

**10. BREEZES ROAD – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION EXTENSION AND INSTALLATION OF PARKING DEFINITION LINES AT ARANUI PRIMARY SCHOOL**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Michael Thomson, Senior Traffic Engineer – Community

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north east side of Breezes Road, and approval to install parking definition lines on both sides of Breezes Road at the Aranui Primary School.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. Staff have received a request from the Aranui Primary School Board of Trustees to extend a no stopping restriction outside the school frontage to improve the visibility for children crossing at the school patrol.
3. Currently, broken yellow lines exist from the kerb extension, outside the residential property on the south east side of the school to a point 20 metres northwest (approach side) of the school crossing. This allows one vehicle to park outside the school, between the end of the broken yellow lines and the schools north western driveway. School officials consider visibility and therefore safety will be improved if this one car park is removed.
4. The installation of parking definition lines (commonly called parking ticks similar to those marked for metered parking) was also requested. This will minimise the likelihood of motorists parking too close to residents vehicle entrances. These lines also define individual spaces better, which creates more efficient use of kerbside parking. For example, a length of kerbside between two vehicle entrances maybe able to accommodate three parked cars, but if two motorists park with bigger than necessary distances between their cars, then possibly only two cars may fit in the area.
5. As there is no time limit parking restriction on Breezes Road, the Board will have to approve an exemption to this policy.
6. School officials have consulted with the school community and adjacent residents/property owners. The outcome of this consultation is described in paragraphs 15 to 17 below.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

7. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$500.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?**

8. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

9. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
10. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control Devices.
11. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

12. As above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

13. Council policy states:

*Individual parking spaces may be marked on arterial or other roads within shopping centres where parking (P30, P60 etc) restrictions apply. If there are benefits to traffic management (including the marking of cycleways) along arterial roads, parking limit lines may be extended to areas outside the restricted parking zone.*

14. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

15. As above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

16. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Pedestrian Strategy 2001, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?**

17. As above.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

18. The school has sought feedback on this proposal via its school newsletter. No objections from the school community were received.
19. School officials also contacted residents/occupiers/property owners at 11 properties adjacent to the school. Ten supported the proposal. One resident would not support the proposal, stating that they are unhappy with the school patrol/crossing position. This is unrelated to the broken yellow line extension.
20. The Officer in Charge - Parking Enforcement, agrees with this recommendation.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Revoke the following parking restriction:
- (i) That the existing parking restriction on the north east side of Breezes Road, commencing at a point 154 metres north west of the Pages Road intersection and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 42 metres, be revoked.
- (b) Approve the following:
- (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north east side of Breezes Road commencing at a point 154 metres north west from its intersection with Pages Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 59 metres.

**20. 12. 2010**

- (ii) An exemption of the Council's parking tick policy (26 April 1995) and allow parking ticks (parking definition lines) to be installed on both sides of Breezes Road at, and in the vicinity of Aranui Primary School as shown on plan TG 108801 (refer **Attachment 1**).