

RICCARTON WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 23 NOVEMBER 2010

AT 5PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH**

Community Board: Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Ishwar Ganda, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk, and Peter Laloli.

Community Board Adviser

Liz Beaven

Telephone: 941-6501

Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

	CLAUSE	
PART B	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 2 NOVEMBER 2010
PART B	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
PART B	4.	PETITIONS
PART B	5.	NOTICES OF MOTION
PART B	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	7.	BRIEFINGS
PART A	8.	MOUNT MAGDALA CEMETERY - PROPOSED LAND DONATION
PART A & C	9.	CHAPPIE PLACE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION AND TRAFFIC SIGNALS
PART C	10.	LILLIAN STREET – PROPOSED P10 PARKING RESTRICTION
PART C	11.	GOULDING AVENUE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION
PART C	12.	BOARD REPRESENTATIONS ON EXTERNAL ORGANISATIONS AND COMMITTEES
PART C	13.	TE REPO SUBDIVISION - PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT OF WAY NAMING
PART C	14.	APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – KATHRYN BLINCOE

23.11.2010

- 2 -

- PART C 15. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – SAMUAL ANGELO
- PART C 16. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RACHEL SPAIN
- PART C 17. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – WHARENUI WATERPOLO CLUB
- PART C 18. APPLICATIONS TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – COMMUNICATING WITH THE COMMUNITY MEDIA ARTICLES AND 2011 ANZAC DAY EXPENSES
- PART B 19. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER’S UPDATE
- PART B 20. ELECTED MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- PART B 21. MEMBERS’ QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 2 NOVEMBER 2010**

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 2 November 2010 are **attached**.

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 2 November 2010 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

3. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

4. **PETITIONS**

5. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

6. **CORRESPONDENCE**

7. **BRIEFINGS**

8. MOUNT MAGDALA CEMETERY - PROPOSED LAND DONATION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Tom Lennon, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Riccarton Wigram Community Board's recommendation to the Council to accept the property known as the Mount Magdala Cemetery as a gift to the City from the owner, The Good Shepherd Convent Trust Board.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Good Shepherd Convent Trust Board has approached the Council offering to transfer ownership of the old Mount Magdala Cemetery to the Council as a gift.
3. Care, control and management of the Mount Magdala Cemetery have already been vested in the Council under the Burial and Cremation Act by New Zealand Government Gazette 2008 p2700.
4. The land identified for donation, totalling approximately 2,958 square metres, is described as Lot 323 DP 423266 contained within Certificate of Title 490510 (**Attachment 1**).
5. As care, control and management of the Mount Magdala Cemetery have been vested with the Council on a permanent basis it make sense for the ownership of the land to also be transferred to the Council.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. There are no financial implications associated with the transfer of the Mount Magdala Cemetery to the Council as the transfer will be on a nominal value basis and the cost associated with the ownership transfer process will be covered by The Good Shepherd Convent Trust Board. In addition the property is non rateable.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes. Page 128 of the LTCCP provides cemeteries which:
 - (a) Meet current, future and diverse cultural burial and remembrance needs;
 - (b) Preserve cultural heritage and green space;
 - (c) Meet health and safety requirements;
 - (d) Are accessible, attractive and well-maintained.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. An agreement for sale and purchase of the land at a nominal purchase price of \$1.00 plus GST (if any) will be entered into with The Good Shepherd Convent Trust Board. The introduction of the nominal value of \$1 is required as you cannot legally have a contract without a consideration of some form or value.
9. The nominal purchase price reflects the proposed gift. The agreement is conditional upon various matters, including a condition that the gift is acceptable to the Council.
10. A decision to accept land as a gift does not fall within the delegated authority of the Community Board. The Board does however have recommendatory powers to the Council.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Draft Open space Strategy page 56. Existing and historic cemeteries integrated into open space system with enhanced street, waterway and park links.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Draft Open Space Strategy page 56. Existing and historic cemeteries integrated into open space system with enhanced street, waterway and park links.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board recommend to the Council to accept from The Good Shepherd Convent Trust Board the transfer of ownership of the Mount Magdala Cemetery (Lot 323 DP 423266) as a gift to the Council at a nominal value of \$1 plus GST if any.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

