

RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 1 JUNE 2010

AT 5PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH**

Community Board: Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Judy Kirk, Mike Mora and Bob Shearing.

Community Board Adviser

Liz Beaven

Telephone: 941-6501

Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

CLAUSE

- | | | |
|---------------|------------|---|
| PART B | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 18 MAY 2010 |
| PART B | 3. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
2.1 Mr Bruce Owers – Maidstone Road Street Tree Removal. |
| PART B | 4. | PETITIONS |
| PART B | 5. | NOTICE OF MOTION |
| PART B | 6. | CORRESPONDENCE |
| PART B | 7. | BRIEFINGS
7.1 Carolyn Robertson, Unit Manager Libraries and Information. |
| PART C | 8. | 201 MAIDSTONE ROAD – REMOVAL OF SILVER BIRCH TREE |
| PART C | 9. | LESLIE PARK - HORNBY RUGBY LEAGUE FOOTBALL CLUB INCORPORATED
PROPOSED LEASE |
| PART C | 10. | WIGRAM AERODROME SUBDIVISION - PROPOSED ROAD NAMING |
| PART C | 11. | RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE MEETING REPORT –
24 MAY 2010 |
| PART B | 12. | COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE |
| PART B | 13. | ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE |

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

1. 6. 2010

- 2 -

	CLAUSE	
PART B	14.	MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS
PART C	15.	RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 18 MAY 2010

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 18 May 2010 are **attached**.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 18 May 2010 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

- 3.1 Mr Bruce Owers, resident of Maidstone Road, will discuss with the Board his request for the removal of the street tree outside his residence at the corner of Maidstone Road and Colina Street.

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICE OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

- 7.1 Carolyn Robertson, Unit Manager Libraries and Information, will brief the Board on the roles and responsibilities of the Libraries and Information Unit.

8. 201 MAIDSTONE ROAD – REMOVAL OF SILVER BIRCH TREE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Authors:	Jonathan Hansen, Arborist Transport and Greenspace Tara Smith, Consultation Leader Transport and Greenspace

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's decision on the potential removal of a Silver Birch street tree located outside the property at 201 Maidstone Road, on the corner of Colina Street and Maidstone Road in Avonhead (refer **Attachments 1 and 2**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has received a request from the resident at 201 Maidstone Road to remove the street tree outside his residence. The issues revolve around the dropping of seeds and pollen/allergens from this tree.
3. The tree is in good condition for its species with the trunk having a slight lean towards the road.
4. An arboricultural assessment was carried out to evaluate the health, condition, value and hazard rating of the tree.
5. Any future tree removal initiated by the Council would be in relation to health and safety or infrastructure damage.
6. There are currently no health and safety concerns associated with this tree which would warrant the Council to initiate its removal.
7. There are some trees on the applicant's property that have allergen causing properties and also a number of Council owned Silver Birches in the immediate vicinity.
8. For the reasons above the staff recommendation is that the request to remove the Silver Birch street tree outside the property at 201 Maidstone Road, on the corner of Colina Street and Maidstone Road, be declined.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. The cost to remove and replace the tree with PB95 grade tree is estimated at \$1,300 (including the cost of watering and mulching the tree over the first three years) which equates to 11 per cent of the value of the asset.
10. Although the STEM evaluation raw score is 78 points (which provides a valuation for the tree at \$8,100). Taking into account the negative influences of the tree, the STEM evaluation score is reduced to 72 points, which provides a valuation at \$7,500.
11. STEM (A Standard Tree Evaluation Method) is the New Zealand national arboricultural industry standard for evaluating and valuing amenity trees by assessing their condition and contribution to amenity along with other distinguishable attributes such as stature, historic or scientific significance.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes.

8 Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. The Transport and Greenspace Manager has the following delegation with respect to trees:

“In consultation with any other units affected and the relevant Community Board, authorise the planting or removal of trees from any reserve or other property under the Manager’s control.”
14. While the Transport and Greenspace Manager has the delegation to remove the Silver Birch tree, current practice is that requests to remove healthy and structurally sound trees are placed before the appropriate Community Board for a decision.
15. Under the delegations to Community Boards, the Board has the authority to “plant, maintain and remove trees on reserves, parks and roads” under the control of the Council within the policy set by the Council.
16. Protected street trees can only be removed by a successful application under the Resource Management Act. This tree is not listed as protected under the provision of the Christchurch City Plan.
17. The Council has a responsibility under the Health and Safety in Employment Act 1992 to provide a healthy and safe environment. This extends to public spaces under its administration and ownership.
18. The following City Plan policies may be of some benefit when considering the options:

Volume 2 Section 4 City Identity

4.2.1 Policy: Tree Cover

To promote amenity values in the urban area by maintaining and enhancing the tree cover present in the city.

Tree cover and vegetation make an important contribution to amenity values in the city. Through the redevelopment of sites, existing vegetation is often lost and not replaced. The City Plan protects those trees identified as “heritage” or “notable” and the subdivision process protects other trees which are considered to be “significant”. The highest degree of protection applies to heritage trees.

Because Christchurch is largely built on a flat plain, trees and shrubs play an important role in creating relief, contributing to visual amenity and attracting native birds.

The amount of private open space available for new planting and to retain existing trees is influenced by rules concerning building density and setback from boundaries. The rules do not require new planting for residential development but landscaping is required in business zones.

4.2.2 Policy: Garden City

To recognise and promote the “Garden City” identity, heritage and character of Christchurch.

A key aspect of achieving this policy will be maintaining and extending environments and vegetation types which compliment this image. A broad range of matters influence and contribute to this image, including the following:

- (a) Tree-lined streets and avenues.
- (b) Parks and developed areas of open space.

8 Cont'd

14.3.2 Policy: "Garden City" image identity

To acknowledge and promote the "Garden City" identity of the City by protecting, maintaining and extending planting which compliments this image.

Volume 3: Part 8 Special Purposes Zone

14.3.5 Street Trees

Nearly half the length of streets within the city contain street trees, but the presence of very high quality street trees which add considerable presence to streets and neighbourhoods is confined to a relatively small proportion of the road network. These streets add particular character and amenity of the city, either in the form of avenues which form points into the city, or an important part of the local character of particular streets.

19. An application to prune or remove the tree may be made to the District Court under the Property Law Amendment Act 1975.
20. The District Court can order the pruning or removal of a tree under the Property Law Amendment Act 1975.
21. Any work carried out in relation to this Silver Birch tree is to be completed by a Council approved contractor.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

22. Yes, as per above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

23. LTCCP 2009 -19:

Streets and Transport – page 77

- (a) Governance – By enabling the community to participate in decision making through consultation on plans and projects.
 - (b) City Development – By providing a well-designed, efficient transport system and attractive street landscapes.
24. Funding is available in the Transport and Greenspace Unit Street Tree Capital Renewals budget for the removal and replacement of trees which are no longer appropriate species or no longer appropriate in their current position.
 25. Retention of the tree is consistent with the Activity Management Plan provided the tree is structurally sound and healthy.
 26. Removal and replacement of the tree is consistent with the Activity Management Plan.
 27. Removing and not replacing the tree is not consistent with the Activity Management Plan.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009 19 LTCCP?

28. Yes, as per above.

8 Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

29. Removing and replacing the trees would be consistent with the following strategies:
- (a) Christchurch City Council Biodiversity Strategy 2008-2035.
 - (b) Christchurch Urban Design Vision.
 - (c) Garden City Image as per the City Plan.
30. There is currently no policy for the pruning or removing of trees in public places. A draft Tree Policy is currently being developed.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

31. Yes, as per above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

32. The consultation on the potential removal of this tree was carried out in February 2010. A letter and a questionnaire were sent to 29 residents surrounding the area of the Silver Birch tree (refer **Attachments 3 and 3A**).
33. Residents were asked whether they supported or did not support the removal of the Silver Birch tree. Eleven submissions were received in reply. Five (45 per cent) did not support the removal of the Silver Birch tree and six (55 per cent) did support the removal of the Silver Birch tree (refer **Attachment 4**).
34. In summary those who did not support the removal of the tree did not support removing a tree if it is healthy and not causing a safety issue and they liked the aesthetic value of the tree(s) in this street and surrounding streets. Those who did support the tree removal had concerns over the high number of Silver Birch trees in this street and surrounding streets and were concerned with allergy issues.
35. Those who responded to the questionnaire were also advised of the decision making process and how they could be involved (refer **Attachments 5, 5A and 5B**).

