

11. STREET NAMING REPORT FOR AIDANFIELD

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Environment Policy & Approvals Manager
Author:	Bob Pritchard, Subdivisions Officer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval to three new road names within the Aidanfield Subdivision Stage 7b.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new roads and right of way names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure it will not be confused with names currently in use.

Aidanfield Stage 7b - McMahon Drive Extension

This stage of the subdivision will create ninety-five new residential allotments, and four new reserves, to be served by an extension to McMahon Drive, one new crescent shaped road, and two new cul de sacs. As with the proceeding stages of the Aidanfield subdivision, the names proposed continue the theme of road names recognising former Sisters of the Good Shepherd Community, and place names with a strong association to the community. The names proposed are Constance Place for the cul de sac serving Lots 756 – 765, Rosario Place for the cul de sac serving Lots 780 – 801, and Mariposa Crescent for the crescent shaped road (see **attached** plan).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. Council has a statutory obligation to approve road names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. There are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Yes.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Not applicable.

11. Cont'd

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Where proposed road names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and NZ Post.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider and approve the proposed road and right of way names within the Aidanfield Subdivision (**Attachment 1**).

11. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

13. There are no issues.

THE OBJECTIVES

14. Approval by the Community Board of the road names proposed in this report.

THE OPTIONS

15. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

16. Approve the names as submitted by the applicant.