RICCARTON WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 3 AUGUST 2010

AT 5PM

IN THE BOARDROOM,
SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH

Community Board: Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Judy Kirk, Mike Mora and Bob Shearing.

Community Board Adviser
Liz Beaven
Telephone: 941-6501
Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

	CLAUSE	
PART B	**1.**	**APOLOGIES**
PART C	**2.**	**CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORTS** **2.1 Board's Ordinary Meeting - 13 July 2010** **2.2 2010/11 Strengthening Communities Funding Meeting - 19 July 2010**
PART B	**3.**	**DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
PART B	**4.**	**PETITIONS**
PART B	**5.**	**NOTICES OF MOTION**
PART B	**6.**	**CORRESPONDENCE**
PART B	**7.**	**BRIEFINGS**
PART A	**8.**	**PROPOSED TREE POLICY FOR TREES ON PUBLICLY OWNED LAND OR SPACES**
PART A	**9.**	**HALSWELL ROAD – SHARED PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE PATH**
PART C	**10.**	**APPLICATION TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – HALSWELL RUGBY FOOTBALL LEAGUE CLUB**
PART C	**11.**	**APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CULTURAL TRIP TO RAROTONGA**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORTS

2.1 BOARD MEETING – 13 JULY 2010

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 13 July 2010 are **attached.**

The public excluded meeting minutes of Tuesday 13 July 2010 have been **separately circulated** to Board members.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 13 July 2010 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

2.1 STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING MEETING - JULY 2010

The minutes of the Board's Strengthening Communities Funding meeting of 19 July 2010 are **attached.**

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's Strengthening Communities meeting of 19 July 2010 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

8. PROPOSED TREE POLICY FOR TREES ON PUBLICLY OWNED LAND OR SPACES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941- 8608
Officer responsible:	Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Shane Moohan, City Arborist

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to request that the Committee consider and provide comments to the Board to refer to the Council on the proposed amendments to the Council's existing delegations on trees.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Workshops with Councillors were held in June and September 2008 to discuss suggested changes to the current tree delegations.

3. The Combined Community Board Chairs Forum on 13 October 2008 requested that a working party made up of staff and one nominated member from each Community Board be formed to work through issues relating to a City wide Tree Policy.

4. Since then the Tree Policy Working Party has met five times to prepare the Proposed Tree Policy document (**Attachment 1**). Issues that arose during these discussions that were outside of the scope of the Working Party are documented and were presented to the Council in a memorandum on 10 December 2010.

5. An initial draft policy was developed which encompassed suggested changes to the current delegations as well as operational issues for planting, maintaining and removing trees. It did not cover future direction for trees in Christchurch as this would be more appropriately addressed in a strategic document.

6. On 16 October 2009 the Combined Community Board Chairs' Forum recommended –

   (a) That this initial draft Proposed Tree Policy be presented to the Council for adoption.

   (b) That the Working Party memorandum be presented to the Council for consideration.

7. The Council workshop on 23 February 2010 requested that an amended Proposed Tree Policy be presented to the Council with the recommendation that it be adopted for consultation with Community Boards.

   The amendments to the Proposed Tree Policy included changes to –

   (a) 3.1 Tree Management

   (b) 3.4 Removal of Trees in Public Spaces, clauses (i) (k) and (m).

   (c) 6 Definitions, Affected Community and Publicly Owned Land.

   (d) 4 Relevant Delegations, Where the relevant Community Board and the Transport and Greenspace Manager do not agree on the recommended course of action, the matter will be referred to Council for a decision.

   A full break down of the amendments is found in paragraph 43.

8. On 25 March 2010 the Council adopted the amended Proposed Tree Policy for consultation with Community Boards.

8. Cont'd

9. The amended Proposed Tree Policy is now **attached**, together with a comments form template (**Attachment 6**), tree removal process map (**Attachment 3**), tree maintenance process map (**Attachment 4**) and tree planting process map (**Attachment 5**) to assist Boards with their discussions.

10. The recommendation is that the amended Proposed Tree Policy be adopted subject to formal consideration of the comments offered by all of the Community Boards.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. Adoption of the Proposed Tree Policy is not expected to have significant effects on operational or capital budgets.

12. The Proposed Tree Policy suggests that there is a "user pays" process for some tree planting (3.3.1 Commemorative Trees), some tree pruning (3.7 Pruning Trees in Public Spaces) and some tree removals (3.4 Removal of Trees in Public Spaces, 3.5 Requests to Remove Trees in Public Spaces, 3.6 Cost of Removal of Trees in Public Spaces). This involves the actual cost to complete the work and the cost incurred in gathering sufficient information for Community Boards to make an informed decision where the requested service is not considered 'business as usual' and falls outside of approved Activity Management Plan levels of service.

13. The Proposed Tree Policy also suggests that for some tree removals that applicants pay for the value of the tree (3.6 Cost of Removal of Trees in Public Spaces). The value of the tree is based on the Standard Tree Evaluation Method (STEM) which is the nationally recognised system for evaluating and valuing trees (see "Definitions" in Proposed Tree Policy).

14. Should the suggested "user pays" process for tree removal and pruning be adopted, this will have financial implications for some members of the public.

15. Should the suggested user pays system be adopted this will need to be incorporated into the Council's Fees and Charges Schedule under Section 12 Local Government Act 2002.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

16. The recommendations align with the current LTCCP budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

17. Alignment with Principal legislation –

    (a) Resource Management Act 1991.

        (i) Banks Peninsula District Plan.
        (ii) City of Christchurch City Plan.

    (b) Reserves Act 1977.

    (c) Biosecurity Act 1993.

    (d) Health and Safety in Employment Act 1992 plus amendments and regulations.

    (e) Electricity Act 1992 plus regulations.

    (f) Telecommunications Act 2001.

    (g) Property Law Act 2007.

8. Cont'd

(h) Public Works Act 1981.

(i) Local Government Act 1974 and 2002.

(j) Christchurch City Council Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2008.

18. The following Council Policies will need to be rescinded –

(a) Tree Planting in Streets Policy.

(b) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree and Vegetation Policy Resolutions 98/178 and 97/404.

(c) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree Trimmings (Private Plantings) Policy Resolution 94/636.

(d) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree Planting on Reserves Policy Resolution 99/236.

(e) Banks Peninsula District Council Wildling Trees – Removal from Road Reserve Resolution 98/178.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

19. The Council has the legal right to adopt the Proposed Tree Policy.

20. Irrespective of Council Policies and Strategies the District Court can order the pruning or removal of trees under The Property Law Act 2007.

21. Irrespective of Council Policies and Strategies some pruning and removal of protected trees may require a resource consent be granted prior to work to being undertaken.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

22. Recommendation aligns with current LTCCP and Activity Management Plans.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

23. Supports the following Levels of Service –

(a) 6.0 Neighbourhood Parks.
6.06 Planted areas and trees.

(b) 6.1 Sports Parks.
6.1.8 Maintain planted areas and trees.

(c) 6.2 Garden and Heritage Parks.
6.2.9 Planted areas and trees.

(d) 6.3 Regional Parks
6.3.2 Protecting biodiversity values

(e) 6.4 Cemeteries.
6.4.8 Maintain planted areas and trees.

(f) 6.5 Waterways and Land Drainage
6.5.3 Cost of maintaining waterways and land drainage system.

8. Cont'd

(g) 10.0 Road Network.
10.0.11 Road landscaping and street trees.

24. Supports the Capital Tree Replacement Programmes for street and park trees.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

25. There is currently no overarching city wide policy for vegetation management. In the memorandum from the Tree Policy Working Party (**Attachment 2**) it is suggested that funding for the commencement of a City wide policy be included for consideration in the next LTCCP.

26. The Proposed Tree Policy aligns with the following Strategies–

(a) New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy.

(b) Christchurch City Council Biodiversity Strategy 2008-2035.

27. The Proposed Tree Policy aligns with Council Policies –

(a) Traffic Calming Policy.

(b) Sponsorship of Trees and Other Plantings on Reserves.

(c) Proposed Central City Street Tree Plan.

(d) Central City Streetscape Plan.

(e) Consultation Policy.

28. The Proposed Tree Policy aligns with the following sections of the Christchurch City Plan -

Volume 2: Section 4 City Identity.

4.2.1 Policy: Tree Cover.

To promote amenity values in the urban area by maintaining and enhancing the tree cover present in the City.

Tree cover and vegetation make an important contribution to amenity values in the City. Through the redevelopment of sites, existing vegetation is often lost and not replaced. The City Plan protects those trees identified as "heritage" or "notable" and the subdivision process protects other trees which are considered to be "significant". The highest degree of protection applies to heritage trees.

Because Christchurch is largely built on a flat plain, trees and shrubs play an important role in creating relief, contributing to visual amenity and attracting native birds.

The amount of private open space available for new planting and to retain existing trees is influenced by rules concerning building density and setback from boundaries. The rules do not require new planting for residential development but landscaping is required in business zones.

4.2.2 Policy: Garden City

To recognise and promote the "Garden City" identity, heritage and character of Christchurch.

8. Cont'd

A key aspect of achieving this policy will be maintaining and extending environments and vegetation types which compliment this image. A broad range of matters influence and contribute to this image, including the following:

(a) Tree-lined streets and avenues.

(b) Parks and developed areas of open space.

14.3.2 Policy: "Garden City" image identity.

To acknowledge and promote the "Garden City" identity of the City by protecting, maintaining and extending planting which compliments this image.

Volume 3: Part 8 Special Purpose Zone

14.3.5 Street Trees

Nearly half the length of streets within the city contains street trees, but the presence of very high quality street trees which add considerable presence to streets and neighbourhoods is confined to a relatively small proportion of the road network. These streets add particular character and amenity of the city, either in the form of avenues which form points into the city, or an important part of the local character of particular streets.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

29. All eight Community Boards appointed representatives to the Tree Policy Working Party to ensure their ward's views and concerns were represented.

30. On 16 October 2009 the Combined Community Board Chairs Forum' recommended that the Proposed Tree Policy be presented to Council for adoption.

31. No public consultation has been undertaken as this document is intended for internal use.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Committee recommend to the Board to:

(a) review and provide comment on the attached Proposed Tree Policy and the proposed changes to delegations.

(b) recommend to the Council that the Proposed Tree Policy be adopted subject to formal consideration of the comments offered by all of the Community Boards.

(c) recommend to the Council that the following policies be rescinded:

(i) Tree Planting in Streets Policy.

(ii) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree and Vegetation Policy Resolutions 98/178 and 97/404.

(iii) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree Trimmings (Private Plantings) Policy Resolution 94/636.

(iv) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree Planting on Reserves Policy Resolution 99/236.

(v) Banks Peninsula District Council Wildling Trees – Removal from Road Reserve Resolution 98/178.

