

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

MONDAY 15 MARCH 2010

AT 5PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
CORNER BERESFORD AND UNION STREET,
NEW BRIGHTON**

Community Board: David East (Chairman), Nigel Dixon, Tina Lomax, Gail Sheriff, Tim Sintes, Linda Stewart and Chrissie Williams.

Community Board Adviser
Peter Dow
Phone 941-5305 DDI
Email: peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 1 MARCH 2010**
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
- PART B 4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**
- PART B 5. NOTICES OF MOTION**
- PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE**
- PART B 7. BRIEFINGS**
 - 7.1 New Aranui Library**
- PART C 8. BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME- FUNDING APPLICATIONS FROM JAMES FAIRBAIRN, VINCENT PAUL, ERIC GOLDSBURY AND BOWEN SCREEN**
- PART B 9. 2008/09 STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND, SMALL GRANTS FUND, AND DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – END OF PROJECT ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTS**
- PART C 10. BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2010/11 – BOARD BIDS**
- PART C 11. BURWOOD/PEGASUS SMALL GRANTS FUND ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE - COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES**

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

15. 3. 2010

- 2 -

PART C	68	12.	BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD'S 2009/10 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – APPLICATION - ANZAC WREATHS
PART B	69	13.	RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS
PART B	69	14.	COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE 14.1 Upcoming Board Activity
PART B	69	15.	BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 1 MARCH 2010**

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 1 March 2010, are **attached**.

3. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

4. **PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**

5. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

6. **CORRESPONDENCE**

7. **BRIEFINGS**

7.1 **NEW ARANUI LIBRARY**

Staff from the Libraries and Information Unit will update the Board on this project.

8. BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME - FUNDING APPLICATIONS FROM JAMES FAIRBAIRN, VINCENT PAUL, ERIC GOLDSBURY AND BOWEN SCREEN

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services DDI 941-5332
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
Author:	Jacqui Miller, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present for the Board's consideration, four applications for funding assistance from the 2009/10 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by four applicants, James Fairbairn, 17 years old of Shirley, Eric Goldsbury, 16 years old from Bexley, Vincent Paul, 18 years old from Aranui and Bowen Screen, 18 years old from Dallington, to attend the National Youth and Open Touch Championships as Canterbury representatives, to be held from 4 to 6 March 2010 in Auckland.
3. This year's National Touch Tournament will have over 45 teams from throughout New Zealand competing in mens, womens and mixed grades, from under 19's to over 45's age groups. The tournament provides the applicants with the opportunity to play at an elite level and to learn and develop skills from other teams and players attending the tournament. Participation at the tournament will also give the applicants the opportunity to be selected for the Under 21 New Zealand Mens Team for the Trans Tasman Competition in 2012.

(a) James Fairbairn

James is a school prefect at St Andrew's College and has been involved with touch for the past five years. He is a member of the mixed touch team who has been recently placed third at the Touch Nationals for schools in 2009 and second in 2008. James has also played touch for Canterbury in the U17, U21 and South Island Mainland U17 team for the past three years. James has also played in the St Andrew's College First 15 rugby team for the past three years, also representing Canterbury in rugby since he was seven years of age. James' other sporting achievements include school volleyball, at which he has played in the top team for the past three years and was placed in the top 40 players at the schools nationals.

James works part-time for Vbase in catering for large events. James feels that by attending the Club Touch Nationals in Auckland he will be able to gain more experience within the touch community and also make more friendships. James has raised approximately \$150 towards the costs of this trip through sponsorship of a 10 kilometre run and selling chocolate raffles. He is a first time applicant to this funding scheme.

(b) Eric Goldsbury

Eric is in his final year at high school as a pupil at the Kura Kaupapa Maori. As this is a small school, school sports teams have been hard to form, therefore Eric registered at the Belfast Rugby Club. In 2007 he started playing touch rugby for Blaze and has steadily improved and subsequently selected for the Canterbury Touch team. Eric is enrolled with the Aranui League Academy to further his career path in sport, either as a professional player or as a coach.

8. Cont'd

Eric also has a passion for Kapa Haka and has represented Canterbury in both the senior and high school national competitions. Eric works a part-time job with Kotane the Kapa Haka performing group at Willowbank and has raised \$100. He is hoping to raise further money through the 10 kilometre sponsored run. In February 2009, Eric received funding assistance of \$175 from the Hagley/Ferrymead Youth Development fund for costs towards accommodation and airfares to attend the senior national kapahaka competition in Tauranga as member of Te Ahikaaroa Kapahaka. Accountability for funds have been received by staff and the group Te Ahikaaroa Kapahaka performed at the LYFE (Linwood Youth Festival) event in March 2009.

