12. PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT-OF-WAY NAMINGS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Environment Policy and Approvals Manager
Author:	Bob Pritchard, Subdivisions Officer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval to two new road names, and one new right-of way-name.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The approval of proposed new road and right-of-way names is delegated to Community Boards.
- 3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure they will not be confused with names currently in use.

4. Cameo Grove Subdivision – K O'Donnell

This subdivision creates 40 new residential allotments, with the access off Burwood Road via Cameo Grove (**Appendix 1**). Cameo Grove has been upgraded from a right-of-way to a legal road. The new allotments are served by two new internal roads, and a private right-of-way. The property was (at least as far back as 1966), the location of the "Premier Poultry Farm". In recognition of this, the development company proposes to name the two roads and right-of-way after breeds of poultry. The larger cul-de-sac is proposed to be named Araucana Way. The Araucana chicken originated in Chile and is known for the blue eggs it lays. The second cul-de-sac is proposed as Serama Place. The Serama is a bantam chicken originating from Malaysia and is known for being one of the lightest chickens in the world. The right-of-way is proposed as Minorca Lane. The Minorca chicken originated in Spain and is one of the larger Mediterranean chickens.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. The Council has authority to approve right-of-way names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. Yes. There are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Where proposed road or right-of-way names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and New Zealand Post.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider and approve the proposed road and right-of way-names as submitted for the Cameo Grove Subdivision off Burwood Road.

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

14. There are no issues.

THE OBJECTIVES

15. Approval by the Community Board of the road and right-of way-names proposed in this report.

THE OPTIONS

16. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

17. Approve the names as submitted by the applicant.