8. Cont'd

(d) recommend to the Council that the following delegations be rescinded:

Greenspace Manager:

"In consultation with any other units affected and the relevant Community Board, authorise the planting or removal of trees from any reserve or other property under the Manager's control. (CR 23.10.96)"

Community Boards:

"To plant, maintain and remove trees on reserves, parks and roads under the control of the Council within the policy set by the Council. (CR 13.12.07)"

(e) recommend to the Council that the following changes to delegations be made -

That the following delegations for the policy be made:

(i) The Transport and Greenspace Manager on the recommendation of the City Arborist and relevant infrastructure Manager where appropriate has delegated authority for the planting of trees under Section 3.3 and the removal of trees under Section 3.4 and the pruning of trees under Section 3.7 of this policy.

(ii) The relevant Community Board has delegated authority in consultation with the Transport and Greenspace Manager and relevant infrastructure Manager to decide on any tree matter that either falls outside of the Transport and Greenspace Manager's delegation or, after consultation with affected parties, has remained contentious and is unable to be resolved by the Transport and Greenspace Manager.

(iii) In emergency situations, the Transport and Greenspace Manager or the City Arborist have full delegated powers to negate immediate danger.

(iv) Where the relevant Community Board and the Transport and Greenspace Manager do not agree on the recommended course of action, the matter will be referred to the Council for a decision.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Board recommend to the Council the following comments on the Proposed Tree Policy for Trees on Publicly Owned Land or Spaces:

(i) **TEXT CHANGES:**

Under Introduction, second paragraph: insert Trees support the environment through releasing oxygen, removing carbon *gases* and other particulate pollutants….

Paragraph 2.2(i) to read: To create and enhance wildlife habitat and ecological values *including food trees for native trees.*

Paragraph 2.2(n) to read: To provide public education on tree *planning*, planting, tree maintenance, tree removal and tree protection.

Paragraph 3.3: priorities to be reordered: (e) to move to priority (c).

Paragraph 3.3(f) to read: *Local road by request.*

Paragraph 2(a) to read: Trees *that are or will be* severely disfigured through….

8. Cont'd

Paragraph 3.3.4 second paragraph to be deleted and replaced with *Designs for new tree planting must be appropriate in size for their intended positions and purpose and will be based on:…*

Paragraph 3.3.1 (fourth paragraph) to read: …Council will use the Botanic Gardens, Mona Vale, the banks of the Avon River within the Central City, *or other appropriate areas.*

Paragraph 3.4 (g) to read: …shape which cannot be rectified by *remedial works*.

Paragraph 3.4(m) second paragraph to read: Trees will not be *usually* removed because of health effects….

Paragraph 3.6(a) is to be deleted.

Paragraph 3.6(b) to read: The actual costs to the Council of the removal *and replacement* of the tree. The words The value of the tree is to be determined by the City Arborist using STEM is to be deleted.

(ii) That the delegation in paragraph 3 of Section 4 of the policy which states,*" Where the relevant Community Board and the Transport and Greenspace Manager do not agree on the recommended course of action, the matter will be referred to Council for a decision."* be removed.

(iii) That Community Boards should be enabled to use judgement and discretion on all delegated matters.

(iv) That for Community Boards to be consulted and informed of all tree activity within the ward.

(v) That the comments from all Community Boards be referred back to the a reconvened Tree Policy Working Party for review prior to Community Boards' and the Council's final approval.

8. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

32. On 12 June 2008 a workshop was held to discuss potential changes to the tree delegations.

    Currently delegations are:

    Greenspace Manager -

    "In consultation with any other units affected and the relevant Community Board, authorise the planting or removal of trees from any reserve or other property under the Manager's control. (CR 23.10.96)"

    Community Boards -

    "To plant, maintain and remove trees on reserves, parks and roads under the control of the Council within the policy set by the Council. (CR 13.12.07)"

33. Changes were suggested to enable:

    (a) Clear parameters over what decisions staff can make.

    (b) Greater clarity over when decisions are to be made by Community Boards.

    (c) Timely and pragmatic decisions for residents requesting tree removals.

34. As a result of this meeting a Memo was issued to the Mayor, Councillors and Community Board Members on 1 August 2008 outlining the current tree delegations for the Community Boards and the Greenspace Manager, suggesting changes to the delegations, the reasons why the changes were being suggested and safe guards.

35. On 29 September 2008 a further workshop was held providing an outline of issues faced by the arborists. These included -

    (a) Removal, replacement, removing otherwise healthy trees.

    (b) Pruning trees under power lines causing disfigurement to the tree.

    (c) Removing trees which are overcrowded.

    (d) Removing trees of poor shape.

    (e) Removing trees which pose a health and safety risk.

36. Proposals to clarify staff delegations were mainly around tree removal and tree planting. Some guidelines around staff decisions on tree removal and planting were suggested. These included the significance of the tree to be removed and the agreement of affected parties. Guidelines around tree planting included aligning to strategies or plans or direction, maintaining design integrity (e.g. Living Streets), maintaining existing levels of service for provision of street and park trees, and agreement of affected parties.

37. On 13 October 2008 the Combined Community Board Chairs forum requested that a working party made up of both staff and one nominated member from each Community Board be formed to work through issues relating to a tree policy.

38. The Working Party was made up of the following Community Board Members –

    Paula Smith Lyttleton/Mt Herbert (Chairperson)
    Matt Morris Shirley/Papanui (Deputy Chairperson)

8. Cont'd

Tim Carter	Hagley/Ferrymead
Mike Mora	Riccarton/Wigram
Val Carter	Fendalton/Waimairi
Stewart Miller	Akaroa/Wairewa
Linda Stewart	Burwood/Pegasus
Karolyn Potter	Spreydon/Heathcote
Tim Scandrett	Spreydon/Heathcote (proxy)

39. The following Terms of Reference were drawn up to guide the Working Party in its discussions -

    (a) Clarify understanding around proposed changes to the tree delegations.

    (b) Clarify staff and Community Board roles in tree maintenance i.e. business as usual vs pruning for views or shade or light and cost recovery with pruning for views or shade or light.

    (c) Clarify staff and Community Board roles in tree planting and removals and cost recovery with tree removal and replacement planting.

    (d) Consider the application of STEM (Standard Tree Evaluation Method) in its application to tree maintenance and removal decision making.

    (e) Recommend any changes to existing delegations or the implementation of a Tree Policy following on from discussions over the above.

40. During Working Party discussions matters that were outside of the scope were raised. These were detailed in a Memorandum from the Working Party and presented to the Council.

41. On 16 October 2009 the Combined Community Board Chairs recommended that the Proposed Tree Policy be forwarded to the Council for adoption.

42. On 10 December 2009 the Proposed Tree Policy went to the Council for adoption with the following recommendations –

    a) Rescind the following Policies –

        (i) Tree Planting in Streets Policy.

        (ii) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree and Vegetation Policy Resolutions 98/178 and 97/404.

        (iii) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree Trimmings (Private Plantings) Policy Resolution 94/636.

        (iv) Banks Peninsula District Council Tree Planting on Reserves Policy Resolution 99/236.

        (v) Banks Peninsula District Council Wildling Trees – Removal from Road Reserve Resolution 98/178.

    b) Adopt the Proposed Tree Policy including the following delegations:

        (i) The Transport and Greenspace Manager on the recommendation of the City Arborist and relevant infrastructure Manager where appropriate has delegated authority for the planting of trees under Section 3.3 (Planning and Planting of Trees in Public Spaces) and the removal of trees under Section 3.4 (Removal of Trees in Public Spaces) and the pruning of trees under Section 3.7 (Pruning Trees in Public Spaces) of this policy.

8. Cont'd

(ii) The relevant Community Board has delegated authority in consultation with the Transport and Greenspace Manager and relevant infrastructure Manager to decide on any tree matter that either falls outside of the Transport and Greenspace Manager's delegation or, after consultation with affected parties, has remained contentious and is unable to be resolved by the Transport and Greenspace Manager.

43. At a February 2010 workshop the Council requested that an amended Proposed Tree Policy be brought to the Council with the recommendation that it be adopted for consultation with Community Boards.

The suggested amendments were –

3.1 Tree Management

Delete - "ecology - by"

Insert - "Enhancing and protecting the surrounding environment and safeguarding biodiversity"

3.4 Removal of Trees in Public Spaces

(i) Delete - "significant" and insert "have only a minor detrimental effect".

(k) Insert - "Control of roadside pests that are listed in the Canterbury Regional Pest Management Strategy 2005-2015 in Banks Peninsula remain the responsibility of the adjacent land owner".

(m) Insert - "that is not listed as a threatened or endangered species either locally or nationally or internationally".

Section 4 - Relevant Delegations

Insert - paragraph 3.

"Where the relevant Community Board and the Transport and Greenspace Manager do not agree on the recommended course of action, the matter will be referred to the Council for a decision".

6. Definitions

Affected Community table – delete - "<" and insert - "approximate maximum"

Affected Community table Local Park – delete - "key stakeholders e.g. sports groups, lessees"

Affected Community (a**)** – delete - "significant" and insert - "important"

Publicly owned land - delete "regional parks, sports parks, cemeteries" after "road reserve either formed or unformed" insert "excluding arterial roads"

44. On 25 March 2010 the Council adopted the amended Proposed Tree Policy for consultation with Community Boards.

9. HALSWELL ROAD – SHARED PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE PATH

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Lorraine Correia, Consultation Leader, Capital Development Unit

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the recommendation of the Committee to the Board to recommend to the Council for approval of a shared pedestrian and cycle path adjacent to Halswell Road, and the installation of a pedestrian refuge on Halswell Road near the Templetons Road intersection, as shown in **Attachment 1** (TG103902 – Sheet 2) and **Attachment 2** (501328 – R01).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. This project was initiated by the Transport and Greenspace Unit in 2009/10 as there is a need to improve road safety for pedestrians and cyclists by providing a shared path along Halswell Road between Dunbars Road to Templetons Road.

3. The objective for the project was set as follows:

   (a) Improve road safety for pedestrians and cyclists by providing a shared pathway along the frontage of Aidenfield subdivision where none exists at present.

4. Concept plans for the proposed shared path are shown in **Attachment 1** (TG103902 – Sheet 2) and **Attachment 2** (501328 – R01) details are as follows:

   (a) The shared pathway will run along the western side of Halswell Road from Dunbars Road to Templetons Road, linking with the existing footpaths at Aidenfield Drive.

   (b) A pedestrian refuge is proposed near Templetons Road to provide a safe crossing point for pedestrians and cyclists using the path.

   (c) The shared pathway will then continue on the eastern side of Halswell Road to Hendersons Road.

   (d) Lighting along the shared path is proposed as part of this project. Existing signage will be re-positioned and new signage will be provided as required.

5. Halswell Road is a State Highway and is classified as a major arterial road from Curletts Road through to Templetons Road and is then classified as a minor arterial road the rest of the way through to Tai Tapu. New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) is the asset owners of this road.

6. NZTA intends widening Halswell Road to four lanes in future. Every effort has been made to ensure the path location will be compatible with future plans however, this cannot be guaranteed. NZTA have given preliminary approval of the alignment of the shared path, and final design plans will be submitted prior to tendering.

7. These works are scheduled for implementation in the 2010/11 financial year.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Funding for the proposed works are provided for in the 2009-19 LTCCP, New Footpaths Programme, page 243 as follows:

2009/2010	$237,500
2010/2011	$246,193

9. Cont'd

Based on current estimates there is sufficient budget allocated in the 2009-2019 LTCCP to implement the project.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. Cycleways are established by the authority of the Council under section 332 of the Local Government Act 1974. Under Section 332 a cycle track is also a cycle path, defined as:

   (a) means part of the road that is physically separated from the roadway that is intended for the use of cyclists, but which may be used also by pedestrians; and

   (b) Includes a cycle track formed under section 332 of the Local Government Act 1974.

10. Signage will be provided as required in the Traffic Control Devices Rule under Schedule 1.

11. The project is on land under the control of NZTA but Council have their approval to proceed with the final plan.

12. A resource consent may be required.

13. No other legal issues have been identified.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. This project aligns with the Transport and Greenspace Unit's Activity Management Plan. The funding for the proposed works in Halswell Road is provided in the 2009-19 LTCCP as New Footpaths Programme, page 243.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The recommendations in this report align with current Council strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, the Road Strategy 2004, the Christchurch Public Passenger Transport Strategy 1998, the Cycling Strategy 1998 and 2004 and Pedestrian Strategy 2001; and are consistent with the requirements for arterial and local roads as defined within the City Plan.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Staff have been working in collaboration with the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) as the road is their asset. NZTA have approved the **attached** concept plan which is expected to tie in with their future four laning project on Halswell Road. NZTA has no objection for Council to approve this proposal.

17. A memo to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board was sent through the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Adviser on 2 June 2010 informing the Board of the proposed consultation plan.

18. On 2 June 2010 information on this project together with a copy of the plan was sent to the Halswell Residents' Association including 80 residents along the vicinity of Templetons Road and Hendersons Roads. A communications plan is currently being put in place and subject to this project being approved by the Council further external communications will be done.

9. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Committee recommend to the Board to recommend to the Council for the Halswell Road Shared Path to proceed to final design, tender, and construction as shown in **Attachment 1** (TG103902 – Sheet 2), and **Attachment 2** (TG103902 – Sheet 2).

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Board recommend to the Council:

(a) That the Halswell Road Shared Path to proceed to final design, tender, and construction as shown in **Attachment 1** (TG103902 – Sheet 2), and **Attachment 2** (TG103902 – Sheet 2).

(b) That staff contact Aidenfield Christian School for comment on the project and advise the Board of the school's comment.

9. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

19. A safety audit on the initial concept plan was carried out on 23 March 2010, changes were made to the initial plan based on the report's recommendations, the amended plans are attached to this report.

20. A lighting assessment was carried out by an independent contractor in February 2010 who have recommended suitable lighting along the full length of the shared path; this will be included in the detailed design phase of this project.

21. A traffic count undertaken in November 2008 shows Halswell Road carries on average 21,027 vehicles per day. This count was taken near the intersection of Halswell Road and Aidenfield Drive.

22. There are no land ownership issues associated with this project.

10. APPLICATION TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD'S 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – HALSWELL RUGBY FOOTBALL LEAGUE CLUB

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to consider an application for funding from the Halswell Rugby Football League Club for $2,417 to the 2010/11 Riccarton/Wigram Discretionary Response Fund for a contribution towards the printing of the club's Jubilee Celebration Books.

2. At the time of writing, there is $41,197 remaining in the Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Board's Discretionary Response Fund is $51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.

4. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.

5. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.

6. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:

   (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Board's decisions;

   (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and

   (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

   Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under 1(b) and 1(c)."*

7. Information on the application from the Halswell Rugby Football League Club is attached (**Attachment 1**). Staff recommend that the Board grant $2,000 to the Halswell Rugby Football League Club towards the printing of the club's jubilee celebration booklets.

Financial Implications

8. There is currently $41,197 remaining in the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176

10. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. This application aligns with Strengthening Communities Strategy and the following Riccarton/Wigram Community Board objective/s:

- Greater Riccarton/Wigram community awareness of, and access to, affordable community recreation and support programmes.
- Assist children and young people in the Riccarton/Wigram area to achieve in the arts or sports fields.
- Contribution to increased social well being in Riccarton/Wigram area.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board grants $2,000 to the Halswell Rugby Football League Club as a contribution towards the printing of the club's jubilee celebration booklets.

11. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CULTURAL TRIP TO RAROTONGA

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Denise Galloway, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's consideration of an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant is a group, comprising of 14 senior Riccarton High School students that are travelling to Rarotonga in September 2010 for a cultural tour. Of this group, 10 students live within the Riccarton/Wigram Ward. Two students live in the Fendalton/Waimairi Ward. Two students live in the Shirley/Papanui Ward.

3. The tour is open to senior students studying Te Reo Maori on 2010. The tour is from 18 to 26 September 2010.

4. The purpose of the tour is for students to experience Cook Island Maori culture first hand, and provide an opportunity for them to cement their cultural identity. Te Reo Maori students at Riccarton High School have not had an opportunity to travel and experience Maori culture and language in an environment such as this. All the students have been studying Te Reo Maori for the last three to five years.

5. The students will benefit by having the opportunity to establish and research whakapapa or genealogy links. Many waka (voyaging canoes) stopped in Rarotonga on their migration through the South Pacific to Aotearoa. A large number of these students whakapapa back to these waka, and thus link New Zealand Maori intrinsically to Cook Island Maori people, language and culture. The young people also believe that this trip will develop their confidence to participate in their own culture in the future.

