



Christchurch City Council

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 18 SEPTEMBER 2007

AT 5.00PM

IN THE BOARDROOM,
SOUTH LIBRARY, SERVICE CENTRE AND LEARNING CENTRE,
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH

Community Board: Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Paul de Spa, Chris Mene, Sue Wells and Megan Woods.

Acting Board Adviser
Graham Sutherland
DDI: 941-6501
Email: graham.sutherland@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT - 4 SEPTEMBER 2007
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 4. BRIEFINGS
- PART B 5. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 6. PETITIONS
- PART B 7. NOTICES OF MOTION
- PART C 8. CASHMERE VILLAGE GREEN STONE SHELTER AND LANDSCAPE APPROVAL
- PART C 9. SHOW PLACE AT WHITELEIGH AVENUE - PROPOSED GIVE WAY CONTROL AND NO STOPPING
- PART C 10. PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT OF WAY NAMING
- PART A 11. HAZELDEAN BUSINESS PARK LINCOLN ROAD
- PART C 12. SYDENHAM SQUARE DEVELOPMENT

18.09.2007

- 2 -

- PART A 13. ESTABLISH EASEMENTS AS PART OF THE UPPER WILDERNESS DRAIN PROJECT
- PART C 14. CHARACTER HOUSING MAINTENANCE GRANTS
- PART B 15. MINUTES OF THE SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE FUNDING ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE
- PART B 16. REPORT OF THE SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE SCAP COMMITTEE
- PART C 17. REQUEST FOR FUNDING: SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD DISCRETIONARY FUND
- PART C 18. APPLICATION TO THE SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2007/08 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME
- PART C 19. KEEP NEW ZEALAND BEAUTIFUL CONFERENCE
- PART B 20. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD FUNDING ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT – 2006/2007
- PART B 21. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- PART B 22. ACTING COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE
- PART B 23. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 4 SEPTEMBER 2007

The report of the Board's ordinary meeting of 4 September 2007 has been **separately circulated** to members.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the Board's ordinary meeting held on 4 September 2007 be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Nil.

4. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

5. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

6. PETITIONS

Nil.

7. NOTICES OF MOTION

Nil.

8. CASHMERE VILLAGE GREEN STONE SHELTER AND LANDSCAPE APPROVAL

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager, Michael Aitken
Author:	Consultation Leader – Greenspace, Ann Liggett

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Community Board to approve the final plan for the location of the stone shelter (as per the Cashmere Village Green Management Plan 2001) and associated works (**Refer Attachment 1**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Cashmere Village Green stone shelter development is a project on the Transport and Greenspace capital programme.
3. This project is a result of community consultation undertaken during the installation of a new playground on Cashmere Village Green, and a final Management Plan being established for the reserve in 2001.
4. Although a site for the shelter was determined in the Management Plan, a change to the bus route has occurred since, therefore consultation with the community was undertaken to present other location options due to the bus stop relocation.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The funding for the stone shelter at Cashmere Village Green has been set aside in the Transport and Greenspace Capital Programme – Buildings/Equipment – New for 2006/07 (this fund has now been carried forward to 2007/08). Funding for the kerb realignment will be funded from the Neighbourhood Improvement Works section of the Transport Capital Works Programme.

Do the Recommendations of this report align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

6. As per 5 above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. All necessary Resource Consents and Building Consents will be obtained before any construction is undertaken.
8. All work will be carried out by a Council-approved contractor.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. As per 7 & 8 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. LTCCP: Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways – Page 123
 - Community – By providing welcoming areas for communities to gather and interact.
 - Environment – By offering opportunities for people to contribute to projects that improve our city’s environment.

8. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

11. As per 10 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

12. Parks Access Policy
- Older Adults
 - Open Space Strategy

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. As per 12 above

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. In August 2006 a public information leaflet was distributed to the local community and key stakeholders for feedback, giving three options for the location of the stone shelter. There was a high degree of community engagement in this project (approx 54% response rate), which were largely supportive of the project while also advising of their preferred option.
15. Following this consultation, and with further information being supplied to the project team, staff worked through ideas and comments received which resulted in a second round of consultation in March 2007.
16. The two options had the stone shelter in the original location as per the Cashmere Village Green Management Plan 2001, however one option has the bus stop in the original location at the end of the Green, and the second option retains the bus stop in its current location.
17. The second round of consultation received 92 submissions (46% response rate); a copy of the feedback comments is attached for your information (**Refer Attachment 2**).
18. Below are the results of the feedback received:
- | | |
|--|----|
| • Option A (bus relocation back to the original location) | 57 |
| • Option B (bus stop retained in current location) | 33 |
| • Neither Option | 2 |
19. A number of comments were related to safety. Currently the bus stops on Dyers Pass Road alongside Cashmere Village Green, this obstructs views for vehicles turning out of MacMillan Avenue and is also a hazard for both downhill vehicles and cyclists who need to manoeuvre around the bus when it is stopped.
20. Concerns were also raised by the Cashmere Presbyterian Church regarding the impact of Option A, with the bus then having to drive back past the church onto Dyers Pass Road. There were concerns for the church around noise, vibration and the inconvenience during weddings and funerals.
21. Following a site meeting with representatives of the church, and taking into consideration all comments received during consultation, especially around safety, the Project Team have made changes to the plans to reflect the considerable and valuable feedback received.
22. The proposal being presented for approval by the Board, addresses the safety concerns in relation to the bus stop location on Dyers Pass Road by creating a bus pull-in bay within the existing legal road boundaries.

8. Cont'd

23. This plan also reflects the concerns raised by the Cashmere Presbyterian Church by ensuring the bus route remains on Dyers Pass Road without having to drive past the entrance to the church.
24. There will be some slight re-contouring of the reserve along where the new footpath realignment will be behind the new bus pull-in bay.
25. The existing floral display on the south side of MacMillan Avenue will be enlarged to join up with the path alongside the Village Green, creating a far improved bedding display at this intersection.
26. The stone shelter will be located approximately five metres from the bus stop, and facing out towards the north, therefore enabling the shelter to be used as both a bus shelter and seating area for walkers and users of the reserve without creating any confusion for the bus drivers.
27. An additional note to Board members would be that the gum tree on the north east corner of the reserve will be removed in the near future due to health and safety concerns. This was communicated to the local community in the second round of consultation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board approve the final plan for Cashmere Village Green Stone Shelter location and associated works and to proceed to final design and construction.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

9. SHOW PLACE AT WHITELEIGH AVENUE - PROPOSED GIVE WAY CONTROL & NO STOPPING

General Manager responsible:	Jane Parfitt, General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Michael Aitken, Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Jeff Owen

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Community Board for the installation of a Give Way control against Show Place at the Whiteleigh Avenue intersection, with associated no stopping (refer attached).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has received complaints from local businesses and road users regarding the level of safety at the intersection of Show Place and Whiteleigh Avenue. The intersection is currently an uncontrolled "T" junction with the normal "*give way to the right*" rule applying.
3. There are several factors that are causing concern at this intersection. Confusion with the current give way rules for right turning traffic has been observed. Whiteleigh Avenue is a Minor Arterial that carries 20,000 vehicles per day. Show Place is local business cul de sac that carries approximately 300 vehicles per day. Show Place is now 90% developed with large format business offices. Workers from the businesses in Show Place are having difficulty accessing Whiteleigh Avenue due to not being able to leave Show Place both left and right simultaneously, caused by the traffic lane being restricted by kerb-side parking. This occurs at peak times. Right turning vehicles are blocking those wishing to turn left. Guidance of vehicle position is required for the driver exiting on to Whiteleigh Avenue. There is a general concern regarding the level of safety at the intersection.
4. Businesses have requested that the existing no stopping on the north side of Show Place at its intersection with Whiteleigh Avenue be extended to the west by 150 metres. This will allow two traffic lanes to form to allow both left and right turn movements out of Show Place in the evening peak.
5. A search of reported crashes at or within 30 metres of the intersection over the last five years has shown there has been one crash that could have been prevented with the installation of a Give Way control.
6. The preferred option to address the issues of concern is the installation of a Give Way control with associated road markings against Show Place at the Whiteleigh Avenue intersection.
7. In association with the Give Way control the existing no stopping on Show Place will be extended to the west by 33 metres to provide better clearance for the Give Way control and the marking of a left and right turn lanes. The extension to the existing no stopping will require the removal of kerb side parking for approximately four vehicles. These vehicles are deemed to be currently parking too close to the intersection.
8. Show Place has a roadway width of 12 metres. In the evening peak when staff are leaving their work places two traffic lanes can be formed outside the kerb-side parking for left and right turning movements. Few vehicles will be entering Show Place at this time. It must be noted that the vehicles parked kerb-side are also leaving Show Place at this time so as time progresses the left turn lane forms.
9. There is adequate visibility such that this method of control is considered appropriate. This option would resolve the priority and confusion issues being experienced at the intersection and will be cost effective. Guidance for left and right turning vehicles out of Show Place into Whiteleigh Avenue will be achieved by the associated lane markings at the Give Way control. This option will produce a safer and more efficient intersection.

9. Cont'd

10. The businesses of Show Place have been advised of the proposed installation of a Give Way control and No Stopping extension.
11. This proposal is the first stage of a wider project for the intersection to improve access into and out of Show Place. Due to financial constraints the second stage will proceed at a later date. Consultation will be carried out on the second stage when funding has been secured.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

12. An estimated cost for this work is \$500.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

13. The installation of give way controls and road markings is within LTCCP Street and Transport operational budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

14. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of give way controls and parking restrictions including broken yellow (no stopping) lines.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

15. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

16. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes – Safety.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

17. This contributes to improve the level of service for safety.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

18. The installation of no stopping aligns with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

19. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

20. Consultation has been carried out with the affected stakeholders.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board approve:

- (a) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Show Place commencing at the intersection of Whiteleigh Avenue and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 33 metres.
- (b) That a "Give Way" control is placed against Show Place at the Whiteleigh Avenue intersection.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

10. PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT OF WAY NAMING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Environment Policy & Approvals
Author:	Bob Pritchard

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval to one new road name and three new right of way names.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new road and right of way names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure it will not be confused with names currently in use.

RMA 92004916 Ngai Tahu Property, Annex Road (Hillmorton Hospital) (refer attached)

The Board will recall discussing this subdivision at the July 2007 Board meeting. The names for stage one were approved, but the three names proposed for the second stage were held over for confirmation of the suitability of Mokihi Gardens by Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu. A letter from Ngai Tahu agreeing to the use of Mokihi Gardens was received on 31 July 2007. The second stage created a large loop road, and two rights of way. The names proposed for the second stage are **Mokihi Gardens, Seager Lane** and **David Jamieson Lane**. David Jamieson was one of the most influential horticulturalists involved in the establishment of the gardens at Sunnyside. Edward Seager was the first superintendent of Sunnyside, and Wai Mokihi is the Maori name for the upper reaches of the Heathcote River.

RMA 92005122 - 41 Shalamar Drive, Emerson Construction (refer attached)

This subdivision will create fourteen new residential allotments served by a fully formed and sealed right of way running off Shalamar Drive. Two names have been proposed in order of preference, both names are associated with the famed Shalamar Gardens in Lahore, Pakistan. The first preference is **Jahan Lane** after the builder of the gardens in 1641 AD, Shah Jahan. The second preference is Nahar after a canal in the gardens. Nahar means canal, and the full name of the canal is Shah Nahar or Royal Canal.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

5. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. Council has a statutory obligation to approve road names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. There are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Not applicable.

10. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

9. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Where proposed road names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and NZ Post. Where a Maori name is proposed, Ngai Tahu are consulted.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board consider and approve the proposed names Mokihi Gardens, David Jamieson Lane and Seager Lane for the Linden Grove subdivision, and Jahan Lane for the right of way off Shalamar Drive.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

11. HAZELDEAN BUSINESS PARK - LINCOLN ROAD

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Jane Parfitt
Officer responsible:	Michael Aitken Manager
Authors:	Weng Kei Chen, Peter Atkinson

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek:
 - (a) The Board's recommendation to Council for the following works:
 - (i) The installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Harman Road and Lincoln Road and its associated road works.
 - (ii) To declare an area of road land indicated as Section 1 in the **attached** plan, as being surplus to Council requirements and commence road stopping procedures
 - (b) The Board's approval for the following parking changes:
 - (i) Relocate the existing bus stops on Lincoln Road.
 - (ii) Impose no stopping parking restrictions on Harman Road, Grove Road, Hazeldean Road and Lincoln Roads.
 - (iii) The installation of angle parking on both sides of Hazeldean Road.

The changes to the road assets are as shown in the attachment.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. A resource consent was granted to Calder Stewart Industries for the development of seven separate office blocks and a multi-story car park building on the former PDL site. The office blocks will vary from two to five stories and will have a total office space of 25,000 square metres. A total of 750 car park spaces will be provided for the development.
3. There are significant roading improvements around the perimeters of the site including some which require separate approval of the Council as the road controlling authority, viz;
 - (i) The provision of traffic signals at the Harman Street/Lincoln Road intersection.
 - (ii) Upgrading works to Lincoln Road and Harman Road along the site frontages.
 - (iii) The reconstruction of Hazeldean Road.
 - (iv) Angle parking for Hazeldean Road and a bus stop on Lincoln Road.
 - (v) The relocation of the 'Addington Town Centre' entrance sign nearer the railway corridor.
4. It is proposed to realign the Hazeldean/Lincoln Road intersection, to provide an improved junction by increasing the acute angle between these two roads. It will render a section of road land (Section 1 on the attached plan) surplus to Council's requirements and at the same time require additional parcels of land for road. It is anticipated that the Council will initiate road stopping procedures for the surplus land and acquire additional land for road to vest.
5. The existing road assets in particular Hazeldean Road and part of Harman Road, are being upgraded at little cost to the Council.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The applicant for the development will be responsible for all the costs associated with the upgrading of Hazeldean Road, the installation of traffic signals, the relocation of the Addington Town Centre gateway treatment and 50% of the cost of new kerb and channel along its Harman Road frontage.
7. The Council will be responsible for 50% of the cost of new kerb and channel to the Harman Street frontages of the site. Funding for these works is available in the Transport and Greenspace Subdivision Budget.

11. Cont'd

8. There are ongoing costs to the Council with the operation of the traffic signals and this will be funded from the City's operation of roading network budget.

LEGAL CONSIDERATION

9. Council's approval for the work on the road is required. This is in addition to the granting of the resource consent for the Hazeldean Business Park site. The Road Stopping procedure will follow Public Works Act process as there will be land exchange involved. The power to make changes to the various parking restrictions and proposed angle parking areas are set out in the Council's Bylaws and have been delegated to the Community Board.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. The issues being considered in the report are consistent with those objectives listed in the LTCCP. Page 152 "streets and transport – to provide a sustainable network of streets which distribute traffic between neighbourhoods and connects to major localities within and beyond the city." The project is supported with Council's objectives in the *City Development and Economic Development*.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. The works proposed on-street are consistent with the strategies of maintaining the street and transport corridor. Particular emphasis is being given to Public Transport by locating bus services in close proximity to this office complex location. Special facilities are proposed, including bus improvements at the traffic signals to provide buses with an early start in order to precede the adjacent queue.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. The project to develop the office park complex has been advertised.
13. Some Spreydon/Heathcote Board members attended the project briefing on 13 August 2007.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Board recommend that the Council:

- (a) Approve the installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Harman Road and Lincoln Road and associated road markings as illustrated in the attachment.
- (b) Declare the parcel of Road Land Section 1 indicated on the attachment as surplus to Council's requirements and commence road stopping procedures pursuant to Section 116 Public Works Act 1981.

It is further recommended that the Community Board resolve to approve:

- (a) The proposed angle parking areas on the north and south side of Hazeldean Road.
- (b) The provision of a bus stop on the south eastern side of Lincoln Road commencing a point 18 metres measured in a north easterly direction from a point opposite the northern side of Harman Road and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 36 metres.
- (c) No stopping at all time parking restrictions:
 - (i) On the south eastern side of Lincoln Road commencing at a point opposite the north eastern side kerbline of Harman Road and extending in a south-westerly direction for a distance of 30 metres.

11. Cont'd

- (ii) On the north eastern side of the Harman Road commencing at point opposite the south eastern side of Lincoln Road and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 30 metres.
- (iii) On the south western side of the Harman Road commencing at point opposite the south eastern side of Lincoln Road and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (iv) On the northern side of Hazeldean Road commencing at point opposite the south eastern side of Lincoln Road and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 28 metres.
- (v) On the southern side of Hazeldean Road commencing at point opposite the south eastern side of Lincoln Road and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 32 metres.
- (vi) On the northern side of Harman Road commencing at a point 75 metres measured in a southerly and easterly direction and extending in an easterly direction a distance of 38 metres.
- (vii) On the northern side of Hazeldean Road commencing at point opposite the western side of Grove Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 10 metres.
- (viii) On the southern side of Hazeldean Road commencing at point opposite the western side of Grove Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 10 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

12. SYDENHAM SQUARE DEVELOPMENT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Jane Parfitt
Officer responsible:	Terry Howes, Manager
Author:	Weng Kei Chen & Peter Atkinson

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To approve the repositioning of two bus stops, to introduce angle parking into Buchan Street and associated road works with the new Sydenham Square Development (refer Attachment 1).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has granted resource consent to RFD Investment Limited for the Sydenham Square development which is a residential - commercial complex on the old Sydenham School site on the corner of Colombo Street, Brougham Street, Buchan Street and Waverley Street. This type of development is a relatively new concept to Christchurch being a multipurpose complex with flexibility to provide a variety of live - work spaces.
3. The site has been currently used for off-street parking and to assist with this loss of parking and to the additional parking required for this development angle parking is proposed in Buchan Street from Brougham Street to Wordsworth Street as shown on **Attachment 2**.
4. To achieve a safer vehicle entry from Colombo Street the kerb and channel will be realigned to provide a 15 metres carriageway and relocation of existing bus stop serving two bus routes – Lyttelton and Colombo Street South.
5. The proposed new bus stop to Lyttelton is on Brougham Street and the bus stop to Colombo Street South is on Colombo Street at the Hutcheson Street intersection.
6. The new kerb and channel alignment for Colombo Street is in keeping with those works associated with the future proposed bus lane.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The Developer of the Sydenham Square complex will be responsible for all roading costs associated with the relocation of the facilities related to the relocation of the bus services, the provision of angle parking areas and the improvement to Colombo Street.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. Community Board's approval is required for the relocation of the bus stops and measures to introduce angle parking in Buchan Street a local road in the City Plan.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. The proposed changes to the road adjacent to the site are consistent with the objectives listed in the LTCCP pg 152 "Street and Transport – to provide off-street parking and public transport infrastructure." These changes are supported by the Council objectives identified *City Development and Economic Development and management of the roading infrastructure*.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. The works proposed on-street are consistent with the strategies of maintaining the street and transport corridors. Public transport strategies are also reflected in the consideration in the design of the proposed bus stops and with the need to give consideration to the future bus lane. The proposed angle parking areas are both consistent with the parking strategy and the road function as set out in the City Plan.

12. Cont'd

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

11. The Sydenham Square Development was a public notified Resource Consent.
12. Developers have been in consultation with affected businesses fronting the angle parking. The feedbacks were generally positive.
13. The proposed change to the site on Brougham Street, State Highway 73, has the support of Transit NZ.
14. Some Board members attended the Project Briefing on 13 August 2007.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board resolve:

- (a) To approve the parking of vehicles at 90 degrees on the western side of Buchan Street between Brougham Street and Wordsworth Street.
- (b) That a new bus stop be created on the southern side of Brougham Street at a location 20 metres measured in a westerly direction from a point opposite the kerb-line of Buchan Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 18 metres.
- (c) That a new bus stop be created on the eastern side of Colombo Street commencing a point opposite the southern kerb-line of Hutcheson Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (d) That the existing bus stop on the eastern side of Colombo Street be deleted and replaced with a "No Stopping at all times" parking restriction between Brougham Street and Waverley Street.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the Board note that members attended a board seminar on this matter on 13 August 2007 and that the staff recommendation be supported.

13. ESTABLISH EASEMENTS AS PART OF THE UPPER WILDERNESS DRAIN PROJECT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, Jane Parfitt, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager, Michael Aitken, DDI 941- 8096
Authors:	Tony Hallams Property and Leasing Advisor, Corporate Support Unit Colin Hill Engineering Officer, Capital Investigations Team

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. This is an expanded version of the report considered by a meeting of the Council on 16 August 2007. The report was sent back because of the following reasons:
 - (a) It needed to be first referred to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board for consideration, then forwarded to a meeting of the Council as a Part A item for decision making.
 - (b) The Council questioned why it is intended to pipe the existing section of boxed Upper Wilderness drain.

Question 1 (b) is addressed under Executive Summary.

The purpose of this report is for the Council to approve or otherwise a staff recommendation that by agreement with the property owners of 334, 336, and 342 Lyttelton Street, the Council acquires easement to enable a storm water main drain to be laid. The required land, two parcels being approximately 30m², and one parcel being 50m², will be transferred to the Council through Memorandums of Transfer (refer attached).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The timbered waterway, the Upper Wilderness Drain which currently runs through residential properties from Edinburgh Street to Lincoln Road, is in a deteriorated state and in need of replacement and hydraulic improvement. It is impracticable to replace and naturalise the existing flow path area as a stream because of the insufficient widths of the existing easements and physical obstructions on residential properties. The purpose of the Council obtaining additional easements is to enable alignment of the intended drain.
3. Pipe renewal in place of the timber waterway has been scheduled in the Capital Programme by the Transport and Greenspace Unit in the 2007/2008 financial year.
4. Piping will be installed within the existing drain alignment, covered by a number of existing easements that run mainly along the rear, and in some cases, side boundaries of properties in Edinburgh Street, Lyttelton Street, Blakehall Place and Lincoln Road. Whilst easements exist at 334 and 336 Lyttelton Street, the Council needs to acquire additional complementary easements on each property of a width of 2.0 metres each to enable the intended storm water drain of 1.0 to 1.2 metre diameter pipe to be accommodated and to also enable construction corridor access and alignment of the intended drain. A new easement of 3.0 metres width will also need to be established at 342 Lyttelton Street, as no easement currently exists to enable laying of the drain.
5. Agreement has been reached by the Council with the property owners of 334, 336, and 342 Lyttelton Street, with the Council agreeing to undertake any restoration works. Intended restoration works have been conveyed to the property owners in writing. The owners of the properties have not indicated they seek compensation from the Council to obtain easements and have property rights over their land.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The funding is being provided from the Transport and Greenspace Capital Programme. Specifically \$1,375,000 in 2007/08 from Utility Waterway Relining – Upper Wilderness Drain.

