

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

MONDAY 27 SEPTEMBER 2010

AT 5PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
CORNER BERESFORD AND UNION STREET,
NEW BRIGHTON**

Community Board: David East (Chairman), Nigel Dixon, Tina Lomax, Gail Sheriff, Tim Sintes, Linda Stewart and Chrissie Williams.

Community Board Adviser
Peter Dow
Phone 941 5305 DDI
Email: peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX	PG NO	
PART C	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 30 AUGUST 2010
PART B	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT 3.1 Mr Robert Cockburn, Mairehau Road re Silver Birch Trees
PART B	4.	PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS
PART B	5.	NOTICES OF MOTION
PART B	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	7.	BRIEFINGS
PART C	8.	GRANT OF EASEMENTS - 88F LOWER STYX ROAD
PART C	9.	MARINE PARADE - ENTRANCE TO SUPERMARKET AT NEW BRIGHTON
PART B	10.	QUEEN ELIZABETH II PARK – TRAFFIC AND PARKING MANAGEMENT FOR EVENTS
PART C	11.	REMOVAL REQUEST FOR TWO STREET TREES - 264 MAIREHAU ROAD
PART C	12.	BURWOOD/PEGASUS 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – APPLICATIONS – MAHALIYAH HOBSON, JAHMON DAJI AND KEZIAH SMITH
PART C	13.	BURWOOD/PEGASUS 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME - APPLICATIONS – EDWARD STOICA, SALEM COOK, MEIKAYLA MOORE, ELLIE MORRIS AND BRODIE MORRIS

27. 9. 2010

- 2 -

- PART C 14. BURWOOD/PEGASUS 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – APPLICATION - LINWOOD COLLEGE ORCHESTRA TOUR**
- PART C 15. BURWOOD/PEGASUS DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND 2010/11 – APPLICATION – NEW BRIGHTON PROJECT, MANAAKI SOUNDS TRUST, LINFIELD CULTURAL RECREATIONAL SPORTS CLUB INC AND NEW BRIGHTON SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB**
- PART C 16. BURWOOD/PEGASUS NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUNDING 2010 – APPLICATIONS**
- PART C 17. NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS’ BEST PRACTICE AWARDS 2011**
- PART B 18. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER’S UPDATE**
18.1 Upcoming Board Activity
18.2 2010/11 Board Funding
18.3 Chief Executive’s August 2010 Council Projects Update
- PART B 19. BOARD MEMBERS’ QUESTIONS**
- PART B 20. VALEDICTORIES**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 30 AUGUST 2010

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 30 August 2010, are **attached**.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 MR ROBERT COCKBURN, MAIREHAU ROAD RE SILVER BIRCH TREES

Mr Robert Cockburn of Mairehau Road, will address the Board regarding the Silver Birch trees on the Chartwell Street frontage of his property.

Clause 11 of this agenda refers.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

8. GRANT OF EASEMENTS - 88F LOWER STYX ROAD

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Stuart McLeod, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the approval of the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board under the delegated authority of the Council to grant easements over Section 1 SO 378409 in favour Lot 6 and 7 DP 351539.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Lots 6 and 7 DP 351539 are held in one certificate of title; there are no services between the two lots with the only access to Lot 7 being on foot (refer **attachment 1**).
3. The applicant, Anderson Knightly Enterprises Limited has prepared a plan to provide for easements over the Local Purpose drainage reserve to more effectively link the two lots. This proposal has been accepted by the Asset and Network Planning Unit (refer **attachment 2**). The Transport and Greenspace Unit support the application subject to the conditions as outlined in the staff recommendation.
4. 88F Lower Styx Road is a Local Purpose drainage reserve that was vested in the Council in 2007 and is now known as Section 1 SO 378409. The contour of the land is flat with no noticeable surface water even in times of heavy rain.
5. Prior to this the land was Crown Land with no certificate of title issued, because of the "Crown Land" status, at the time of the depositing of plan 351539 in 2005, it was not practical to include these proposed easements.
6. All the services other than the right-of-way are to be placed underground within the easement corridor, and the right-of-way will be formed in such a manner so the reserve is not materially altered and public access (if required) is not prevented.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. There are no financial implications for the Council. The applicant is to meet all costs of the work and establishing the easements, as proposed. This report is proposing that in this situation, no one-off compensation payment for the easement right be sought from the applicant as the portion of land that benefits from them at present, has no services and can be accessed on foot only. This is because at the time of the original subdivision, the two parcels of land were separated by Crown Land that has since vested in the Council as Local Purpose drainage reserve.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. The Council, with the consent of the Minister of Conservation, has the power to grant or decline easements over reserves under Section 48 of the Reserves Act 1977. Section 48 (3) of that Act provides that the public notification requirement shall not apply where:
 - (a) *The reserve is vested in an administering body and is not likely to be materially altered or permanently damaged; and*
 - (b) *The rights of the public in respect of the reserve are not likely to be permanently affected by the establishment and lawful exercise of the right of way or other easement.*

8. Cont'd

Both criteria apply to the easement the subject of this application as the physical work will be minimal and access for the public (if required), will not be inhibited.

10. The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board has the delegated authority of the Council to approve the granting of rights-of-way and other easements over park and reserve land under Section 48 of the Reserves Act 1977.
11. The consent of the Minister of Conservation has been delegated to the Council as the administering body where the activity is an existing use and the effects of the use will be the same or similar in character, intensity and scale. The Board may exercise this delegation

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. Yes, as in paragraphs 9,10 and 11 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. Notification under the provisions of the Reserves Act can be waived as the easements will not materially alter the reserve and public access (if required), will not be prevented. There are no other statutory notifications necessary.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board, acting under the delegated authority of the Council:

- (a) Subject to the conditions below, grant a right-of-way, right to drain water and sewage, right to convey water, electric power and telephonic communication easements pursuant to Section 48 of the Reserves Act 1977 over Section 1 SO 378409 in favour of Lots 6 and 7 DP 351539.
- (b) Exercise the delegation from the Minister of Conservation to consent to the easement and waive the requirement to publicly notify the proposed easement:
 - (i) The easement construction area being maintained by the applicant and their contractors in a safe and tidy condition at all times;
 - (ii) All costs associated with the survey and registration of the easement on the Councils title, being paid for by the applicant;
 - (iii) All the services other than the right of way are to be placed underground within the easement corridor and the right of way will be formed in such a manner so the reserve is not materially altered and public access (if required) is not prevented;

8. Cont'd

- (iv) The applicant is to provide the Council, within three months of completion of the work, a surveyed easement plan on which the new easement is shown;
- (v) That the applicant not pay a one-off compensatory payment for the privilege of having the easement instrument placed on the Council's title in accordance with Council policy (27 September 2001), because it was not possible to grant the easements when plan 351539 was deposited;
- (vi) The applicant to pay a \$2,000 bond to the Council via the Greenspace Contract Manager, Fendalton Service Centre, and sign a temporary access licence before any construction work commences on the site. The bond, less any expenses incurred by the Council, will be refunded to the payee upon completion of the development to a standard acceptable to the Transport and Greenspace Manager or his nominee;
- (vii) The Council is to charge the applicant for officer's time, and other processing costs (Department of Conservation approval fees etc) to process the application.

9. MARINE PARADE - ENTRANCE TO SUPERMARKET IN NEW BRIGHTON

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Michael Thomson, Senior Traffic Engineer – Community

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to respond to the Board's request to remove two Marine Parade kerbside car parks adjacent to the vehicle entrance/exit to the Woolworths supermarket car parking/loading area on the western side of Marine Parade in New Brighton. The **attached** plan refers.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Board requested at its meeting on 14 December 2009 that staff report back on removing two car parks immediately north of the Woolworths car park/loading area on Marine Parade, following a reported collision between a cyclist and a truck exiting the site.
3. The following is a minute extract from that meeting:

"The Board considered a notice of motion, moved by Gail Sheriff, seconded Tim Sintes, requesting that the two car parks on Marine Parade immediately north of the entrance to the Woolworths car park be removed and that yellow no stopping lines be installed.

Members commented on the configuration of the existing entrance and the observed practice of heavy service vehicles having to cross the central median in order to turn left into Marine Parade from the supermarket car park. A recent accident involving a young pedestrian and a vehicle at this location was also referred to. The placement of a barrier on the central median to control pedestrian movements across the street was also suggested for consideration.

*The Board **decided** to request that staff prepare a report with urgency on the traffic safety matters raised in relation to Marine Parade as detailed in the notice of motion and the resulting discussion above."*

4. The Woolworths management and the New Zealand Police were contacted regarding the collision. Both these organisations report that they have no knowledge or records of the incident occurring.
5. When both the commercial site layout and fronting road (Marine Parade) were designed and constructed, trucks using this area could manoeuvre satisfactorily. It is only since Woolworths has established on the site that larger trucks (observed articulated trucks) are commonly entering the main car park from Hawke Street, unloading at Woolworths and exiting by left turning onto Marine Parade.
6. Widening of the vehicle exit and removal of the two car parks to provide an adequate manoeuvring area for larger articulated trucks will avoid the need for truck drivers to drive over the landscaped area when left turning. However, it may result in potentially increasing speed of other exiting traffic (cars) from the car park area, which reduces the level of service and safety for pedestrians passing the site on the footpath.
7. This widening will require removal of a street tree, located immediately on the north side of the exit. Removal of the tree requires a separate approval from the Community Board. It will also require agreement from the property owners to pay for the modification to the entranceway and may possibly also require resource consent to construct a vehicle entrance/exit wider than specified in the City Plan.
8. It is concluded that some truck drivers are concentrating on their lack of manoeuvring area, rather than focussing on any approaching traffic road users. This may have accounted for the reported collision between a cyclist and a truck.

9. Cont'd

9. As stated above, any changes to the access point may improve manoeuvrability for some trucks but will negate the benefits in regard to general operating speed when exiting the site. This disbenefit can be mitigated however, by the installation of road markings to better channel cars exiting the site to constrain their exit speed by creating the illusion of a narrower exit width.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. The estimated cost of this proposal to the Council for road markings is approximately \$500.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes. The installation of road markings is within the current LTCCP Streets and Transport operational budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

12. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
13. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

14. Yes, as in paragraphs 12 and 13 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. Yes, aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes - Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

16. Yes, as in paragraph 15. above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

17. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

18. Yes, as in paragraph 16. above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. Discussions were held with the manager of Woolworths New Brighton. To date, they or the property owner, have not agreed to funding any change to the vehicle entrance.
20. No one else has been consulted at this stage. Depending on the outcome of this report, further consultation will occur as detailed below.

9. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Burwood /Pegasus Community Board approve concept plan TG 103657 to widen the vehicle entrance at the Woolworths/Countdown supermarket entrance/exit on Marine Parade, subject to the following:

- (a) Agreement by the Board for the removal of the affected street tree on Marine Parade, immediately north of the site.
- (b) Agreement to widen and pay for the construction of the vehicle crossing point at this site by Woolworths/Countdown.

(Note: Following receipt of these agreements, a further report will be submitted to the Board detailing the necessary parking restriction resolution and feedback on consultation with adjoining residents and businesses.)

9. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

21. Prior to the supermarket establishing, the site was a retail hardware store. While loading/unloading occurred at the same area, trucks associated with this previous retail activity were smaller goods trucks usually about eight metres in length.
22. In 1997, Marine Parade between Beresford Street and Hawke Street was reconstructed, including the new zebra pedestrian crossing and central median facility. The objectives of this project were to enhance the streetscape and calm traffic speeds in the vicinity of the mall and pier in an area of relatively high pedestrian activity.
23. While the usual goods trucks mentioned above could negotiate the new road layout (i.e. with a new central median) when turning left out of the site, when Woolworths established at this site, larger trucks started arriving at the loading/unloading area. The implications of this are that many larger trucks cannot left turn out of the site onto Marine Parade without traversing the landscaped central median.
24. It is not absolutely necessary for these larger trucks to exit onto Marine Parade. They can exit via Hawke Street, but do not do this as the truck operators wish to park as close to the loading area of Woolworths as possible.
25. Early in 2010 an incident was reported that a cyclist collided with a truck exiting the Woolworth's loading area on to Marine Parade. A notice of motion at a Board meeting requested a report to remove two car parks from Marine Parade.
26. Concerns have been raised about two issues:-
 - (a) inter visibility regarding vehicles exiting onto Marine Parade
 - (b) lack of road space for large trucks to left turn out of this area
27. The existing vehicle entrance/exit is six metres wide. There is the one metre standard clearance to the nearest car park on the south side and five metres clearance to the nearest car park on the north side. This gives adequate manoeuvring space for the majority of vehicles. Vehicles exiting at the appropriate speed have an adequate site distance for approaching vehicles.

10. QUEEN ELIZABETH II PARK – TRAFFIC AND PARKING MANAGEMENT FOR EVENTS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Michael Thomson, Senior Traffic Engineer – Community

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to respond to the Board's concerns about traffic and parking management on the roads surrounding Queen Elizabeth II Park (QEII) during "one-off" significant events and specifically in regard to vehicles being parked on the grass areas along the frontage of Travis Wetland.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. As a result of concerns being raised about vehicles parking on the grass in front of Travis Wetland on Frosts Road, Travis Road and Anzac Drive, the Board resolved on 3 March 2008 to:

"Request staff to report on the traffic and parking impacts and possible solutions associated with community events conducted at Queen Elizabeth II Park".
3. Consultants were engaged to determine the impacts on surrounding streets for events held at QEII. The consultants categorised events into the following four categories:
 - (a) **Low Impact** – Acceptable and easily managed, no noticeable disruption outside the park;
 - (b) **Medium Impact** – Acceptable but requires active and coordinated management, minor disruption outside the park;
 - (c) **High Impact** – Maximum acceptable and requires highly active and well coordinated management, noticeable disruption outside the park;
 - (d) **Extreme Impact** – Considered and unacceptable, could not be reasonably expected to be controlled even with highly active and well coordinated management, high levels of disruption outside the park.
4. A description of the impacts of these different categories is described in the Background section of this report.
5. This report discusses four options for "High Impact" and "Extreme Impact" "one-off" events which are held at QEII Park (e.g. Weetbix Kiwi Kids Triathlon). This report does not deal with "business as usual" events in the stadium or pool complex or QEII grounds which is why the facilities are provided.
6. Staff are keen to implement improvements and temporary traffic management as discussed in Option 2. as this proposes continual improvement over time which will mitigate any adverse reaction from event organisers who may object to the Council bringing in stringent requirements all at once. Some of these initiatives have already been trialled and there is a QEII Park traffic and parking concept plan being developed and due for completion in early 2011.
7. Concerns over other residential streets surrounding QEII have to be addressed using enforcement of existing rules. Removing parking only migrates the problems further away from the venue and allows traffic to travel at higher speeds on the roads where there are high numbers of pedestrians accessing the facilities.

10. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. There are no direct financial implications to the Council, as an approval authority of any "Traffic Management Plan" (TMP) associated with an event at QEII. Any Council Unit which organises an event at QEII will have to factor in the cost of the production and implementation of a TMP as part of the prior approval of the overall event budget.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. As in paragraph 8. above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings (if any of this is required as part of a TMP) must comply with the "Code of Practice for Temporary Traffic Management" (COPTTM).

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As in paragraph 10. above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Yes, aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes – Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, as in paragraph 12. above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Road Safety Strategy 2004, the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005, the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy and the Events Strategy 2007-17.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

15. As in paragraph 14. above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Frosts Road, Anzac Drive and Travis Road (west of Anzac Drive) do not have any residents fronting onto the roadway. Therefore, no residents have been consulted regarding this recommendation.
17. Informal discussions have been carried out with traffic management providers and organisers of large events and there appears at this stage to be no issues with the staff recommendations.
18. Anzac Drive and Travis Road are both State Highways. The New Zealand Transport Authority consultants are in agreement with the recommendations in this report.
19. The Team Leader – Regional Parks has been consulted in relation to damage to the grassed areas. Ranger staff report that any damage that may occur has always been of a minor nature and rarely requires any maintenance to be carried out.
20. The Officer in Charge – Parking Enforcement agrees with the recommendation.

10. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood /Pegasus Community Board:

- (a) Receive this report;
- (b) Wait for the outcome of the work currently being carried out on the traffic and parking concept plan for Queen Elizabeth II Park;
- (c) Wait to see the benefits or otherwise of the additional temporary traffic management currently being carried out on a trial basis;
- (d) Request a follow up memorandum providing the outcomes of the work in (b) and (c) above.

10. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

21. Traffic management advice was sought from Opus International Consultants regarding events at QEII by Council staff following this Board's resolution, as stated in paragraph 2 of this report. Opus has extensive experience with TMPs, particularly those involving State Highways. In regard to temporary traffic management, they conclude that events at QEII should be categorised into four levels of impact on adjoining roads as described below:

- (a) **Low Impact** – Acceptable and easily managed, no noticeable disruption outside the park;
- (b) **Medium Impact** – Acceptable but requires active and coordinated management, minor disruption outside the park;
- (c) **High Impact** – Maximum acceptable and requires highly active and well coordinated management, noticeable disruption outside the park;
- (d) **Extreme Impact** – Considered and unacceptable, could not be reasonably expected to be controlled even with highly active and well coordinated management, high levels of disruption outside the park.

