3. 13 THE SPUR – LICENCE TO OCCUPY LEGAL ROAD – PART ONE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Unit Manager
Author:	Robert O'Connor, Solicitor, Legal Services Unit

PURPOSE OF REPORT

 The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of the circumstances concerning the grant by the Co uncil of a De ed of Licence to the owners of the property at 13 T he Spur, Sumner (Vincent J oseph De L orenzo and Susan G raham Wilson) to occupy a portion of legal road (Nayland Street) for the purposes of a cableway base station.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. This report should be r ead in c onjunction with Part Two of this report which is included in the public excluded agenda to be considered at the same meeting as this report. Part Two of this report contains additional advice from the Legal Services Unit to the Community Board.
- 3. On 8 November 2006 the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board considered an application from the owners of 13 T he Spur to er ect a c ableway base s tation and g arage on legal r oad on Nayland Street. A copy of the 2006 staff report is attached to this report (refer **Attachment 1**).
- 4. The Community Board declined the part of the application relating to the garage, and resolved as follows with regard to the cableway base station:
 - "(a) To approve the application for the cableway compound structure only located on legal road adjoining 13 The Spur (Nayland Street) subject to the following conditions:
 - (i) Resource and building consents being obtained.
 - (ii) The owner being entirely responsible for the stability, safety and future maintenance of the bank, driveway and formation work associated with the structure.
 - (iii) The site being kept in a tidy condition at all times during the course of construction.
 - *(iv)* That the Ngaio tree, if removed, be replaced with an appropriate tree with all costs being borne by the applicant.
 - (b) To enter into a Deed of Licence for the proposed cableway compound with the owner of 13 The Spur (Nayland Street)."
- 5. Paragraph 4 of the 2006 staff report on this matter stated that the owners of 13 The Spur had "obtained consent from the adjoining landowners for the location of the garage in front of their property and the cableway that runs parallel to their properties". Ho wever, the owners of the properties neighbouring 13 The Spur at 2 Na yland Street, 2 Ar anoni Track and 12 T he Spur made a deputation to the Community Board meeting of 4 August 2010 and as serted that they did not in fact provide consent for the proposed cableway in 2006. They have requested that the Licence be cancelled.
- 6. Council staff have conducted an investigation into why paragraph 4 was included in the 2006 staff report and the staff advice concerning that matter is detailed in Part Two of this report.
- 7. In the 'Background' section of the 2006 staff report it is recorded that the application from the owner of 13 The Spur complied with the Council's applicable Structures on Streets Policy and met the oth er criteria recorded in that report. Accordingly, the staff recommendation was to approve the application. The criteria in the Structures of Streets Policy have not changed.

