# 12. SHIRLEY/PAPANUI 2008/09 STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND, SMALL GRANTS FUND, AND DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – END OF PROJECT ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services , DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Bruce Meder, Community Development Adviser

#### **PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to provide the Board with an end of year accountability report for projects that received funding from the 2008/09 Strengthening Communities Fund, Small Grants Fund and Discretionary Response Fund.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- 2. The amount of the Council's Strengthening Communities Funding (SCF) allocated by the Board for the 2008/09 financial year was \$280,000.
- 3. Successful applicants of the SCF are required to submit a six month accountability report and an end of project accountability report. Attached to this report, (attachment 1), is a matrix detailing the information received on the end of project accountability reports.
- In 2008/09 a new reporting system using a Results Based Accountability framework was introduced. This system uses three key questions to measure the impact and efficacy of projects.
  - How much did you do?
  - How well did you do it?
  - Is anyone better off?
- 5. The amount of the Council's Small Grants Fund (SGF) allocated by the Board for the 2008/09 financial year was \$85,000
- 6. Successful applicants of the SGF are only required to submit an end of project accountability report. Attached to this report (attachment 2) is a matrix detailing which projects have returned an accountability report and includes staff comments where necessary.
- 7. The amount of the Community Board's Discretionary Response Fund (DRF) for the 2008/09 financial year was \$60,000.
- 8. Successful applicants of the DRF are also only required to submit an end of project accountability report. Attached to this report, (attachment 3), is a matrix detailing the information received on the end of project accountability reports.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 9. On 23 July 2008, the Board allocated its Strengthening Communities Funding (\$280,000) across 25 projects.
- 10. On 14 August 2008, the Board allocated its Small Grants Funding (\$85,000) across 45 projects.
- 11. During the period of 1 July 2008 to 30 June 2009, the Board allocated a total of \$47,018 from its Discretionary Response Fund across 15 projects.

## Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes, see LTCCP page 103 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

#### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

## Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. There are no direct legal issues involved in this review process.

#### ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

14. Yes, see LTCCP pages 99 and 100 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

#### **ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

- 15. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.
- 16. Funding allocations made contributed to fulfilling the Council's 2006-16 Strategic Objectives (Strong Communities) and Community Outcomes (Governance and Community), are aligned with the Strengthening Communities Strategy 2007 and contribute to meeting the Board's Objectives for the 2006-09 period.

## Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. Yes, as per paragraph 15 above.

## **CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

18. Not required.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board receive the information.

#### CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

## BACKGROUND

## **End of Project Accountability Reports**

- 19. In total 85 projects received a grant in 2008/09 from Shirley/Papanui Community Board's Strengthening Communities Fund, Small Grants Fund, or the Discretionary Response Fund.
- 20. Staff are following up with any group that has not completed their end of project accountability report. It should be noted that groups who were allocated funding for the 2009/10 year did not have their funding released until an accountability report for 2008/09 had been received.

## New accountability measures - Results Based Accountability

- 21. In 2008/09, a new accountability system, based on a Results Based Accountability framework developed by Mark Friedman, was implemented to better measure the impact and efficacy of the projects funded.
- 22. Results Based Accountability starts with the desired 'ends' and works backward, step by step, to the 'means'. For example for communities, the ends are conditions of well-being for children, adults, families and the community as a whole such as residents with good jobs, a safe neighbourhood, or a clean environment.
- 23. The system uses three basic questions:
  - How much did you do?
  - How well did you do it?
  - Is anyone better off?
- 24. Mark Freidman is a speaker, consultant and author of the book 'Trying Hard Is Not Good Enough: How to Produce Measurable Improvements for Customers and Communities'. Mr Friedman directs the Fiscal Policy Studies Institute (FPSI) in Santa Fe, New Mexico. His work

- has been used in over 40 states in America and countries around the world, including Australia, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, Ireland, the Netherlands and Norway.
- 25. Mark Freidman gave a presentation on the Results Based Accountability System for Elected Members on 10 June 2009 at Civic Chambers.
- 26. All groups that received funding in the 2008/09 year were invited to attend a seminar with Mark Friedman on 5 December 2008. The seminar explained the reasoning behind Results Based Accountability and showed groups how to measure their project's outcomes in this way.
- 27. Staff have also been trained on the Results Based Accountability System and have been available to groups to help them to complete their accountability reports.