6. The cost of the trip is $1,260 per person. A number of fund raising events have been planned. Fund raising includes sausage sizzles, trivial pursuit evening, continental caterer's evenings, hangi, kapahaka marathon, as well as the students contributing from their part-time jobs. The parents/whanau of the students are also prepared to assist financially if needed.

7. The students that are applying from the Riccarton/Wigram Ward are as follows:

    1. Dyani Jage
    2. Callum Trillo
    3. Cory Ward
    4. Jessie Jarger-Hutton
    5. Jeanee Waiari
    6. Sam Gregory
    7. Jacob Maikuku
    8. Tamsin Ingle
    9. Skye Churchill

8. There is no selection criteria for the students participating in the cultural tour, which is essentially a school trip. This application is therefore recommended as a low priority within the Youth Development Scheme criteria.

11. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CULTURAL TOUR RAROTONGA	
EXPENSES	**Cost ($) per person**
Airfares	815
Food	150
Accommodation	70
Transport	120
Cultural activities and exchanges	105
Total	**$1,260**

10. This is the first time the applicants have applied to the Community Board's Youth Development Scheme.

11. There is currently $5,950 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board declines the application from Riccarton High School for a senior student Te Reo cultural tour to Rarotonga.

12. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CHOIR

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Denise Galloway, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, is Shane Morrow (Head of the Music Department), on behalf of Riccarton High School's chorale "The Riccarton Singers", who have been invited to be a guest choir at the National finals of the New Zealand Federations "Big Sing' in Auckland in August.

3. The 'Riccarton Singers' chorale is an auditioned female choir of 30 members. The choir rehearse weekly and the students have sectional rehearsals. The choir also rehearse in the weekends and school holiday time.

4. This is a very exciting opportunity for the "Riccarton Singers. The "Riccarton Singers' will present a recital of choral works, participate in workshops and receive coaching from top New Zealand choral directors. The event is being held from 9 to 12 August 2010.

5. Being selected as a guest choir is a major achievement and a first for Riccarton High School. Attending this event will provide the choir with an opportunity to participate in the National final programme.

6. The choir will be holding fund raising activities in school. At a meeting on 29 June the choir resolved to run a mufti day at school which could potentially bring in $800. The choir has been given a donation of $500 from Ravensdown. The Choir has also applied to Avonhead Fresh Choice Community Fund and Northwest Kiwanis for a $500 donation from each. Both of these grants are still pending. The parents and families of the choir members will pay the balance of the costs related to attending the "Big Sing".

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CHORALE	
EXPENSES	**Cost ($)**
Airfares	**3,540**
Accommodation (Backpackers)	**2,311**
Bus Charter (Transfer to and from Auckland Airport)	**495**
TOTAL	**$6,346**

8. This is the first time the "Riccarton Singers" have applied to the Board's Youth Development fund.

9. The applicant Shane Morrow, on behalf of the choir, has provided a list of the choir members who live in the Riccarton/Wigram ward. There are 20 in total that reside in the Ward area.

10. There is currently $5,950 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund.

12. Cont'd

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board grant Riccarton High School $500 as a contribution towards the Riccarton High School's chorale (The Riccarton Singers) trip, as guest singers at the New Zealand Choral Federations 'Big Sing" being held in Auckland in August 2010.

13. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD'S 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – EMILY SHIELDS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Denise Galloway, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, is Emily Shields, who is a 17 year old, living in Upper Riccarton. Emily is a student at Burnside High School and is seeking funding support to go to the "Big Sing" Final with her choir from Burnside High School on 8 August 2010.

3. Emily is part of a new SATB (Soprano, Alto, Tenor, Base) choir for Burnside High School called Cantabile. This choir has already received high praise from many people around the community about their mature developing sound. They are one of the four choirs from Christchurch to make the final. The choir flies up to Auckland on 8 August 2010 to meet with the 2009 Platinum winners "Choralation", and to perform a concert with them. The competition begins on 9 August and each of the eighteen finalist choirs perform two brackets of songs over three intensive days. The final concert is the evening of 11 August, where bronze, silver, gold and platinum awards are handed out.

4. Leading up to the finals the choir will be touring around Christchurch performing to primary schools in order to build up their performance experience. Last year Emily went to Dunedin for the "Big Sing" final with Magna Voice, who received a silver award

5. Emily is in her last year at school and is considering career options related to the performing arts. She currently has a very busy schedule focused much on the performing arts. She is interested in the media and excels in media studies at school. Last year she received excellence for her short film which involved working with children.

6. Emily is currently undertaking another fund raising activity for this trip through Burnside High School. This involves selling tickets to Burnside High's annual show case held throughout term three. Emily is eligible to raise $270. To date she has raised $65. The concerts showcase Burnside High School's finest musical talent and Emily will be involved in all three concerts. Emily believes that the success of the choir in Auckland will be one of the highlights of her last year at Burnside High School. Emily also wants to put as much effort into the finals as possible as a way of saying thank you to her music teachers who have given her so many opportunities throughout High School

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

EMILY SHIELDS	
EXPENSES	**Cost ($)**
Airfares	**299**
Accommodation /Bus Transfer to and from Auckland Airport	
Accommodation (4 nights, share twin accommodation, budget)/meals	**300**
TOTAL	**599**
Amount Requested from the Community Board	**599**

13. Cont'd

8. This is the first time Emily has applied to the Community Board's Youth Development fund.

9. The New Zealand Choral Federation (NZCF) requires that everyone who has competed in the regional competition must also compete at the finals. Therefore if Emily does not raise enough funds for her trip to the finals the Cantabile choir cannot compete in the "Big Sing" final.

