

**HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

WEDNESDAY 4 AUGUST 2010

AT 3PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE,
180 SMITH STREET, LINWOOD**

Community Board: Bob Todd (Chairperson), Rod Cameron, Tim Carter, David Cox, John Freeman, Yani Johanson, and Brenda Lowe-Johnson.

Community Board Adviser:

Jo Daly
Phone: 941 6601 DDI
Email: jo.daly@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- | | | |
|---------------|------------|--|
| PART C | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 14 JULY 2010 AND 21 JULY 2010 |
| PART B | 3. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT |
| PART B | 4. | PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS |
| PART B | 5. | NOTICE OF MOTION |
| PART B | 6. | CORRESPONDENCE |
| PART B | 7. | BRIEFINGS |
| PART C | 8. | GOULD RESERVE LANDSCAPE PLAN |
| PART B | 9. | LINWOOD AVENUE/WORCESTER STREET INTERSECTION DESIGN |
| PART C | 10. | PROPOSED ROAD NAMING GREENWOOD ESTATE - RICHMOND HILL |
| PART C | 11. | ESTABLISHMENT OF A HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARDS 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND |
| PART C | 12. | APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARDS 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – TALOSIA KALAB VANILAU |
| PART C | 13. | APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARDS 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – PETRA MARNI BAKER |

4.8.2010

- 2 -

- PART C 14. KEEP NEW ZEALAND BEAUTIFUL CONFERENCE 2010 – BOARD MEMBER ATTENDANCE
- PART C 15. APPLICATION TO HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND
- PART B 16. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER’S UPDATE
- PART B 17. BOARD MEMBERS’ QUESTIONS
- PART C 18. BOARD MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- PART C 19. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 14 JULY 2010 AND 21 JULY 2010

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 14 July 2010 are attached (refer **Attachment 1**).

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 21 July 2010 are attached (refer **Attachment 2**).

The public excluded minutes of 14 July 2010 have been separately circulated to the Board.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 14 July 2010 (both open and public excluded) and the Board's ordinary meeting on 21 July 2010 be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 Merryn Dunmill, regarding the cableway development at 13 The Spur (Nayland Street).

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

6.1 Bob Nixon, regarding traffic safety, McGregors Road (refer **attached**).

7. BRIEFINGS

7.1 Staff will provide information to the Board regarding the cableway development at 13 The Spur (Nayland Street).

8. GOULD RESERVE LANDSCAPE UPGRADE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Joanne Walton, Consultation Leader – Greenspace

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for the concept plan for the landscape upgrade of Gould Reserve following consultation with the local community.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Board members will recall that the concept plan for the landscape upgrade of Gould Reserve was presented to Board seminars on 17 February 2010 and 5 May 2010 prior to the Capital Development Unit carrying out consultation with the local community. Additional information on the reserve requested by Board members was also provided in a memorandum dated 5 March 2010.
3. The public information leaflet was distributed to approximately 120 households, absentee property owners, and other stakeholders in the vicinity of the reserve. There was a relatively low response from the local community with a total of eight residents returning the comment form.
4. Overall we received a positive response from the community with all respondents indicating their support for the plan, and some offering additional feedback on various issues. Further details are provided in the 'Consultation Fulfilment' section of this report, paragraph 14 to 21 refers.
5. In recognition of this feedback, and further technical advice, the Transport and Greenspace Unit proposes that this proposal be approved and implemented without further amendment (refer **attached**).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. Funding for the proposed development is provided within the 2009-19 LTCCP Neighbourhood Parks renewal and Replacements Programme as shown below.

(a)	2009/10	\$2,500
(b)	2010/11	\$30,000

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes, as above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. No legal considerations have been identified. No resource or building consent issues have been identified.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. No legal considerations have been identified.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. The project aligns with the Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019:

8 Cont'd

Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways

- (a) Safety - by ensuring that our Parks, open spaces and waterways are healthy and safe places.
- (b) Community - by providing spaces for communities to gather and interact.
- (c) Environment - by enabling people to contribute to projects that improve our environment.
- (d) Governance - by involving people in decision-making about parks, open spaces and waterways.
- (e) Health - by providing areas for people to engage in healthy activities.
- (f) Recreation - by offering a range of recreational opportunities in parks, open spaces and waterways.
- (g) City Development - by providing an inviting, pleasant and well cared for environment.