- 8. On the authority of the Community Board's 8 November 2006 resolution, a Licence to place the cableway compound structure on legal road has been issued by the Council's Property Team to the owners of 13 T he Sp ur. A c opy of th at I icence is attached t o th is r eport (refer **Attachment 4**).
- 9. Under the Licence the Council as landowner of legal road authorises the owners of 13 The Spur to construct part of the cableway (the base station) on the legal road at the foot of the hill. The Licence c annot a nd do es not op erate as a g eneral consent by the C ouncil to the o wner of 13 The Spur to c onstruct the c ableway in its entirety. Claus e 21 of the Licence s pecifically provides that "The Licensee acknowledges that the Structure will also encroach on areas which are subject to rights of way and other easements. The Licensee is solely responsible for obtaining all consents necessary from the owners of the dominant land having the benefit of the easements prior to the construction of the Structure on the Road. Council shall not be liable for any breach of the easements by the Licensee due to the construction of the Structure".
- 10. The issuing of the Licence by the Council is only one of a number of milestones that the owners of 13 The Spur will need to achieve in order to be able to build the proposed cable car facility.
- 11. The proposed route of the cable car over the Licensee's land follows the route of an existing right of way e asement which exists for the benefit of the properties at 2 N ayland Street, 2 Aranoni T rack and 1 2 The Spur. T he owners of 13 T he Sp ur have ac knowledged, in Clause 21 of the Licence and in their application for a Project Information Memorandum, that the proposed cableway encroaches on this right of way easement.
- 12. It is anticipated that the work required to construct the cableway, and potentially the operation of the cableway, will interfere with the right of way easement. The owners of 13 The Spur will therefore need to obtain the consent of the owners of 2 Na yland Street, 2 Aranoni Track and 12 The Spur under the easement before any work is undertaken that will affect the right of way. This is a c ivil matter to be resolved between the various landowners concerned and will not directly involve the Council.
- 13. The gr anting of the L icence has not int erfered with the r ights of the nei ghbouring pr operty owners to o bject to the c onstruction of the proposed c ableway under the easement. As a matter of civil law, the owners of the neighbouring properties have the ability to legally object to the construction of the c ableway under the terms of the easement benefiting their properties if that work interferes with their easement rights.
- 14. The Lic ence does c ontain the Counc il's s tandard e arly c ancellation pr ovision allo wing th e Council to c ancel f or an y reason on the giving of s ix months written notice. However, the Community Board does not have the delegated authority to cancel the Licence. A d ecision to cancel the L icence m ust be m ade b y t he f ull C ouncil an d m ay on ly be im plemented i n accordance with the provisions of the Property Law Act 2007.
- 15. The owners of 13 T he Spur must als o obtain a b uilding consent and potentially a r esource consent for the cable car. No applications have been received for building consent or resource consent in relation to the proposed cableway at the date of writing of this report.
- 16. It is relevant to the issue at hand to record that an existing cable car has in recent years been constructed on the s outhern boundaries of 12 and 13 The Spur and 2 Aranoni Track. Title searches of the properties at 2 Aranoni Track, 12 The Spur and 7 Clifton Terrace confirm that these properties have the benefit of easement rights to us e that c ableway that was granted in 2007.

- 17. The plan s howing land parcels (refer **Attachment 2**) and a plan prepared by El liot S inclair showing proposed position of the full cable car facility (refer **Attachment 3**) are included with this report. A letter from solicitors acting for the owners of 13 The Spur dated 6 Au gust 2010 (refer **Attachment 5**) is attached.
- 18. On one hand, the owners of 13 The Spur appear to have acted in good faith in applying to the Community Board for a grant of the licence and entering into that Licence.
- 19. On the ot her hand, t he owners of t he properties at 1 2 T he Spur, 2 Na yland Street a nd 2 Aranoni Track argue that they did not provide their consent before the 2006 Community Board resolution was adopted.
- 20. A number of options are available to the Community Board and the Council to deal with this matter. Details of these options are provided in this report, however additional commentary on these options is also contained in Part Two of this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

21. See Part Two of this report.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

22. Not applicable

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Licence

- 23. Under the Licence the C ouncil as landowner of the legal road authorises the owners of 13 The Spur to construct part of the cableway (the base station) on the legal road at the foot of the hill.
- 24. The Licence cannot and does not operate as a general consent by the Council to the owner of 13 The Spur to construct the cableway in its entirety.
- 25. The Licence was specifically entered into on the basis that the owners of 13 The Spur would be required to obtain all other approvals and consents required to enable the proposed cableway to be c onstructed. Sp ecifically, c lause 21 of the Lic ence pr ovides that "The Licensee acknowledges that the Structure will also encroach on areas which are subject to rights of way and other easements. The Licensee is solely responsible for obtaining all consents necessary from the owners of the dominant land having the benefit of the easements prior to the construction of the Structure on the Road. Council shall not be liable for any breach of the easements by the Licensee due to the construction of the Structure".
- 26. The Lic ence does c ontain the Co uncil's s tandard early c ancellation pr ovision all owing the cancellation of the licence for any reason on the giving of six months written notice. Ho wever, the Community Board does not have the de legated authority to cancel the Licence. A dec ision to c ancel the Lic ence m ust be made b y the f ull Counc il and m ay only be implemented in compliance with the provisions of the Property Law Act 2007.

Neighbours' easement rights

27. The proposed route of the cable car falls within the legal boundaries of 13 The Spur, but follows the route of an existing right of way easement consisting of a walking track and steps. The application for the Project Information Memorandum received by the Council from Eliot Sinclair acting for the owners of 13 The Spur, states that provision has been made in the cable car plans to retain the walking track and steps, or to reconstruct them as necessary.

- 28. The right of way easement over 13 The Spur is for the benefit of the properties at 12 The Spur, 2 Nayland Street and 2 Ar anoni Track. If the r ight of way easement will be interfered with by either the construction process, or by the operation of the cableway following construction, the owners of 13 The Spur will need to obtain the consent of the owners of these properties under the easement. It therefore appears that on the b asis of the information available to the Council, the neighbouring properties at 12 The Spur, 2 Nayland Street and 2 Aranoni Track have a viable and straightforward remedy available to them if they do not wish the cableway to proceed. That remedy is that the y can refuse to c onsent to an y request from the owner of 13 The Spur to disturb their easement rights.
- 29. If the cableway work was to be proceeded with by the owner of 13 T he Spur without obtaining that consent the owners of these properties would have a c ivil remedy available to them by applying to the Court to enforce their easement rights.
- 30. Any breach of the n eighbours' easement rights is a civil matter between the parties and would not involve the Council.

Regulatory consents required

- 31. The cableway works will also require a building consent under the Building Act 2004. In addition it appears from the Project Information Memorandum issued to the owners of 13 The Spur that a resource consent under the Resource Management Act 1991 will also be required. At the date of writing th is report no a pplications for a build ing consent or a r esource consent have been received by the Council.
- 32. A r esource c onsent ap plication c ould pos sibly b e pr ocessed on e ither a publicly notified or limited notification basis. If the ap plication is notified this will provide an opportunity for affected residents to make submissions.
- 33. Whether a r esource consent is required, and whether the app lication would be notified, cannot be determined with certainty at this stage. This will require an assessment of the final details of the cableway project against the relevant rules in the City Plan.
- 34. On the bas is of the r emoval of a tree situated within the I egal boundaries of 13 The Spur, the neighbours of 1 3 T he Spur h ave s uggested t hat work has a lready c ommenced on t he construction of the c ableway. The removal of an unprotected tree on private land is a matter exclusively for the o wner of the land in question, unless that r emoval d amages or undermines the pr operty of anot her p erson. T he nei ghbours acknowledged i n th eir d eputation to t he Community Board on 4 A ugust 20 10 that the tree was situated within the legal boundaries of 13 The S pur. The Co uncil is n ot aware of an y work on th e c ableway b eing c ommenced, however if work has been undertaken that requires a resource consent or building consent the enforcement team wil I deal with th ese m atters us ing t he usual enforcement too ls i n th e Resource Management Act 1991 and the Building Act 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

35. Yes, see above and Part Two of this report

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

36. Not applicable

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

37. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

38. Not applicable

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

39. See above

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 40. Section 78 L ocal Government Act 2002 requires the Community Board to tak e into ac count the views of all par ties lik ely to be af fected by its dec ision before any decision is made. This includes both the views of the owners of neighbouring properties and the views of the owners of 13 The Spur.
- 41. Accordingly, the parties likely to be affected by the decision have been advised that this report is to be considered by the Community Board and advised of their right to make a deputation to the Community Board meeting at which this report will be considered.
- 42. It is not ed th at r epresentatives of the o wners of a num ber of the pr operties nei ghbouring 13 The Spur made a deputation to the Community Board meeting of 4 August 2010.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board acknowledges the deputations and submissions that it has received on the issue of the proposed c ableway at 13 T he Spur and, recognising the civil remedies available to the p arties to s ettle this issue, resolves to tak e no further steps at this time.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

THE OPTIONS

Option 1 – Revoke the 8 November 2006 resolution

- 43. If the Community Board wished to r evoke the 8 N ovember 20 06 r esolution it c ould d o s o. However, revocation of the resolution would have no impact on the Licence.
- 44. Standing Orders provide only two ways that a previous resolution of a Community Board may be revoked.
- 45. Firstly, Standing Order 3.9.15 provides that a resolution may be revoked if a notice of motion to that effect is given to the Chief Executive by the Community Board member wishing to move it at least 5 clear working days before the meeting at which it is proposed to consider the motion. In addition, the Notice of Motion must be s igned by not less than o ne third of the members of the particular Community Board. The Chief Executive is then r equired to g ive the Community Board members at least 2 clear working days notice of the intended motion.
- 46. Secondly, St anding O rder 3.9.18 pr ovides that a Com munity Board m eeting may, on a recommendation contained in a report by the Chairperson or Chief Executive, revoke all or part of a r esolution previously passed at a meeting. At least two clear working days notice of any meeting to consider the revocation must be given to Community Board members and the notice of the meeting must be accompanied by details of the proposal to be considered.
- 47. Given the procedural matters referred to above, the option of revoking the 8 November 2006 resolution will not be a vailable to the C ommunity Board at the meeting when this report is considered. This option would require adoption of either of the two procedures outlined above and consideration of any proposal at a subsequent meeting.

Option 2 – Recommend to the Council that the Licence be cancelled

- 48. The Lic ence does c ontain the Counc il's s tandard e arly c ancellation pr ovision allo wing th e cancellation of the lic ence for any reason on the g iving of six months written notice. However, the Community Board does not have the delegated authority to cancel the Licence. A decision to c ancel the Licence m ust be m ade b y th e f ull C ouncil a nd m ay o nly b e implemented i n compliance with the provisions of the Property Law Act 2007.
- 49. Staff do not recommend this option for the following reasons:
 - (a) Under clause 21 of the Licence the owner of 13 The Spur expressly acknowledges that the cableway will encroach on areas which are subject to the rights of way easements and that he is solely responsible for obtaining all consents necessary from the owners of the dominant land having the benefit of the easements prior to the construction of the cable way.
 - (b) The owners of the nei ghbouring properties at 2 Na yland Street, 2 Ar anoni T rack and 12 The S pur a ppear on the information a vailable to Co uncil s taff to have a remedy available to them under the right of way easement. If that easement will be interfered with by either the construction process, or by the operation of the cableway following construction, the owners of 13 The Spur will need to obtain the consent of the owners of these properties under the easement. The neighbouring properties at 1 2 The S pur, 2 Nayland Street and 2 Aranoni T rack therefore have a viable and s traightforward remedy available to them if they do not wish the cableway to proceed. That remedy is that they can simply refuse to consent to any request from the owner of 13 The Spur to disturb their easement rights. They would also be entitled to enforce their rights through the Court if necessary.
 - (c) Such a decision would not take account of the fact that the original application from the owner of 13 T he Spur c omplied with t he Cou ncil's app licable 'Structures on Str eets Policy' and met the oth er criteria recorded in the 2006 report. It would also ignore the fact that the owner of 13 The Spur appears to have acted in good faith in applying to the Community Board for a grant of the licence and entering into that Licence
- 50. Additional reasons are detailed in Part Two of this report.

Option 3 – Recommend to the Council that the Licence be cancelled and recommence the Licence application process.