13. Cont'd

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes. Funding is provided from within the Transport and Greenspace Capital Programme in the 2006-16 LTCCP.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. The legal implications of the proposal have been considered. Delegated authority for a decision to approve or otherwise the Council obtaining the subject lands by Memorandum of Transfers, approximately 30m² from Lot 2 DP 8394, 334 Lyttelton Street, approximately 30m² from Lot 3 DP 8394, 336 Lyttelton Street, and approximately 51m² from Part Lot 2 DP 2292, 342 Lyttelton Street, rests with the Council under the general governance provisions of the Local Government Act 2002. The Council's Corporate Support Manager or the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board do not have delegated authority to make this decision.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. LTCCP 2006-16 - Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways – Page 123, Waterways and Land Drainage. The Council provides and operates the city's storm water system, manages the waterways into which it discharges and it protects and enhances the life-supporting capacity of the city's waterways and wetlands.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

10. Yes. Specifically \$1,400,000 in 2007/08 from Utility Waterway Relining – Upper Wilderness Drain.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. **Supports Council's Strategic Direction – Healthy Environment**
Goal 3 – Manage water and land drainage systems efficiently, and contribute towards landscape, ecology, recreation, heritage and cultural values.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. Yes as above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. All property owners whose properties will be affected by the laying of the storm water drain, or whose properties border existing easements, have been written to, and provided with a comment form. The Council has indicated to affected property owners the restoration works intended, and verbal and written comments received by the report authors have all been positive. All written comments received will assist project implementation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve the acquisition of easements over 334, 336 and 342 Lyttelton Street as generally outlined in this report.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

14. CHARACTER HOUSING MAINTENANCE GRANTS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Strategy and Planning+, DDI 941 8177
Officer responsible:	Programme Manager Liveable City
Author:	Katie Smith, Neighbourhood Planner

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To put before the Community Board those applications for Character Housing Maintenance Grants that have been received by Council for funding in the 2007/08 financial year for properties located within the Spreydon/Heathcote Ward.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At its meeting on 4 May 2006 the Council adopted guidelines and associated procedures for the processing and administration of applications for Character Housing Maintenance Grants.
3. Under the policy and guidelines approved by the Council applications for grants are to be reported back to the relevant Community Board, which will then make recommendations to the Character Housing Grants Panel which will make the final decision on grant applications.
4. The Character Housing Grants Panel will consist of a representative from each Community Board, and Strategy and Planning Group staff will provide specific heritage, urban design and neighbourhood planning advice to assist the panel in its decision making.
5. This report informs community board members that those eligible applications received for Character Housing Maintenance Grants that fall within this ward will be discussed at this meeting. Given the limited time frame between application deadline and the community board meeting date, full details are not available at time of report deadline therefore details and photographs as submitted in each application will be displayed at the Board meeting for discussion. However details of each proposal will be forwarded to each board member prior to the meeting to allow for each member, should they so wish, to view the application properties prior to the community board meeting.
6. Community board members are to assess applications with regard to their local knowledge and the criteria set out in the Character Housing Maintenance Grants Policy (attached as **Appendix A**) and recommend those applications they consider suitable for a grant to be forwarded to the Character Housing Grants Panel. To assist in the decision making process for each application a list of criteria together with a weighting structure has been attached as **Appendix B**. The community boards are to consider the merits of each application whilst the Character Grants Panel will consider the level of funding for each application.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. There are no financial implications as the funding for the Character Housing Maintenance Grants has already been approved by the Council and the funds set aside in the 2007-2008 Annual Plan.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

8. \$100,000 has been set aside in the 2007 -08 Annual Plan for this grant scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. All legal considerations were considered as part of the policy formulation.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. As above.

14. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Yes, funding as set aside page 97 of the LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

12. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The Character Housing Maintenance Grants Scheme aligns with the Strong Communities Strategic Directions by protecting and promoting the Heritage character and history of the city. It aligns with the Liveable City Strategic Directions in protecting Christchurch's heritage buildings and neighbourhood character.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. Yes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board:

- (a) Receive this information.
- (b) Consider the Character Housing Maintenance Grant applications as displayed at the meeting.
- (c) Recommend those applications they wish the Character Housing Grants Panel to consider for a grant.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

15. MINUTES OF THE SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE FUNDING ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

The minutes of the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Development Fund and Sport and Recreation Fund Assessment Committee meetings of 23 and 27 August 2007 are **attached** for the Board's information. The Committee has delegated authority from the Board to make decisions on the allocations.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board receive the information.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

- (a) That the staff recommendation be supported.
- (b) That a letter of thanks be sent to the community members on the Funding Assessment Committee to convey the Board's gratitude for their work.