(c) Vincent Paul

Vincent is a full-time student in his second year studying at the New Zealand Institute of Sport in Christchurch towards a Diploma in Exercise Prescription. His sporting interests include rugby league, (he plays for the Aranui Eagles premier team), touch, tennis, volleyball, soccer and softball. He also currently coaches both years five and six boys and girls touch teams at Avondale Primary School, the rugby team at Avondale Primary School and the Aranui High School's year 9 touch team.

Vincent feels that his participation in this tournament will benefit him as a player as he will be competing in the highest youth mens grade for touch. It will also give Vincent the opportunity to be selected for the Under 21 New Zealand mens team for the Trans Tasman Competition in 2012.

(d) Bowen Screen

Bowen finished high school at the end of 2009 and is about to start a commerce degree at Canterbury University this year. Bowen has been working full time during the summer holidays on a diary farm to save funds for his University fees and living expenses. He intends finding a part-time job to support his living costs during this year. Bowen has been playing touch at New Brighton for approximately four years. This is Bowen's first time at being selected to represent Canterbury and he feels very proud of this achievement. Bowen coached touch to year 9 and 10 teams when at school.

Bowen feels that the experience he will gain from competing at this level at the tournament will also be of benefit for the teams he will coach. Bowen also coaches similar age groups in athletics and swimming. Bowen also enjoys others sports including mountain biking, swimming, tennis, rugby, winter touch and snowboarding. Bowen has not previously received funding from this scheme. Bowen anticipates raising \$190 through fundraising, raffles, selling chocolates and sponsorship of a 10 kilometre run.

8. Cont'd**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

4. The following table details event expenses and funding requested by the applicants:

(a)

EXPENSES FOR NATIONAL TOUR TOURNAMENT	Cost (NZ \$)
Accommodation (\$43 per night)	\$172
Flights	160
Entry Fees	110
Team Costs including – uniform, equipment, physio, petrol, admin, National Entry fee, and first aid	300
Accommodation	172
Food	100
Rental Vans and petrol	71
Total Costs per applicant	\$810
Amount raised by:	
James Fairbairn	150
Eric Goldsbury	150
Vincent Paul	150
Bowen Screen	190
Amount requested (each)	\$500

5. This is the first time that three of the applicants; James Fairbairn, Vincent Paul, and Bowen Screen, have applied for funding from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board in the 2009/10 financial year.
6. Eric Goldsbury received \$175 of funding assistance from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board Youth Development Fund in 2008/09 for costs towards the National Kapa Haka Championships.
7. There is currently a balance of **\$1,900** available in the 2009/10 Youth Development Scheme fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. This fund aligns with the 2009-19 LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

11. The applications align with the Youth Strategy and the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board allocate James Fairbairn, Vincent Paul, Eric Goldsbury and Bowen Screen \$200 each from its Youth Development Fund to attend the National Touch Tournament being held in Auckland from the 3 to 7 March 2010.

9. 2008/09 STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND, SMALL GRANTS FUND, AND DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – END OF PROJECT ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Natalie Dally, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to provide the Board with an end of year accountability report for projects that received funding from the 2008/09 Strengthening Communities Fund (SCF), Small Grants Fund (SGF) and Discretionary Response Fund (DRF). An end of year accountability report for the Board's 2008/09 Key Local Projects (KLP) is also included (**attachment 4**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The amount of the Council's SCF allocated by the Board for the 2008/09 financial year was \$280,000.
3. Successful applicants of the SCF are required to submit a six month accountability report and an end of project accountability report. Attached to this report is a matrix detailing the information received on the end of project accountability reports (**attachment 1**).
4. In 2008/09 a new reporting system using a Results Based Accountability framework was introduced. This system uses three key questions to measure the impact and efficacy of projects.
 - How much did you do?
 - How well did you do it?
 - Is anyone better off?
5. The amount of the Council's SGF allocated by the Board for the 2008/09 financial year was \$85,000.
6. Successful applicants of the SGF are only required to submit an end of project accountability report. Attached to this report is a matrix detailing which projects have returned an accountability report and includes staff comments where necessary (**attachment 2**).
7. The amount of the Community Board's DRF for the 2008/09 financial year was \$60,000.
8. Successful applicants of the DRF are also only required to submit an end of project accountability report. Attached to this report is a matrix detailing the information received on the end of project accountability reports (**attachment 3**).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. On 21 July 2008, the Board allocated its Strengthening Communities Funding (\$280,000) across 39 projects.
10. On 19 August 2008, the Board allocated its Small Grants Funding (\$85,000) across 36 projects.
11. During the period of 1 July 2008 to 30 June 2009, the Board allocated a total of \$63, 650 from its Discretionary Response Fund across 14 projects, \$3,204 of this was returned or unallocated.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes, see LTCCP page 103 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

9. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. There are no direct legal issues involved in this review process.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

14. Yes, see LTCCP page 103 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.
16. Funding allocations made contributed to fulfilling the Council's 2006-16 Strategic Objectives (Strong Communities) and Community Outcomes (Governance and Community), are aligned with the Strengthening Communities Strategy 2007, and contribute to meeting the Board's Objectives for the 2006-09 period.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. Yes, as per paragraph 15 above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board receive the information.

9. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

END OF PROJECT ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTS

19. In total, 89 projects received a grant in 2008/09 from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's Strengthening Communities Fund, Small Grants Fund, or the Discretionary Response Fund.
20. Staff are following up with any group that has not completed their end of project accountability report. It should be noted that groups who were allocated funding for the 2009/10 year did not have their funding released until an accountability report for 2008/09 had been received.

NEW ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES – RESULTS BASED ACCOUNTABILITY

21. In 2008/09, a new accountability system, based on a Results Based Accountability framework developed by Mark Friedman, was implemented to better measure the impact and efficacy of the projects funded.
22. Results Based Accountability starts with the desired 'ends' and works backward, step by step, to the 'means'. For example – for communities, the ends are conditions of well-being for children, adults, families and the community as a whole such as residents with good jobs, a safe neighbourhood, or a clean environment.
23. The system uses three basic questions:
 - How much did you do?
 - How well did you do it?
 - Is anyone better off?
24. Mark Freidman is a speaker, consultant and author of the book *'Trying Hard Is Not Good Enough: How to Produce Measurable Improvements for Customers and Communities'*. Mr Friedman directs the Fiscal Policy Studies Institute (FPSI) in Santa Fe, New Mexico. His work has been used in over 40 states in America and countries around the world, including Australia, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, Ireland, the Netherlands and Norway.
25. Mark Freidman gave a presentation on the Results Based Accountability System for elected members on 10 June 2009.
26. All groups that received funding in the 2008/09 year were invited to attend a seminar with Mark Friedman on 5 December 2008. The seminar explained the reasoning behind Results Based Accountability and showed groups how to measure their project's outcomes in this way.
27. Staff have also been trained on the Results Based Accountability System and have been available to groups to help them to complete their accountability reports.

10. BURWOOD PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2010/11 – BOARD BIDS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941- 8607
Officer responsible:	Acting Unit Manager Community Support
Author:	Marion Gillanders, Community Engagement Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to consider the projects that will be put forward on behalf of the Board to the Strengthening Communities Fund for 2010/11.
2. The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board decision making meeting is scheduled for 12 July 2010.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. At the Council meeting on 10 December 2009 it was resolved to amend the Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme Operational Procedures for the Strengthening Communities Fund, Small Grants Fund and Discretionary Response Fund Local, effective for the 2010/11 Funding round, by adding the following:

“That the Council officers be instructed that as a matter of future policy they are not to apply for funding from this source for Council projects.”

4. In previous years, Units have made applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund for local projects including community events and various recognition awards. Following the decision by the Council, applications to the fund for these types of projects will now need to come from elected members.
5. Attached to this report is a table that outlines potential projects that the Board may wish to put forward for consideration for the 2010/11 Strengthening Communities Fund (**Attachment 1**). These projects have been agreed as part of Unit work programmes. Also attached is a list of local Board projects that received funding from the two previous years funding rounds (**Attachment 2**).
6. Subsequent to the Board identifying which projects it would like to put forward as applications, staff will assess each project and include these on the decision matrix along with the other applications received for Strengthening Communities Fund.
7. The Board's Funding Seminar to be held on 14 June 2010 will give members the opportunity to review all applications received from the community and elected member bids, in order to clarify any issues or seek further information about any of the projects. This seminar will be public excluded.
8. If an elected member would like to put forward other local projects for consideration as part of the Strengthening Communities Fund, the member will need to complete an Elected Member Bid Application Form. This application will then be assessed by staff and considered at the Strengthening Communities Fund seminar and decision meetings, alongside all other applications. Staff will be available to assist members in completing the application form, if required.
9. At its meeting on 10 December 2009, the Council further resolved to amend the Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme Operational Procedures with the following:

"Amend the Strengthening Communities Strategy and the criteria for the Strengthening Communities Fund - Local to allow Community Boards to create a Youth Development Fund to allocate funding for Youth Development Grants."

10. Cont'd

"Amend the Strengthening Communities Strategy and the criteria by removing the "cap" of up to \$10,000 for the Youth Development Fund."

10. In previous years Boards have been able to establish a Youth Development Fund (YDF) of up to \$10,000 from their Discretionary Response Fund.
11. Most Boards fully spent their allocation with some Boards further topping it up during the year dependant on demands on the fund.
12. From 2010 Boards can choose to establish a YDF from their Strengthening Communities Fund and the option still remains to also use their Discretionary Response Fund for this purpose.
13. Boards desiring to have a YDF for 2010 from the Strengthening Communities Fund should include this as a Board bid following the process outlined above.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

14. Yes, the LTCCP pages 176 and 177 refer regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

15. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

16. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, refer LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in Attachment 1 – Projects to Consider 2010/2011 and approve a list of projects to be submitted as applications to the 2010/11 Strengthening Communities Fund.

10. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

19. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
 - (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
 - (b) Small Projects Fund
 - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
 - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme.

20. The following funding outcomes have been used to evaluate and assess applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund:
 - Support, develop and promote the capacity and sustainability of community recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups
 - Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
 - Increase community engagement in local decision making
 - Enhance community and neighbourhood safety
 - Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills
 - Reduce or overcome barriers to participation
 - Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need.

21. The following funding priorities have been taken into consideration when assessing applications:
 - Older Adults
 - Children and Youth
 - People with Disabilities
 - Ethnic and Culturally Diverse Groups
 - Disadvantaged and/or Socially Excluded
 - Capacity of Community Organisations
 - Civic Engagement.

22. The following criteria must be met by all applicants:
 - A community based not-for-profit community, recreation, sporting, arts, social service, environment or heritage organisation.
 - All groups applying for more than \$2000 must be incorporated under the Incorporated Societies Act 1908 or the Charitable Trusts Act 1957 or be a legal entity registered for charitable purposes.
 - Be based in the Christchurch City Council area with funded programmes or services being provided primarily for Christchurch City Council residents.
 - Must have provided accountability reports for all previous Council funding and have no unresolved or outstanding accountability issues including outstanding debt to the Council.
 - Must have had the funding application approved at a properly convened committee meeting and in writing.
 - Must provide evidence of the need for the project.
 - Have appropriate financial management, accounting, monitoring and reporting practices.
 - Have sound governance and appropriate operational capability and capacity to deliver to the level as agreed.
 - Be able to commit to collaboration and partnering, where appropriate.

10. Cont'd

- Groups receiving Council funding at a metropolitan level may only apply for local funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the metropolitan level.
- Community Boards may decide in conjunction with Council Units to deliver activities to their local communities.

TIMELINE AND PROCESS

23. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting. All groups will then be informed of the decisions and funding agreements will be negotiated where relevant. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2010.

11. BURWOOD/PEGASUS SMALL GRANTS FUND ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE - COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941- 8607
Officer responsible:	Acting Unit Manager Community Support
Author:	Natalie Dally, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek a decision from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board regarding the appointment of community representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for the 2010/11 funding round.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. On 10 December 2009, a report to the Council from the Grants Working Party on Community Funding Criteria Changes recommended that:

Recommendation H: Small Grants Fund - Community Representation on Small Grants Funding Committees

No community representatives on Small Grants Fund Metropolitan decision making body.

Individual Community Boards to decide if they wish to retain community representatives.

Recommendation I: Small Grants Fund - Metropolitan Small Grants Funding Committee

Metropolitan Small Grants Subcommittee be comprised of a maximum of five Councillors, with full delegated authority.

As per the Recommendation H, the Committee would not include any Community Representatives.

3. As a result of these recommendations, the Council resolved to:

"Disestablish the Metropolitan Small Grants Funding Subcommittee comprising both Councillors and community representatives from 31 May 2010";

"Establish a Metropolitan Small Grants Fund Subcommittee comprising Councillors Johanson, Shearing, Wall, Button, Corbett and Buck (with the Deputy Mayor as ex officio) to take effect from 1 June 2010 to allocate the Small Grants Fund – Metropolitan, to eligible applicants whose projects are consistent with the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy and LTCCP."

4. As per recommendation H (above) in the *Grants Working Party Criteria Changes Report*, Community Boards have the opportunity to decide if they wish to continue to appoint community representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for the 2010/11 funding round.
5. If the Board wishes to appoint community representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee, staff will begin the nomination process for representatives. After nominations have been received, staff will report back to the Board (Public Excluded) with details of nominees for the Board to decide on its community representatives.
6. If the Board decides to appoint community representatives to the Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee, it is recommended that four to six community representatives be appointed for a one year term for the 2010/11 funding round.

11. Cont'd

7. It is further recommended that in considering the appointment of community members to this Committee, the Board should consider the make up of the local community. In the past, the following involvement areas/skills have been advertised when calling for community nominations and are seen as a guideline to assist in covering the various sectors within the local community:
 - Disabled, sport and recreation, arts and culture, welfare and social services, Maori, ethnic groups, environment and heritage.
 - Interest and involvement in community issues/groups.
 - Some experience in committee processes.
 - Knowledge of various communities of interest.
 - The ability to be articulate and assertive.
8. In 2008, the Board established its Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee and appointed five community representatives for the period June 2008 to May 2010. At that time, five Board members were appointed to the Committee for a term of three years ie. 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, the LTCCP pages 176 and 177 refer regarding community grants schemes including Community Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Yes. Under the Local Government Act 2002, a Council, or Community Board, may appoint committees, subcommittees other subordinate decision making bodies and joint committees (clause 30, Schedule 7). Council's and Community Board's also have the power to appoint or discharge any member of a committee (clause 31(1)). Such committees, etc are "**subject in all things to the control of the local authority [or read community board], and must carry out all general and special directions of the local authority given in relation to the committee or other body or the affairs of the committee or other body**" (clause 30(3)).
11. The minimum number of members for a "committee" is three, with a quorum being two (One of whom must be an elected member), or the quorum can be a greater number, as determined by the community board. At least one member of a committee must be an elected member of the Board, but an employee of the local authority cannot be a member (if they are acting in the course of their employment). Clause 31 also provides:

"(3) The members of a committee or subcommittee may, but need not be, elected members of the local authority [community board], and a local authority or committee may appoint to a committee or subcommittee a person who is not a member of the local authority or committee if, in the opinion of the local authority, that person has the skills, attributes, or knowledge that will assist the work of the committee or subcommittee."
12. Clause 26(3) is also relevant, as it provides that the Council/Community Board may appoint a member of a committee to be the chairperson of the committee, or if a chairperson is not appointed then the power of appointment may be exercised by the committee. A Deputy Chairperson can also be appointed to act in the absence of a chairperson (clause 26(4)). This person will preside at any meeting if the chairperson is absent from a meeting. However, if a Deputy Chairperson has not been appointed or if they are also absent then the members of the committee that are present must elect one of their number to preside at the meeting.

11. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, the LTCCP pages 176 and 177 refer regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board decide whether or not they wish to appoint community representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for the 2010/11 funding round.

12. BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD'S 2009/10 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – APPLICATION - ANZAC WREATHS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941- 8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Peter Dow, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's consideration of a funding application to the Board's 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund for the purchase of wreaths to commemorate ANZAC Day 2010.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In recent years the Board has provided three wreaths to be laid at ANZAC day commemorations throughout the Burwood/Pegasus ward. The cost of each wreath for the 2010 events is \$130 therefore totalling \$390.
3. Staff propose that for 2010, the funding for these wreaths be again provided from the Board's 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

4. Yes, pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding, refers.
5. There is an unallocated balance of \$34,981 currently available in the Board's 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

6. Yes, there are no direct legal considerations. In accordance with the Council's delegations granted to the Boards, the Board has '*absolute discretion over the implementation of the discretionary funding (subject to being consistent with any policies or standards or resolutions adopted by the Council.)*

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

7. Yes, paragraph 4 above refers.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

8. Yes, the recommendation in this report does align with the Strengthening Communities Strategy.
9. The recommendation also aligns with the following Board Objectives in 2010:
 - '2. The Board will seek to increase local community participation in Council and Board decision making including the promotion of Board outcomes and the sharing of information with the community'.

12. Cont'd

- *'7. The Board will support and encourage residents to participate in local recreation, leisure and cultural activities'.*

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider approving an allocation of \$390 from its 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund for the purchase of three wreaths for ANZAC Day 2010.

13. RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY ORGANISATIONS

A representative from the Northshore Residents' Association will update the Board on the activities of the group at 6pm.

14. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

14.1 UPCOMING BOARD ACTIVITY (TABLED)

15. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS