

## 10. REQUEST FOR FUNDING- REDWOOD TENNIS CLUB

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	Stephen McArthur, General Manager Community Services DDI 941-8534
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	John Filsell, Unit Manager Recreation and Sport Unit
<b>Author:</b>	Helen Miles, Community Recreation Adviser DDI 941-5409

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to *resubmit* a funding application to the Board to be considered under discretionary funds from the Redwood Tennis Club [The Club]. The Club is requesting \$10,000 to assist in the upgrading of their tennis courts and Club rooms.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. *The Board considered an application from the Redwood Tennis Club for funding of \$10,000 to assist with the upgrading of its tennis courts and clubrooms.*  
The Board **resolved** that further consideration of the application be deferred, pending the receipt of further information from the tennis club regarding the outcome of its current applications to other prospective funders.
3. *The Redwood Tennis club has managed secure a further promise of a \$15000 grant from the Canterbury Community Trust for Stage three of the project. This will only become available to the club when they can confirm they have the balance of the funding for stage three of the project in place. They are currently seeking the remaining amounts as outlined in the funding plan,*
4. Redwood Club was established in 1981 and has become an important part of Redwood Community. The Club currently has 104 members. The majority of these members are Juniors and this has remained fairly constant over the years. Their junior coaching programme is almost at capacity and is a major strength of the Club. One of the challenges the Club faces is to increase their adult membership by 50%. The Club is doing this through promotional activities however they do believe that dated facilities are less likely to attract new members.
5. The Club has excellent management structures in place and has formed a Development team to manage the upgrade project. The total project upgrade is budgeted at \$129,718.00.
6. The Redwood Tennis is seeking funding of \$10,000 to assist with the upgrading of their facilities. Asphalt tennis courts have an estimated life of 15- 20 years. Four of Redwood tennis courts are 23 years old; hence the urgent need of this maintenance. Currently court 1 to 4 will only need an overlay of asphalt and then all six tennis courts will require an acrylic surface coating to bring them up to acceptable minimum standards. The Clubrooms requires urgent maintenance and upgrade. This work includes: replacement of carpet (originally 2<sup>nd</sup> hand); Laying vinyl in kitchen and toilets (bare concrete); replacement of curtains (sun damaged and ripped); replacement of old zip (leaks) with modern sink water boiler; and addition of a pergola and shade cloth over outdoor seating area.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. Redwood Tennis have received a grant of \$700.00 from the Sport & Recreation Fund to purchase tennis balls and advise that funds on hand are going towards the cost of this project. *This request is covered by existing Shirley/Papanui Community Board budgets*

## REDWOOD TENNIS CLUB INC

### Construction Costs

<u>Courts</u>		<u>Excl. Gst</u>	<u>Gst</u>	<u>Incl. Gst</u>
<b>Stage 1</b>	<i>Establish &amp; Access Site</i>	1,200.00	150.00	1,350.00
	<i>Repair Edges of Courts 1-4</i>	6,734.00	841.75	7,575.75
	<i>Install Root Barrier</i>	600.00	75.00	675.00
	<i>Install new net posts</i>	4,000.00	500.00	4,500.00
	<i>Supply 4 sets net posts</i>	2,400.00	300.00	2,700.00
	<i>Supply and lay 25mm asphalt</i>	29,177.00	3,647.13	32,824.13
	<i>Temporary Line Mark Courts 1-4</i>	1,900.00	237.50	2,137.50
		<b>46,011.00</b>	<b>5,751.38</b>	<b>51,762.38</b>
<b>Stage 2</b>	<i>Supply &amp; Install synthetic surface Courts 5 &amp; 6</i>	18,026.00	2,253.25	20,279.25
	<i>Line Mark Courts 5 &amp; 6</i>	950.00	118.75	1,068.75
		<b>18,976.00</b>	<b>2,372.00</b>	<b>21,348.00</b>
<b>Stage 3</b>	<i>Supply &amp; Install synthetic surface Courts 1 - 4</i>	25,946.00	3,243.25	29,189.25
	<i>Line Mark Courts 1 - 4</i>	1,900.00	237.50	2,137.50
		<b>27,846.00</b>	<b>3,480.75</b>	<b>31,326.75</b>
<b>Stage 4</b>	<i>Clubrooms Renovation</i>			
	<i>Curtains &amp; Flooring</i>	8,238.22	1,029.78	9,268.00
	<i>Paint</i>	177.78	22.22	200.00
	<i>Plumbing &amp; Zip Water Heater</i>	1,178.67	147.33	1,326.00
	<i>Pergola Sun Shade</i>	1,820.44	227.56	2,048.00
		<b>11,415.11</b>	<b>1,426.89</b>	<b>12,842.00</b>
<b>General</b>	<i>Project Management Design &amp; Specifications</i>	5,778.00	722.00	6,500.00
	<i>Contingency 6%</i>	5,279.00	660.00	5,939.00
		<b>11,057.00</b>	<b>1,382.00</b>	<b>12,439.00</b>
<b>Project Totals</b>		<b>115,305.11</b>	<b>14,413.02</b>	<b>129,718.13</b>

### Funding Plan

<b>Stage 1 - Already Completed as follows</b>	<u>Request</u>	<u>Granted</u>
<i>Southern Trust</i>	20,000.00	15,000.00
<i>NZ Community Trust</i>	20,000.00	10,000.00
<i>Scottwood Trust</i>	20,000.00	10,000.00
<i>Eureka Trust</i>	30,000.00	5,000.00
<i>Canterbury Foundation</i>	20,000.00	5,000.00
<i>Pub Charity</i>	20,000.00	5,000.00
	<b>130,000.00</b>	<b>50,000.00</b>

*Stage 1 has recently been completed, with the final cost of \$50,187 after \$1,575 savings were made when some root barriers were not needed*

<b>Stage 2 Project Budget \$21,348</b>	<b><u>Request</u></b>	<b><u>Granted</u></b>
Lion Foundation	20,000.00	10,000
Century Foundation	15,000.00	10,000
		<b>20,000.00</b>
<i>This stage was completed in March 2007, with the actual cost \$21,341.</i>		
<b>Stage 3+ 4</b>		
<b><u>Already Applied for</u></b>	<b><u>Request</u></b>	<b><u>Granted</u></b>
Canterbury Community Trust	30,000.00	15,000.00
<b><u>Now applying for</u></b>	<b><u>Request</u></b>	
CCC Community Board	10,000.00	
Eureka Trust	10,000.00	
NZ Community Trust	10,000.00	
Southern Trust	10,000.00	
<b>Total Pending</b>	<b>40,000.00</b>	

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

8. Yes, see page 172, Discretionary Fund.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

9. Not applicable

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

10. Not applicable

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

11. Page 176 of the LTCCP, level of service under Community Board funding.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

12. As above

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

13. Recreation and Sport, Youth, Community & Social Wellbeing policies

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

14. Yes

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

15. Not applicable

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board approve a grant of \$7,000 from discretionary funds for the upgrade of tennis courts and that the Redwood Tennis Club seek funding from other sources to complete the project.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.