10. There is currently $5,950 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. Yes, see page 172, Youth Development Scheme and Discretionary Fund.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board grant Emily Shields $450 as a contribution towards her trip to the New Zealand Choral Federations 'Big Sing" in Auckland 2010.

14. KEEP NEW ZEALAND BEAUTIFUL CONFERENCE 2010 – BOARD MEMBER ATTENDANCE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to consider appointing a Board member to attend the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Conference 2009 and Annual General Meeting in Gisborne from Friday 17 to Sunday 19 September 2010.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Board's representatives on the Keep Christchurch Beautiful Committee are Mike Mora and Judy Kirk.

3. The Committee is a voluntary organisation, which aims to promote a cleaner, more beautiful environment within Christchurch, and to raise the level of awareness of what the individual can do to improve his or her community and reduce litter. Notice of the national conference has been received. Christchurch has a member on the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Board.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The cost for one member to attend the conference is approximately $1,198 per member (totalling $2,396) which would be met from the Board's 2010/11 operational budget. This covers travel, accommodation and the conference registration.

5. The Board's 2010/11 operational budget for conferences, travel and training is $4,550. The balance of that budget is currently $4,550, as nothing has been expended year to date.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. There are no legal considerations involved.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

8. Yes, page 52 of the LTCCP, Environment – A city of people who value and protect the natural environment.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

9. Yes, page 54 of the LTCCP, Community Outcomes - 9. Development - An attractive and well-designed city.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Not applicable.

14. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider approving the attendance of Mike Mora and Judy Kirk to the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Conference and Annual General Meeting in Gisborne from Friday 17 to Sunday 19 September 2010.

15. RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE – REPORT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE – 13 JULY 2010

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services
Officer responsible:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Community Services Committee meeting held on Tuesday 13 July 2010.

The meeting was attended by Judy Kirk (Chairperson), Beth Dunn, Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Peter Laloli, Mike Mora and Bob Shearing.

Helen Brougham left the meeting at 6.03pm and was absent for clause 11.

Bob Shearing left the meeting at 6.11pm and was absent for part of clause 11.

1. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Rachel Bacon, Youth Director - Youth South West Christchurch Trust and Mitchell Shaw, 24/7 Youth Worker address the Committee regarding the organisation's 'Big Night Out' event and funding application.

The Committee thanked Rachel Bacon and Mitchell Shaw for their deputation to the Board.

2. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

3. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

4. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - YOUTH SOUTH WEST CHRISTCHURCH TRUST

The Committee considered a report seeking funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund for a funding contribution towards the venue hire costs for the Youth South West Christchurch Trust's "Big Nite Out" youth event.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 13 of this report.

(**Note**: Mike Mora declared an interest in this item and took no part in discussion or voting).

5. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CULTURAL TRIP TO RAROTONGA

The Committee considered a report seeking funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme from Riccarton High School senior students to travel to Rarotonga in September 2010 for a cultural tour.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 12 of this report.

15. Cont'd

6. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – APORONIA AND HOANI ARAHANGA

The Committee considered a report seeking funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme from Aporonia and Hoani Arahanga to attend the National Kapa Haka Competition in Rotorua in July 2010.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 12 of this report.

7. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – JACOB BENJAMIN LIM

The Committee considered a report seeking funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme from Jacob Benjamin Lim to attend the World Triathlon Age Group Championships in Hungary.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 12 of this report.

8. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – NATHAN JAMES FLANNERY

The Committee considered a report seeking funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme from Nathan James Flannery to attend the World Junior Rowing Championships.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 12 of this report.

9. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – HORNBY HIGH SCHOOL NETBALL A TEAM

The Committee considered a report seeking funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme from the Hornby High School Netball A Team to attend the South Island Secondary Schools' Netball Tournament in Blenheim in August/September 2010.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 12 of this report.

10. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – PHILIPPINE CULTURE AND SPORTS VOLLEYBALL TEAMS

The Committee considered a report seeking funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development scheme from the Philippine Culture and Sports Volleyball teams

.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 12 of this report.

11. ELECTED MEMBER' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The Committee requested that a priority workshop be arranged in the new term to discuss the guidelines and priorities for allocating the Youth Development Scheme Fund.

15. Cont'd

12. COMMITTEE DECISIONS

12.2 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – Riccarton High School Cultural Trip to Rarotonga**

The Committee **decided** that the matter lie on the table, until further information on the progress of the students' fundraising had been updated.

12.3 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's Youth Development Scheme – Aporonia and Hoani Arahanga**

The Committee **resolved** to grant Aporonia and Hoani Arahanga $300 each from the 20010/11 Youth Development Fund as a contribution towards their expenses to attend the National Kapa Haka Competition in Rotorua in July 2010.

12.4 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – Jacob Benjamin Lim**

The Committee **resolved** to support the application and allocate $500 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme to Jacob Benjamin Lim as a contribution towards his expenses to compete at the World Triathlon Age Group Championships in Hungary in September 2010.

12.5 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – James Flannery**

The Committee **resolved** to support the application and allocate $750 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme to Nathan James Flannery as a contribution towards for his expenses to compete in the World Junior Rowing Championships in the Czech Republic in August 2010.

12.6 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – Hornby High School Netball A Team**

It was **moved** by Bob Shearing, seconded by Helen Brougham, that the Committee decline the application. When put to the meeting, the motion was declared **carried** on Division No. 1, with the voting being as follows:

For (4): Helen Broughton, Beth Dunn, Peter Laloli and Bob Shearing.

Against (3): Jimmy Chen, Judy Kirk and Mike Mora

12.7 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – Philippine Culture and Sports Volleyball Teams**

It was **moved** by Bob Shearing, seconded by Helen Brougham, that the Committee decline the application. When put to the meeting, the motion was declared **carried** on Division No. 2, with the voting being as follows:

For (4): Helen Brougham, Beth Dunn, Peter Laloli and Bob Shearing.

Against (3): Jimmy Chen, Judy Kirk and Mike Mora

15. Cont'd

13. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

13.1 Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund – Youth South West Christchurch Trust

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Committee recommend to the Board to approve a grant of $2,401 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Youth South West Christchurch Trust towards their annual "Big Nite Out" youth event for venue costs.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Board approve a grant of $2,500 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Youth South West Christchurch Trust towards their annual "Big Nite Out" youth event for venue hire costs.

The meeting concluded at 6.15pm.

16. RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE COMMITTEE – REPORT OF TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE COMMITTEE – 19 JULY 2010

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services
Officer responsible:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Transport and Greenspace Committee meeting held on Monday 19 July 2010.

The meeting was attended by Mike Mora (Chairperson), Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn and Judy Kirk.

Apologies for early departure was received and accepted from Peter Laloli who was absent for part of clause 3 and clauses 4 – 7 inclusive.

The meeting adjourned at 10.52am and recommenced at 11.05am.

1. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Nil.

2. CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondence which was referred to the Committee by the Board were **received** from:

2.1 A letter from Mr A Hamilton, resident of English Street, seeking an update on the matters he discussed with the Committee in 2009.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 8.1 of this report.

2.2 A letter from the Westmorland Residents' Association asking the Board's support in a change of traffic management at the intersection of Cashmere Road and Penruddock Rise.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 8.1 of this report.

3. BRIEFINGS

3.1 Inspector Al Stewart, Roading Area Commander New Zealand Police, outlined Police traffic issues within the Riccarton/Wigram ward and the city.

3.2 Mr Will Doherty, Project Manager, Christchurch City Council and Geoff Griffiths, Senior Project Manager New Zealand Transport Agency, updated the Committee on the progress of the Southern Motorway.

3.3 Owen Southen, Senior Contract Manager (Land Drainage) updated the Committee on drainage projects and issues within the Riccarton/Wigram Ward.

4. PROPOSED TREE POLICY FOR TREES ON PUBLICLY OWNED LAND OR SPACES

The Committee considered and provided comments to the Board to refer to the Council on the proposed amendments to the Council's existing delegations on trees.

16. Cont'd

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 8.2 of this report.

5. HALSWELL ROAD – SHARED PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE PATH

The Committee considered a report and recommend to the Board to recommend to the Council Halswell Road Shared Path to proceed to final design, tender, and construction as shown in Attachment 1 (TG103902 – Sheet 2), and Attachment 2 (TG103902 – Sheet 2).

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 8.3 of this report.

6. CHALMERS STREET – PROPOSED P15 PARKING RESTRICTION

The Committee considered a report and recommended to the Board to approve a parking restriction (P15) be installed on the northern side of Chalmers Street.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 8.4 of this report.

7. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The Committee discussed advocating to the Council on lobbying central government for 40kmph speed zones around all schools.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 8.5 of this report.

8. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 **CORRESPONDENCE**

(i) That the Board seek staff advice regarding English Street for the August 2010 Transport and Greenspace Committee meeting regarding matters raised in Mr A Hamilton's letter.

(ii) That the Board support the Westmorland Residents Association's request for traffic management at the intersection of Cashmere Road and Penruddock Rise to be treated as a minor safety works and be prioritised as urgent. That a staff report is presented to the August 2010 Transport and Greenspace Committee advising the implementation of the suggested changes.

8.2 **PROPOSED TREE POLICY FOR TREES ON PUBLICLY OWNED LAND OR SPACES**

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded within the Part A report – Proposed Tree Policy for Trees on Publicly Owned Land or Spaces Clause 8 of the Board's 3 August 2010 agenda.

8.3 **HALSWELL ROAD – SHARED PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE PATH**

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded within the Part A report – Halswell Road – Shared Pedestrian and Cycle Path Clause 9 of the Board's 3 August 2010 agenda.

16. Cont'd

8.4 **CHALMERS STREET – PROPOSED P15 PARKING RESTRICTION**

It is recommended that the Board:

(a) That any existing parking restrictions at any time on the north side of Chalmers Street within a distance of 161 metres west of its intersection with Carmen Road (SH1) be revoked.

(b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Chalmers Street commencing at its intersection with Carmen Road (SH1) and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 48 metres.

(c) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 15 minutes on the northern side of Chalmers Street commencing at a point 48 metres in a westerly direction from its intersection with Carmen Road (SH1) and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 60 metres. This restriction is to apply to from 8am to 6pm every day.

(d) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Chalmers Street commencing at a point 108 metres in a westerly direction its intersection with Carmen Road (SH1) and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 36 metres.

(e) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 15 minutes on the northern side of Chalmers Street commencing at a point 144 metres in a westerly direction from its intersection with Carmen Road (SH1) and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 17 metres. This restriction is to apply to from 8am to 6pm every day.

8.5 **ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

That the Board advocate to the Council to support of 40kmph time limited speed signs outside every school.

The meeting concluded at 12 noon.

17. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

18. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

19. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS