



Christchurch City Council

SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

EXTRAORDINARY MEETING

WEDNESDAY 19 SEPTEMBER 2007

4.00 PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM
PAPANUI SERVICE CENTRE
CORNER LANGDONS ROAD AND RESTELL STREET**

Community Board: Yvonne Palmer (Chairperson), Myra Barry (Deputy Chairperson), Ngaire Button, Bill Bush, Megan Evans, Norm Withers.

Community Board Adviser
Peter Croucher
Phone 941 5414
Email: peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX

- PART B 1. IN MEMORY OF GRAHAM CONDON**
- PART B 2. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – BOARD MEETING OF 5 SEPTEMBER 2007**
- PART C 4. CASEBROOK INTERMEDIATE EDIBLE GARDENS**
- PART C 5. KEEP NEW ZEALAND BEAUTIFUL CONFERENCE**
- PART B 6. SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD FUNDING ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT – 2006/2007**
- PART C 7. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND COMMITTEE MEETING – REPORT OF 5 SEPTEMBER 2007**
- PART B 8. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISERS UPDATE**

19. 9. 2007

- 2 -

1. **IN MEMORY OF GRAHAM CONDON**
2. **APOLOGIES**
3. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 5 SEPTEMBER 2007**

The report of the Board's ordinary meeting of 5 September 2007 has been **separately circulated** to members.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the Board's ordinary meeting be confirmed.

4. CASEBROOK INTERMEDIATE EDIBLE GARDENS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Bruce Meder, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present an application for funding from Casebrook Intermediate for their Edible Gardens project.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Casebrook Intermediate is located in Veitches Road in the Papanui Ward. It is a decile 5 school.
3. This current application is submitted as a follow-up to a deputation to the Board on 15 August 2007.
4. The Edible Garden provides students with an opportunity to engage in a practical activity promoting life-skills, investigation, self-sufficiency, work ethos and healthy nutrition.
5. The application notes that the programme meets the curricula objectives of a number of school subjects, including: Science, Mathematics, English, Art and Technology. The application further notes that the activity helps to meet the Government's "Initiative Mission On" which requires schools to promote a healthy life-style.
6. Between 16 and 20 students are involved in this programme during the year.
7. The School contracts Organic Garden City Trust to undertake this programme at a cost of \$2,112.50 (plus GST). For this fee the school obtains teaching from this Trust during Term 1, the first three weeks of Term 2, the last three weeks of Term 3 and all of Term 4 – a total of 26 weeks during the year, 3.25 hours per week.
8. The programme helps to meet a number of the outcomes of the Council's LTCCP, namely:
 - A City of Lifelong Learning.
 - A City of People who value and protect the natural environment.
 - A City for recreation, fun and creativity.
 - A Healthy City.
9. The Shirley/Papanui Community Board has a policy with respect to funding requests from schools. This is considered below:
 1. ***Does the proposal fit with the Community Board's strategic plan/vision?***
 Yes. It meets the Board's objective of "Encourage participation of children, youth, aged, Maori, other ethnic groups, people with disabilities, by promoting and supporting community based recreation and education and support programmes."
 2. ***Are there other sources of funding available to the school?***
 Yes, there is a fund available which specifically funds organic gardens in schools. The "WWF Organic Gardens in Schools Fund" has two closing dates per year and an upper limit of \$3,000 per application. The item requested in this application is eligible under this fund. The Co-ordinator of this project has been made aware of this fund and has made an application to the fund.
 3. ***What is the community benefit?***
 The benefit is mainly via students obtaining a knowledge of organic gardening processes as well as an appreciation of a healthy life-style and self-sufficiency.

4. Can assistance be given through ways other than funding, i.e. advocating on behalf of the school to other agencies and Government, providing advice?

Yes, assistance can be given through information about other funding available – this has been done.

5. Is it an isolated request from one school or likely to be a need from all schools? The impact would need to be considered if it was likely to attract other requests.

There are a number of edible gardens in schools throughout Christchurch and indications are that this is likely to increase. Hence, a grant in this case could lead to further requests from other schools.

6. Is the funding to help fund a core educational/school programme?

Yes, the project helps to meet the objectives in the Science, Mathematics, English, Art and Technology curricula. It also helps to meet the “Mission On” programme of the Government which aims to reverse the declining level of physical activity and improve nutrition for children and young people. “Mission On” has a budget of \$67 million over four years.

7. Do the benefits of the activity pertain primarily to students and/or their immediate families?

The students and their families are the primary beneficiaries of this programme. Wider, secondary, benefits do accrue to the community in the long-term via students having a greater understanding of healthy lifestyles and hence a lesser burden on society's health system.

8. How does this funding request meet the schools objectives to the wider community?

As noted in 7. above, the long-term society-wide benefit of these programmes is a lessening of a burden on the health system of this country.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. The application did not include a copy of Casebrook Intermediate's Annual audited accounts for the year ended 31 December 2006. These accounts have now been sighted by the author and show that the school had a deficit of \$49,395.

11. The Board has \$50,000 available in its Discretionary budget.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Yes.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

15. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. Yes.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

In light of the Board's policy with respect to funding applications from schools and noting in particular points 2, 5 and 6, the staff recommendation is that the Board decline the application due to the following reasons:

- (a) Other funding is available.
- (b) This programme helps to meet core educational curricula as well as the "Mission On" programme.
- (c) Requests from other schools could be stimulated by a decision to fund this project.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That a grant of \$2,500 be made to Casebrook Intermediate towards their edible gardens project, on the proviso that other sources of funding applied for are not granted.

5. KEEP NEW ZEALAND BEAUTIFUL CONFERENCE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-549
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Peter Croucher, Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is for the board to decide whether or not it wants to approve funding for a board member to attend the 40th Anniversary Conference and Annual General Meeting of Keep New Zealand Beautiful in Waitakere City from 21 to 23 September 2007.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Board's representative on the Keep Christchurch Beautiful Committee is Bill Bush.
3. The Committee is a voluntary organisation, which aims to promote a cleaner, more beautiful environment within Christchurch, and to raise the level of awareness of what the individual can do to improve his or her community and reduce litter. Notice of the national conference has been received. Christchurch has a member on the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Board. The Board is celebrating the 40th anniversary conference and Annual General Meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The Christchurch City Council provided financial support in the 2007/08 of \$10,000 to Keep Christchurch Beautiful. The cost for one member to attend would be approximately \$850, which would be met from the Board's operational budget for 2007/08. This would cover airfares, accommodation for two nights and conference registration.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

5. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

6. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

7. Yes

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

8. Yes, Page 61 of the LTCCP, Strategic direction, Healthy Environment.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

9. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board decide whether or not to approve funding for a board member to attend the Keep New Zealand Beautiful Conference in Waitakere City from 21 to 23 September 2007.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That in view of the recent tragedy and the short timeframe involved, this Board declines to attend this conference.

6. SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD FUNDING ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT – 2006/2007

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Peter Croucher, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to submit, for the Board’s information, accountability details for the end of year outcomes regarding the funding allocations of \$390,000 made by the Shirley/Papanui Community Board in 2006/07.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At its meeting on 9 May 2006, the Board allocated its funding for 2006/07 as follows:

Project Funding	257,000
Discretionary Funding	60,000
SCAP Funding	40,000
Youth Development Funding	11,000
Sport and Recreation Fund	<u>22,000</u>
	<u>\$390,000</u>

3. Staff will be in attendance to respond to any questions of clarification and to elaborate on the outcomes achieved from the funding support provided by the Board.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The **attached** accountability matrix summarises the various project outcomes against the allocations made by the Board during the 2006/07 period.
5. Details of the Board’s project funding allocations are set out on Page 173 of the Council’s Our Community Plan 2006-16, Volume 1.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes, as in 5. above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. There are no direct legal considerations.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. As in 7. above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. **LTCCP
Democracy and Governance**

Yes, Pages 113 and 173, Volume 1 of Our Community Plan 2006/16 refer.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

10. As in 9. above

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES/POLICIES

11. Social Wellbeing Strategy
Recreation and Sports Strategy
Natural Asset Management Strategy
Environmental Policy
Community Boards' Discretionary Funding Policy

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. As in 11. above

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the information be received.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the returned funds of \$10,000 (ex Shirley Rugby league Football Club) be held by the Community Recreation Adviser and that community groups be asked to make formal application for them.

7. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND COMMITTEE MEETING – REPORT OF 5 SEPTEMBER 2007

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Clare Sullivan, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of purpose of the report is to submit the following outcomes of the Youth Development Fund Committee meeting which met at 11.45am on 5 September 2007.

The meeting was attended by Yvonne Palmer (Chairperson), Myra Barry, Ngaire Button, Bill Bush and Megan Evans.

1. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND – AARON JESSETT, BRANDON POUTU AND MILES GRUSCHOW

The Committee’s approval was sought to a request for funding from these three applicants from the 2007/08 Youth development Scheme.

The Committee **resolved**:

- (a) Aaron Jessett – \$500 from 2007/2008 Youth Development Scheme funds to attend the World Junior Karate Championships in Turkey from the 17 – 23 October 2007 representing the New Zealand Junior Karate team.
- (b) Brandon Poutu – \$500 from 2007/2008 Youth Development Scheme funds to assist with costs to represent the Belfast U15 Rugby Team in a Gold Coast Tour, Australia from the 22 - 30 September 2007.
- (c) Miles Gruschow – \$250 from 2007/2008 Youth Development Scheme funds to assist with costs in representing Burnside Under 15 Premier Football Team at the Champions Youth Cup on the Gold Coast, Australia in October 2007.

3. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND – SCHYLER HENDERSON, MICHELLE GARDNER-PRICE AND PAPANUI YOUTH DEVELOPMENT TRUST

The Committee’s approval was sought to a request for funding from these three applicants from the 2007/08 Youth development Scheme.

Megan Evans took no part in discussion or the decision on Jump Jam/Rock Solid matter.

- (a) \$600 (\$300 per applicant) from the Board’s 2007/2008 Youth Development fund to Schyler Gaynor-May Henderson and Michelle Gardner–Price to attend the National Jump Jam Challenge in Wellington in September 2007.
- (b) Papanui Youth Development Trust:
That this request lay on the table until further information regarding previous funding is available.

The meeting concluded at 12.00 pm.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

CHAIRPERSON’S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

8. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

8.1 SHIRLEY/PAPANUI FUNDING ASSESSMENT COMMITTEES – REPORTS OF 13 AND 20 AUGUST 2007

Attached are the reports of the Shirley/Papanui Recreation and Sport Funding Allocation Committee's meeting held on 13 August 2007 and the Shirley/Papanui Community Development Funding Scheme Committee's meeting held on 20 August 2007.

8.2 CURRENT ISSUES

8.3 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE