

RICCARTON WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 15 DECEMBER 2009

AT 4.30PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH**

Community Board: Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Judy Kirk, Mike Mora and Bob Shearing.

Community Board Adviser

Liz Beaven

Telephone: 941-6501

Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

	CLAUSE	
PART B	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 1 DECEMBER 2009
PART B	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
PART B	4.	PETITIONS
PART B	5.	NOTICE OF MOTION
PART B	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	7.	BRIEFINGS
PART C	8.	APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2009/10 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – HORNBY COMMUNITY CARE TRUST COMMUNITY NETWORKING MEETINGS
PART C	9.	APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2009/10 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – GOOD COMPANIONS CLUB HORNBY
PART C	10.	APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2009/10 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME –MEREANA COWLEY RADEMAKERS

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

15. 12. 2009

- 2 -

	CLAUSE	
PART C	11.	RICCARTON AREA PROPOSED PARKING PLAN PROPOSED CONSULTATION OPTIONS
PART B	12.	ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE
PART B	13.	MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 1 DECEMBER 2009

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 1 December 2009 are **attached**.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 1 December 2009 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICE OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

8. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2009/10 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – HORNBY COMMUNITY CARE TRUST COMMUNITY NETWORKING MEETINGS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Unit DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Acting Unit Manager, Community Support
Author:	Denise Galloway, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Board for a contribution of \$550, from the 2009/10 Riccarton Wigram Discretionary Response Fund, towards the cost of providing a monthly community network meeting facilitated by the Hornby Community Care Trust's Co-ordinator. At the time of writing this report there is an unallocated balance of \$6,308 in the Board's Discretionary Response Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Hornby Community Care Centre is adjacent to the Hornby Library. The centre is managed by the Hornby Community Care Trust which runs an 'Op' shop to provide funding for the building's maintenance and general upkeep. The Trust provides rooms for hire at a very reasonable cost for community groups such as Guides, Pippins, Toast Masters, Depression Support and Citizen's Advice Bureau.
3. The Trust has also facilitated a monthly community network meeting since 1979. On average 25 people attend, representing a range of local community organisations, schools, medical centres, government agencies and churches. The network meetings are held on the first Wednesday of every month at lunchtime. These meetings provide an opportunity for local groups to network as well as relevant information from guest speakers. The meetings are facilitated by the Community Co-ordinator, who is employed by the Trust. The Co-ordinator spends approximately three hours each month facilitating the community meeting as well as organising guest speakers.
4. A light lunch is provided at each community meeting. The Hornby Community Care Trust has funded the community meetings for the last 30 years. However, with other rising costs the Trust is seeking financial assistance to cover the ongoing provision of food for the community meeting and costs related to the salary of the Co-ordinator. The community representatives really appreciate having the opportunity to network during their lunch break, as making time to network is often difficult given the many demands on community organisations and those who work in this field. Networking is a vital component of community development as it provides not only the time for information sharing but also the opportunity to build stronger relationships between community groups.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The following outlines budgetary requirements for 2009/10

Item	Cost (\$)	Amount Requested (\$)
Salary of facilitator(3hrs/11)	561	209
Sandwiches	165	165
Savouries	99	99
Tea/Coffee/fruit	77	77
Room Rental	132	
Total Cost	\$1,034	\$550

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes. Refer page 172.

8. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

8. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

9. Yes, Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve the funding application and allocate \$400 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund to the Hornby Community Trust as a contribution towards costs related to the provision of a monthly community networking meeting.

9. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2009/10 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – GOOD COMPANIONS CLUB HORNBY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to consider a funding application of \$1,232 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund for costs associated with the outings that the Good Companions Club of Hornby operates for its members.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Good Companions Club of Hornby has been operating for 40 years and currently runs from the Hornby Working Men's Club in Hornby. The club offers older adults in the community the opportunity to meet socially on a weekly basis with the aim of reducing social isolation and offering companionship. They also organise a monthly bus trip for their members.
3. Their weekly gathering is often the only meeting many of the members have and the club is seeking funding to eliminate the financial barrier that may otherwise prevent them from attending the bus trips that are also organised.
4. Members presently pay up to \$20 for a monthly outing which is proving to be prohibitive to some members. For 2010/11 the club will submit an application to the Small Grants Fund for these expenses.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. There are no financial implications to the Council.
6. The total cost of the bus trips is \$4,172 and the club are requesting \$1,232 towards these bus trip expenses.
7. The club's registration fees will cover the remaining expenses.
8. At time of writing this report there was an unallocated balance of \$1,300 in the Riccarton/Wigram Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. As above.

9. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy, Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy and local Community Board Objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve the funding application and allocate \$500 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2009/10 Discretionary Response Fund to the Good Companions Club of Hornby as a contribution towards their bus trip expenses for their members.

10. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2009/10 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – MEREANA COWLEY RADEMAKERS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2009/10 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Mereana is a year 18 student at Riccarton High School who resides in Avonhead and is seeking Community Board support to travel to Seattle, Los Angeles, Montreal to compete in three rhythmic gymnastic events. These events have been nominated as Commonwealth Games trials for the NZ Gymnasts and the trip will take place 29 to 31 January 2010.
3. Mereana has been involved in rhythmic gymnastics for six years and has represented NZ since 2005. Her progress in the sport has been impressive and her latest achievement was winning the 2009 National Gymsports Championships, being placed first in all four of her apparatus finals. This year Mereana was also named the 2009 Gymnastics Outstanding Sportsperson of the year and was nominated for the Outstanding Sportsperson award at the Canterbury Sports Awards.
4. Mereana has just finished Year 13 at Riccarton High School, where she achieved highly academically, in sport and also in dance. She trains at the Olympia Gymnastic Sports in Wigram up to 20 hours a week and also has a part time job that assists to support her expenses related to her sports.
5. All of Mereana's expenses, with the exception of her entry fee to the Montreal event, will be met by her family and Mereana will also increase her part time work hours to help support her travel to these events. Mereana would appreciate any assistance from the Community Board.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

MEREANA COWLEY RADEMAKERS	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfare costs	3,563
Entry fee to two events	422
Accommodation for three locations	1,876
Total Cost	\$5,861
Amount raised to date by applicant	900
Amount requested from the Community Board	\$500

7. The applicant received \$500 in 2006-07 and \$500 in 2008-09 from the Riccarton Wigram Community Board for various European tournaments she competed in. Accountability was received for all funding received.
8. At time of writing this report there was an unallocated balance of \$1,300 in the Riccarton/Wigram Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

10. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board support the application and allocate \$500 to Mereana Cowley Rademakers as a contribution towards costs for her trip to Seattle, Los Angeles, Montreal from the 2009/10 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme.

11. RICCARTON AREA PROPOSED PARKING PLAN PROPOSED CONSULTATION OPTIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Steve Dejong Traffic Engineer Transport

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to recommend that the Board consult on the "Balanced Approach" parking option to address the parking problems which have been identified in central Riccarton.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. After a deputation from the Central Riccarton Residents' Association to the Board's Transport and Rooding Committee on the 21 November 2008 the Committee requested staff advice on the restriction options suggested by the Central Riccarton Residents' Association within the vicinity of Westfield's Mall Riccarton.
3. During the investigation of existing parking issues within the vicinity of Westfield's Mall staff identified that there were problems with the demand for kerb side parking exceeding supply in residential streets on the southern side of Riccarton Road. However, on the northern side of Riccarton Road staff identified that over restriction within residential streets forced the majority of all day commuter parking to the southern side of Westfield's Riccarton Mall compounding the problem.
4. Staff further identified that the restrictions as requested by the Central Riccarton Residents' Association were not a viable option. This would only split and shift the existing all day commuter parking problem on the southern side of Riccarton Road into adjoining residential streets thus transferring the problem without addressing the issue.
5. It is not a requirement for staff to seek formal approval from the Board prior to initiating consultation, but staff believed that a workshop presenting the findings of the investigation would help and inform the Board of the issue. This workshop was held on the 29 September 2009 at 8am. The Board further requested a seminar which was held on the 6 October 2009 at 4pm. Following this seminar the Board requested another seminar presenting consultation options which was held on the 3 November 2009 at 8.30am. To date the Board has not reached consensus with regard to a preferred consultation plan.
6. This report has been prepared at the request of the Board to present the same four options to assist the Board to vote formally on a preferred option.

CONSULTATION PLAN OPTIONS

7. **OPTION 1: Present Situation.** This option is to do nothing and accept the all day commuter parking as part of the issues related to residing within the L3 zone of Central Riccarton.

Outcomes: This option is unsustainable and only benefits all day commuter parking, as it will adversely affect the quality of life for residents living within the vicinity of Westfield's Riccarton Mall. This option will prevent residents and their visitors from finding any day time kerb side parking within close proximity of their dwellings. By default it will unfairly allocate all kerbside parking, on streets nearest the mall and shopping area to all day commuter parking.

8. **OPTION 2: Requested Restrictions.** This option is to implement the parking restrictions as requested by the Central Riccarton Residents' Association.

Outcomes: This option is also considered unsustainable and only benefits those residents residing on streets covered by the requested restrictions. It will prevent all, all day commuter parking from some streets consequently pushing the effects of the commuter parking further into the neighbouring residential streets. The streets covered by the restrictions will be under utilised with regard to kerbside parking.

11. Cont'd

9. **OPTION 3: Balanced Approach.** This option is to install portions (half the length of each side of each residential street) of P120 parking restrictions on all streets affected or likely to be effected by all day commuter parking. This option was recommended by staff as it shared the demand for all day parking while minimising the effect of just moving the problem into adjacent residential streets.

Outcomes: This is a sustainable option and benefits both residents and all day commuter parking equally. It is a holistic approach containing and balancing the spread of all day commuter parking. This option shares the problem of all day commuter parking rather than moving it around. Some residents may not have exactly what they would prefer and some commuters will have to walk further than they would prefer, however, every resident would have some day time kerb side parking within their street.

10. **OPTION 4: Compromise Option.** This option is to address the issue relating to all day parking solely on the south side of Riccarton Road and maintain the status-quo on the north side of Riccarton Road but includes the residents on the north side of Riccarton Road in the consultation. This is because the Board have previously approved time restrictions on both sides of residential streets on the north of Riccarton Road over their full length.

Outcomes: This option does not share the demands for parking evenly throughout the area and will result in all day parking being pushed further into residential streets to the south while there are streets underutilised in the north with very few parked vehicles.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. This report is to decide on an option for consultation.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

12. The consultation will be undertaken within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets. Depending on the outcome of the consultation the installation of road marking and signs will have to be managed within existing Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
14. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated April 2008. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and Traffic Control Devices.
15. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

16. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

17. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

18. As above.

11. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

19. The recommendations align with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

20. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

21. This report is seeking that the Board identify the preferred option for consultation. Consultation will follow the Board's decision.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board:

- (a) Approve the "Balanced Approach" parking option to install portions (half of the length of each side of each residential street) of P120 parking restrictions on all streets affected, or likely to be affected, by all day commuters parking for consultation.
- (b) Note that the Plan reflecting "Option 3" will be distributed to residents, tenants and stake holders within all streets and neighbouring streets affected by, and likely to be affected by, and all streets contributing to the all day commuter parking issues within the vicinity of Westfield's Riccarton Mall.
- (c) Request staff to report back on a preferred plan for parking in central Riccarton taking into account the consultation feedback.

12. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

13. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS