The applicant David Leeh Emanel Correos, a 17 year old resident of Charlesworth, is one of fourteen athletes from Christchurch selected to compete in the New Zealand Secondary Schools Weightlifting Championships in Auckland this September. He is one of two applicants from the Hagley/Ferrymead ward area.
- 3. David is a Year 13 student at St Thomas of Canterbury College where he has been lifting for one and half years. Identified as an elite athlete, David trains eight times a week and has been very successful in his chosen sport. He has broken ten South Island records at three different weight grades plus he has accomplished two national records. David competed at this year's Oceania Championships where he achieved bronze in the Under 20's grade. He is also coaching 13 year old boys, is very pleased with their progress and is expecting them to do well at the secondary nationals.
- 4. David's goal is to win gold at the upcoming competition and he is also aiming to qualify for the Commonwealth games in 2014. Participating in this sport has been of tremendous benefit to David and it has helped him become more disciplined and organised in school and life. He is committed to growing the sport in the South Island and New Zealand and is contributing to this through the coaching of juniors and competing at as many tournaments as he can with the support of club and school.
- 5. The national tournament will not only be a competition between secondary schools for the national title but also an opportunity for the young people to forge new friendships and respect for athletes at the opposing colleges. This is the first time a full team from the South Island has competed in this tournament and there is a good chance that they will win the highly coveted team trophy which has been dominated by Auckland Grammar for the past fifteen years. As the sport continues to grow there will be regular competitions between both North and South Island schools with the first South Island secondary schools championships planned to be held in Christchurch in 2011.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The total cost that each player must contribute is \$530. Fundraising for the trip includes quiz nights, raffles plus individuals are also expected to do their own fundraising. David is a deserving applicant and support from the Board would provide significant assistance.

EXPENSES PER PLAYER	Cost (\$)
Airfare	160
Accommodation	60
Food	100
Transport	40
Uniform	150
Entry fee	20
Total	\$530

7. This is the first time that the applicant has applied to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for financial support.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes. This application is seeking funding from the Community Board's 2009/10 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Funding. There is a balance of \$8,620 in the Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Aligns with Council Activities and Services, Community Support, Community Grants and Grants, pages 176 and 184, in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

12. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy, Physical Recreation and Sports Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. Yes. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the application and allocate \$250 funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme for David Leeh Emanel Correos, to compete in the New Zealand Secondary Schools Weightlifting Championships in Auckland in September 2010.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

- 24 -

16. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – EDRIAN ROBIN GROUFSKY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941 8607	
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager	
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The applicant Edrian Robin Groufsky, a 15 year old resident of Linwood, is one of 14 athletes from Christchurch selected to compete in the New Zealand Secondary Schools Weightlifting Championships in Auckland this September. He is one of two applicants from the Hagley/Ferrymead ward area.
- 3. Edrian is at St Thomas of Canterbury College where he has been lifting for two seasons. He has been selected because over the last two seasons he has become one of the most promising lifters in the school. He will be going to compete in the Oceania games next year and will be trialling for the next Commonwealth games in 2014. Terry Hughes has talent identified Edrian as the next leader not only at school level and in the South Island but also potentially at New Zealand level. Edrian is at every training and also lifts with the club lifters when it is possible. He leads by his example and is very encouraging to the younger less experienced boys in the squad.
- 5. The national tournament will not only be a competition between secondary schools for the national title but also an opportunity for the young people to forge new friendships and respect for athletes at the opposing colleges. This is the first time a full team from the South Island has competed in this tournament and there is a good chance that they will win the highly coveted team trophy which has been dominated by Auckland Grammar for the past 15 years. As the sport continues to grow there will be regular competitions between both North and South Island schools with the first South Island secondary schools championships planned to be held in Christchurch in 2011.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The total cost that each player must contribute is \$530. Fundraising for the trip includes quiz nights, raffles plus individuals are also expected to do their own fundraising. Edrian is a deserving applicant and support from the Board would provide significant assistance.

EXPENSES PER PLAYER	COST (\$)
Airfare	160
Accommodation	60
Food	100
Transport	40
Uniform	150
Entry fee	20
Total	\$530

7. This is the first time that the applicant has applied to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for financial support.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes. This application is seeking funding from the Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Boards 2010/11 Discretionary Response Funding. There is a balance of \$8,620 in the Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Aligns with Council Activities and Services, Community Support, Community Grants and Grants, pages 176 and 184, in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

12. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy, Physical Recreation and Sports Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. Yes. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the application and allocate \$250 funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme for Edrian Robin Groufsky, to compete in the New Zealand Secondary Schools Weightlifting Championships in Auckland in September 2010.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

17. APPLICATIONS TO HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - KIDSCAN STANDTALL CHARITABLE TRUST AND TE WHARE ROIMATA

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941 8607	
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager	
Author:	Shupayi Mpunga, Community Development Adviser	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1. The purpose of this report is for the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to consider two applications for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from:
 - i) Kidscan StandTall Charitable Trust, Food for Kids, \$8,000;
 - ii) Te Whare Roimata, Older Persons' Day Spring Clean, \$1,200;

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
- 3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
- 4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
- 5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

The Council also made a note that: "Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."

- 6. Based on this criteria, the applications from Te Whare Roimata and Kidscan StandTall Charitable Trust are eligible for funding.
- 7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix (refer **Attachment 1**).

Financial Implications

8. At the time of writing, there is \$34,473 remaining in the Hagley/Ferrymead 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

- 13. The application from KidsCan StandTall Charitable Trust aligns with Strengthening Communities Strategy and the following Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board objectives:
 - Acknowledge diversity and support measures for vibrant, inclusive and strong communities.
 - Support/advocate for initiatives that support lifelong learning.
 - Advocate for and support measures that will assist the Hagley/Ferrymead ward to be a safer place for residents, visitors and businesses.
- 14. The application from Te Whare Roimata aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy and the following Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board objectives:
 - Advocate for and support measures that will assist the Hagley/Ferrymead Ward to be a safer place for residents, visitors and businesses.
 - Support and advocate for initiatives that enable the ward to have attractive neighbourhoods.
 - Acknowledge diversity and support measures for vibrant, inclusive and strong communities

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

- (a) Decline the application from Kidscan StandTall Charitable Trust for the Food for Kids programme.
- (b) Approve a grant of \$1,200 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Te Whare Roimata for the Older Persons' Day Spring Cleaning project

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

- (a) For discussion.
- (b) That the staff recommendation be adopted.

.

- 18. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE
- 19. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS
- 20. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE