

**SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

WEDNESDAY 14 JULY 2010

AT 4PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM
PAPANUI SERVICE CENTRE
CORNER LANGDONS ROAD AND RESTELL STREET**

Community Board: Yvonne Palmer (Chairperson), Ngaire Button, Kathy Condon, Pauline Cotter, Aaron Keown, Matt Morris, and Norm Withers

Community Board Adviser:
Peter Croucher
Phone 941 5414 DDI
Email: peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz

**PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX

- | | | |
|---------------|-----------|---|
| PART C | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 30 JUNE 2010 |
| PART C | 3. | SHIRLEY PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND 2010/11 ALLOCATIONS |
| PART B | 4. | ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE |
| PART B | 5. | QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS |

14. 7. 2010

- 2 -

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES– 30 JUNE 2010**

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Wednesday 30 June 2010 are **attached**. The public excluded minutes of the meeting have been separately circulated to members.

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 30 June 2010, *both open and public excluded*, be confirmed.

3. SHIRLEY PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND 2010/11 ALLOCATIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support
Author:	Bruce Meder, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Shirley/Papanui Community Board to allocate the Shirley/Papanui Strengthening Communities Fund for 2010/11.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. This report provides information to Community Board Members on the applications received for the Strengthening Communities Fund and includes updated information following Board discussions at the Shirley/Papanui Board Funding Workshop on 9 June 2010.
3. The total pool available for allocation in 2010/11, as outlined in the LTCCP, is \$238,918. Pre-existing commitments total \$77,100, leaving \$161,818 to be allocated. Applications totalling \$483,510 were received. Current staff recommendations total \$152,900.
4. The Decision Matrix (circulated under separate cover as **Attachment 4**) outlines the projects that funding is being sought for. Following staff collaboration meetings, staff have ranked all projects as either Priority 1, 2, 3 or 4 and have made recommendations as to funding.
5. The Shirley/Papanui Board Funding Workshop on 9 June 2010 gave Community Board members the opportunity to go through the applications received in order to clarify any issues or questions about applications (**Attachment 3**).
6. The Shirley/Papanui Board has put forward no projects as Key Local Projects (KLPs) in 2010/11. These projects are not included on the attached matrix as they now appear on the Metropolitan matrix. The Shirley/Papanui Board already has three existing KLPs. These are funded from the Metropolitan funding pool.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Yes Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

10. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

3 Cont'd

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

11. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in the attached decision matrix and approve allocations from the Shirley/Papanui Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund for 2010/11.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

3 Cont'd

BACKGROUND

Strengthening Communities Strategy

12. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
 - (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
 - (b) Small Grants Fund
 - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
 - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme
13. For detailed information on the Strengthening Communities Strategy's Outcomes and Priorities please see **Attachment 1**. The specific criteria for the Strengthening Communities Fund is also attached, as **Attachment 2**.

The Decision Matrix

14. Information on the projects is presented in a Decision Matrix, circulated under separate cover as **Attachment 4**. To ensure consistency, the same Decision Matrix format and presentation has been provided to the Metropolitan Funding Committee and all Community Boards.
15. Applications are project-based; information is provided that relates specifically to the project for which funding is being sought, not the wider organisation.
16. All applications appearing on the Decision Matrix have been assigned a Priority Rating. The Priority Ratings are:
 - Priority 1** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 - Priority 2** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 - Priority 3** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 - Priority 4** Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities; or Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor); or other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.
17. Staff have used the following criteria to determine whether an application is a Priority One:
 - Impact the project has on the city
 - Reach of the project
 - Depth of the project
 - Value for Money
 - Best Practice
 - Innovation
 - Strong alignment to Council Outcomes and Priorities
 - Noteworthy leverage or partnership/match funding from other organisations or government departments.
18. A draft matrix was presented to the Board at a workshop on 9 June 2010, no decisions were made at the workshop. The purpose of the workshop was to enable the Board and staff to discuss the projects, clarify any issues and seek further information, if necessary.

3 Cont'd

Key Local Projects

19. Each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
20. The Shirley/Papanui Board has put forward no projects as Key Local Projects in 2010/11. These projects are not included on the attached matrix as they now appear on the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund matrix.
21. The Shirley/Papanui Board already has three existing KLPs. These are funded from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund. These are:

Name of Group and Project	Amount Funded
Shirley Community Trust (Salaries)	\$22,880
St Albans Residents Association (Staffing costs)	\$40,000
Papanui Youth Development Trust (Trust Manager)	\$27,000

Existing Commitments

22. The Board currently has four multi-year funded projects. Each of these projects was funded for a period of three years in 2008/09. This year is the final year of the agreement. Please see table below for details.

Name of Group and Project	Amount Funded
Te Ora Hou (Tane and Wahine programmes)	\$7,800
Northgate Community Services Trust (Community Youth Worker)	\$12,308
Neighbourhood Trust (Social and community services/programmes)	\$33,492
Belfast Community Network Inc. (Manager's salary)	\$23,500

Ineligible Applications

23. In total, one ineligible application was received. It is as follows:

Name of Applicant	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Ineligible Reason
St Albans Baptist Church	\$1,385,000	\$25,000	Building design and development is ineligible.

Timeline and Process

24. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting. All groups will then be informed of the decisions and funding agreements will be negotiated where relevant. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2010.

14. 7. 2010

- 7 -

4. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The purpose of this exchange is to provide a short brief to other members on activities that have been attended or to provide information in general that is beneficial to all members.

5. MEMBERS QUESTION