17. By notice in the New Zealand Government Gazette on 19 June 2008 and under the provisions of the Burial and Cremation Act 1964, the Mount Magdala Burial Ground (known as Mount Magdala Cemetery) was declared closed. Burials in Mount Magdala Cemetery were discontinued from and including 19 December 2008.
18. Also by notice in the Government Gazette of 19 June 2008, the control and management of the closed burial ground known as the Mount Magdala Cemetery comprising 2,958 square metres was transferred to the Christchurch City Council. Council's control and management responsibility over the Mount Magdala Cemetery became effective from the date of the official closure of the cemetery, 19 December 2008.
19. As control and management of the Mount Magdala Cemetery was transferred to the Council on a permanent basis, the cemetery current owners, The Good Shepherd Convent Trust Board, and Council officers have agreed that the ownership of the land should preferably vest with the Council for convenience and practicality.
20. Following discussions between the parties it was agreed that, subject to the Council's approval, the ownership of the Mount Magdala Cemetery identified as Lot 332 DP 423266 contained within Certificate of Title 490510 be transferred to the Council at a nominal value of \$1 plus GST if any.

THE OPTIONS

21. The options are:

- (a) To accept the gift of land as proposed;
- (b) To decline to accept the gift as proposed.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

22. Option (a) is the preferred option as to decline to accept the gift would do nothing to rectify and tidy up a situation where control and maintenance responsibilities have been transferred to the Council whilst the land was still under another party's ownership.

9. CHAPPIE PLACE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION AND TRAFFIC SIGNAL

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Paul Forbes, Assistant Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to retrospectively approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the West and East side of Chappie Place and that the Board recommend to the Council to approve the traffic signals and the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at all times on Main South Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Staff received a request from Progressive Enterprises Ltd, that a no stopping restriction be installed in conjunction with the traffic signals at the intersection of Main South Road and Chappie Place. A report was prepared with the intention of the report being presented to the Board prior to the construction of the traffic signals. However, the report was inadvertently misplaced. Construction is now complete. Staff now seek retrospective approval of the traffic signals and broken yellow 'no stopping' lines. Please refer to the attached plan (**Attachment 1**).
3. As part of the Resource Consents for the overall site at the end of Chappie Place (RMA20008163/6) which includes the new progressive supermarket (Countdown), the Chappie Place / SH 73a intersection was required to be signalised. This results in the need to include 'no stopping' restrictions at the intersection and along Chappie Place so the intersection can perform adequately with appropriate turning lanes. No consultation was carried out following the approval of the Resource Consent.
4. Main South Road is currently State Highway 73A and as such is under jurisdiction of New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA), however following the completion of stage 1 of the Christchurch Southern Motorway (CSM), this road will revert back to the Christchurch City Council.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. There was no cost to the Council as this work was carried out by the developer, Progressive Enterprises Ltd.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Not applicable, refer paragraph 5.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
8. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated 9 December 2010. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control Devices.
9. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/ or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.
10. Although Main South Road is a State Highway, the Council is being asked to resolve the traffic signals and broken yellow 'no stopping' lines on Main South Road in anticipation of becoming the owner in the future.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Pedestrian Strategy 2001, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Consultation was completed during the resource consent process RMA20008163/6.
17. The officer in Charge- Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.
18. NZTA as the road controlling authority have been consulted and agree with recommendation 2 of the staff recommendations.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

1. It is recommended that the Board recommend to the Council to approve:
- (a) That traffic signals be installed at the intersection of Main South Road and Chappie Place;
 - (b) That the give way control previously on Chappie Place at the intersection with Main South Road be revoked;
 - (c) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Main South Road commencing at west kerb line in Chappie Place and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 69 metres;
 - (d) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Main South Road commencing at the east kerb line in Chappie Place and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 23 metres;
 - (e) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Main South Road commencing 25 metres east of the west kerb line in Chappie Place and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 57 metres.
2. It is recommended that the Board approve:
- (a) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Chappie Place commencing at the north kerb line in Main South Road and extending in a generally north westerly direction for a distance of 142 metres;

23.11.2010

- 9 -

- (b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Chappie Place commencing at the north kerb line in Main South Road and extending in a generally north westerly direction for a distance of 163 metres;

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

10. LILLIAN STREET – PROPOSED P10 PARKING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Paul Forbes, Assistant Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for a P10 Parking Restriction to be installed on the south side of Lillian Street.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Staff have received a request from the owners of the dairy on Lillian Street that a time limited parking restriction be installed in front of the shops on Lillian Street and that the no-stopping lines outside number 4 Lillian Street be reduced to allow for more parking on the street. Please refer to the attached plan (**Attachment 1**).
3. Lillian Street is a local road that runs between Halswell Road and Ensign/Wales Streets. Lillian Street is approximately 110 metres long.
4. Lillian Street currently has 34 metres of no-stopping restrictions on the northern side starting from its intersection with Halswell Road running generally in a westerly direction. There are no other parking restrictions in place on Lillian Street.
5. The group of shops on Lillian Street consists of a dairy, a hair salon, a florist, a beauty therapist, a real estate office and a fish and chip shop. The proposed P10 parking restriction is aimed at meeting the demands for short term parking in front of the shops on Lillian Street such as the dairy and the florist. This proposed parking restriction will leave approximately 24 metres of unrestricted parking on the south side of Lillian Street outside the shops to provide for longer term parking. There is more unrestricted parking available further down Lillian Street or across the road on the north side outside the private residences.
6. Initially all residents and businesses of Lillian Street were consulted on the proposed changes to parking restrictions on Lillian Street which included the installation of P10 time restricted parking on the south side of Lillian Street outside the dairy and for the existing no-stopping lines on the north side of Lillian Street to be reduced by approximately 10 metres. Staff received eight replies to the consultation. Of the replies, seven were in full support of the proposal. The other reply did support the proposed P10 but did not support the proposed reduction in length of the no-stopping lines stating that morning traffic on Lillian Street going onto Halswell Road often queues past the existing no-stopping lines and the length should not be reduced. Staff have carried out surveys and support the retention of the existing no-stopping lines. The surveys also identified that there was always long term parking available, albeit not directly adjacent to the shops. The attached plan (**Attachment 1**) shows the area of the proposed no-stopping lines and also the area of no-stopping lines that are to remain unchanged.
7. A P10 parking restriction operates from 8am to 6pm seven days a week excluding public holidays.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$250.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
11. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated 8 October 2010. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control Devices.
12. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Pedestrian Strategy 2001, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

17. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. Refer to paragraph 6 for public consultation details.
19. Halswell Residents Association were consulted on the proposal. No response was received.
20. The officer in Charge- Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes on the southern side of Lillian Street commencing at a point 15 metres from Halswell Road and extending in a generally westerly direction for a distance of 12 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

11. GOULDING AVENUE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Acting Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Paul Forbes, Assistant Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Goulding Avenue.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Council staff have received a request from Dress-Smart Hornby that a no stopping restriction be installed in between the two southern entrances to Dress-Smart. (**Attachment 1**).
3. Goulding Avenue is a local road with P120 time restricted parking along both sides of the street.
4. Owing to an oversight during the recent expansion of Dress-Smart which includes a new ramp to the upper level car parks of the shopping complex there is now a short section (four metres) of unrestricted parking in between the new ramp and the entrance to the ground level car park and loading dock of the shopping complex. This section of unrestricted parking is now causing safety issues for vehicles exiting both the upper levels and the ground level car parks when a vehicle is parked there.
5. The minimum length for a car parking space between vehicle crossing is seven metres. At present any vehicle longer than two metres is in breach of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule 2004 paragraph 9.6.2 which states: "That no private vehicle can park within one metre of a vehicle crossing".
6. As this proposal is considered to be a safety matter and will not detrimentally effect anyone, no public consultation was carried out.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$50.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. The installation of road markings is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2009 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
10. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated 8 October 2010. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control Devices
11. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Pedestrian Strategy 2001, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. As no residents were considered to be directly affected by this proposal, no public consultation was carried out.
18. There is no residents' association representing this area.
19. The Officer in Charge- Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve:

- (a) That any existing parking restrictions at any time on the northern side of Goulding Avenue up to a distance of 49 metres from Shands road be revoked.
- (b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Goulding Avenue commencing its intersection with Shands Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 49 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

12. BOARD REPRESENTATION ON EXTERNAL ORGANISATIONS AND COMMITTEES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to invite the Board to give consideration to the appointment of Board representatives on local external organisations and committees.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. For the 2010/13 term, and in accordance with the practices before then, the Board is invited to consider making appointments to the following local community organisations and committees:

- Christchurch Streets and Garden Awards Committee – One Member
- Christchurch Neighbourhood Week – One Member
- Halswell Domain Sports Association – One Member
- Hornby Community Care Trust – One Member
- Keep Christchurch Beautiful – One Member
- Neighbourhood Support Canterbury – Two members (one elected/one community)
- Residents' Associations – Two members to each (in a liaison role only)
 - Awatea Residents' Association
 - Central Riccarton Residents' Association
 - Deans Ave Precinct Society – One Member
 - Halswell Residents' Association
 - Ilam and Upper Riccarton Residents' Association
 - Kennedy's Bush Road Neighbourhood Association
 - Middleton Matipo Community Association
 - Riccarton Park Residents' Association
 - Riccarton/Kilmarnock Residents' Association
 - Steadman Road Residents' Association
 - Templeton Residents' Association
 - Westmorland Residents' Association
 - Wigram Residents' Association
 - Yaldhurst Rural Residents' Association
- Riccarton Bush Trust – Two members
- Riccarton Business Association – One Member
- Riccarton Volunteer Library – One Member
- Te Whare o Nga Whetu – One Member
- Templeton Holy Chapel Family Trust – One Member

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3. Where Board members are appointed to external organisations, their attendances at meetings will be covered by their elected member's salary. Thus, there are no financial implications apart from mileage allowances for attending such meetings.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

4. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

5. There are no direct legal issues involved.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

6. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider appointing Community Board representatives to outside organisations and committees as listed in paragraph 2 of this report.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

13. TE REPO SUBDIVISION - PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT OF WAY NAMING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Environment Policy & Approvals Manager
Author:	Bob Pritchard, Subdivisions Officer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval to one new road name and one new right of way name in Te Repo Subdivision

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new road and right of way names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure it will not be confused with names currently in use.

Te Repo Subdivision Halswell Road and Milns Road

The first stage of this subdivision will create 13 new allotments. Access to these allotments will be via a new road and right of way accessing off Milns Road. Three names are proposed for the new road, in order of preference they are:

- (a) Red Barn Road,
- (b) Old Red Barn Road and
- (c) Small Red Barn Road.

The owner has supplied the following in support of these names "At the end of the present road stood a very old (approx 150 years) two storey barn which was very quaint and eye-catching. It had become a landmark and every local knew about the old red barn in which many of their children had wonderful games. Its rust coloured roofing iron had turned a delightful orange and it still was clad with the original macrocarpa timbers which were painted in red lead paint. Painting groups and artists would often come to place its colours on canvas. We have been given several of the resulting paintings. In the background was a much newer and bigger barn which had little character compared with the old red barn.

Three names in order of preference are proposed for the right of way:

- (a) Jennabelle Lane,
- (b) Jenni's Lane,
- (c) Belle's Lane.

The owner has supplied the following background for these names "The reason for these names are they are named after my two daughters - Jennifer and Annabelle - now aged 32 and 30 respectively, who both trained first, their ponies and then their horses, for 25 years in this area while they were representing Canterbury in many competitions including dressage, show jumping, cross country and three day eventing". Council's policy on road and right of way naming does discourage the use of personal names unless the name submitted has a connection with the land, or is that of a prominent Cantabrian or New Zealander. In this case the combined name Jennabelle does have a local connection, for 25 years, and the combined name represents two Canterbury representatives. The name is not proposed for a legal road to vest in the Council, but for a private right of way which will be held in private ownership.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. The Council has a statutory obligation to approve road names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. There are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Where proposed road names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and New Zealand Post. In this case, the Subdivision Officer - Road Naming does not believe these names will cause confusion, therefore Land Information New Zealand and New Zealand Post have not been consulted in this instance.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Firstly, consider and approve the name Red Barn Road for the new road to access Te Repo Subdivision from Milns Road.
- (b) Secondly, consider and approve the name Jennabelle Lane for the private right of way accessed from Red Barn Road within Te Repo Subdivision.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

13. There are no issues

THE OBJECTIVES

14. Approval by the Community Board of the road names proposed in this report

23.11.2010

- 18 -

THE OPTIONS

15. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

16. Approve the names as submitted by the applicant, and as recommended by staff above.

14. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – KATHRYN BLINCOE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Denise Galloway, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant Kathryn Blincoe, is a university student aged 22 years and lives in Avonhead. Kathryn is seeking a grant towards costs associated with travelling to Australia as part of the Christchurch Youth Choir.
3. Kathryn has been in the Christchurch Youth Choir for two years, playing the viola. She attends weekly rehearsals and additional rehearsals leading up to concerts. The orchestra participates in concerts every few months. Kathryn has been a member of various other bands playing the trombone. She also plays the piano.
4. The Australian tour will consist of five performances including the Sydney Conservatorium, Singleton, Gulgong, Mudgee, and Dubbo. Fifty adults will be travelling including the conductor, and manager/chaperone.
5. Kathryn has a part-time job, so most of her earnings go towards her living expenses. She has paid \$650 towards the trip so far. An amount of \$700 is due by the 25 October, which Kathryn is able to pay from her savings. The Orchestra has applied for funds on behalf of the whole group, however the outcome of this application is as yet unknown.
6. Kathryn believes that being a part of this tour will provide her with the experience of playing in a touring orchestra. She also thinks that this opportunity will motivate the whole orchestra to continue to play their best and put on a great performance for each of the centres that they are visiting.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

KATHRYN BLINCOE	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares	785
Accommodation (Backpackers)	330
Transport	320
Insurance	45
Incidental costs	20
Food	360
TOTAL	1,860.00

8. This is the first time Kathryn Blincoe has applied to the Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme.
9. At time of writing this report there was an unallocated balance of \$2,200 in the Youth Development Scheme.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton Wigram Community Board grant Kathryn Blincoe \$500 from the 2010/11 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme as a contribution towards her trip to Australia as part of the Christchurch Youth Orchestra Tour.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

15. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – SAMUAL ANGELO

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Denise Galloway, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant Samual Angelo is 18 years of age and lives in Templeton. Sam is seeking a grant towards costs associated with attending an Outward Bound course at Awakiwa from 4 January to 24 January 2011. Sam is in his final year at Christchurch Boys' High School.
3. Outward Bound is the leading organisation in New Zealand for showing people their full potential through challenge and adventure in the outdoors. With up to 13 individuals and two dedicated and skilled instructors, Sam will have the chance to push his boundaries and experience activities such as rock climbing, tramping, sailing, and kayaking. Sam wants to attend the Classic Course for 18-26 year olds. This involves a 21 day adventure over which time they will experience, in depth, all the outdoor elements and activities that Outward Bound has to offer.
4. Sam is a keen swimmer and has successfully participated in many competitions. Last year he was the fastest 17 year old, over 50 metres in the National Spring Meet competition. Sam was also the 1500 metre Open Champion when he was 15 years of age.
5. Sam believes that attending the Outward Bound Course will help him to develop and grow as a person, as well as giving him a focus towards a future direction. He intends to go to university next year.
6. Sam works part time, (12 hours per week) and is putting all his earnings towards the cost of the Outward Bound Course. His parents are prepared to loan him money towards the course if he has not raised enough funds before the required time. However, Sam will need to reimburse his parents when he returns from the course.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

SAMUAL ANGELO	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Accommodation, gear, food and two highly qualified instructors for the Classic Outward Bound Course (as quoted by Outward Bound)	3,680
TOTAL	3,680

8. This is the first time that the applicant has applied to the Community Board's Youth Development Scheme.
9. At time of writing this report there was an unallocated balance of \$2,200 in the Youth Development Scheme.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board grant Samuel Angelo \$500 from the 2010/11 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme as a contribution towards the costs associated with attending an Outward Bound Course in Awakiwa in January 2011.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

16. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RACHEL SPAIN

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Denise Galloway, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant Rachel Spain is a student aged 16 years and lives in Avonhead. Rachel is seeking a \$500 grant towards costs associated with attending a Scout Jamboree from 28 December 2010 to 7 January 2011 at Mystery Creek Hamilton. Approximately 4000 young people will be attending this event.
3. Rachel has been going to Venturers for three months, once a week. She intends to keep participating for as long as she can. Venturers is for young people between the ages of 13 to 19 years. Rachel will be attending the Jamboree as a leader. Her role will be as a photographer and she will be taking photos of the event which will be put on a website each day. The photos will be put on a CD and be an integral part of a book about the Jamboree, which will be published in February.
4. Rachel attends Hillview Christian School and is a high achiever academically. In 2009 she was awarded the Platinum Star Award and the Gold Star Award in 2008 from her school. When she completes high school she wishes to continue her studies at University. She is considering a career in business, accounting, computers or science. Rachel has a range of interests including cooking, arts and crafts, maths and running. Rachel won the Hillview Christian College cross country, 2004-2008, and came 15th in the Canterbury Cross Country.
5. Rachel has raised \$107.20 towards the cost of attending the Jamboree. This amount has been raised through sausage sizzles. Rachel intends to continue fund raising after her exams, by doing jobs for people.
6. Rachel believes that attending the camp will enable her to become more confident and develop her leadership skills. She also thinks that by developing her leadership skills she will grow more as a person which will assist her in whatever career she chooses to follow in the future.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:
8. This is the first time Rachel has applied to the Community Board's Youth Development Scheme.
9. At the time of writing this report there was an unallocated balance of \$2,000 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme.

Rachel Spain	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Camp Fee	390
Airfares	300
Bus Travel	80
Uniform	45
TOTAL	815

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton Wigram Community Board grant Rachel Spain, \$500 from the 2010/11 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme as a contribution towards the costs of attending the 2010/11 Scout Jamboree in Hamilton.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

17. APPLICATION TO THE RICcarton WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – WHARENUI WATERPOLO CLUB

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Wharenuui Waterpolo Club have three athletes that reside in the Riccarton Wigram ward, who are seeking Community Board support to travel to Tauranga to compete in the U14 Waterpolo Nationals. This trip will take place 16-19 December 2010.
3. Representatives from Riccarton/Wigram ward :
Harriett Clyma
Laura Southon
Henry Muskee
4. The Wharenuui Waterpolo Club is based at the Wharenuui Sports Centre and was re-established in January this year after closing down in 1985. Since that time QE11 was the only water polo club in Christchurch. Club membership has steadily increased over the year to 150 members ranging from Under 10 to Under 18 age groups.
5. New Zealand Water Polo do not have formal qualifying criteria for teams to enter the Nationals, however, there is the expectation that teams have actively competed in local and regional tournaments. The Wharenuui Club teams have competed in the Wellington and Dunedin regional tournaments this year achieving respectable results considering that they are all first year players.
6. The total cost per person to compete at the Nationals is \$612 which includes air travel, accommodation, food and local transfers. Team members have been actively fundraising selling cook books and running raffles.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

Wharenuui Waterpolo Club – cost per player	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares	332.00
Accommodation	140.00
Food	100.00
Ground Transport	40.00
TOTAL	612.00

8. This is the first time the applicants have applied to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board for funding.
9. At the time of writing this report, there was a balance of \$2,800 in the Youth Development Scheme.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$100 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme to each of the applicants from the Wharenui Waterpolo Club, totalling \$300, as a contribution towards their expenses for their trip to Tauranga to compete in the Water Polo Nationals.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

18. APPLICATIONS TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – COMMUNICATING WITH THE COMMUNITY MEDIA ARTICLES AND 2011 ANZAC DAY EXPENSES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Democracy Services
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to consider two applications for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund for:
 - (a) Communicating with the Community Media Articles; and
 - (b) ANZAC Day Expenses.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Riccarton/Wigram Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*

6. Based on this criteria, the application from (group name) for (project name) is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrixes. (**Attachment 1** and **Attachment 2**)

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. At the time of writing this report there is currently \$10,531 unallocated remaining in the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board grants:

- (a) \$3,500 from the 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund towards the Community Board's Communication with the Community Media Articles; and
- (b) \$1,000 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund towards 2011 ANZAC Day expenses.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation (a) be further discussed and that the staff recommendation (b) be adopted.

23.11.2010

- 29 -

- 19. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
- 20. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- 21. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**