Measures

- (h) Neighbourhood Parks are satisfactorily maintained.
- (i) Overall customer satisfaction with neighbourhood parks.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

12. This project has primary alignment with the following Council strategies and policies:
- (a) Safer Christchurch Strategy
 - (b) Parks and Waterways Access Policy
 - (c) Environmental Policy Statement

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Consultation was undertaken with the local community on the proposed concept plan for the playground upgrade. The public information leaflet was distributed to approximately 120 households, absentee property owners and other stakeholders in the vicinity of the reserve. The plan was also available through local libraries and service centres, and the Councils 'Have Your Say' website. A total of eight comment forms were returned.
15. Overall we received a very positive response from the community with all respondents clearly indicating their support for the plan, and some offering additional feedback on various issues.

8 Cont'd

16. One submitter expressed concerns about the potential of the post and cable fencing, and surfacing of the path with grit and paving inserts, to hinder access to the park for people with disabilities. The design and width of the two entranceways to the reserve complies with accessibility standards in the Council's *Parks and Waterways Access Policy* (2002). The use of compacted chip or crusher dust, and paving inserts, to form a continuous surface on the path also complies with these standards. The post and cable fencing, or bollards, have been proposed to address the issue of vehicles parking in the reserve.
17. One submitter has asked for more seating in the lawn area of the reserve believing that three is not sufficient for the river area. The project budget does not allow for additional seating over and above the three provided in the proposed concept plan. Provision of seating for the Heathcote River bank is also outside the scope of this project. A request to investigate the provision of adequate seating along the river bank in this area has been forwarded to appropriate staff in the Transport and Greenspace Unit for investigation.
18. One submitter has asked for childrens' playground equipment to be provided in the reserve. Gould Reserve is a small reserve of only 394 square metres in area, and with a maximum width of approximately 13.5 metres. It is therefore too small to install play equipment and associated under-surfacing in compliance with the minimum setback distance from neighbours required under the provisions of the Christchurch City Plan, and New Zealand Standard NZS 5828:2004 *Playground equipment and surfacing*. The reserve is more ideally suited to passive rather than active recreation.
19. One submitter has suggested that even with the proposed upgrade, the reserve is an unlikely place for people to go and relax. The submitter suggests that planting a number of fruit trees on the reserve would provide a reason for people to visit the area. Staff have advised that the reserve is currently used by the local Gould Crescent children for play activities, by walking groups that sometimes meet there, and by other casual users who have been observed to bring deck chairs to picnic. Staff anticipate that this usage will increase once the reserve has been upgraded.

In general, fruit tree species do not meet the primary need for shade and shelter. In addition, there are a number of issues to be considered in planting fruit trees in public parks including:

- (a) The high level of horticultural care, and a long period to establish, to the point where the trees produce fruit for harvest;
- (b) The high ongoing maintenance requirements, for example, pruning, feeding and spraying for pest and disease control;
- (c) Park maintenance and public amenity issues, for example, fallen over-ripe fruit that rots on the ground, attracting wasps and flies, and gets trampled underfoot, and;
- (d) Inequities in the distribution of fruit.
- (e) All trees planted on reserves need to have their lower branches pruned up for visibility and clear sight lines, making fruit inaccessible.

Some nut trees such as chestnuts, walnuts and hazelnuts require less maintenance, so are sometimes planted in public parks. However in this situation, the park is not considered to be an appropriate location for nut trees, due to its small size, and riverside native planting theme.

20. One submitter has suggested that the other corner of the river bank is upgraded also as many people walk here. This area is part of the road reserve at the end of Long Street by the Woolston Cut gates on the opposite bank. Currently there is no funding allocated for new landscaping of this area however the proposal has been forwarded for investigation of funding in future LTCCP rounds.

8 Cont'd

21. All respondents who provided contact details have been sent a final letter of reply thanking them for their input. The letter has also informed respondents that the final plan would be presented to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for approval. Details of the meeting were provided so that any interested people could attend.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the proposed concept plan for the landscape upgrade of Gould Reserve and the City Environment and Capital Programme Groups commence the construction programme.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

9. LINWOOD AVENUE/WORCESTER STREET INTERSECTION DESIGN

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Unit Manager
Author:	Peter Atkinson, Network Planning Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. This report is in response to a request from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board about the Linwood Avenue/Worcester Street intersection design, if it can be reopened and to review the traffic function of Worcester Street regarding traffic flow from Cathedral Square to Linwood Avenue.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Linwood Avenue/Worcester Street intersection is effectively two 'T' intersections in close proximity to one another, with one leg of the Worcester Street intersection at an acute angle, thus the alignment of the junction is such that it has necessitated the right turn out of the Worcester Street to be prohibited. Worcester Street is classified as a Local Road in the City Plan and Linwood Road as a Major Arterial Road. This classification is reflected in the traffic volumes on the two roads, viz; Worcester Street at present carries some 3,000 vehicles per day (vpd) and Linwood Avenue over 10,000 vpd.
3. The Council reconstructed the intersection in 1982 and at that time it also addressed the issues with regard to the junction of Olliviers Road onto Worcester Street and this junction was given a threshold treatment to further discourage its use by traffic. These works were designed to simplify this complex junction. Since that time, further improvements were made for pedestrians and some minor changes to the traffic islands to further discourage through vehicles on Worcester Street from passing through the intersection. The original concerns which were apparent at the junction and necessitated the original improvements are best illustrated in **table 1** below which lists the number of incidents:

Table 1. Show the Number of Reported Incidents

<u>Period</u>	<u>Number of crossing incidents</u>	<u>Number of other incidents***</u>
1981-83 *	5	3
1984-86	1	3
1987-89	5	2
1990-92	6	10
1993-95	9	6
1996-98**	5	5
1999-2001	-	2
2002-04	-	3
2005-07	-	4
2008-10	-	5

Note: * In 84 the first improvements were made
 ** In 98 the second improvements were made
 *** Incidents include all reported incidents but prior to 1988 most of the results involved injury

The table shows that the number of vehicle crossing incidents on Worcester Street has been addressed. While, there has been a smaller reduction in the other incidents around the intersection, there is no particular pattern to these events but alcohol, speed, inattention and failure to 'give way' are issues which are common to many of the events.

4. Traffic volume conditions in Worcester Street have varied little since records at the junction have been kept. The table below shows the results from a counting station on Worcester Street to the east of Fitzgerald Avenue and are shown in **table 2** below.

9 Cont'd

Table 2 Seven Day Traffic Counts on Worcester Street

<u>Period</u>	<u>Vehicles per Day</u>
2000	3616
2003	3751
2006	3703
2009*	3041

 Note: * Latimer Square Closed to Through Traffic

5. Apart, from an issue with some larger two axle trucks experiencing difficulty in negotiating the junction (many similar large vehicles are also precluded from much of the central city), there are further issues with encouraging greater use of Worcester Street. These are highlighted as follows:
- It would generate additional traffic movements through a residential area.
 - Encouraging more traffic movements along Worcester Street is likely to require the introduction of additional traffic signals along this route at Linwood Avenue and Woodham Road junctions resulting in additional operating cost and extra capital costs on the Council.
 - Part of Worcester Street between Fitzgerald Avenue and Linwood Avenue has been already reconstructed with wider berms reflecting the both the residential character of the locality and its local road traffic function.
 - Encouraging more traffic movements along Worcester Street is likely to require an alternative intersection layout at its intersection with Linwood Avenue to overcome the issues with the alignment of Worcester Street.
6. Given the above staff are of the opinion that no changes should be made to the junction of Worcester Street and Linwood Avenue and that Worcester Street should continue to operate as a local road with no works being undertaken that would increase traffic volumes.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The Council, because of costs, safety and providing a range of living environments follows a roading hierarchy which is detailed in the City Plan. Worcester Street is classified as a local road similar to Olliviers Road. Both roads have controls placed on them to restrict traffic which reflects their situation and the complex nature of the junction. The controls that are currently in place to either restrict traffic or to improve safety and provide different types of residential environments are appropriate. To depart from the existing situation will introduce significant costs.
8. No funds have been set aside in the LTCCP budgets to provide any specific improvements to the junction of Worcester Street and Linwood Avenue or to significantly change the nature and operation of Worcester Street.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. There are no changes proposed and therefore as no changes are proposed, there are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. No changes are proposed and therefore there are as there are no changes to the existing character of the roads the function of the road is consistent with Council practices.

