



Christchurch City Council

BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD EXTRAORDINARY MEETING AGENDA NO 264

WEDNESDAY 3 OCTOBER 2007

AT 5.00 PM

IN THE BOARDROOM,
CNR BERESFORD AND UNION STREETS
NEW BRIGHTON

Community Board: Glenda Burt (Chairperson), Carole Evans, Carmen Hammond, Caroline Kellaway, Tina Lomax, Don Rowlands, Gail Sheriff

Community Board Adviser

Peter Dow

Telephone: 941-5305

Fax: 941-5306

Email: peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 19 SEPTEMBER 2007
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 4. PETITIONS
- PART B 5. NOTICES OF MOTION
- PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART C 7. APPLICATION TO THE BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME
- PART C 8. APPLICATION TO THE BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME
- PART C 9. JUNIOR NEIGHBOURHOOD SUPPORT - FUNDING REQUEST
- PART C 10. NEW BRIGHTON RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATION - REQUEST FOR DISCRETIONARY FUNDING
- PART C 11. NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUNDING

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

3. 10. 2007

- 2 -

- PART C 12. ENDEAVOUR RESERVE - PLAYGROUND UPGRADE**
- PART C. 13. COUNCIL FARMS – GRAZING LICENCE TO TUSSOCK HILLS FARM LIMITED**
- PART B 14. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S REPORT**
- PART B 15. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**
- PART B 16. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART C 17. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

1. APOLOGIES

Carmen Hammond and Caroline Kellaway

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 19 SEPTEMBER 2007

The report of the Board's ordinary meeting of 19 September 2007 is circulated.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the Board's ordinary meeting of 19 September 2007, be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

DALLINGTON RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION

Mr Richard Alderson wishes to address the Board on a proposal to change an existing high use bus passenger seat to a bus shelter.

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. APPLICATION TO THE BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941 5326
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support
Author:	Natalie Dally, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present an application for funding from the Board's 2007/08 Youth Development Funding Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Robin Parr of Dallington is 16 years of age and attends Avonside Girls' High School. Robin is a hard working, personable student that really puts effort into all she does and also gives back to the community through her church. Robin has been learning German for three and a half years and has a unique opportunity to participate in a two month student exchange to Germany in December 2007.
3. Robin lives with her mother and one other sibling in Dallington and unlike many applications to the youth development fund, the event in question runs not just for a day or week but for two months. For this period of time basic expenses will be covered by the host family and Robin's family will reciprocate in the new year when she will return with her student exchange partner.
4. While the exchange is facilitated through the school no group fundraising is done and the individual is responsible for raising the entire cost of the exchange. Robin has organised extensive fundraising for herself including getting part-time employment and sausage sizzles and is looking into putting on a youth disco. Unfortunately Robin's fundraising efforts were hampered by an illness which saw her unable to fundraise for two months and included a brief stay in hospital. It goes without saying that this application does not include Robin's spending money for the trip but her illness has meant that all of the money she has raised has to go towards the cost of the trip itself.
5. This is the first time this young person has approached the Board for funding support and is a unique opportunity that may not arise again and one that Robin may not be able to participate in without Board support.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table provides an estimated breakdown of the costs and fundraising for the exchange as at the end of August with an approximate shortfall of \$2300 at this time.

EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfare	3,779
Berlin Trip	675
Total Cost	\$4,454

FUNDRAISING	Amount (\$)
Employment (Cotton on)	1000
Sale of Kayak	600
Employment (Café)	300
Sausage sizzle	200
Total	\$2,100

7. Cont'd

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

7. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2007/08 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2007/08 project funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. Aligns with pages 170 and 174 of the LTCCP regarding Board project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

10. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board members of the Funding Assessment Committee allocate \$500 to Robin Parr from its 2007/08 Youth Development Fund Scheme.

