

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

Held in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices, 163 Tuam Street
on Monday 21 April 2008 at 1.30pm

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson),
Councillor Chrissie Williams, Sir Kerry Burke,
Councillor Alec Neill, Councillor Eugenie Sage,
Mayor Kelvin Coe, Councillor Malcolm Lyall,
Councillor Lindsay Philps, Mayor Ron Keating,
Councillor David Ayers, and Councillor Dan Gordon.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from
Mayor Bob Parker, Councillor Sue Wells and
Mark Solomon.

IN ATTENDANCE: Councillors Helen Broughton and Elaine Cole, James Caygill
and Warren Brixton.

	ACTION
<p>1. MEMBERSHIP OF COMMITTEE</p> <p>The opportunity was taken to introduce Councillor Lindsay Philps, Selwyn District Council, who was replacing Councillor Annette Foster on the Implementation Committee, as one of the representatives of Selwyn District Council.</p>	
<p>2. CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES</p> <p>Meeting of 17 March 2008</p> <p>It was resolved that the minutes of the meeting of 17 March 2008, as circulated, be confirmed as a true and correct record, subject to amending the spelling of the surnames of two members and Councillor Helen Broughton's name being transferred to those 'In Attendance'.</p>	Warren Brixton
<p>3. MATTERS ARISING</p> <p>Nil.</p>	

	ACTION
<p>4. MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT – INDEPENDENT CHAIR AND IMPLEMENTATION MANAGER</p>	
<p>In speaking to his report, the Implementation Manager referred to the Belfast 293 subject, commenting on the good decision reached by the partnership and the need now to make it work.</p>	
<p>It was resolved that:</p>	
<p>(a) The monthly report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager be received.</p>	James Caygill
<p>(b) The next meeting of the UDSIC be Monday 19 May 2008 commencing at 1.30pm with the venue to be advised.</p>	Warren Brixton
<p>5. RPS INTEGRATED PLANNING PAPER</p>	
<p>Councillors Alec Neill and Eugenie Sage declared a conflict of interest in respect to this item and abstained from voting and discussion thereon.</p>	
<p>Ken Tremaine in speaking to the report emphasised its strong purpose in telling the story of the approaches being taken to growth management in the Greater Christchurch subregion, with particular focus on the integrated planning approach. This would lead to a better understanding of the strategies.</p>	
<p>Mr Tremaine then provided an overview of the document drawing attention to:</p>	
<p>(1) Purpose</p>	
<p>(2) Legal and Policy Framework</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 2.9 Conclusion.	
<p>(3) Growth Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 3.2 Relevant case law – reaching an understanding on the environment area being worked with.	
<p>(4) Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Setting out in some detail to tell the story.	
<p>(5) Urban Limits and Growth Allocations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Putting limits in place.• The fact that Environment Canterbury had been challenged as to their rights.	

ACTION

- The statement on affordable housing prepared by Bryan Jenkins
- (6) Land Use Infrastructure and Funding
- Drawing attention to the important points as to where the subregion was heading and the linkages across.
 - It also argued the case for sequencing.
 - The monitoring and review aspects were important.

In the discussion that followed, comment was made by members in respect of:

- 3.2 Relevant case law – Kennedy’s Bush Developments Limited v the Christchurch City Council – the need to check carefully the court’s decision.
- 5.2 Justification – Intensification – the word ‘implementing’ to be replaced by the word ‘identifying’.
- 2.7 Government Policy Approach – Alternative Modes – the need to use different wording in this clause.

Similar was required for 6.3 Transport – alternative modes wording.

General

Councillor Williams is to forward through other suggested changes.

- The exact status of the paper was sought
- There was an omission regarding high-speed electronic information transfer data (broadband)
- Whether the document as a whole could be made more readable through perhaps the use of summary boxes or appendices references

Ken Tremaine

It was **resolved** that the UDSIC note the draft Integrated Growth Management in Greater Christchurch paper attached as Appendix 1.

6. RPS PC1 SUBMISSIONS

In introducing the topic, the Chairperson referred to some concerns that had been raised by legal people and further concerns as to the process adopted. He advised that as the matter was being referred to the meeting of the Chief Executives the following day, it should not be the subject of any debate, as at the end of the day the participants are a partnership.

Councillor Eugenie Sage declared a conflict of interest in respect to PC1 and abstained from voting and discussion thereon.

Laurie McCallum, one of the authors of the report, added further comment in respect of:

ACTION

- The further submission process had been completed resulting in approximately 150 additional submissions.
- The good relations established with staff from other Councils in working through the submissions.
- The map which had been prepared to show where the different types of submissions had been received.
- The 35-year land stock identified, rather than a 100 year supply.
- Two meetings had been held with submitters to advise them of the process being adopted.
- Submitters had been requested to make available their evidence before the hearings, in order that the panel can have time to read them.

Councillor Alec Neill Sage declared a conflict of interest in respect to this item and abstained from voting and discussion thereon.

In the discussion that followed on the presentation of the report, comment was made in respect of:

- Whether the timeline was too tight to allow proper consideration.

Summary of issues

At this point in the meeting, the Implementation Manager drew attention to the various issues identified of interest to the UDSIC including:

- City Council rural residential requirements.
- Possible changes to the development sequencing for greater Christchurch 2007/2041 table.
- Business land allocation.
- Airport noise contours, including the map showing the relocation of growth pockets to the south west.
- Variation to the MUL's.

It was noted that the Committee had previously recognised that further work needed to be done to the Rolleston, Woodend and Kaiapoi growth areas and the need to build in sufficient robustness to the change.

It was **resolved** that the UDSIC notes the assessment in Appendix B as the position of the UDS partner Councils on submissions to the PC1.

Laurie McCallum

Central City Revitalisation

Maurice Roers provided a PowerPoint presentation of the Central City Revitalisation Project being undertaken by the City Council.

The meeting concluded at 3.22pm following which a walking tour of the nearby Central City Revitalisation Projects was taken.