9 Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. The function of Worcester Street is consistent with Councils current strategies and the City Plan.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board receive the report.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

10. PROPOSED ROAD NAMING GREENWOOD ESTATE- RICHMOND HILL

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Environment Policy and Approvals Unit Manager
Author:	Leonie Stapleton, Subdivisions Planner

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Boards approval for a new lane, name suitable for a right of way off Richmond Hill Road, Greenwood Estate (refer **attached**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new road names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure it will not be confused with names currently in use.
4. The Greenwood family immigrated to New Zealand in 1840 and settled in Canterbury as farmers. Originally the Greenwood family were farmers in England where they farmed for many centuries. After originally settling at Purau they eventually moved north and established a very successful farm known as "Teviotdale", which is between Amberley and the coast. This was a very large farm which was operated by the late Mr GD Greenwood until his death in 1934. As well as owning Teviotdale farm, the Greenwoods have also owned farms at Hororata and Richmond Hill. The Richmond Hill farm was purchased by the Greenwood family in 1976. The Estate of the late Mr GD Greenwood is the same entity which owns Richmond Hill Farm.
5. When planning approval was obtained to enable the current residential subdivision to be undertaken at Richmond Hill, the family decided that in view of the length of time they had been involved in farming activities in Canterbury, the subdivision would be known as "Greenwood Farm".
6. As well as owning the various farms, the family has also been involved with a number of very successful race horses, many of which spent some time on their farming properties. The most successful race horse which the family owned was Gloaming which established a record number of wins as yet unsurpassed. The family therefore decided that in keeping with the naming of the subdivision of Greenwood farm that it would be appropriate to honour the names of some of the race horses owned by the Greenwood family by naming the streets within the subdivision after some of those horses.
7. This is the reason why the family have supplied the selected proposed street names.
We submit the following names:

1. Horse Names

The following are names of horses either bred or raced by the Greenwood family:

- Oxenhope
- Whiterock

2. Other Names

We also submit two further names:

- Haworth. This is the area in England from where the Greenwood family originated.
- Corriedale. The Greenwood family operated the second ever Corriedale stud registered in New Zealand.

10 Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The Council has a statutory obligation to approve road names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. Yes. There are no legal implications

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Where proposed road names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and New Zealand Post.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board consider and approve a proposed road name for the right of way off Richmond Hill Road, Greenwood Estate.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

10 Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

17. There are no issues.

THE OBJECTIVES

18. Approval by the Community Board of the road names proposed in this report.

THE OPTIONS

19. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

20. Whiterock Lane.

11. ESTABLISHMENT OF A HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to set aside \$10,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund for the purpose of establishing a Youth Development Scheme Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The purpose of the Youth Development Scheme is to celebrate and support young people living in the Hagley and Ferrymead ward by providing financial assistance for their development. The Community Board also seeks to acknowledge young people's effort, achievement and potential excellence in the community.
3. The Youth Development Scheme will consider applications for the following activities:
 - Personal Development and Growth - for example leadership training, career development, Outward Bound, Spirit of Adventure, extra curricular educational opportunities.
 - Representation at Events - Applicants can apply for assistance if they have been selected to represent their school, team or community at a local, national or international event or competition. This includes sporting, cultural and community events.
4. The following eligibility criteria must be met:
 - The applicant is aged 12 to 25 years old at time of application.
 - The Projects must have obvious benefits for the young person and if possible the wider community.
 - Only one application permitted per year. A second application will only be accepted in exceptional cases and considered at the discretion of the Community Board.
 - The applicants should be undertaking other fundraising activities and not relying solely on Community Board support.
 - The successful applicants will be required to complete an accountability report and may be asked to attend a Youth Celebration event hosted by the Community Board to report back on their experiences.
5. Applicants will complete an application form and each application will be assessed by the appropriate staff member and a report presented to the Board for its consideration. As a guideline, a subsidy of up to \$600 for events or projects costing over \$2,000 will be recommended and up to \$400 for events/projects under \$2,000 will be recommended. Financial hardship and other special circumstances may impact on these guidelines.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. This proposal transfers funds from the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund into a separate Youth Development Scheme Fund. This will reduce the total amount available in the Board's Discretionary Response Fund in 2010/11 by \$10,000.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009 - 19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. There are no legal issues to be considered.

11 Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

10. Aligns with the Strengthening Community Strategy goals:
- Increase participation in community recreation and sports programmes and events.
 - Improve basic life skills so that all residents can participate fully in society.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

11. No external consultation needs to be undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

- (a) Establish a Youth Development Scheme for the 2010/11 year.
- (b) Approve the transfer of \$10,000 from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme Fund.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

12. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARDS 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – TALOSIA KALAB VANILAU

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Talosia Kalab Vanilau (Kalab) is a 17 year old Woolston resident seeking Community Board support to represent Middleton Grange High at the Southern Skies touch Rugby Tournament in Brisbane, Australia. This trip will take place from 4 July to 10 July 2010.
3. Kalab has been playing Touch Rugby for the past three and half years representing both Hillview Christian School and Middleton Grange High. He is training three nights a week and also participates in local community Touch Games at Linwood Park every Thursday night.
4. Kalab was selected to take part in the Young Leaders Sports Development Programme in 2008. In 2008 and 2009 the Hillview Open Boys Touch Team were runners up. He also plays Rugby League and is aiming to play Premiere Rugby League. A keen musician, Kalab plays guitar, is a member of the kapa haka and plays in a Church band
5. Kalab has raised \$1,300 for the trip through sausage sizzles, fundraiser suppers, family donations and school support. However, there is still a shortfall and any financial assistance from the Community Board would be greatly appreciated.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

TALOSIA KALAB VANILAU	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
International flights and transfers	\$800
Accommodation, meals, registration fees.	\$900
Travel insurance	\$100
Total Cost	\$1,800

7. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Community Boards Youth Development Fund.
8. Subject to separate ratification by the Board at this meeting, there is a balance of \$10,000 available in the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

12 Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board support the application and allocate \$300 from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2010/11 Youth Development Fund for Talosia Kalab Vanilau to compete at the Southern Skies Touch Rugby tournament in Australia in July 2010.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

13. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – PETRA MARNI BAKER

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services Group, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Shupayi Mpunga, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present a request for funding from Petra Marni Baker to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Boards 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme, to attend the Global Scholars a Leaders Conference (GSLC) in Singapore from 8 to 15 August 2010.
2. Subject to separate ratification by the Board at this meeting, there is a balance of \$10,000 available in the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. The applicant, Petra Marni Baker, is 22 years old. Petra is a student at the University of Canterbury and is studying for a degree in Law and Arts, majoring in political science and psychology. Her ambition is to work as an international criminal lawyer or a policy analyst and ultimately for the United Nations Human Rights Commission.
4. Petra is passionate about dance and archery. She is a Level 4 archery coach and enjoys coaching a development squad of young archers aged 10 to 17. Coaching is on two weeknights and on weekends. Petra also coaches the South Island Junior Champion. Petra is a ballet dancer and trains as a dance teacher at Canterbury Ballet. She also helps to teach ballet to other students.
5. Petra has been invited to serve as a Global Scholar, representing International Ballet School, University of Canterbury and New Zealand at the GSLC to be held from 8 to 15 August 2010 in Singapore. The GSLC is a prestigious conference for recognised high achievers aged 16 to 25. This conference aims to prepare young people who aspire to become future leaders and policy makers to explore diplomacy, international relations and to discuss relevant social, economic and human rights issues in an attempt to gain insight into practical steps that can be taken in order to bring about change. About 68 young people will attend the GSLC.
6. Petra would like to attend the GSLC as she believes in bringing about change on issues of poverty in New Zealand and globally. The conference will connect her with some like minded young leaders from around the world and provide a platform for them to discuss and develop real solutions for current world issues.
7. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Community Board for financial support.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. Subject to separate ratification by the Board at this meeting, there is a balance of \$10,000 available in the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.
9. A breakdown of the costs involved is as follows:

Expenditure	\$
Airfares	2,500
Conference Registration and Accommodation	5,554
Total	\$8,054

13 Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. No external consultation needs to be undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board makes a grant of \$600 from its 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme to Petra Marni Baker towards her trip to Singapore to attend the Global Scholars and Leaders Conference.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

14. KEEP NEW ZEALAND BEAUTIFUL CONFERENCE 2010 – BOARD MEMBER ATTENDANCE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Jo Daly, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to consider appointing a Board member to attend the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Conference 2009 and Annual General Meeting in Gisborne from Friday 17 to Sunday 19 September 2010.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Board's representatives on the Keep Christchurch Beautiful Committee is Rod Cameron.
3. Keep Christchurch Beautiful is a voluntary organisation, which aims to promote a cleaner, more beautiful environment within Christchurch, and to raise the level of awareness of what the individual can do to improve his or her community and reduce litter. Notice of the national conference has been received. Christchurch has a member on the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Board.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The cost for one member to attend the conference is approximately \$1,198 per member which would be met from the Board's 2010/11 operational budget. This covers travel, accommodation and the conference registration.
5. The Board's 2010/11 operational budget for conferences, travel and training is \$4,550. The balance of that budget is currently \$4,550, as nothing has been expended year to date.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

7. There are no legal considerations involved.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

8. Yes, page 52 of the LTCCP, Environment – A city of people who value and protect the natural environment.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

9. Not applicable..

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Not applicable.

14 Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board consider approving the attendance of a Board member to the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Conference and Annual General Meeting in Gisborne from Friday 17 to Sunday 19 September 2010.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

15. APPLICATION TO HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services Group, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Shupayi Mpunga, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to consider two applications for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from:
 - i) The Friends of Edmonds Factory Gardens Inc. for \$3,924.
 - ii) The Heathcote Valley School 150th Jubilee for \$2,500.
2. At the time of writing, there is \$51,197 remaining in the 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
4. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
5. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
6. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

The Council also made a note that: "Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."
7. Based on this criteria, the attached application from the Friends of Edmonds Gardens Inc. (refer **Attachment 1**) is eligible for funding.
8. Staff recommend that the Board approve funding the Friends of Edmonds Gardens Inc. towards installation costs of eight security cameras.
9. Based on this criteria, the attached application from the Heathcote Valley School 150th Jubilee (refer **Attachment 2**) is eligible for funding.
10. Staff recommend that the Board decline funding the Heathcote Valley School 150th Jubilee for hire of carpet and glassware.

Financial Implications

11. There is currently \$51,197 remaining in the Boards 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

15 Cont'd

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The application from the Friends of Edmonds Factor Gardens Inc. aligns with Strengthening Communities Strategy and the following Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board objectives:
- Advocate for and support measures that will assist the Hagley Ferrymead Ward to be a safer place for residents, visitors and businesses.
 - Continue to support initiatives that relate to the environment.
 - Encourage participation in recreation, sports and arts for all.
 - Support and advocate for initiatives that enable the ward to have attractive neighbourhoods.
 - Support and advocate for measures that strengthen the Garden City image.
17. The application from the Heathcote Valley School 150th Jubilee aligns with Strengthening Communities Strategy and the following Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board objective:
- Acknowledge diversity and support measures for vibrant, inclusive and strong communities.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

- (a) Approve a grant of \$3,924 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Friends of Edmonds Gardens Inc. towards the installation of security cameras.
- (b) Decline the application from the Heathcote Valley School for its 150th Jubilee for the hire of carpet and glasswear.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

4.8.2010

- 24 -

16. **COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
17. **BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**
18. **BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
19. **RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

Attached.