8. APPLICATION TO THE BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – TOM GOODAY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8986
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
Author:	Jacqui Miller, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present an application for funding from the Board's 2007/08 Youth Development Funding Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Tom Gooday a 13 year old who lives in Wildhawk Place in Fairway Park and attends Shirley Boys' High School. Tom is seeking funds to compete in the South Island Football Tournament in Dunedin from 22 to 25 September 2007.
3. This is the second time the applicant has approached the Community Board for funding support. Tom received \$150 in December 2006 to attend the South Island Primary Schools Cricket Tournament in Oamaru in January 2007. The team competed extremely well and won the tournament.
4. The Canterbury Football team was selected from teams in the region for boys aged under 14 years. The U14 boys have a huge player base so the selection was very tough and a lot of hard work and training was needed.
5. Canterbury Development Officer Peter Roberts from Mainland Football who is Tom's coach expressed how pleased he is with Tom's efforts and attitude to football. This is Tom's first year playing football, he is training with the 'Player Development Academy' two nights per week and playing warm-up games. Tom is also training with the Cricket Development Squad every fortnight.
6. Tom's parents both work and have three teenage boys aged 13,16 and 19. The eldest boy has special needs, and Tom's elder brother is also a keen soccer player and attends Shirley Boys' High School.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. Mainland Soccer was unable to give a detailed breakdown of the tournament costs as there are over 220 players attending tournaments around the South Island and the total cost is tallied and split equally. Mainland Soccer have advised the total tournament costs are \$240 per player which includes the following costs: Canterbury jacket, accommodation, food, transport, team photos and entry fees.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

8. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2007/08 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2007/08 project funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Aligns with pages 170 and 174 of the LTCCP regarding Board project funding.

8. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

11. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

12. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board allocate \$150 to Tom Gooday from its 2007/08 Youth Development Funding Scheme.

9. JUNIOR NEIGHBOURHOOD SUPPORT - FUNDING REQUEST

General Manager responsible:	Stephen McArthur: General Manager, Community Services,
Officer responsible:	Catherine Mc Donald: Unit Manager, Community Support
Author:	Natalie Dally, Community Development Adviser DD 9415326

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to request funding of \$5,000 from the Board's 2007/08 discretionary fund to assist the Junior Neighbourhood Support Programme to continue to operate in three schools in the Burwood/Pegasus area.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Junior Neighbourhood Support Programme aims to promote a sense of pride, safety and community spirit in primary school children and through them, the community. The programme integrates existing services such as fire, police and civil defence and co-ordinates them into an overall community package whereby positive initiatives and behaviours are rewarded at special school assemblies and an awareness of community/personal safety and civil defence is fostered.
3. Canterbury Neighbourhood Support is looking to establish the Junior Neighbourhood Support programme citywide in the next couple of years. With this in mind an application was made to the Metropolitan Community Development Scheme 2007/08. The Metropolitan Funding Committee turned the application down due to insufficient funds but referred the application back to the Shirley/Papanui and Burwood/Pegasus Community Boards as these were the two areas where the programme was already operating. Junior Neighbourhood Support will now try to establish more programmes in other areas throughout the year dependent upon other successful funding applications and will apply for metropolitan funding again in the 2008/09 financial year.
4. The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board allocated \$5,000 towards the project in 2006/07 to establish the programme in two schools which was subject to receiving a breakdown of costs. Owing to the loss of the then programme co-ordinator and subsequent delays in setting up the programme, costs for the project were reduced and \$3,500 released to the organisation. Since this time the new co-ordinator has seen the programme successfully established in South New Brighton, Parkview and Freeville Primary Schools since the third term of 2006. Feedback from the schools participating in the project has been positive and South New Brighton School's Deputy Principal, Colin Hammond has attributed the reduction in, "destructive occurrences" directly to the programme running in their school.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The total cost for the project in the metropolitan application was \$60,480. This equates to \$10,080 per ward area. As at the end of July 2007, the organisation had \$19,000 towards operational expenses.
6. Funding from other sources has yet to be applied for and due to the co-ordinators diligence the wages expenses have increased due to increased hours. While there is an apparent shortfall of around \$7,000 for the Burwood/Pegasus programmes, a grant of \$5,000 would see them be able to be continued.
7. In a recent development, the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board granted \$5,000 towards the project in its area at their Board meeting on 12 September 2007.
8. No other funding request has been received from Junior Neighbourhood support for the 2007/08 year from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board. Currently there is \$8,165 available in the Board's discretionary budget for the 2007/08 financial year.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes.

9. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. No legal considerations.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Page 100 of the LTCCP, level of service under Community Support.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Strengthening Communities Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Not Applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that:

The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board allocate \$5,000 from its 2007/08 Discretionary Fund to Canterbury Neighbourhood Support for the Junior Neighbourhood Support Programmes operating in the Burwood/Pegasus area.

10. NEW BRIGHTON RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATION - REQUEST FOR DISCRETIONARY FUNDING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Clare Quirk, Acting Community Engagement Manager
Author:	Marion Gillanders, Community Engagement Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is to request \$2,250 from the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's discretionary fund. Funding is sought to assist the New Brighton Residents' Association (NBRA) with administration costs associated with preparing and presenting submissions to the Council on developments that affect the New Brighton community.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The NBRA's main objectives are to embrace the interests and aims of the local community, and also to make representations to the appropriate authorities and agencies on behalf of residents.
3. Reconstituted in 2006, the NBRA is actively involved in presenting and preparing submissions on developments that affect the community they represent. In 2006 their efforts cost the association over \$700, mostly for paper and copying costs. As they are a voluntary association they have limited funding available to continue to support their involvement in these processes. The NBRA is seeking support from the Board for costs associated with preparing and presenting a verbal submission to the Council and preparing information to go out to residents updating them on the process.

10. Cont'd**BACKGROUND**

4. The New Brighton Residents' Association has been actively involved presenting and preparing submissions on developments that will impact on the New Brighton community, for example the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy, the current Christchurch City Council proposed zoning changes, and developers' resource consent applications. Contributing 1500 hours per year, all the time contributed to these projects is voluntary.
5. The NBRA has been actively assisting the New Brighton community with the current New Brighton Plan Change by organising the following. A static display at New Brighton Library, showing the plan change prepared by the Council, and some other options and information prepared by the NBRA. Holding an Open Day at the New Brighton Library to hear community views and discussion. Providing a permanent slot from 1.00-2.00 pm on Fridays at New Brighton Library where a member of the NBRA is present. The Buzz community newsletter to communicate and advise the community on the process, the photocopying for this is paid for by the Community Support Unit.
6. The outcomes for the community will be that the NBRA will be able to present a written and verbal submission toward the New Brighton Plan Change. Also that the New Brighton community will be kept informed of the consultation process and its outcomes.

10. Cont'd

7. Funding is sought to cover the proposed costs as outlined in the budget below.

Expenditure	Cost \$	Funding sought \$
Postage (5 mail outs at \$125 ea)	625	625
Envelopes (2 boxes @ \$25 ea)	50	50
A3 printer cartridges (4 @ \$25 ea)	100	100
Displays mounted and bound (3 @ \$50.00)	150	150
Toner for photocopier	400	400
A3/A4 paper (\$30 A3 + \$75 A4)	105	105
Data projector hire	50	50
Volunteer costs (petrol/parking)	200	200
Legal costs – RMA (3 hr @ \$150)	450	450
Contribution toward power and internet costs (4 months @ \$30.00 p/month)	120	120
TOTAL	\$2,250	\$2,250.00

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. Currently there is \$8,165 available in the Board's discretionary budget for the 2007/08 financial year.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

9. Page 175 of the LTCCP under Community Board Funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. There are no legal issues to consider.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Page 175 of the LTCCP under Community Board Funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

12. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Support to assist Resident's Group activities aligns with the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy 2007.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not applicable.

10. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Allocate \$2,250 from its 2007/08 discretionary funding budget to the New Brighton Residents' Association towards administration costs.

11. NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUNDING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Marion Gillanders, Community Engagement Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present the applications for Neighbourhood Week funding to the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Local community groups, including residents' associations and neighbourhood support groups have been sent information inviting them to apply for the Neighbourhood Week Funding that has been set aside by the Board.
3. Neighbourhood Week is a dedicated week in which individuals and groups are encouraged to get together and get to know one another locally. Neighbourhood Week 2007 is to be held from 26 October 2007 – 4 November 2007. Applications for funding close on 21 September 2007.
4. Because the applications close on 21 September 2007, the list of applicants is unable to be circulated to members with the agenda.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The Board has set aside \$3,500 from project funding to assist individuals and groups run events.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

6. Page 175 of the LTCCP under Community Board Funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. There are no legal issues to consider.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Page 175 of the LTCCP under Community Board Funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

9. As above.

11. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

10. Funding for Neighbourhood Week activities aligns with the Council's Strong Communities strategic outcomes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

11. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board:

- (a) Consider the tabled applications and allocate funding accordingly

12. ENDEAVOUR RESERVE - PLAYGROUND UPGRADE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, Jane Parfitt DDI 941 8656
Officer responsible:	Michael Aitken Manager Transport & Greenspace
Author:	Kim Swarbrick

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Community Board approval for
 - a) the concept plan of Endeavour Reserve Playground Upgrade and to proceed with detailed design and construction.
 - b) provision of either a keyhole or half basketball court option.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Endeavour Reserve is a small local park adjacent to Endeavour Street in North New Brighton. The playground lies within this reserve where both layout and equipment is in need of an upgrade to comply with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles.
3. Relocating the playground closer to the park frontage as per the proposed location will greatly improve visibility and open surveillance of the play area. Introducing several new play items will also establish a wider range of opportunities for play. Removal of the existing concrete wall in the eastern back corner will diminish opportunities for miscreant behaviour.
4. Once play equipment is relocated some minor landscaping will be incorporated to enhance the play area. Landscaping shall include low level planting and a few additional specimen trees for shade provision. These trees are likely to be magnolia and scarlet oak.
5. A basketball court area was proposed through the consultation process. Respondents were requested to comment on their support for/against basketball inclusion and to choose between a half basketball court and a smaller keyhole court area. Basketball is not an additional activity on the park as the previous wall had a hoop attachment. However, funding is not currently available for the basketball court development so it would be added in future when funding becomes available.
6. A preliminary development plan was circulated to key stakeholders in August 2007 to obtain feedback on its design. The final plan, which is **attached** to this report, aims to reflect the views of the community and incorporate community feedback. In this instance, feedback was so supportive of the plan that no changes have been necessary.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. There is \$30,000 available in the City Environment Group's 2007/08 financial year for design, consultation, and implementation of the approved plan.
8. The playground will continue to be maintained by a Council maintenance contractor (City Care). Therefore the playground and park can be expected to receive regular maintenance and management. Ongoing maintenance costs will be absorbed by the maintenance budget.

Future provision of a basketball court area will have to be budgeted for. Application will be made to the LTCCP for this future inclusion.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

9. Implementation of Endeavour Reserve Playground Upgrade is a project listed in the 2006-16 LTCCP budget. Recommendations of this report are in alignment with the 2006-16 Long Term Council Community Plan.

12. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. Design and playground equipment utilised is in accordance with New Zealand playground Safety standards and CPTED standards.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. All legal requirements pertaining to playgrounds have been met so there is no adverse impact for community.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Provision of the Endeavour Reserve Playground Upgrade is consistent with the:
- LTCCP 2006-16
 - Parks and Open Spaces Activity Management Plan
 - Parks and Waterways Access Policy

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

13. The recommendation of this report is to proceed with the relocation and upgrade of Endeavour Reserve Playground which is identified in the 2006-16 LTCCP. Future funding allocation for provision of basketball will need to be applied for in the next round of the LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Provision of the Endeavour Reserve Playground Upgrade has primary alignment with the following Council strategies:
- Recreation and Sport Strategy
 - Children's Strategy
 - Pedestrian Strategy for Christchurch City
 - Security Strategy
 - Urban Renewal Programme
 - Equity and Access for People with Disabilities Policy
 - Children's Play Equipment on Parks Policy
 - Environmental Design Policy

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Recommendations made in this report are consistent with the Council strategies listed above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. A seminar was held with the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board seeking permission to consult during August 2007. A public information leaflet seeking responses on the preliminary plan was distributed to residents and key stakeholder groups in late August 2007. Residents were asked to indicate their support/non support of the plan and whether they would like a keyhole or half basketball court to be included should funding allow it in the future. Reply paid envelopes were supplied providing residents the option to comment.

In total, 42 response forms have been received from the 400 consultation packages delivered. This was a lower return rate than hoped for yet many positive comments were received.

- 92.8% (39) Support the proposed plan
- 4.8% (2) Did not indicate but wrote positive comments
- 2.4% (1) Do not support the proposed plan but did support the idea of an upgrade

12. Cont'd

Most respondents also took the opportunity to comment on future basketball provision.

- 28.6% (12) Preferred the keyhole court
- 33.3% (14) Preferred the half court
- 2.4% (1) Thought that no basketball provision would be better
- 28.6% (12) Did not comment or indicate a preference
- 7.1 % (3) Said they did not mind either size

17. A number of suggestions and comments were made that did not relate to the playground upgrade. Where appropriate these comments have been passed on to relevant Council units.
18. A copy of the final plan will be circulated to residents and stakeholder groups prior to the construction date.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Approve the proposed Endeavour Reserve Playground Upgrade and the City Environment Group commence implementation.
- (b) Decide on the implementation of either a keyhole or half court basketball option.

13. COUNCIL FARMS – GRAZING LICENCE TO TUSSOCK HILLS FARM LIMITED

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, Jane Parfitt DDI 941 8656
Officer responsible:	Michael Aitken Manager Transport & Greenspace DDI 941 6287
Author:	David Rowland, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to ratify an existing arrangement by issuing a Licence to Tussock Hills Farm Limited over those areas of reserve land contained within the Council's farm portfolio for up to five years.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council owns substantial areas of farm/rural lands throughout Christchurch that are held for a number of purposes including, but not limited to, the protection of indigenous wildlife, habitat, storm water retention and ecological restoration.
3. Approximately 267.40 hectares was farmed by the Council by way of grazing cattle and/or making hay as a means of controlling vegetative growth and to allow for the purposes outlined in 2. above. The majority of the land is held by the Council in fee-simple; however these are some pockets of reserve contained within these areas. The subject areas of land are identified in the plans attached to this report. .
4. In early 2006 the Council's Greenspace Unit considered that the land would be better managed by a third party through a Licence to Occupy or Lease arrangement, and in June 2006 proceeded to invite Request for Proposals (RFP) from interested parties to occupy the land for the grazing of cattle and/or making hay.
5. As part of the existing farming activity the Council owned a number of livestock, items of plant and produce that would become surplus to requirements in the event that the responsibility for farming the land transferred to another party. The RFP was extended to include the purchase of these assets by the successful registrant.
6. Five proposals were received and a weighted attributes assessment resulted in Tussock Hill Farm being selected as the preferred registrant.
7. A month to month Licence has been issued to Tussock Hill Farm pending the approval by three Community Boards (Hagley/Ferrymead, Burwood/Pegasus and Shirley/Papanui) to the issue of a Lease for a period of five years over the land held by the Council as reserve. The Corporate Support Manager has delegated authority to approve a Lease over the balance land held in fee-simple.
8. Procedural steps have been concluded under the RFP and this report seeks to ratify and formalise an interim monthly tenancy by creating a term tenancy as provided for in the RFP process.
9. The monthly tenancy was entered into following a proper leasing process for expediency to manage the Council's risk and property as it would have been untenable to have left the properties vacant or unmanaged for any extended period especially over the spring and summer periods.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. The annual licence fee as established through the RFP is \$25,000 plus GST. By disposing of stock and hay Council continued liability is removed.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

11. Not applicable.

13. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. The Community Board has delegated authority to approve the granting of a licence under Sections 54, 56, 58a, 61, 73 and 74 of the Reserves Act 1977. Section 74 is relevant in this case.
13. Section 74 Reserves Act 1977, Licences to Occupy Reserves Temporarily – where it is necessary or desirable for the management of the reserve licences to occupy any recreation, historic, scenic or local purpose reserve for grazing or other similar purposes may be granted.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

14. Yes - Page 124 of the LTCCP, level of service under parks, open spaces and waterways.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. No Required.

13. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve the granting of a licence for grazing or other similar purposes over those reserve lands described and marked Ψ in the first schedule for a term of five years less one day effective from the 1 October 2006 at a rental as submitted as part of the RPF process comprising both freehold and reserve lands of \$25,000 per annum plus GST.

SCHEDULE ONE

Note: The land identified with a " Ψ " symbol indicates land held by the Council as a reserve pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977.

SCHEDULE OF PROPERTIES

Name	Plan Reference	Location	Legal Description	CT Reference	Approximate Area (ha)
Bexley	Plan 1	Dyers Road/ Breezes Road Intersection	Lot 2 DP 48246	40A/81 Ψ	83.5
			Lot 4 DP 5306	40A/82	
		Dyers Road/ Breezes Road Intersection	Lot 3 DP 48246	40A/81 Ψ	
			Part Lot 3 DP 5306	40A/82	
			Part Lot 1 DP 48246	40A/81 Ψ	
		Breezes Road/ Bexley Road Intersection	Part Lot 5 DP 48246	40A/81 Ψ	
			Part RS 41458 (SO 14803)	40A/86	
			Part RS 6356 (BM 318)	40A/85	
			Part RS 5839 (BM 318)	40A/83	
			Part RS 5854 (BM 318)		
			Lot 4 DP 48246	40A/81 Ψ	
			Part Lot 1 DP 994	192/211	
			Part Section 1 SO 307757	Gazette 2002 at page 4055	
		Part Lot 1 DP 994	712/11		
Part Lot 1 DP 18712	680/78				
Part Lot 3 DP 2787	12B/958				
Travis Wetland Paddocks	Plan 7		Part Lot 1 DP 75093	42A/516	42
			Part Lot 2 DP 73239	42B/138 Ψ	
			Part Section 3 SO 19465	42B/138 Ψ	
			Part Lot 1 DP 75091	42A/518	
			Lot 1 DP 75092	42A/517	
			Part Lot 2 DP 9176	17B/977	
			Part Lot 1 DP 45936	GNA470289.1 Ψ	
			Part Lot 1 DP 73239	42B/138 Ψ	
Part Section 1 SO 18724	42B/138 Ψ				
				TOTAL AREA	267.4 ha

14. **COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**15. **MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**16. **BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**17. **RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

Attached.