



GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

**Held in the Council Chamber, Waimakariri District Council, High Street Rangiora
on Monday 19 May 2008 at 1.30pm**

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson),
Sir Kerry Burke, Councillors Malcolm Lyall, Lindsay
Philps, David Ayers, and Dan Gordon.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from
Mayor Bob Parker, Councillors Sue Wells,
Chrissie Williams, Alec Neill and Eugenie Sage,
Mayor Kelvin Coe, Mayor Ron Keating and
Mark Solomon.

IN ATTENDANCE: James Caygill and Warren Brixton.

1. MEETING QUORUM

A quorum of members was not in attendance, but on the recommendation of the Chairman, it was agreed that the meeting agenda be dealt with on an informal basis. Any recommendations made, could be referred to the next meeting for confirmation.

2. CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

Meeting of 21 April 2008

It was **recommended** that the minutes of the meeting of 21 April 2008, as circulated, be confirmed as a true and correct record.

ACTION

Warren Brixton

**3. MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT –
INDEPENDENT CHAIR AND IMPLEMENTATION MANAGER**

In speaking to his report, the Implementation Manager drew attention to the high slippage rate of the RPS PC1.

Transport Funding

At this point in the meeting Jill Atkinson, Environment Canterbury, provided a power point presentation covering:

- Canterbury Transport Regional Implementation Plan – transport package
- What does the government transport grant mean for Canterbury?
- What does the Canterbury package (BAU and GAP) buy?
- Temporal profile of the gap
 - funding strings
 - regional fuel tax, who pays?
 - sub-regional L funding requirements
- Example of geographic area information
- Where to from here?

The discussion that followed members commented on:

- The extent to which the regional tax might be imposed on all South Island local authorities
- Whether there was any indication from the Government as to the cost of upgrading rail for passenger transport
- The impact of rising petrol prices on any regional tax
- Whether there was any indication of time frames from the Government for the transport package.

It was further noted that:

- The UDS would be looked to, to deliver the regional transport package and assist sustainable transport
- All local authorities would have to go through the LTCCP amendment process and therefore could not give any commitment to the transport project.

Given the quantum of additional funding requirements from local authorities, there would be need for local authorities to reach agreement between themselves, before entering into a binding contract with the Government.

<p>In conclusion it was reiterated that it was only through the Canterbury local authorities and associated government authorities collaborating together that a transport funding package had been able to be achieved.</p> <p>It was recommended:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. That the monthly report of the Independent Chair Implementation Manager be received2. That the next meeting of the UDSIC be on Monday 16 June 2008, commencing at 1:30pm, with the venue to be advised.	<p>ACTION</p> <p>James Caygill</p> <p>Warren Brixton</p>
<p>4. ACTION PLAN ITEMS</p> <p>The Implementation Manager explained the colour coding of the Action Plan and the areas of concern. It was recommended that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The report of the Implementation Manager on outstanding items in the UDS Action Plan be received2. The Implementation Manager report on those actions assigned a “red” status in the spreadsheet attached to the report, at each subsequent UDSIC meeting with updated information or recommendations with how to expedite the actions until all actions are eliminated from this group3. The Implementation Manager report on those actions assigned a “yellow” status in the spreadsheet attached to the report at the UDSIC meetings indicated in Section 7, with substantive recommendations for proceeding to implement those actions. <p>The meeting concluded at 2:30pm.</p>	<p>James Caygill</p>