BACKGROUND

36. Council staff have assessed this particular tree and recorded the tree as being in good condition with the trunk of the tree having a slight lean towards the road. The tree is approximately seven and a half metres in height with a crown spread of approximately five metres. As there are currently no major health and safety concerns associated with the tree any decision on its removal would rest with the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board.
37. The Council's records show that the tree was planted in 1988.
38. In regard to removing Silver Birches and the effect it would have on any allergen issue the Canterbury District Health Board (Canterbury DHB) have advised staff the following:

"...when it comes to intervention the main problem is that the lack of research in this area, so it comes down to theorising. Obviously if there were no birch trees in NZ no-one would become allergic to them (assuming no immigration/emigration) - what is unclear is how many would then become allergic to something else, and whether their symptoms would be more or less severe. This scenario is also obviously entirely theoretical, and once you move to an actual practical situation things become even more complex.the arguments about selecting new trees for planting based on allergenicity are probably stronger in scientific terms than the arguments for removing existing plantings"

8 Cont'd

39. Silver Birch pollen is very small, is dispersed by wind, and therefore can travel a considerable distance. The pollen is produced at the time of year that coincides with perennial ryegrass pollen and Canterbury's naturally windiest period.
40. The advice from the Canterbury District Health Board is that it is unknown as to whether or not a lack of Silver Birch trees would mean that people become allergy free or whether they are allergic to something else and continue to suffer.
41. Grass pollen is a well known allergen because of the amount of pollen it produces. Perennial ryegrass is considered among the worst. Christchurch is surrounded by large amounts of perennial ryegrass which results in heavily pollen laden air in spring and summer. This is due to the amount of pollen that grass produces combined with the strong winds that naturally occur in Canterbury at the time the pollen is produced. The pollen producing season is longer than that of the Silver Birch (early spring to late autumn) and overlaps the Birch pollen season at both ends. This means that people who think they may be allergic to Silver Birch may in fact be allergic to grass pollen (or another tree or shrub).
42. There is a significant number of common trees and shrubs (both native and exotic) that have a similar or worse allergen rating to that of Silver Birch. Included are Christchurch's five most commonly planted street and park trees along with most of Christchurch's iconic trees. Similarly, there are many shrubs in both street and park gardens, as well as private gardens, that have similar or worse allergen ratings to that of Silver Birch.
43. The property at 201 Maidstone Road has a privately owned Silver Birch tree and other privately owned trees on the Maidstone Road frontage, which may also cause allergies. The following trees and their allergy rating are located on the Maidstone Road frontage of the property - *Platanus sp* (plane tree) which has an allergy rating of nine, *Banksia* which has an allergy rating of three and *Azara microphylla* (vanilla tree) which has an allergy rating of four, Silver Birch trees have a rating of seven.
44. There are eleven other Council owned Silver Birch trees within a 100 metre radius of the property at 201 Maidstone Road. This is not including the trees within Brigadoon Reserve.
45. The Council direction to staff in August 2007 was –

"There is to be no city wide removal and replacement of Silver Birches for supposed health associations. The removal of Silver Birches or similar, are to be evaluated on a case by case basis and only to be removed for tree health and safety reasons, with them being replaced by another tree species."

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Decline the request to remove the Silver Birch tree outside the property at 201 Maidstone Road, on the corner of Colina Street and Maidstone Road.
- (b) Request staff continue to maintain the tree at 201 Maidstone Road to internationally recognised and accepted arboricultural standards, practices and procedures.

9. LESLIE PARK- HORNBY RUGBY LEAGUE FOOTBALL CLUB INCORPORATED PROPOSED LEASE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport & Greenspace
Author:	Tony Hallams, Leasing Consultant Corporate Support

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to grant a new lease over land at Leslie Park to the Hornby Rugby League Football Club Incorporated (the Club) for the continued occupation of their existing pavilion (club house).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The 33 year lease issued by the previous Paparua District Council to the Hornby Rugby League Football Club Incorporated has expired.
3. The Club seeks a new lease to continue occupying the land on which their existing pavilion is situated. The Council's Transport and Greenspace Unit supports a new lease being granted for a period of 11 years, with two further rights of renewal of 11 years each.
4. The main use of the pavilion is for members to meet and engage in social activities associated with rugby league football. Rugby league football is the main recreational purpose of the Club. Chans Martial Arts holds classes twice a week, with a six week break over Christmas. Occasionally, the hall area and lounge bar are used for private functions mainly for the benefit of members, and by some Fulton Hogan staff for union meetings. The premises do not have a history of noise complaints associated with activities at the site, with only one unsubstantiated noise complaint investigated by the Council's contracted noise control service on 25 June 2006.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The Club has submitted copies of its financial accounts dating back to 2005, and membership numbers over the last five years, which are summarised below.
6. The Club membership numbers for 2009 consisted of 88 seniors, 13 life members, and 122 junior members, giving a total of 223 members. The average annual membership figure for the last five years is 215 members, officers being of the view that membership is of sufficient numbers to adequately support the Club maintaining their present facilities, and meaningful Club competition.
7. The Club financial accounts dating back to 2005 have been reviewed. Officers have formed the view that to date the Club has been relatively financially sound in maintaining assets and membership numbers.
8. Under the Council's Sports Club Leases Charging Policy lease charges are reviewed every three years. The rent under the new lease will be charged at the rate set by the Council for the period 2007-2010.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. The proposal will not impinge on Long Term Christchurch City Plan (LTCCP) budgets, with staff time required to put in place a new sports club lease being budgeted for in existing budgets. The cost of placing the advertisement in "The Press" and compilation of the new Deed of Lease by the Council Legal Services staff will be on charged to the Club.
10. The rent will be assessed in accordance with the Council's Sports Club Leases Charging Policy and will not have any adverse financial implications for the Council.

9 Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. The Board has delegated authority to authorise the granting of the proposed new lease within the provision of Section 54(1) (c) of the Reserves Act 1977.
12. The land is held as Recreation Reserve, and as such provisions 119 and 120 of the Reserves Act 1977 apply, in which the Christchurch City Council as administering authority must publicly advertise the proposal and consider any objections received in writing, before finally deciding whether or not to grant a new lease over the area the Club is presently occupying. The Council has publicly advertised the proposal to lease the Club's present area for a further 11 years, with two further periods of 11 years as conditional Rights of Renewal. No objections to the issuing of a new lease have been received.
13. There is an obligation on the Council to grant a new lease only if it considers there is sufficient need to continue to provide facilities for rugby league football, and there is not a greater demand for some other sport or recreational activity that will provide a greater public benefit. Membership numbers (including junior, senior social and life members), gauged annually, have remained stable since 2005, varying between 193 and 236 members, and therefore officers are recommending that a new lease be put in place.
14. Territorial authorities have been delegated by the Minister of Conservation pursuant to Section 10 of the Reserves Act 1977 authority to grant or decline a lease of land under Section 54 (1) (a) (b) (c) and (d) where the effects of the proposed use will be the same or similar in character, intensity, and scale. There will be no changes to these effects by granting the proposed lease, and therefore the Minister's delegation can be exercised.
15. As part of that delegation it is necessary for the Council to fulfil the requirements of Section 4 of the Conservation Act 1987, that being to consider its obligations to give effect to the Treaty of Waitangi. There are no cultural materials, or fresh water fisheries of importance to Ngāi Tahu within the area of Leslie Park to be leased to the Hornby Rugby League Football Club Incorporated, and the area is not identified as a site of significance to the tangata whenua in the Christchurch City Plan. Council officers have therefore considered the Council's obligations under Section 4 of the Conservation Act 1987, determining that this issue does not require specific consultation with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

16. Yes, see paragraphs 11-15 above. If the Board approves the proposal the Corporate Support Manager may conclude and administer the lease.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

17. The proposal is aligned with the LTCCP's Strong Communities Directions section. The fulfilment of the Club's ambitions by the Board approving the proposal will maintain the enjoyment that Club members and the public currently enjoy at the park.
18. The proposal is aligned with the LTCCP's Healthy Environment Strategic Directions section. The approval of the proposal will ensure the continuance of the character of the park/open space areas of Leslie Park for people's enjoyment.
19. The LTCCP's Liveable City Strategic Directions section prioritises improving the way in which public and private spaces work together. The approval of this application will maintain the private infrastructure on the park thereby maintaining the way it interrelates with the public park it is situated upon, which in turn will add to the value of the experiences both club members and the public can have at the park.

9 Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009 - 19 LTCCP?

20. Yes, refer to paragraphs 17 to 19 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

21. This application is aligned with the Christchurch Active Living Strategy by supporting members' mental stimulation, physical exercise, and enabling the general public to gain another experience in life by playing tennis.

22. This application also supports the Christchurch Visitor Strategy by maintaining an attraction that visitors both to Christchurch and the park can experience.

23. The approval of this application is in alignment with the Council's Strategic Direction to support Strong Communities. It encourages residents to enjoy living in the City and to have fun, thereby supporting Christchurch as being a good place to live.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

24. Yes, refer to paragraphs 21 – 23 above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

25. The Council has publicly advertised the proposal in accordance with the provisions detailed under the Reserves Act 1977. Refer paragraph 12 above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Approve the granting of a new lease to the Hornby Rugby League Football Club Incorporated over part of Leslie Park on which the pavilion is located, within the club's existing boundary, being approximately 800 square metres of Reserve 332 (held in the Canterbury Land Registry) being Recreation Reserve, forming part of Leslie Park, for a period of up to 33 years broken into three eleven year periods with rights of renewal at the end of the first two periods of 11 years.
- (b) Approve that any rights of lease renewal exercised by the Hornby Rugby League Football Club Incorporated are subject to the Council being satisfied with the lease terms and conditions being complied with, and that there is sufficient need for the sports, games, or other recreational activity specified in the lease, and that in the public interest some other sport, game, or recreational activity should not have priority.
- (c) Authorise the Corporate Support Manager in association with the Policy and Leasing Administrator (Network Planning Unit) to conclude and administer the terms of the lease, including the renewal provisions in (b) above.
- (d) Note that the Council's obligations under Section 4 of the Conservation Act 1987 have been considered, and determine that this issue does not require specific consultation with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, for the reasons set out in paragraph 15 of this report.

10. WIGRAM AERODROME SUBDIVISION – PROPOSED ROAD NAMING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Environment Policy & Approvals
Author:	Bob Pritchard, Subdivisions Officer Resource Management Team

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval for 11 new road names within the Wigram Aerodrome Subdivision

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new road names is delegated to Community Boards by the Council.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure it will not be confused with names currently in use.

Wigram Aerodrome Subdivision – Ngāi Tahu Property Limited

This is a further stage in the Wigram Aerodrome subdivision (**Attachment 1**). Several existing roads (Corsair Drive, Sioux Avenue, Mustang Avenue and Awatea Gardens are extended), and 11 new roads are to be established. A further list of 24 new names will be presented to the Board for approval at a later meeting for future roads in subsequent stages. The applicants have chosen several themes for the road names. The theme for this stage are the names of some of the first hundred pioneering students at the Flight School established by Sir Henry Wigram, later stages will use the names of aircraft, and native fauna relevant to the locality.

The 11 names proposed for this stage, all being student pilots, are:

Road A: Handley Place	Road B: Harston Street	Road C: Bennington Way
Road D: Gallagher Close	Road E: Fyfe Road	Road F: Buckhurst Avenue
Road G: Dalwood Drive	Road H: Napier Drive	Road K: Tapper Street
Road L: Edie Street	Road M: Douglas Street	

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. Council has a statutory obligation to approve road names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. There are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006 16 LTCCP?

9. Not applicable.

10 Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Where proposed road names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and New Zealand Post.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider and approve the following road names for the Wigram Aerodrome Subdivision.

Handley Place
Gallaghan Close
Dalwood Drive
Edie Street

Harston Street
Fyfe Road
Napier Drive
Douglas Street

Bennington Way
Buckhurst Avenue
Tapper Street

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

13. There are no issues.

THE OBJECTIVES

14. Approval by the Community Board of the road names proposed in this report.

THE OPTIONS

15. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

16. Approve the names as submitted by the applicant.

11. RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE COMMITTEE REPORT – 24 MAY 2010

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services
Officer responsible:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Transport and Greenspace Committee meeting held on Monday 24 May 2010.

The meeting was attended by Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Peter Laloli and Bob Shearing.

An apology for absence was received and accepted from Judy Kirk.

Jimmy Chen left the meeting at 10.25am and was absent for clauses 6 to 8 inclusive.

1. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

- 1.1 Mrs L P Smalley, resident of Ludecke Place, discussed with the Committee her request to have several street trees removed from the grassed berms of Ludecke Place.
- 1.2 Mr David Kent, resident of Ludecke Place, discussed with the Committee his concerns regarding the damage to his driveway by a street tree outside his home.
- 1.3 Mr Andrew Metherell, of Wigram Aerodrome Limited, discussed with the Committee the proposed installation of traffic lights at the intersection of Springs Road and Corsair Drive.

2. CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondence which was referred to the Committee by the Board were **received** from

- 2.1 A letter from residents of Ludecke Place requesting the Council to thin street trees in Ludecke Place. The Committee requested staff to organise an on-site visit to view the trees.
- 2.2 A letter from Mr D Kent regarding a street tree in Ludecke Place outside his property which he believes is causing damage to his driveway. Staff advised that they would inspect the tree and update the Committee on any remedial work.
- 2.3 A letter from the Marist Albion Rugby Football Club regarding the obscuring of lights by trees in Middleton Park. The Committee requested staff to investigate possible remedial work and advise the Committee.

3. NOTICE OF MOTION

Nil.

4. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

11 Cont'd

5. SPRINGS ROAD AND CORSAIR DRIVE INTERSECTION – INSTALLATION OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS

The Committee considered a report to approve the installation of traffic lights and associated traffic management at the intersection of Springs Road and Corsair Drive, along with parking restrictions on Corsair Drive, Springs Road and Garvins Road.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9 of this report.

6. MOBILE LIBRARY SERVICE – INSTALLATION OF PARKING RESTRICTIONS

The Committee considered a report for the approval of the installation of parking restrictions to provide the Council's Mobile Library Service vehicles with a consistent stopping place at each designated stop.

The Committee noted that the placement of the proposed Mobile Library Service Bus Stop in Wycola Avenue placed it on the vehicle entrance of the Community Centre. The Committee suggested that the Mobile Library could park in the Community Centre car park. Staff will investigate this option.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9 of this report.

7. BROKEN RUN/WIGRAM ROAD – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTIONS

The Committee considered a report to approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time at the intersection of Broken Run and Wigram Road.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9 of this report.

8. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Nil.

9. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Springs Road and Corsair Drive Intersection – Installation of Traffic Lights

That the Board recommend that the Council approve:

1. Installation of Traffic Signals

- (a) The installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Springs Road and Corsair Drive and the associated traffic management (as shown on Attachment 1) be approved.
- (b) That all vehicles are prohibited from turning right from Springs Road into Garvins Road.
- (c) That all vehicles entering Springs Road from Garvins Road must turn left.

2. Parking Restrictions

That the Board approve:

- (a) That all existing parking restrictions on the south eastern side of Springs Road, from Corsair Drive to 60 metres north east of Corsair Drive, be revoked.

11.9 Cont'd

- (b) That all existing parking restrictions on the south eastern side of Springs Road, from Corsair Drive to 48 metres south west of Corsair Drive, be revoked.
- (c) That all existing parking restrictions on the north western side of Springs Road, from 12 metres south west of Garvins Road to 100 metres of Garvins Road, be revoked.
- (d) That the existing give way control placed on Garvins Road at its intersection with Springs Road be removed.
- (e) That a give way control be installed on the slip lane from Springs Road into Corsair Drive.
- (f) That a give way control be installed on the slip lane from Corsair Drive into Springs Road.
- (g) That the marked (zebra) pedestrian crossing on Springs Road 45 metres north east from Corsair Drive be removed.
- (h) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north west side of Springs Road commencing at the intersection with Garvins Road and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 100 metres.
- (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north west side of Springs Road commencing at the intersection with Garvins Road and extending in a south westerly direction for a distance of 12 metres.
- (j) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north east side of Garvins Road commencing at the intersection with Springs Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 18 metres.
- (k) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south west side of Garvins Road commencing at the intersection with Springs Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 16 metres.
- (l) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south east side of Springs Road commencing at the intersection with Corsair Drive and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 55 metres.
- (m) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south east side of Springs Road commencing at the intersection with Corsair Drive and extending in a south westerly direction for a distance of 48 metres.
- (n) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south west side of Corsair Drive commencing at the intersection with Springs road and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 81 metres.
- (o) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north east side of Corsair Drive commencing at the intersection with Springs Road and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 76 metres.

9.2 Mobile Library Service – Installation of Parking Restrictions

That the Board approve:

- (a) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Tuesdays from 10am to 11am be installed on the eastern side of Kirk Road commencing at a point 65 metres north of Banks Street and continuing in a northerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.

11.9 Cont'd

- (b) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Tuesdays from 11am to 12 pm be installed on the eastern side of Hei Hei Road commencing at a point 40 metres south of Buchanans Road and continuing in a westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (c) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Thursdays and Fridays from 9am to 11am be installed on the southern side of Nicholls Road commencing at a point 65 metres west of Rearsby Drive and continuing in an easterly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (d) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Fridays from 11am to 12pm be installed on the northern side of Balcairn Street commencing at a point 200 metres west of Ensign Street and continuing in a westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (e) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Fridays from 12pm to 2pm be installed on the north west side of Bibiana Street commencing at a point 96 metres west of Kinsella Crescent and continuing in a south westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (f) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Thursdays from 11am to 12pm be installed on the western side of Lancewood Drive commencing at a point 33 metres north of Westlake Drive and continuing in a northerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (g) That a bus parking space restricted to Mobile Library vehicles only on Thursdays from 12pm to 1pm be installed on the western side of Ensign Street commencing at a point 270 metres north of Lillian Street and continuing in a northerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.

9.3 Broken Run/Wigram Road – Proposed No Stopping Restrictions

That the Board:

- (a) approve the following parking restrictions on Broken Run:
 - (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-western side of Broken Run commencing at its intersection with Wigram Road and extending in a south-easterly direction for a distance of 12 metres.
 - (ii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north-eastern side of Broken Run commencing at its intersection with Wigram Road and extending in a south-easterly direction for a distance of 12 metres.
- (b) approve the following parking restrictions on Wigram Road:
 - (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-eastern side of Wigram Road commencing at its intersection with Broken Run and extending in a north-easterly direction for a distance of 28 metres.
 - (ii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-eastern side of Wigram Road commencing at its intersection with Broken Run and extending in a south-westerly direction for a distance of 32 metres

The meeting concluded at 10.40am.

1. 6. 2010

- 18 -

- 12. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
- 13. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- 14. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**
- 15. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**