22. The Opus report further described each type of impact:

(a) **Low Impact**

The total number of attendees is low, say less than 1,000 people in total. Along with one or more of the following:

- (i) All access points are available and clear for the whole period and at the end of the event;
- (ii) The finish of the event is staggered so all attendees are not seeking to leave all at the same time;
- (iii) The period of the start and finish do not conflict with morning or evening peak commuter traffic times;
- (iv) The route or venue of the whole event is contained within the boundary of the QEII Park complex, i.e. traffic management outside the complex is not required;

(b) **Medium Impact**

The total number of attendees is moderate, say 1,000 to 2,000 people in total. Along with one or more of the following:

- (i) Some access points (the Main Access remains clear and open) are not available and those that are open are not near the finish area of the event;
- (ii) The finish of the event is staggered so all attendees are not seeking to leave at the same time;
- (iii) The period of the start or finish do not conflict with either morning or evening peak commuter traffic times;
- (iv) A part of the route or venue of the event is located outside the boundary of the QEII Park complex, i.e. traffic management outside the complex is required but traffic is not stopped to accommodate the event;

10. Cont'd

(c) **High Impact**

The total number of attendees is high, say 2,000 to 4,000 people in total. Along with one or more of the following:

- (i) Many access points (the Main Access may be affected but should be open) are not available and those that are open are near the finish area of the event;
- (ii) The finish of the event is abrupt so all attendees are seeking to leave at the same time;
- (iii) The period of the start or finish may conflict with part of either morning or evening peak commuter traffic or even seasonal weekend traffic times;
- (iv) A part of the route or venue of the event may or may not be located outside the boundary of the QEII Park complex;

(d) **Extreme Impact**

The total number of attendees is high, say greater than 4,000 people in total. Along with one or more of the following:

- (i) Most access points (the Main Access may be closed) are not available and the one or two that are open are near the finish area of the event;
- (ii) The finish of the event is abrupt so all attendees are seeking to leave at the same time;
- (iii) The period of the start or finish conflicts with either morning or evening peak commuter traffic or even seasonal weekend traffic times;
- (iv) A part of the route or venue of the event may or may not be located outside the boundary of the QEII Park complex.

23. Of course every event will be slightly different in its characteristics and layout so each will have different combinations of the above Low, Medium, and High or even Extreme impacts.

24. Opus report that the "Weetbix Triathlon" and "City2Surf" events attract approximately 19,000 and 15,000 entrants respectively, excluding supporters. These two events are assessed as having an extreme impact. Other examples of events are the Women's Triathlon/Duathlon and various sports events (cricket/soccer), which have a medium impact.

25. When making observations, and comparing the reported traffic effects of each of the categories, Opus suggest the following actions should be carried out:

- (a) **Low impact events:** a TMP is not required for these events – unless participants are required to compete on the surrounding roads;
- (b) **Medium impact events:** a TMP is required to be submitted by the event organiser and approved by the "Road Controlling Authority" (RCA), but the effects on passing/non-event related traffic is limited;
- (c) **High and extreme impact events:** a TMP is required to be submitted by the event organiser and approved by the RCA, specifically managing disruption caused by any roadside parking activities, managing necessary road closures, use of personnel to control traffic movements in the affected areas, and marshals/parking enforcement officers to prevent undesirable/unsafe parking behaviour associated with the event.

10. Cont'd

26. The code (COPTTM) shall be applied to any activity that varies the normal operating conditions of any road and shall apply to the total road reserve, i.e. to property boundary.
27. To date the TMPs for events at QEII have only controlled the actual event activities occurring on the adjacent roadways, i.e. cycling, running and walking. The TMPs have not addressed the effects of other event related activities, i.e. parking of supporters cars, etc.
28. While the Christchurch City Council is the owner of the QEII site, the large events, e.g. "Weetbix Triathlon" and "City2Surf", are managed by the event organiser. In the case of the Weetbix Triathlon, the Weetbix Triathlon Foundation (Auckland based) is the event organiser. City2Surf is organised by Aaron Vickory (with the Star newspaper being the major sponsor).
29. The roads affected by events at QEII are controlled by two organisations, both referred to as a Road Controlling Authority (RCA). The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) is the RCA for Travis Road (from the west to Anzac Drive) and Anzac Drive (Travis Road to the south). These two roads are State Highway 74. The Christchurch City Council controls all other affected roads in the vicinity of QEII.
30. It is the event organiser that must ensure that a TMP is prepared by a qualified "Site Traffic Management Supervisor" (STMS). The event organiser must then submit the TMP to the RCA (in this case, both CCC and NZTA) for approval in the agreed timeframe. Only once the TMP has approval, can the event proceed. During the event, the STMS must ensure the TMP is complied with and all traffic and parking management is carried out/enforced in accordance with the TMP.
31. Parking on the grassed areas adjacent to Travis Wetland or Anzac Drive, at times of extreme impact events, has two direct effects:
 - (a) **Road Safety**

Disruption to passing traffic on Travis Road, Anzac Drive (state highway) and Frosts Road (on approach to the state highway roundabout at the Frosts/Anzac/Travis intersection. This is due to manoeuvring activities when vehicles are arriving or leaving. Also associated with this effect is road safety regarding event participants/supporters walking along the road edge to/from their parked vehicles.
 - (b) **Road Damage**

Damage to grassed areas from vehicles parked on potentially soft ground.
32. In regard to the disruption caused to the normal operation of the roadway by pedestrians and/or parking manoeuvres, the TMP must address this and measures implemented to manage any potential conflict. Council staff (and Opus on behalf of the NZTA) are recommending the following conditions be included in the TMP for high/extreme impact events before approval is given:
 - (a) That roadside parking is only allowed on roadsides where a footpath exists on that side of the roadway and it is practical for a vehicle to be parked. This will significantly reduce the incidence of pedestrians walking along the road edge and crossing the roadway at either unexpected or inappropriate locations. Pedestrians will be able to use the footpath and cross the road where pedestrian facilities exist, e.g. the roundabout;
 - (b) That parking be prohibited in areas where there is no footpath for pedestrians to access these facilities;
 - (c) That where roadside parking occurs on roads such as Travis Road and Anzac Drive (west or south of the roundabout), that a 30 kilometre per hour speed limit is imposed as part of the TMP.

10. Cont'd

33. In regard to the potential damage to the grassed areas, staff involved with management of the Wetland advise that to date, there is no significant damage occurring to these areas, and that any grassed surfaces recover without requiring any significant restorative maintenance by the Council.
34. Any measures introduced to deal with the issues raised by the Board must not conflict with Council Strategies for "Physical Recreation and Sport" or "Events".

"The case for increasing participation in physical recreation and sport is compelling. Positive participation in physical recreation and sport means people will be in better health and reduce health care costs. It is a key element in human development at all ages and helps to build strong families and communities. Furthermore it generates economic benefits and very importantly contributes to people's quality of life."

"It is important that the Council works in co-operation with these parties to achieve the maximum benefits for the city."
35. Event organisers contacted in the preparation of this report have expressed their frustration of not knowing what parking will be made available on the grounds of QEII Park in time to publicise where parking is available. Also, other events such as Canterbury Cricket held on the same day as a high/extreme impact event further exacerbates parking problems.
36. On occasions, large areas of on-site parking have not been utilised during big events. One possible reason is that visitors to QEII have chosen to park "on-street" to avoid being "trapped" on-site due to poor access provisions.
37. Staff overseeing QEII Park have identified this problem and have briefed the Capital Programme Group to optimise the amount of "on-site" parking and review internal circulation and access to the available "on-site" parking.
38. This work is programmed to be completed early in 2011.
39. It is unrealistic for the traffic management providers to be expected to control the parking of vehicles in the residential streets around QEII. This should be managed through the enforcement of existing rules which adequately cover issues such as illegal parking/speeding etc.
40. The installation of "No Stopping" restrictions on residential streets close to the stadium will only migrate parking problems into other residential streets further away from the venue. This would also encourage traffic to travel faster in areas of high pedestrian traffic.
41. The traffic management provider can be asked to provide additional "traffic management" for extreme events such as the provision of sandwich board "No Stopping" signs in Frosts Road to reinforce the existing broken yellow lines. Enforcement will still be required if drivers choose to ignore them.
42. Staff at QEII Park have already identified these issues and have initiated additional "temporary traffic management" to control this behaviour. This was trialled during the All Blacks Community Challenge held at QEII Park on Monday 2 August 2010.
43. A further update will be provided once the outcome of the above work is known.

10. Cont'd

OPTIONS

Option 1 Retain the Status Quo

44. Management of events at QEII continues exactly as it has in recent years, for all events held at or around QEII. Regarding road safety, Council staff are not aware of any serious incidents occurring on roads surrounding QEII while events are happening, although it is acknowledged that there is potential for this to occur, i.e. vehicles reversing from a car park onto a busy arterial road, or pedestrians being put at risk while walking on the roadside where no footpath exists.

Option 2 Include traffic management requirements for spectators/supporters for high and extreme impact events only and no other events at QEII at the same time

45. This option proposes that staff work with the event organisers and their temporary traffic management providers to implement a number of initiatives. This will mitigate the safety and amenity concerns relating to parking on grassed areas adjacent to the surrounding roads.
- (a) This approach will see continual improvements being implemented over time and will not scare away high profile events from Christchurch;
 - (b) The impact of these events can be further minimised if other high and extreme impact events (other than the normal day-to-day operations such as public use of the pools and individual training sessions in the stadium) are not held at QEII at the same time. This will have an effect in the way in which the Recreation and Sports Unit manage their bookings;
 - (c) Better allocation of parking within QEII will also help reduce impacts on the surrounding roads.

This option is the staff preferred option, recommended within this report and work has commenced to implement these improvements.

Option 3 Include traffic management requirements for spectators / supporters for all events

46. This option will potentially discourage organisers of low impact events due to additional cost and organisation, where the impact is considered minimal. While this option will minimise the effect on the normal operation of roads surrounding QEII, there is a risk of event organisers discontinuing with events or relocating to other centres. An example of this is the "AMP multi sport" event which relocated north, as event organisers felt TMP requirements in Christchurch City were too onerous.

Option 4 Limit the number of events (e.g. high and extreme impact events) that have a potentially adverse effect on surrounding roads

47. Christchurch is seen as an "events friendly city" and these high and extreme impact events give Christchurch city a high profile both within New Zealand and internationally. Restricting the numbers of such events will destroy this reputation. Deciding which events will occur and which events are turned down is problematic in itself.

11. REMOVAL REQUEST FOR TWO STREET TREES - 264 MAIREHAU ROAD

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Martin Gohns, Arborist

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain a decision from the Board on the future of two silver birch trees located on the Chartwell Street berm of number 264 Mairehau Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The residents at 264 Mairehau Road have contacted the Council by letter in July and August 2007 requesting the removal of two silver birch trees. The trees are situated in the berm adjacent to the west boundary of their property on Chartwell Street.

In 2007 they requested that the two trees be removed because they were causing health problems and enclosed a medical certificate to this effect. Subsequent to this, staff replied declining the request to remove the trees, advising that the Council's direction is that silver birches are not to be removed for perceived health associations.

The Council has received a further letter dated 16 April 2010 stating that one of the applicants still suffers allergic reactions to the trees and suffered a heart attack in March 2010.

3. In addition to the letter of 16 April 2010, the Council has received a letter from the Queen Elizabeth II Medical Centre dated 2 August 2010. The medical practitioner confirms the time of the heart attack and states that the person concerned continues to suffer ill effects from the trees and that they (the medical practitioner) fear the impact of another season of allergic symptoms.

The medical practitioner's letter mentions that the person concerned is affected by the birch trees but has not provided any medical evidence to confirm that their allergic reactions are solely related to the trees, nor have they provided any factual evidence as to the extent of impact the trees will have on their future health, should the trees remain.

4. The residents at 264 Mairehau Road have stated they are willing to pay for the cost of the removal and replacement of the trees in a letter dated 16 April 2010.
5. An arboricultural assessment of the trees completed by City Care on 9 August 2007 showed that the trees were reasonably healthy with no health and safety issues that would require removal.

There is scope for replacement planting in this location, however this will mean a change in species to reflect the restricted site conditions.

6. For the reasons given in paragraphs 6, 8 and 39 to 42, staff recommend that the request to remove the trees, be declined.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The cost to remove and replace the two trees with PB95 grade trees is estimated at \$4,472 (including the cost of watering and mulching the trees over the first three years) which equates to 14 per cent of the value of the asset. A quoted price will be obtained should the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board pass a resolution to remove and replace the trees at the applicant's cost.
8. The STEM evaluation including the nuisance factor, is 108 for each tree.
9. The STEM evaluation without the nuisance factor, is 120 for each tree.

11. Cont'd

10. The STEM valuation including the nuisance factor, is \$14,400 per tree.
11. The STEM valuation without the nuisance factor is \$15,800 per tree.
 - (a) *STEM (A Standard Tree Evaluation Method) is the New Zealand national arboricultural industry standard for evaluating and valuing amenity trees by assessing their condition and contribution to amenity along with other distinguishable attributes such as stature, historic or scientific significance.*

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. Obtaining reimbursement from the applicant to remove and replace structurally sound and healthy trees is consistent with the current LTCCP.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. The Greenspace Manager has the following delegation with respect to trees:

“In consultation with any other units affected and the relevant Community Board, authorise the planting or removal of trees from any reserve or other property under the Manager’s control”.
14. While the Transport and Greenspace Manager has the delegation to remove the Birch trees, current practice is that in most cases requests to remove healthy and structurally sound trees are placed before the appropriate Community Board for a decision.
15. Under the delegations to Community Boards, the Board has the authority to ‘plant, maintain and remove trees on reserves, parks and roads’ under the control of the Council within the policy set by the Council.
16. Protected street trees can only be removed by a successful application under the Resource Management Act. These trees are not listed as protected under the provision of the Christchurch City Plan.
17. The following City Plan Policies may be of some benefit when considering the options:

Volume 2: Section 4 City Identity

4.2.1 Policy: Tree Cover

To promote amenity values in the urban area by maintaining and enhancing the tree cover present in the City.

18. Tree cover and vegetation make an important contribution to amenity values in the city. Through the redevelopment of sites, existing vegetation is often lost and not replaced. The City Plan protects those trees identified as “heritage” or “notable” and the subdivision process protects other trees which are considered to be “significant”. The highest degree of protection applies to heritage trees.
19. Because Christchurch is largely built on a flat plain, trees and shrubs play an important role in creating relief, contributing to visual amenity and attracting native birds.
20. The amount of private open space available for new planting and to retain existing trees is influenced by rules concerning building density and setback from boundaries. The rules do not require new planting for residential development but landscaping is required in business zones.

11. Cont'd

4.2.2 Policy: Garden City

To recognise and promote the "Garden City" identity, heritage and character of Christchurch.

21. A key aspect of achieving this policy will be maintaining and extending environments and vegetation types which compliment this image. A broad range of matters influence and contribute to this image, including the following:
- (a) Tree-lined streets and avenues.
 - (b) Parks and developed areas of open space.

14.3.2 Policy: "Garden City" image identity

To acknowledge and promote the "Garden City" identity of the City by protecting, maintaining and extending planting which compliments this image.

Volume 3: Part 8 Special Purpose Zone

14.3.5 Street Trees

22. Nearly half the length of streets within the city contains street trees, but the presence of very high quality street trees which add considerable presence to streets and neighbourhoods is confined to a relatively small proportion of the road network. These streets add particular character and amenity of the city, either in the form of avenues which form points into the city, or an important part of the local character of particular streets.
23. An application to prune or remove the tree may be made to the District Court under the Property Law Amendment Act 1975.
24. The District Court can order the pruning or removal of a tree under the Property Law Amendment Act 1975.
25. The removal and replacement of the tree is to be completed by a Council approved contractor.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

26. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

27. LTCCP 2009-19:

Streets and Transport – Page 81

- (a) Governance – By enabling the community to participate in decision making through consultation on plans and projects.
 - (b) City Development – By providing a well-designed, efficient transport system and attractive street landscapes.
28. Retention of the trees is consistent with the Activity Management Plan provided the trees are structurally sound and healthy.
29. Removing and not replacing the trees is not consistent with the Activity Management Plan.

11. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

30. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

31. Removing and replacing the trees would be consistent with the following strategies:

- (a) Biodiversity Strategy.
- (b) Christchurch Urban Design Vision.
- (c) Garden City Image as per the City Plan.

32. There is currently no policy for the pruning or removing of trees in public places. A draft Tree Policy is being worked on.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

33. Yes, as above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

34. There has been no consultation undertaken. Should approval to remove the trees be forthcoming, residents will be advised two weeks prior to the removal of the tree.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board consider adopting the following resolution:

- (a) To decline the request to remove the silver birch trees from the Chartwell Street berm of 264 Mairehau Road noting that there is no medical evidence to confirm that the applicant's allergic reactions are solely related to the trees, nor any evidence as to the extent of impact the trees will have on the applicant's future health, along with the advice from the Canterbury District Health Board (paragraph 39), the presence of other allergens in the atmosphere at the same time as silver birch (paragraph 40) and the Council's direction to staff (paragraph 42); and
- (b) To continue to maintain the trees to internationally recognised and accepted arboricultural practices, standards and procedures.

11 Cont'd

BACKGROUND

35. The residents at 264 Mairehau Road contacted the Council by letter in July and August 2007 requesting the removal of two silver birch trees. The trees are situated in the berm adjacent to the west boundary of their property on Chartwell Street.

In 2007 they requested that the two trees be removed because they were causing health problems and enclosed a medical certificate to this effect. Subsequent to this, staff replied declining the request to remove the trees, advising that the Council's direction is that silver birches are not to be removed for perceived health associations.

The Council has received a further letter dated 16 April 2010 stating that one of the applicants still suffers allergic reactions to the trees and suffered a heart attack in March 2010.

36. In addition to the letter dated 16 April 2010, the Council has received a letter from the Queen Elizabeth II Medical Centre dated 2 August 2010. The medical practitioner confirms the time of the heart attack and states that the person concerned continues to suffer ill effects from the trees and that they (the medical practitioner) fear the impact of another season of allergic symptoms.

The medical practitioner's letter mentions that the person concerned is affected by the birch trees but has not provided any medical evidence to confirm that their allergic reactions are solely related to the trees, nor have they provided any factual evidence as to the extent of impact the trees will have on their future health, should the trees remain.

37. The trees were inspected and found to be in good health and average form. Minor damage was noted to the footpath in proximity to the trees which is likely to have been caused by tree roots. Both trees were clear of the carriageway and footpath and were within Christchurch City Council specification for street trees.

38. The trees were re inspected by Council Arborists on 2 August 2010 and no deterioration in condition was noted with any visible defects present or health and safety issues that would require remedial works or removal.

39. In regard to removing silver birches and the affect it would have on the issue, the Canterbury District Health Board (DHB) have advised staff the following:

"...when it comes to intervention the main problem is that the lack of research in this area, so it comes down to theorising. Obviously if there were no birch trees in New Zealand no one would become allergic to them (assuming no immigration/emigration) - what is unclear is how many would then become allergic to something else, and whether their symptoms would be more or less severe. This scenario is also obviously entirely theoretical, and once you move to an actual practical situation things become even more complex.the arguments about selecting new trees for planting based on allergenicity are probably stronger in scientific terms than the arguments for removing existing plantings".

The advice from the District Health Board is that it is unknown as to whether or not a lack of silver birch trees would mean that people become allergy free or whether they are allergic to something else and continue to suffer.

40. Silver birch pollen is very small, is dispersed by wind, and therefore can travel a considerable distance. The pollen is produced at the time of year that coincides with perennial ryegrass pollen and Canterbury's naturally windiest period.

11. Cont'd

Grass pollen is a well known allergen because of the amount of pollen it produces. Perennial ryegrass is considered among the worst. Christchurch is surrounded by large amounts of perennial ryegrass which results in heavily pollen laden air in spring and summer. This is due to the amount of pollen that grass produces combined with the strong winds that naturally occur in Canterbury at the time the pollen is produced. The pollen producing season is longer than that of silver birch (early spring to late autumn) and overlaps the birch pollen season at both ends. This means that people who think they may be allergic to silver birch may in fact be allergic to grass pollen (or another tree or shrub).

41. There are a significant number of common trees and shrubs (both native and exotic) that have a similar or worse allergen rating to that of silver birch. Included are Christchurch's five most commonly planted street and park trees along with most of Christchurch's iconic trees. Similarly, there are many shrubs in both street and park gardens, as well as private gardens, that have similar or worse allergen ratings to that of silver birch.

42. The Council direction to staff in August 2007 was:

"There is to be no city wide removal and replacement of silver birches for supposed health associations. The removal of Silver Birches or similar, are to be evaluated on a case by case basis and only to be removed for tree health and safety reasons, with them being replaced by another tree species".

Options

43. (a) Decline the request to remove the silver birch trees from the Chartwell Street berm of 264 Mairehau Road noting that there is no medical evidence to confirm that the applicant's allergic reactions are solely related to the trees, nor any evidence as to the extent of impact the trees will have on the applicant's future health, along with the advice from the District Health Board (paragraph 39), the presence of other allergens in the atmosphere at the same time as silver birch (paragraph 40) and the Council's direction to staff (paragraph 42); and

(b) Continue to maintain the trees to internationally recognised and accepted arboricultural practices, standards and procedures.

44. Remove the two silver birch trees and replace with another species. Actual costs to remove and replace the trees are to be borne by the applicant. Estimated cost to remove and replace the trees is \$4,472. A quoted price will be obtained should the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board pass a resolution to remove and replace the trees at the applicants' cost. All work is to be carried out by the Council's approved tree contractor.

12. BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – APPLICATIONS – MAHALIYAH HOBSON, JAHMON DAJI AND KEZIAH SMITH

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Natalie Dally, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present a request for funding from Mahaliyah Hobson, Jahmon Daji and Keziah Smith to the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund to attend the Nga Manu Korero 2010 National Secondary School Speech Contest in Dunedin in September 2010.
2. There is currently a balance of \$4,610 remaining in the Board's Youth Development Scheme fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. The applicants, Mahaliyah Hobson 13 years old, Jahmon Daji 15 years old and Keziah Smith 16 years old, are residents of Aranui and New Brighton and are all students at Aranui High School.
4. Keziah is in year 12 where she is the Kapa Haka girl leader and took part in the regional Nga Manu Korero held at Nga Hau E Wha this year. At the High Schools Maori Student Awards last year along with two trophies Keziah received awards for Science, Physical Education, English, Kapa Haka and Maori. Keziah would like to get a degree in nursing.
5. Jahmon loves doing Kapa Haka and playing sport and is in Te Kotahitanga which is a project aiming to improve the educational achievement of Māori students in mainstream secondary schools by bringing together whanau, Maori and non-Maori teachers, and the school community. The trip to Dunedin for him is to tautoko/help the schools speaker, to sing and perform hakas and to benefit from the experience in preparing him for the competition next year where he hopes to be a speaker himself.
6. Mahaliyah is 13 years old and has a strong interest in Kaupapa Maori and is committed to Tikanga Maori and has never been able to participate in or attend an event such as this previously due to a lack of funds.
7. The Nga Manu Korero 2010 National Secondary School Speech Contests are now entering their 45th year and the national finals this year will be held at the Lion Foundation Arena, Edgar Centre, Dunedin from 21 to 23 September 2010. The contests are intended to encourage the development of skills and confidence of Maori students in spoken English and Maori. New Zealand Post Primary Teachers' Association extends an invitation to all secondary schools to participate in this annual event, noting that the Maori section is open to all students, but the English section is confined to Maori students only.
8. This event provides an opening for youth who may not normally have the opportunity or capacity to attend events such as this due to the travel costs and distances involved. All of the attendees will benefit from the competition by experiencing something new, being able to support their representative speakers, performing, improving their Maori, furthering their own development and being able to listen to and discuss youth "leaders" views of the world today through the speech topics being presented.
9. This is the first time the applicants have applied to the Community Board for funding.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. There is currently a fund balance of \$4,610 remaining in the Board's Youth Development Scheme.

12. Cont'd

11. A breakdown of the costs for all three to attend are as follows:

Expenditure	
Travel to and from Dunedin (\$50/person)	150
Conference Registration and Accommodation (\$160/person)	480
Uniforms (\$150/person)	450
Total Expenditure	\$1,380
Income	
Fundraising Hangi (\$50/person)	150
Total Income	150
Total amount requested from the Board	\$1,230

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch Community Boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. No external consultation needs to be undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board makes a grant of \$160 each from its 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund to Mahaliyah Jazz Hobson, Jahmon Takrei Daji and Keziah Arapeta Smith towards their attendance at the National Ngā Manu Kōrero Speech Contest in Dunedin from 21 to 23 September 2010.

13. BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME - APPLICATIONS – EDWARD STOICA, SALEM COOK, MEIKAYLA MOORE, ELLIE MORRIS AND BRODIE MORRIS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Recreation and Sport
Author:	Jacqui Miller, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present for the Board's consideration, five applications for funding assistance from the 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by five applicants, Edward Stoica, 13 years old from Burwood, Salem Cook 12 years old from Dallington, Meikayla Moore, 14 years old from Avondale, Ellie Morris 15 years old from North New Brighton and Brodie Morris 14 year old from North New Brighton.

BACKGROUND ON APPLICANTS

3. **Edward Stoica** is looking to travel to a total of five tournaments between September 2010 and January 2011 in the North Island. Edward is top Canterbury tennis player, and part of the Canterbury High Performance Team. The team will travel in September/October to Waikato Boys' Outdoor Tournament (Grade 5), 20 to 25 September 2010 to Hamilton, 27 September to 2 October New Zealand Under 18 Indoor Championships in Auckland, December 2010 the Coca Cola Waikato Junior Tournament and in January 2010 to Auckland for the Under 14 National Indoor Tournament.

Edward has played tennis since he was 10 years old. He had a huge amount of talent growth in 2009, winning the Canterbury Primary School and Intermediate 2009 Tournament and a good performance at the nationals and was selected to represent Canterbury several times including at a national level in June 2010. He has been selected to play for the Christchurch Boys' High School's senior team in his first year of high school.

Edward loves tennis, he trains six days per week at Wilding Park. Edward also plays tennis for Burnside, winning the Under 14 and Under 18 championships. At age 13 Edward is ranked 3rd in Canterbury in the Under 14 age group and 13th in New Zealand, and still has another year of this age group to go.

In June 2010, Edward's parents funded a two week trip to Australia so that he could compete in several tournaments and get exposure to other international players. He played very well in a tournament getting through to the quarter finals.

Edwards lives at home with his parents and younger brother who is also a high achieving tennis player.

4. **Salem Cook** was selected to participate in the Canterbury Junior Youth Ten Pin Bowling Nationals from 27 to 30 September 2010 and the Nationals Youth Teams Championship from 31 September to 2 October 2010 in Auckland.

Salem will be attending the event with a team of six players including his younger brother (11 year old) who is in the team and his mother who is one of three parents attending. He has been to the Ten Pin Bowling Nationals for the past three years and last year won a gold medal in the teams event and a silver in the masters singles. His goal is to represent New Zealand in Ten Pin Bowling and the team goal is to bring the Nationals to Christchurch in the near future. Salem's past achievements include bowler of the year for cricket.

13. Cont'd

Salem has been busy with fundraising activities for this event and will contribute money he has saved from a paper round he has been doing for the past three years. Team fundraising activities will contribute \$140 per person towards the trip costs and the outcome of a funding application to cover the majority of costs is awaited.

Salem is a pupil at Chisnallwood Intermediate School. He lives with his mother and younger brother. Both boys are committed to ten pin bowling, playing in the Strike Force Youth team every Sunday morning at Garden City Bowl and also a weekly practice. These activities cost \$27 per week for each.

5. **Meikayla Moore** is attending the Mainland Football Gold Coast Tournament in Australia from 23 September to 2 October 2010.

Meikayla feels this trip will assist her to improve her skills and continue to develop her game so she can achieve her long term goal of playing for New Zealand.

There are 12 girls involved and they have been actively fundraising by way of Qui nights, food demonstration nights, garage sales etc. They have raised approximately \$3,000 to date which is divided equally between them.

The tour will include four games against various football Academy teams including Brisbane, Gold Coast, Gold Coast United Youth Academy. They will be coached and trained during the period as well as watching videos of the games and training to analyse play techniques and coaching and advice on match preparation.

6. **Brodie Morris** is attending the Mainland Football Gold Coast Tournament in Australia from 23 September to 2 October 2010.

Brodie is in year 9 at Avonside Girls' High School and has been playing football for seven years. She plays for Avonside Girls' and Ferrymead Bays and has been selected for the Canterbury team for the last two years. This year Brodie made the Canterbury team and the Mainland representative team that both finished first. Futsal is an indoor version of football that is used to develop skills in both the indoor and field game. She is very pleased to have been selected for the New Zealand Futsal team as well.

Brodie has many sporting achievements including representing Canterbury in softball at the under 15 tournament at Alexandra this year.

The team has carried out a few fundraising activities already that Brodie has been involved with including a raffle and sausage sizzle.

7. **Ellie Morris** has been selected to represent New Zealand Futsal Under 16 in the 2011 National Club Futsal Championship in Australia from 10 to 15 January 2011.

Ellie is in Year 10 at Avonside Girls' High School and has been playing football for seven years and futsal for three seasons. She has represented Canterbury in football for the last three years and will be trialling for the Under 18 Canterbury Football team this year.

Ellie attended this tournament in January 2010 and feels that it will be very beneficial for her development in the sport and personally to attend again. Since attending in January this year she has participated in training academies through New Zealand Futsal and in Easter 2010 at the National Tournament where she represented Canterbury in the Under 16 age group and received the MVP for Canterbury and was selected as an All Stars Representative. Ellie is looking to her future in this sport and wants to represent New Zealand again in the future.

8. **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The following tables detail event expenses and funding requested by the applicants:

13. Cont'd

Expenses for Edward Stocia	Cost (NZ\$)
Travel and Accommodation to Auckland and Waikato	1,600
Event Entry Fee	140
Total	\$1,740
Amount requested	\$500
Fundraising	
Garage Sale – not held yet	150
Sport Canterbury - Making It Happen (pending)	500

Expenses for Salem Cook	Cost (NZ\$)
Airfares	154
Accommodation	353
Food	45
Event Entry Fee	222
Uniform	40
Total	\$832
Amount requested	\$500
Fundraising	
Sausage sizzles, raffles, fudge, car parking etc	1,520 \$213 per person
Pub Charity	6,209
Harcourts	1,330

Expenses for Brodie Morris, Meikayla Moore (per person)	Cost (NZ\$)
Airfares to Gold Coast	560
Accommodation	475
Bus Coach	82
Food	385
Coaching and Activities	417
Total	\$1,969
Amount requested	\$500pp
Sport Canterbury - Pending	
Fundraising	
Variety of activities	400 to 500pp

Expenses for Ellie Morris	Cost (NZ\$)
Airfares to Gold Coast	Awaiting this information
Accommodation	
Bus Coach	
Food	
Theme Park Entry	
Tour Manager	
Total	\$1,975
Amount requested	\$500
Sport Canterbury - Pending	
Fundraising	
Variety of activities	400 to 500

9. Edward Stocia, Salem Cook, Meikayla Moore are all first time applicants for the Burwood/Pegasus Youth Development Scheme Fund.

13. Cont'd

10. Ellie and Brodie Morris received funding from this scheme in 2009/10 to represent New Zealand at the National Futsal Tournament held in Sydney in January 2010.
11. There is currently a balance of \$4,610 available in the 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. This fund aligns with the 2009-19 LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Application aligns with the Youth Strategy and the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board allocate the following grants from its 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund:

- (a) \$250 to Edward Stocia to attend five tennis tournaments in New Zealand between September 2010 and January 2011.
- (b) \$300 to Salem Cook to participate in the Canterbury Junior Youth Ten Pin Bowling Nationals from 27 to 30 September 2010 and the National's Youth Teams Championship from 31 September to 2 October 2010 in Auckland.
- (c) \$350 each to Brodie Morris and Meikayla Moore to attend the Mainland Football Gold Coast Futsal Tournament in Australia from 23 September to 2 October 2010.
- (d) \$350 to Ellie Morris to represent New Zealand Futsal Under 16 in the 2011 National Club Futsal Championships in Australia from 10 to 15 January 2011.

14. BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND - APPLICATION – LINWOOD COLLEGE ORCHESTRA TOUR

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Jacqui Miller, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present for the Board's consideration an application for funding from the Burwood/Pegasus 2009/10 Youth Development Scheme fund for 13 youth in the Burwood/Pegasus ward.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant is the Linwood College PTA 2011 Tour Fundraising Committee which represents approximately sixty five students from the Linwood College Orchestra. Thirteen (approximately 20 percent) of the students, ranging in ages from 13 to 17 years, reside in the Burwood/Pegasus ward with two from Aranui, three from Bexley, one from Burwood, one from Dallington, four from New Brighton and two from Wainoni. They are:

Name	Suburb	Instrument	Ethnicity	Age
George Leafe	Aranui	Percussion	Samoan	15
Erin McLaughlin	Aranui	Flute	NZ European	16
Caitlyn Frost	Bexley	Bassoon	NZ European	14
Zirconia Jacobs	Bexley	Clarinet	Maori	15
Amanda Norris	Bexley	Alto Saxophone	NZ European	14
Olivia Hunter	Burwood	Flute	NZ European	15
Taylor Petherick	Dallington	Clarinet	NZ European	14
Jesse Case	New Brighton	Cello	NZ European	15
Kayla Goldsmith	New Brighton	Double Bass	NZ European	13
Ben Kahl	New Brighton	Trumpet	Maori	15
Alfa Thenu	New Brighton	Vocalist	Other	17
Jack Barrie	Wainoni	Trumpet	NZ European	13
Alister Winter	Wainoni	Double Bass	NZ European	15

3. The remaining students are mostly from the Hagley/Ferrymead and Spreydon/Heathcote wards. The students will be accompanied by ten adults and will travel to Europe to perform six concerts in Rome, Florence, Salzburg, Paris, London and Christchurch (UK) in April 2011. Along with the European city concerts, a highlight for the tour is the group being in London for Anzac Day commemorations. This affords an opportunity for the students to participate in events and perform for the occasion. Twynham School in Christchurch, Dorset will host the school as part of a Sister City arrangement.
4. Linwood College has been providing secondary education in the eastern part of Christchurch for over 50 years to a high standard. It has received significant acclaim as an institution that cares about the academic and cultural achievements of its students. Music and drama continue to be a significant achievements for the school, with the Linwood College Orchestra one of the few high school orchestras in the country that plays full score music. This trip is a significant undertaking for a decile two school as a number of the students participating come from families of limited means and the school does not enjoy established alumni support that some of the higher profile schools in the city currently rely on for projects of this size. For some of the students involved it is an opportunity of a lifetime. Many of the students will be getting their first passport for this trip.

14. Cont'd

5. Through their learning experiences on the tour, students will develop their ability to:
 - express their own values.
 - explore, with empathy, the values of others.
 - critically analyse values and actions based on them.
 - discuss disagreements that arise from differences in values and negotiate solutions.
 - make ethical decisions and act on them.

6. As a result of the learning experiences on tour, students will be able to contribute the following to their local community:
 - be empowered to expect personal excellence from themselves and those around them.
 - to appreciate the relevance of the Treaty of Waitangi in a global perspective and recognise the importance of cultural diversity and inclusion of others.
 - enhanced learning processes that will contribute to better community engagement.
 - a broader life experience that will contribute to their future goals.

7. The intended learning outcomes cover a range of learning areas and include:
 - Music - Appreciation of how the orchestra repertoire relates to and derives from the scheduled destinations in terms of style, historical period, culture, landscape and national outlook.
 - Culture - The artistic, political, historical and traditional heritage of European countries.
 - Social studies and History - Observe the consequences of cultural heritage and interaction.
 - Mathematics - Learn to make sense of the world as travellers.
 - Languages - Appreciate different world views via language.

8. There will be a range of specific learning and assessment opportunities during the tour. In Music, for example, the trip will be a major assessment for some of the players for NCEA Music:
 - Level 1 Achievement Standard 90012: Perform contrasting music as a featured soloist.
 - Level 1 Achievement Standard 90013: Perform music as a member of a group.
 - Level 2 Achievement Standard 90254: Present contrasting performances as a featured soloist.
 - Level 2 Achievement Standard 90265: Present a music performance as a member of a group.
 - Level 3 Achievement Standard 90776: Prepare and present performances as a featured soloist.
 - Level 3 Achievement Standard 90526: Present a programme of music as a member of a group.

9. Music education in action: *"I am fortunate to work with such a diverse group of ages, cultures, abilities and personalities, watching them learn, watching them grow as people, watching them develop a spirit of community and teamwork, watching them strive for excellence, and sharing with them artistic and cultural experiences that all-too-few young New Zealanders ever get to encounter."* Tony Ryan, Head of Music, Linwood College. *"We all remember that one teacher that changed our lives; they seemed to relate to us in a way that others could not, and they had a passion for their work that transcended "teaching". For many students at Linwood College, Tony is that teacher. He turns out performances that have audiences standing and cheering and he has the ability to ignite that vital spark in his students that leads to a life-long love of music and performing. His value to Linwood College in general and to the music students in particular is incalculable. We will forever be grateful for the impact Tony has had on our children."* Sim Arthur, Parent.

10. The Orchestra's trip is endorsed by the Mayor of Christchurch *"This is a once in the lifetime opportunity for this group to travel to Europe and showcase the talent that can be found in New Zealand schools and the quality of music education. The members of the orchestra are a multicultural group and they will be great ambassadors for New Zealand and will help promote Christchurch, Canterbury and New Zealand as a cultural destination."*

14. Cont'd

11. The Christchurch – England Sister City Committee is also very supportive of the trip. *“Over the past 10 years the committee has promoted and assisted Linwood College student exchanges to Britain as part of their sister cities activities. The College has, in conjunction with Twynham Comprehensive School in Christchurch, Dorset, arranged a number of highly successful student exchanges – all of them a credit to both College staff's and student' enthusiasm and the support of parents and families. To transport some 70 people and instruments is a huge undertaking which will require very significant funding and organisational expertise”*

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

12. The total cost of the trip is approximately \$417, 025. The majority of the students are of limited financial means. Payment for airfares is expected well in advance of departure.

COST OF TRIP	Totals
Price per student excluding flights \$2,335 (based on 65 students)	151,775
Price per adult excluding flights \$2,525 (based on 10 adults)	25,250
Flights per passenger \$3,200 (based on 75 passengers)	240,000
Total	\$417,025

13. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme. There is a balance of \$4,610 in the Board's fund.

Extensive fundraising is well underway by the Linwood College PTA 2011 Tour Fundraising Committee including:

- Gala Concert Gala concert - 31 March 2010
- Art Auction - 26 March 2010
- Carwash and BBQ undertaken by the students - 24 April 2010
- Regular inner city busking by students - ongoing
- Hypnotist show - with Reg Blackwood - Friday 28 May
- Cher Level Three charity performance - Friday 18 June
- Yellow pages: tentatively booked for August delivery
- Woolston Club fundraising partnership - details to be confirmed
- Individual student contributions – approximately \$4,000 per student.

14. This is the first time that the applicants have applied to the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board for financial support, except for Amanda Norris who received \$400 to attend the William Koch International Sea Scout Cup in America in August 2010.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

15. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

16. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

17. Aligns with Council Activities and Services, Community Support, Community Grants and Grants, pages 176 and 184, in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

18. Yes. As above.

14. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

19. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy, Physical Recreation and Sports Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

20. Yes. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

21. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board approve the application and allocate a grant of \$2,600 (\$200 per person) from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund for the Linwood College Orchestra Trip to Europe in April 2011.

15. BURWOOD/PEGASUS DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND 2010/11 – APPLICATION – NEW BRIGHTON PROJECT, MANAAKI SOUNDS TRUST, LINFIELD CULTURAL RECREATIONAL SPORTS CLUB INC AND NEW BRIGHTON SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941- 8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Recreation and Sport
Author:	Jacqui Miller, Community Recreation Advisor

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board to consider four applications for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund. The applications are from:
 - Linfield Cultural Recreational Sports Club Inc – Kiwisport Co-ordinator, \$15,000;
 - Manaaki Sounds Trust – Matariki at the Marae 2011, \$10,000;
 - New Brighton Project – Eco Market New Brighton, \$5,000;
 - New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club - New Brighton Surf Lifesaving Centenary Project, \$6,422.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Burwood/Pegasus Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

The Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*
6. Based on this criteria, the applications received are eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the applications and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix.

BACKGROUND

Application from Linfield Cultural Recreational Sports Club Inc

8. As this group is located on the boundary between Hagley/Ferrymead and Burwood/Pegasus they have been working with Community Recreation from both wards. Therefore this application is split proportionately between these wards. Staff have been working with this group since March 2010, liaising with Sport Canterbury staff and outlining Council funding options. Refer to staff comments in the following Matrix for more information.

15. Cont'd

Application from Manaaki Sounds Trust

9. The Hagley/Ferrymead and Burwood/Pegasus Community Boards have both supported this initiative in the past and indicated that this event should submit an application to the Council's Festivals and Events Fund.
10. As such, Manaaki Sounds Trust submitted an application to the Metropolitan Community Event category of this fund in March 2010. They were unsuccessful in receiving this fund as the event does not currently meet the criteria for this fund, which is: *Events which add value to the city's image and support other Council community outcomes, by attracting at least 8,000 people per day, maximising community outcomes and reaching a strategic target market ie Classical Sports and the Chinese Lantern Festival*.
11. For the application to be successful in the future the Events Development team will work with the planning committee to meet the criteria which includes the above and a three year business plan. It is envisaged that it will take two to three years to achieve this.
12. The Council's marketing and communications team help 'spread the word' about the variety of Matariki activities and events occurring at the Marae as part of the citywide Matariki Celebration, other activities are held across the Library network, Our City Otautahi and the Christchurch Art Gallery.
13. Matariki at the Marae provides informative, engaging daytime programmes for preschool and primary school aged children from Monday to Thursday and community programmes on Tuesday and Thursday evenings. For the duration of the event the Marae is lit up in the evening. A series of weekend workshops were also offered in 2009
14. During the daytime programme learning about Matariki and the Marae is done in many age appropriate ways. Waiata is important and each year an original waiata has been written for Matariki which the children learn and sing together when they farewell and thank the Marae. Healthy kai is also promoted as is exercise and the learning of a new art and craft. Computer and storytelling is used to learn about Matariki.
15. Matariki at the Marae has been recognised nationally by other Marae and it is being used as an example of best practice to develop other events around the country.

Application from New Brighton Project

16. The proposed New Brighton Eco Market will operate between the hours of 10am to 2pm on Sundays. It will sell environmentally friendly products and consumables as well as offer workshops and educational activities regarding sustainable living. It will be family and community centred, offering a place to relax and enjoy light music, as well as promoting other attractions in New Brighton.
17. The objectives of the market are to:
 - Promote community development in New Brighton, offering the opportunity to socialize and build friendships in a relaxed environment.
 - Provide educational opportunities regarding sustainability and making responsible consumer decisions
 - Enhance economic development, both for stallholders and New Brighton shops.
 - Enhance the position of Central New Brighton School in the community.
 - Promote New Brighton as a destination for recreational activities
 - Provide the opportunity to buy locally and/or environmentally friendly grown food and products which fit the detailed eco-criteria required by the market.
 - Increase environmental awareness via workshops/information by stallholders.
 - Support local/Christchurch artisans and organic growers/producers.
 - Showcase local music.
 - Support the New Brighton Project in achieving its aims for community, economic and environmental development in the area.

15. Cont'd

18. The purpose of the Eco Market is to foster economic and community development as well as environmental awareness in the greater New Brighton area. The market will be held weekly from 10am to 2pm using environmentally friendly practises and products as it s core value. It is anticipated to attract upwards of 1000 people to New Brighton each week and host a minimum of 30 stalls building up to 80 stall holders.
19. To provide a model planning in an environmentally friendly way. There will be mandatory 'eco-transparency', where all products are required to state point of origin, ingredients, processing methods. Promotions encouraging and rewarding customers for acting in an environmentally friendly way. Fresh, wholesome food will be the main focus, mainly organic where possible, preferably locally grown, fruit, veggies, bread, cheese, meat, fish etc. Specialty foods like spices, tea, coffee, preserves, oils, juices and wine. Also consumables such as skin care and cleaning products. Arts and crafts will be sold at the monthly market in the mall, this market will be reviewed in 2011 with options to merge with the Eco Market or to retain as a monthly market. Entertainment will also be a focus for this market with space to sit and have a picnic. The Eco Market team also wish to have an area at the market or close by for presentations or workshops with 'eco' theme. The New Brighton Project will have a table promoting the activities and events which it organises as well as the 'The Wave' monthly newsletter.
20. The Committee have talked to several markets in Christchurch specifically the Farmers Markets and the Lyttelton Farmers Markets to seek advice, and best practice. They have also talked to several markets run throughout New Zealand including the Central Otago Farmers market (largest Farmers market in the South Island).
21. The community support and need for this project was received by the New Brighton project co-ordinator informally and through a form brainstorming meeting facilitated by the New Brighton Project in 2008 which focused on activities/services for the New Brighton area. The forum was attended by 30 New Brighton area residents, 'participants favoured the establishment of a regular market or farmers market '.
22. The anticipated number of people who the market will attract to New Brighton is estimated given the research and consultation with other similar markets that the Committee has completed. The Lyttelton market has a high attendance of 3000 on market day, however wet weather minimises this.
23. Other sources of funding for this project currently - \$2,000 Environment Canterbury Sustainability grant to be used for the launch event for the Eco Market and workshops. Anticipated Income: stall holders weekly fees between \$20 to \$35 per stall (\$50,000 per annum).
24. Anticipated Expenses i.e. resource consent process, marketing and promotion, venue hire, equipment costs, volunteers, co-ordinator wages \$29,000, Admin \$6,400, Accommodation/Rent \$9,850 (to be confirmed),
25. The market will have a market manager who will oversee the market operation on the day. The manager will be helped by four to 10 volunteer staff. These staff will be required to oversee the operation of the market and maintain health and safety, and will be present at all times during the market hours of operation.
26. It is anticipated that the Eco Market will begin with smaller number of stallholders that will increase over time. Initial numbers are expected to be 30 to 40, with total stall numbers peaking at a maximum of 80. The projected physical arrangement of stalls allows for these stall numbers to be easily accommodated within the space and still allow effective pedestrian flow, both to and from the market itself and also within the market.

Application from New Brighton Surf Life Saving Club

- 27 Please refer to the staff comments in the matrix

15. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

28. The Board has \$39,697 available for allocation in its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund. Should the Board grant the recommended amounts will leave a fund balance of \$18,697 for allocation to 30 June 2011.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

29. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

30. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

31. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

32. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

33. Strengthening Communities Strategy, Children's Policy, Older Adults Policy, Youth Strategy, Out of School Programmes Policy and Sport and Recreation Strategy as detailed in the attached funding decision matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

34. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board approve the:

- (a) Making of a grant of \$5,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Linfield Cultural Recreational Sports Club Inc for the costs associated with the co-ordination and delivery of the KiwiSport programme.
- (b) Making of a grant of \$10,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Manaaki Sounds Trust towards the Matariki at the Marae 2011 event.
- (c) Making of a grant of \$5,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the New Brighton Project towards the Eco Market New Brighton.
- (d) Making of a grant of \$1,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the New Brighton Surf Life Saving towards the Centenary Celebration book.

16. NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUNDING 2010 - APPLICATIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Community Support
Author:	Marion Gillanders, Community Engagement Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to consider applications for Neighbourhood Week funding allocations and to set in place a process should any late applications need to be considered.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Local community groups, including residents' associations and neighbourhood support groups will be sent information inviting them to apply for the Neighbourhood Week Funding that has been set aside by the Board. (refer **attached**.)
3. Neighbourhood Week is a dedicated week in which individuals and groups are encouraged to get together and get to know one another locally. Neighbourhood Week 2010 is to be held from 30 October to 7 November 2010. Applications for funding close on 3 September 2010.
4. A decision matrix outlining the applications and staff recommendations is **attached**.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The Board has allocated \$3,500 from the 2010/11 Strengthening Communities Fund to assist individuals and groups to run events. It is not the intention of this funding to totally fund events. Those applying for funding are expected to partially resource events themselves either financially or through supply of materials.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Page 172 of the LTCCP under Community support – Strengthening communities and page 176 of the LTCCP under Community support – Community grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Under Council Standing Order 2.10 (Powers of Delegation), a sub committee may be appointed and given the power to act.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Page 172 of the LTCCP under Community support – Strengthening communities and page 176 of the LTCCP under Community support – Community grants.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Yes, clause 8 above refers.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

10. Funding for Neighbourhood Week activities aligns with the Council's Strong Communities strategic outcomes.

16. Cont'd

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

11. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Consider the applications as set out in the decision matrix and allocate the Board's Neighbourhood Week 2010 funding accordingly.
- (b) Assign delegated authority to the Board Chairman and Deputy Chairman to consider any additional applications and allocate funding, should any funds remain.

17. NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' CONFERENCE 2011 - BEST PRACTICE AWARDS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Unit Manager
Author:	Peter Dow, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's consideration and decision on whether it wishes to submit an entry to the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference 2011 Best Practice Awards.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The 8th biennial Community Boards' Conference is being held in Rotorua from 5 to 7 May 2011. One of the conference highlights is the Community Boards' Best Practice Awards which acknowledge excellence in the implementation of projects in local government involving community boards. Awards will be presented to the winners of each category and a Supreme Award will be given for the best overall project. The objectives of the Awards are to:

- recognise significant contributions made by Community Boards to the achievement of excellence in local government
- promote quality improvements in the functioning of Community Boards
- foster the exchange of best practice and innovative ideas.

The categories are:

Consultation (eg how the Board has actively consulted with its community.)	Significant Project (eg a major project led by the Board (jointly or singularly) that achieved a desired outcome.)
Facilitation (eg identification of a situation/s where the Board has taken a facilitation role to overcome a community problem.)	Partnership (eg a partnership with parent council/other Boards/community organisation/s to address an issue.)
Heritage (eg a heritage project in your community in which your Board has played an active role.)	Working with Maori (eg projects which feature your Board working with a local Maori organisation or in a Maori community.)
Working with Children and Youth (Sponsored by UNICEF) (eg projects in your community in which your Board has been involved with children and youth.)	Harmonious Relations (Sponsored by Human Rights Commission) (eg projects in which your Board has promoted diversity and harmonious relations.)
Safety (Sponsored by New Zealand Police) (eg projects in which your Board and the Police have achieved safety outcomes for your community.)	
Leadership The Yvonne Palmer leadership trophy is given for outstanding leadership, for enhancing the work of Community Boards and the recipient will hold it for two years.	For any elected member including a community board, a community board member, a councillor or mayor, or for a council staff member.

17. Cont'd

3. The Working with Children and Youth, Safety and Harmonious Relations categories are sponsored and awarded by UNICEF, the New Zealand Police and the Human Rights Commission respectively. Separate criteria apply to these categories.
4. The application form and supporting information which will outline the format of entries should take are expected shortly. In the meantime, the Board is encouraged to reflect on what activities the Board has been involved in that are innovative, have made a real difference to the community and would be suitable as applications to the awards. All entries will be reviewed by the Conference Organising Committee's Judging Panel and must be submitted **by 4 February 2011**. Given this timing, most of the work to prepare the entry will need to occur prior to the Christmas break, hence the views of the Board on its entry or entries are now being sought. Depending on those views, staff will, if required, report back on any financial implications and staff capacity requirements.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the recommendations of this report align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. There are no costs associated with lodging an entry for a Best Practice Award. As indicated in paragraph 4 above, staff will assess any financial implications relevant to any entry that the Board wishes to submit, and report back to the Board, if required.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

6. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009/19 LTCCP?

7. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

8. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

9. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board give consideration to an entry it may wish to submit to the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference 2011 Best Practice Awards.

18. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

18.1 UPCOMING BOARD ACTIVITY

(Tabled)

18.2 2010/11 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

(Attached)

18.3 CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S AUGUST 2010 COUNCIL PROJECTS UPDATE

(Attached)

19. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

20. VALEDICTORIES