16. REPORT OF THE SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES ACTION PLAN (SCAP) COMMITTEE

The report of the Spreydon/Heathcote SCAP Committee meeting of 10 September 2007 is **attached** for the Board's information. The Committee has delegated authority from the Board to make decisions on the allocations.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board receive the information.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

17. REQUEST FOR FUNDING: SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD DISCRETIONARY FUND

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Stephen McArthur
Officer responsible:	Catherine McDonald, Manager – Community Support
Author:	Ingrid de Meyer & Erin Eyles, Community Development Advisers

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board, funding requests from the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Development Scheme Funding Committee, for consideration under the 2007/08 Board Discretionary Funds.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Development Funding Committee met on 23 and 27 August 2007 to consider the Community Development and Sport and Recreation applications for the 2007/08 funding year. A total of 44 applications were received under the Community Development Scheme, requesting a total of \$115,251. The Committee had a total of \$32,860 to allocate to Community Development projects. Due to the number and quality of applications a number were referred to alternative funding sources. As a result, the Community Development Funding Scheme Committee resolved to forward three applications totalling \$7,500 for consideration to the Community Board Discretionary Fund and four applications totalling \$5,750 to the SCAP Committee.
3. Those referred to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board are initiatives that would meet the Board Discretionary objectives and considered worthy of support.
4. The following table illustrates the groups, their projects, how much was requested and the Committee’s recommendations made in relation to the Community Development Scheme Guidelines.

Group	Purpose	Amount requested	Amount recommended
Sydenham Development Project – Mothers at Home	To support child-care costs for the mothers at home programme.	\$2,274	\$2,000
Caroline Reid Foundation	To support administration and overhead costs.	\$4,000	\$2,500
New Harvest Trust	To support manager’s salary.	\$15,000	\$3,000
Total Requested \$		\$21,274	\$7,500

5. Sydenham Community Development Project has operated the now “award winning” Mother’s at Home programme since the beginning of 2006. On-site child care provision is imperative to the operation of this programme and they are now trialling a second group. Outcomes for this group include focus on building confidence and self-esteem. The funding seeks to support the costs towards the child care costs.
6. Caroline Reid Foundation supports children and adolescents who live in an environment where one or more of the family members have a mental illness affecting the young person’s quality of life. This funding request supports general administration costs (eg stationary, cleaning), overheads (power and phone) and supervision.
7. New Harvest Trust works to meet the practical needs of people on low incomes and marginalised people in our community. They encourage a culture of recycling for the benefit of those who are experiencing financial hardship. This project also has the outcomes of reducing social isolation issues in our community. The Trust has gone through significant development and is currently negotiating a lease for a new site, which will assist with their longer term sustainability. They have recently secured a contract which is extending their work with a focus on people presenting with mental illness issues (peer support project).

17. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The Spreydon/Heathcote 2007/08 Community Board Discretionary Fund has available \$51,830 for allocation.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. This fund aligns with the 2006-16 LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. This fund aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy and Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Objectives for 2006-2009.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board support the funding recommendations from the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Development Fund Committee from the 2007/08 Discretionary Fund.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

18. APPLICATION TO THE SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2007/2008 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8986
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
Author:	Loren Sampson, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2007/08 Youth Achievement Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by the Cashmere High School Senior Boys and Girls Basketball Teams to attend the National Secondary Schools Basketball Tournament in Auckland, from 24 to 29 September 2007.
3. This is the first time the basketball teams have approached the Community Board for funding support.

BACKGROUND ON CASHMERE HIGH SCHOOL SENIOR BOYS AND GIRLS BASKETBALL TEAMS

4. An application has been submitted by the Cashmere High School Senior Boys and Girls Basketball Teams. A list of the squad members that reside within the Spreydon/Heathcote Ward has been provided and a total of 24 local students will be travelling to the National Secondary Schools Basketball Tournament in Auckland.
5. The National Secondary Schools Tournament is being held on the North Shore from Monday 24 September through to Saturday 29 September (first week of the school holidays). Both teams recently competed in the South Island Basketball Championships and qualified to compete in the Nationals in Auckland. The boys team finished fourth overall in the South Island Championships and has qualified in the top half of the teams contesting the National Championships (24 teams competing). The girls team finished third in the South Island Championships and have qualified as the ninth seeded team (out of 24) for the Nationals.
6. This is the first time both senior teams have qualified to attend the Nationals, with the girls team not competing at this level since 1981. The South Island Championships concluded two weeks ago, therefore the team have a short timeframe to fundraise towards the cost of attending the Nationals in Auckland.
7. The students have been actively fundraising since qualifying for the Nationals and have to date raised \$3,422. The teams have secured a grant from the Lion Foundation of \$1,500 and are currently awaiting the outcome of an application lodged with the NZ Community Trust. The team will continue to fundraise in the time leading up to their departure and are intending to sell lily bulbs as their main fundraising venture over the next few weeks.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The following table details trip expenses and funding requested by the applicant:

18. Cont'd

EXPENSES FOR CASHMERE HIGH SCHOOL SENIOR BOYS AND GIRLS BASKETBALL TEAMS	Cost (\$)
Return flights	\$ 8,383.50
Accommodation	\$ 7,129.00
Food	\$ 5,000.00
Van Hire	\$ 2,076.00
Petrol	\$ 500.00
Miscellaneous costs (\$1,000 per team)	\$ 2,000.00
Total Cost	\$ 25,088.50
Amount raised by applicants to date	\$ 3,422.00
Other fundraising to date	\$ 1,500.00
Amount requested from Community Board	\$ 10,000.00

9. As at 10 September 2007 a total of \$4,250 remains unallocated from the total Youth Achievement Scheme budget of \$7,500.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

10. The applicants are seeking funding from the Community Board's 2007/08 Youth Achievement Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2007/08 Project Funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with pages 170 and 174 of the LTCCP regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board allocate \$400 per team towards the cost of attending the National Secondary Schools Basketball Tournament in Auckland.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

19. KEEP NEW ZEALAND BEAUTIFUL CONFERENCE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-549
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Graham Sutherland, Acting Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is for the board to decide whether or not it wants to approve funding for a board member to attend the 40th Anniversary Conference and Annual General Meeting of Keep New Zealand Beautiful in Waitakere City from 21 to 23 September 2007.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Board representative on the Keep Christchurch Beautiful Committee is Chris Mene.
3. The Committee is a voluntary organisation, which aims to promote a cleaner, more beautiful environment within Christchurch, and to raise the level of awareness of what the individual can do to improve his or her community and reduce litter. Notice of the national conference has been received. Christchurch has a member on the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Board. The Board is celebrating the 40th anniversary conference and Annual General Meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The Christchurch City Council provided financial support in the 2007/08 of \$10,000 to Keep Christchurch Beautiful. The cost for one member to attend would be approximately \$850, which would be met from the Board's operational budget for 2007/08.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

5. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

6. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

7. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

8. Yes, Page 61 of the LTCCP, Strategic direction, Healthy Environment.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

9. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board decide whether or not to approve funding for a board member to attend the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Conference in Waitakere City from 21 to 23 September 2007.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

20. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD FUNDING ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT – 2006/2007

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Graham Sutherland, Acting Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to submit, for the Board's information, accountability details for the end of year outcomes regarding the funding allocations of \$390,000 made by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board in 2006/07.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At its meeting on 8 May 2006, the Board allocated its funding for 2006/07 as follows:

Project Funding	303,786
Discretionary Funding	46,214
SCAP Funding	<u>40,000</u>
	<u>\$390,000</u>

3. Staff will be in attendance to respond to any questions of clarification and to elaborate on the outcomes achieved from the funding support provided by the Board.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The **attached** accountability matrix summarises the various project outcomes against the allocations made by the Board during the 2006/07 period.
5. Details of the Board's project funding allocations are set out on Page 173 of the Councils' Our Community Plan 2006-16, Volume 1.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes, as in 5 above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. There are no direct legal considerations.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. As in 7 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. **LTCCP Democracy and Governance**

Yes, Pages 113 and 173, Volume 1 of Our Community Plan 2006/16 refer.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

10. As in 9 above.

20. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES/POLICIES

11. Social Wellbeing Strategy
Recreation and Sports Strategy
Natural Asset Management Strategy
Environmental Policy
Community Boards' Discretionary Funding Policy

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. As in 11 above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board receive the information in the report and attached matrix.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

- (a) That the staff recommendation be supported.
- (b) That the Board note that this is a very good summary of the Board's objectives and outcomes over the last year and earlier.

21. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Board members are invited to provide updates on Community/Council issues.

22. ACTING COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

22.1 CURRENT ISSUES

The Acting Community Board Adviser will update the Board on current issues.

23. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS