

13. **RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD FUNDING ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT – 2006/2007**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Graham Sutherland, Acting Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to submit, for the Board’s information, accountability details for the end of year outcomes regarding the funding allocations of \$390,000 made by the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board in 2006/07.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At its meeting on 12 May 2006, the Board allocated its funding for 2006/07 as follows:

Project Funding	255,000
Discretionary Funding	60,000
Environment Committee Fund	40,000
Transport & Roothing Committee Fund	30,000
Youth Development Scheme	<u>5,000</u>
	<u>\$390,000</u>

3. Staff will be in attendance to respond to any questions of clarification and to elaborate on the outcomes achieved from the funding support provided by the Board.
4. Two funding allocations from 2006/07 have been identified as unspent by the recipients and are possible refunds to the Board. Details are explained in paragraphs 5 and 6 below.
5. Community Watch Hornby has indicated that it has unspent funds of \$938 from its original allocation of \$5,000. The organisation has requested that it be allowed to reallocate that funding to defensive and advanced driver training for seven of its drivers. The Board is asked to make a decision on this matter under the “Staff Recommendation” item of this report. Staff will be available at the meeting to respond to questions from the Board on this matter.
6. Sarah Martin received a Youth Development Scheme grant in 2006/07 but was unable to attend her event due to illness. The Board has received a letter from Sarah’s parents asking for approval to retain the money to allocate to other related expenses incurred by Sarah. The letter and supporting information have been **separately circulated** to Board members. The Board is asked to make a decision on this matter under the “Staff Recommendation” item of this report. Staff will be available at the meeting to respond to questions from the Board on this matter.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The **attached** accountability matrix summarises the various project outcomes against the allocations made by the Board during the 2006/07 period.
8. Details of the Board’s project funding allocations are set out on Page 173 of the Council’s Our Community Plan 2006-16, Volume 1.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, as in 8. above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no direct legal considerations.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As in 10 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. LTCCP Democracy and Governance

Yes, Pages 113 and 173, Volume 1 of Our Community Plan 2006/16 refer.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

13. As in 12 above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES/POLICIES

14. Social Wellbeing Strategy Recreation and Sports Strategy Natural Asset Management Strategy Environmental Policy

Community Boards' Discretionary Funding Policy

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As in 14 above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Receive the information in the report and attached matrix.
- (b) Resolve to either approve the reallocation of funds for Community Watch Hornby as requested or that the funds in question be refunded to the Board.
- (c) Resolve to either approve the reallocation of funds for Sarah Martin as requested or that the funds in question be refunded to the Board.