- 51. This opti on is a viable o ption t hat c ould be c onsidered by t he Community Board and t he Council.
- 52. However, staff do not recommend this option for the following reason:
 - (a) The owners of the nei ghbouring properties at 2 Na yland Street, 2 Ar anoni T rack and 12 The S pur a ppear on the information a vailable to Co uncil s taff to have a remedy available to them under the right of way easement. If that easement will be interfered with by either the construction process, or by the operation of the cableway following construction, the owners of 13 The Spur will need to obtain the consent of the owners of these properties under the easement. The neighbouring properties at 1 2 The S pur, 2 Nayland Street and 2 Aranoni T rack therefore have a viable and s traightforward remedy available to them if they do not wish the cableway to proceed. That remedy is that they can simply refuse to consent to any request from the owner of 13 The Spur to disturb their easement rights. They would also be entitled to enforce their rights through the Court if necessary.

53. Additional reasons are detailed in Part Two of this report.

Option 4 – Take no further steps (the preferred option)

- 54. The reasons why this is the preferred option are as follows:
 - (a) On one hand, it appears that the owners of 13 Th e Spur have acted in good faith in applying to the Community Board for a grant of the licence and entering into that Licence. Their application complied with the appli cable policy and met the criteria relevant at the time.
 - (b) On the other hand, the owners of the properties at 12 The Spur, 2 Nayland Street and 2 Aranoni Track argue that they did not provide their consent before the 2006 Community Board resolution was adopted.
 - (c) The owners of the neighbouring properties at 12The Spur, 2 Nayland Street and 2 Aranoni Track have a remedy available to them under the right of way easement. If that easement will be interfered with by either the cons truction process, or by the operation of the cableway following construction, the owners of 13 The Spur will need to obtain the consent of the owners of these properties under the easement. The neighbouring properties at 12 The Spur, 2 Nayland Street and 2 Aranoni Track therefore have a viable and straightforward remedy available to them if they do not wish the cableway to proceed. That remedy is that they can refuse to consent to any request from the owner of 13 The Spur to disturb their easement rights. Further, they would be entitled to enforce that right through the Court if that was necessary.
 - (d) Under clause 21 of the Licence the o wner of 13 The Spur expressly acknowledges that the cableway will encroach on areas which are subject to the rights of way easements and that he is solely responsible for obtaining all consents necessary from the owners of the dominant land having the benefit of the eas ements prior to the construction of the cable way.
 - (e) Accordingly, as the owners of the neighbouring properties have a civil remedy available to them to prevent or control the proposed cableway by acting to enforce their easement rights, the staff recommendation is that the Council should adopt a pragmatic stance and not take any steps to disturb the 2006 resolution or the Licence.
- 55. Additional reasons are detailed in Part Two of this report.

Investigation into paragraph 4 of the 2006 staff report

- 56. Paragraph 4 of the 2006 staff report on this matter stated that the owners of 13 The Spur had "obtained consent from the adjoining landowners for the location of the garage in front of their property and the cableway that run s parallel to their properties". However, the owners of a number of the properties neighbouring 13 The Spur made a deputation to the Community Board meeting of 4 August 2010 and a sserted that t hey did not in fact provide consent for the proposed cableway in 2006.
- 57. Council staff have conducted an investigation into why paragraph 4 was in cluded in the 2006 staff report. It now a ppears that the staff member who wrote the report in 2006 misunderstood the Council's oblig ations to con sult with intere sted or affected persons. It a ppears that the report writer considered that the proposed garage affected the 'frontager' rights of the property at 8 The Spur and that the consent of that owner was the refore re quired. Council staff understand that con sent was in fact obtained from the owner at 12 The Spur in relation to the proposed ga rage that was not proceeded with. However, as it was considered that the proposed cableway base station only impacted on the 'frontager' rights of 13 The Spur it was concluded that no further consents from other persons were required. 'Frontager' rights are the legal rights that a landown er possesses to acce ss their property from all points of an adjacent legal ro ad. It appears that the report writer was u naware of the Council's obligations under section 78 of the Local Government Act 2002 to consider the views and preferences of persons likely to be affected by, or having an interest, in the matter.
- 58. New reporting templates and report approval processes have been implemented since 2006 to ensure th at staff are aware of the Coucil's consultation obligations under the Local Government Act 2002.