



Christchurch City Council

RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

TUESDAY 8 MAY 2007

AT 5.00PM

HELD IN THE BOARDROOM, SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE,
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH

Community Board: Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Neville Bennett, Helen Broughton, Lesley Keast, Mike Mora, Bob Shearing and Tony Sutcliffe.

Acting Community Board Principal Adviser
Clare Sullivan
Telephone: 941-6601
Fax: 941-6604
Email: clare.sullivan@ccc.govt.nz

Community Secretary
Emma Davison
Telephone: 941-5112
Fax: 941-5110
Email: emma.davison@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT, 26 APRIL 2007
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 4. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 5. PETITIONS
- PART B 6. NOTICES OF MOTION
- PART C 7. MONTCLARE RESERVE
- PART A 8. BROOMFIELD COMMON – EASEMENT OVER RESERVE
- PART C 9. RICCARTON/WIGRAM ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE
REPORT OF 23 APRIL 2007 MEETING
- PART C 10. RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE
REPORT OF 26 APRIL 2007 MEETING
- PART C 11. RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND ROADING COMMITTEE
REPORT OF 27 APRIL 2007 MEETING
- PART B 12. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

8. 5. 2007

- 2 -

- PART B 13. BOARD FUNDS UPDATE**
- PART B 14. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE**
 - 14.1 CSR CALLS UPDATE (1 APRIL 2007 – 30 APRIL 2007)**
 - 14.2 CURRENT ISSUES**
- PART B 15. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT, 26 APRIL 2007

The report of the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board meeting of 26 April 2007 has been circulated to members.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4. CORRESPONDENCE

5. PETITIONS

6. NOTICES OF MOTION

7. MONTCLARE RESERVE PLAYGROUND RENEWAL

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Mary Hay, Parks and Waterways Area Advocate

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to proceed to detailed design and construction of the Montclare Reserve playground upgrade proposal.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Montclare Reserve is a small local purpose reserve that is designed to meet the needs of the immediate neighbourhood. The existing playground does not comply with playground safety standards and needs to be replaced with new play equipment.
3. In December 2006 a publicity pamphlet was distributed to the local community and stakeholders (refer **Attachment 1**). There was a high degree of community engagement in this project (a 35% response rate), which was largely supportive of the proposal. The summary of consultation issues and project team responses are outlined in **Attachment 2**.
4. The main requests made by submitters were for:
 - Amendments to the choice of play equipment, in particular for retention of the fort and for more challenging equipment.
 - Request for more seating and another rubbish bin
5. The initial concept has been amended in response to community feedback and the preferred option is included as **Attachment 3**. This option best satisfies the objectives of the project, has good community support and is consistent with the funding allocated in the Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP).
6. On 26 February 2007 the project team's recommended option, was presented to the Environment Committee. The Committee considered the report and the views of a number of members of the local community, who expressed their opposition to the proposal. This opposition was based on a preference for the retention of the existing fort or replacement with more challenging play equipment. The Committee's recommendation was:

7 Cont'd

"That the Board agrees that the report lie on the table until a site visit of the reserve playground has been undertaken and request that staff provide information on the current status of the fort and the changes that would be required in order for the fort to meet the required playground safety standards."

7. On 26 March 2007, the project team gave a seminar to the Environment Committee that outlined why the current fort needs to be removed and advised the Board that staff are investigating the feasibility of an option that includes a new fort. These investigations included discussions with the park's neighbours, who would be asked to provide neighbours' approval for a fort option
8. On 23 April, the Environment Committee heard the concerns about a replacement fort from one of the park's neighbours. The project team provided the committee with a seminar about the possible options and advised that a replacement fort option is not supported by the project team, due to the effects on the adjoining neighbour, access issues, visual effects and budget limitations. The committee agreed that they would reconsider the staff report that was presented on 26 February, which recommends a junior playground.
9. The implementation of this project is currently scheduled for August 2007.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The funding for the proposed upgrade to Montclare Reserve has been set aside in the LTCCP under "Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways – Local Projects – Playgrounds and Recreational Facilities" (refer page 84 LTCCP).
11. The funds are programmed in the Capital Programme Unit's budget, for construction over the 2006/07 financial year. Specifically:

2006/07	\$4,000	Montclare Reserve (Playground Renewal)
2007/08	\$40,000	Montclare Reserve (Playground Renewal)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board approves the landscape plan (LP204901) in **Attachment 3** in order to proceed to detailed design and implementation of the concept for the upgrade of the Montclare Reserve playground.

BACKGROUND ON THE MONTCLARE RESERVE PLAYGROUND UPGRADE PROPOSAL

12. Montclare Reserve is a small local park, which is designed to meet the needs of the immediate neighbourhood. The existing playground does not comply with current playground safety standards and needs to be removed. It is not feasible to bring it up to standard. It is proposed to replace and enhance the playground equipment and retain the current location.
13. Preliminary research helped to inform the project objectives, which were to:
 - To remove the existing equipment.
 - To provide some new and interesting equipment that meets the local communities needs within the available funds.
 - To build playground consistent with NZ Playground Standards.
 - Improve accessibility for people with disabilities.
 - Provide equipment for young children and, where possible, older children.
 - To ensure that the playground is visually appealing.
14. The Project Team developed a concept to meet these objectives, which included the following:
 - Junior slide.
 - Double swing set.
 - Spica or Double Rocker (community to select preference).
 - Bear Rocker or Junior Skater (community to select preference).

8. 5. 2007

- 5 -

7 Cont'd

- Low landscaping and small trees around playground.
 - Park bench near playground.
15. In December 2006 a publicity pamphlet was distributed to approximately 130 residences and key stakeholders. This pamphlet included a summary of the concept and an initial concept plan (refer **Attachment 1**), and a feedback form. The project team sought feedback from the community about whether the concept was supported, about a choice of play options and also sought general comment about the proposal.
 16. The consultation received a 35% response rate (45 responses). Community feedback was generally positive. The consultation outcome and project team responses are summarised in **Attachment 2**. Opposition to the proposal was indicated by three submitters, who did not support the removal of the fort, did not consider that the proposal provided challenging equipment for older children and didn't consider the proposal allows enough room for cricket.
 17. In terms of the choice of play equipment there was a clear preference for the Double Rocker and Junior Skater.
 18. The main requests made by submitters were for:
 - Amendments to the choice of play equipment, in particular for retention of the fort and for more challenging equipment.
 - Request for more seating and another rubbish bin.
 19. The project team considered the feedback from consultation and revised the concept plan to include the following:
 - A larger play unit that includes a dual slide (1.5m high), an Abseil Climber and a Tic Tac Toe.
 - An additional swing seat for older children.
 - A picnic table will be installed adjacent to the playground (instead of the proposed park bench).
 - A reduction of 'small trees' in all plant beds (especially along the boundary).
 20. While the consultation indicated that the community would prefer some more challenging play item, one of the objectives of this project was to 'Provide equipment for young children and, where possible, older children'. This objective was formed on the basis that the city's small 'pocket parks' are intended to cater for young children that live locally. As children get older and more confident they can travel to more challenging playgrounds.
 21. There are a number of playgrounds in this area that cater for older children and have many of the requested facilities. Annandale Park, Avonhead School, Ferrier Park, Ray Blank Park and Upper Riccarton Domain are all within 1km of Montclare Reserve. Rather than duplicate the play equipment in reserves across the city it is considered prudent to focus on younger users in the small local reserves. Notwithstanding this, the project team has amended the concept to include as much more challenging play equipment as possible without impinging on the needs of young children.
 22. On 26 February 2007 the project team's recommended option, was presented to the Environment Committee. The Committee considered the report and the views of a number of members of the local community, who expressed their opposition to the proposal. This opposition was based on a preference for the retention of the existing fort or replacement with more challenging play equipment. The Committee's recommendation was:

"That the Board agrees that the report lie on the table until a site visit of the reserve playground has been undertaken and request that staff provide information on the current status of the fort and the changes that would be required in order for the fort to meet the required playground safety standards."

7 Cont'd

23. On 26 March 2007, the project team gave a seminar to the Environment Committee that outlined why the current fort needs to be removed and advised the Board that staff are investigating the feasibility of an option that includes a new fort. These investigations included discussions with the park's neighbours, who would be asked to provide neighbours' approval for a fort option.
24. On 23 April, the Environment Committee heard the concerns about a replacement fort from one of the park's neighbours. The project team provided the committee with a seminar about the possible options and advised that a replacement fort option is not supported by the project team, due to the effects on the adjoining neighbour, access issues, visual effects and budget limitations. The committee agreed that they would reconsider the staff report that was presented on 26 February, which recommends a junior playground.

OPTIONS

25. As part of the consultation, the community was provided with options for play equipment (see **Attachment 1**). The project team selected the play items with the greatest number of 'votes' as it was considered that this best reflected community views. These were the Double Rocker and Junior Skater.

PREFERRED OPTION

26. The recommended option best satisfies the objectives of the project and is consistent with feedback received through community consultation, which supports the development of this reserve. The upgraded playground and surrounds will help to foster community cohesion.
27. This option is consistent with the:
- LTCCP 2006-2016.
 - Parks and Open Spaces Activity Management Plan.
 - Parks and Waterways Access policy.
28. This option has primary alignment with the following Community Outcomes:
- *"We value leisure time and recognise that the arts, sports, and other recreational activities contribute to our economy, identity, health and well being";* and
 - *"Christchurch has a vibrant centre, attractive neighbourhoods and well-designed transport networks. Our lifestyles and heritage are enhanced by our urban environment."*

8. BROOMFIELD COMMON – EASEMENT OVER RESERVE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager
Author:	Barry Woodland, Property Consultancy

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to the granting of an easement in gross along the west side of Broomfield Common, Yaldhurst, permitting the installation of sewer, electrical, gas and telephone services (refer to **attached** plan). The land is held under the Reserves Act 1977.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. An application has been received from Gillman Wheelans Ltd to discharge sewage from, and to link other essential services to, approximately 90 hectares of sub-divided Living G (Yaldhurst) land via an easement in gross through Broomfield Common from Buchanans Road via Carmen Road. The applicant, in consultation with Council staff, has indicated its preference to locate

8 Cont'd

the services in this location as being the most direct route for connection by the three adjacent major landowners (Gillman Wheelans, Enterprise Homes Limited and Noble Investments) and representing the greatest network efficiencies for utilities vested in Christchurch City Council and the other utility companies on completion.

3. Early approval of the easement is required to enable the applicant to meet Council requirements in relation to connecting to the new sewage pipe which is currently being laid along Buchanans Road from Carmen Road. Under Section 48 of the Reserves Act 1977, provision exists to grant such easements where the Reserve will not be materially altered or permanently damaged. This application falls into this category and as such approval has been recommended subject to appropriate conditions.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The applicant has been advised in writing that the Council charge a one off compensatory sum for the granting of an easement. The assessment and negotiation of compensation, based on independent valuation advice, is currently underway. All costs associated with the easement are to be borne by the applicant who will also be liable for compensation which will be paid prior to the issue of the 224 Certificate on completion of the subdivision.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

5. Not applicable.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. Under Section 48 of the Reserves Act 1977, provision exists to grant such easements where the Reserve will not be materially altered or permanently damaged. This application falls into this category and as such approval has been recommended subject to appropriate conditions. Public notification is not required. Legal services will be involved in the final documentation of the easement.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Not applicable

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

9. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT AND HISTORY

12. There is no consultative requirement associated with the granting of this easement.
13. Gillman Wheelans Limited, in conjunction with Enterprise Homes Limited and Noble Investments Limited, has applied for the granting of an easement in gross to provide essential services to the 'Masham Block', a 90 hectare block of Living G (Yaldhurst) land recently approved by the Environment Court.

8 Cont'd

14. Gillmans is currently laying some 825m of gravity sewer main along Buchanans Road from the existing 525mm sewer at the Carmen Road intersection. The intention is for the pipe to be laid up to Broomfield Common and then change to a 300mm gravity sewer along the western boundary of Broomfield Common to service the Masham block. In consultation with Council staff this is considered the most efficient and effective way to service these three landowners simultaneously and represents the greatest network efficiencies for utilities vested in Christchurch City Council and the other utility companies on completion.
15. The location of the underground sewer main and common utilities trench within the easement corridor will be parallel with the existing stock-water race/stormwater drain along the western boundary of Broomfield Common. The construction of the easement corridor will result in the under-grounding of the existing overhead power-lines, removal of the gorse fencing, enhancement of the western edge of the reserve, increased street frontage to the reserve and naturalising of the existing stock-water race and storm-water drain. Additional work to form a swale drain (towards the southern end of the western boundary) to provide a stormwater outfall for Gilberthorpes Road and part of Buchanans Road may also be completed concurrently but is not part of this easement application.
16. A plan of the proposed easement is **attached**.
17. The assessment of compensation for the easement is currently underway and will be agreed at a sum which is consistent with values appropriate to the wider 'Masham' developments.
18. This easement is subject to the Department of Conservations approval under the Reserves Act 1977, which will be sought after consideration by the Board.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board approves the Easement in Gross to convey sewage (in favour of the Christchurch City Council) and essential services (in favour of the nominated utilities companies) over Lot 5 DP 41769, as shown on the **attachment**, forming part of Broomfield Common Recreation Reserve subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The applicant being responsible for all costs associated with the easement (processing, valuation, survey, legal and registration) and installation of the requisite services, including all Council related costs.
- (b) The applicant is to pay a bond of up to \$2,000 to the Council (fully refundable less Council costs incurred in relation to the work undertaken on site) and sign a temporary access licence before any construction work commences on site. The easement area is to be maintained by Gillman Wheelans Ltd and/or their nominated contractor (who shall have a minimum of \$1,000,000 public liability insurance) in a safe and tidy condition at all times.
- (c) The applicant is to provide to the Council a surveyed easement plan on which the proposed easement in gross is shown on the title, within 3 months of completion of the work.
- (d) The consent of the Department of Conservation being sought.
- (e) The Unit Manager Corporate Support being authorised to finalise and conclude any compensation transaction.

**9. RICCARTON/WIGRAM ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE
REPORT OF 23 APRIL 2007 MEETING**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI: 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Acting Community Board Principal Adviser
Author:	Emma Davison, Community Secretary

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Environment Committee meeting held on Monday 23 April 2007.

The meeting was attended by Neville Bennett (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Lesley Keast, Peter Laloli, Mike Mora and Bob Shearing.

An apology for absence was received and accepted from Tony Sutcliffe.

Helen Broughton arrived at 4.45 pm and was absent for clauses 1 and 2.

1. YALDHURST RURAL RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval of an application for funding from the Yaldhurst Rural Residents' Association for \$2,000 from its 2006/07 Environment Committee Fund.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under Clause 4 of this report.

2 ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE FUNDS UPDATE

The Committee **received** the information.

3. COMMITTEE MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Members were provided with an opportunity to share issues of concern and give a brief update on community activities. It was requested that staff provide information on:

- The current Council policy for the honorary park warden scheme.
- A staff report on funding options for resembling the clock tower in Hornby.

4. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Board allocates \$2,000 from its 2006/07 Environment Committee Fund to the Yaldhurst Rural Residents' Association towards costs for professional services provided to that Association to assist with its involvement in the proceedings Road Metals Company Ltd v Canterbury Regional Council and Christchurch City Council.

**10. RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE
REPORT OF 26 APRIL 2007 MEETING**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI: 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Acting Community Board Principal Adviser
Author:	Emma Davison, Community Secretary

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Community Services Committee meeting held on Thursday 26 April 2007.

The meeting was attended by Tony Sutcliffe (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Mike Mora, Peter Laloli and Bob Shearing.

10 Cont'd

Apologies for absence were received from Neville Bennett and Lesley Keast.

1. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval of an application for funding for \$500 from its 2006/07 Discretionary Fund. There is a nil balance in the 2006/07 Youth Development Fund.

The Committee requested information from staff on the criteria for applicants whose family live outside of Christchurch city.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 4 of this report.

2. RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2007/08 PROJECT FUND ALLOCATIONS – PROJECTS FOR CONSIDERATION

The Committee considered further information, which was supplied by the Community Development Advisers, on 2007/08 community development projects.

3. COMMITTEE MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Members were provided with an opportunity to share issues of concern and give a brief update on community activities.

4. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Board declines the application for \$500 to Simon Kersten from its 2006/07 Discretionary Fund for costs associated with the applicant attending choral festivals in Canada, Wales and Spain in July 2007, on the basis that the Board has exceeded its limit in its 2006/07 Youth Development Fund by \$2,500.

11. RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND ROADING COMMITTEE REPORT OF 27 APRIL 2007 MEETING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI: 941 8549
Officer responsible:	Acting Community Board Principal Adviser
Author:	Emma Davison, Community Secretary

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Transport and Roothing Committee meeting held on Friday 27 April 2007.

The meeting was attended by Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Lesley Keast, Peter Laloli, Tony Sutcliffe and Bob Shearing.

An apology for absence was received and accepted from Neville Bennett.

1. HARAKEKE STREET KERB AND CHANNEL REPLACEMENT PROJECT

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval to proceed to final design, tender and construction for the Harakeke Street kerb and channel replacement project.

The Committee's recommendations on this matter are recorded under clause 10.1 of this report.

11 Cont'd

2. RATTRAY STREET – STREET RENEWAL PROJECT

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval to proceed to final design, tender and construction for the Rattray Street renewal project.

The Committee's recommendations on this matter are recorded under clause 10.2 of this report.

3. KATHLEEN CRESCENT – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval for a “no stopping” restriction in Kathleen Crescent at the Denton Park entrance.

The Committee's recommendations on this matter are recorded under clause 10.3 of this report.

4. KINSELLA CRESCENT – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” LINES

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval for the installation of a section of broken yellow “no stopping” lines on the corner of Kinsella Crescent, adjacent to the ABC Development Learning Centre at 54 Kinsella Crescent in Aidanfield.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 10.4 of this report.

5. PRINCESS STREET – LOADING ZONE AND P60 AT MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval to replace part of the existing parking restriction (P5 Goods Loading Zone) outside the Ministry of Education premises in Princess Street in response to that organisation's request, to a P60 parking restriction.

The Committee's recommendations on this matter are recorded under clause 10.5 of this report.

6. LANCEWOOD DRIVE PEDESTRIAN FACILITY

The Committee considered a report seeking the Board's approval of \$27,000 from its Transport & Roading Committee Fund for the installation of island build outs in Lancewood Drive to create a pedestrian facility.

The Committee's recommendations on this matter are recorded under clause 10.6 of this report.

7. UPDATE ON CURRENT TRANSPORT ISSUES

The Committee considered the spreadsheet circulated at the meeting providing an update on current transport issues in the Riccarton/Wigram community.

The Committee decided to request a report on the bus stop located outside of No 56 Ilam Road be presented to the next Committee meeting.

8. TRANSPORT & ROADING COMMITTEE FUNDS UPDATE

The Committee received the information.

9. MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Members were provided with an opportunity to share issues of concern and give a brief update on community activities.

11 Cont'd

10. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 HARAKEKE STREET KERB AND CHANNEL REPLACEMENT PROJECT

That the Board approves:

- (a) The Harakeke Street kerb and channel replacement project to proceed to final design, tender and construction.
- (b) The following "no stopping" restrictions:

New No Stopping – Harakeke Street

- (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at a point 56 metres north of its intersection with Riccarton Road and extending 20 metres in a northerly direction.
- (ii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at a point 56 metres north of its intersection with Riccarton Road and extending 20 metres in a northerly direction.
- (iii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Kilmarnock Street and extending 14 metres in a southerly direction.
- (iv) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Kilmarnock Street and extending 20 metres in a southerly direction.
- (v) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Kilmarnock Street and extending 17 metres in a northerly direction.
- (vi) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Kilmarnock Street and extending 20 metres in a northerly direction.
- (vii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Matai Street and extending 18 metres in a southerly direction.
- (viii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Matai Street and extending 18 metres in a southerly direction.
- (ix) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Matai Street and extending 20 metres in a northerly direction.
- (x) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Matai Street and extending 20 metres in a northerly direction.
- (xi) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at a point 168 metres north of its intersection with Matai Street and extending 25 metres in a northerly direction.
- (xii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at a point 150 metres north of its intersection with Matai Street and extending 45 metres in a northerly direction.

11 Cont'd

- (xiii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Daresbury Lane and extending 48 metres in a southerly direction.
 - (xiv) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Rochdale Street and extending 25 metres in a southerly direction.
 - (xv) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Daresbury lane and extending 15 metres in a northerly direction.
 - (xvi) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Harakeke Street commencing at its intersection with Rochdale Street and extending 35 metres in a northerly direction.
- (c) That staff explore landscaping options for Harakeke Bridge.

10.2 RATTRAY STREET – STREET RENEWAL PROJECT

That the Board approves:

- (a) The Rattray Street renewal project to proceed to final design, tender and construction.
- (b) The following traffic restrictions:
 - (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the eastern side of Rattray Street commencing at its intersection with Riccarton Road and extending 17 metres in a southerly direction.
 - (ii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the western side of Rattray Street commencing at its intersection with Riccarton Road and extending 16 metres in a southerly direction.
 - (iii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the eastern side of Rattray Street commencing at a point 157 metres south of its intersection with Riccarton Road and extending 28 metres in a southerly direction.
 - (iv) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the western side of Rattray Street commencing at a point 150 metres south of its intersection with Riccarton Road and extending 33 metres in a southerly direction.
 - (v) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the eastern side of Rattray Street commencing at its intersection with Peverel Street and extending 12 metres in a northerly direction.
 - (vi) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the western side of Rattray Street commencing at its intersection with Peverel Street and extending 12 metres in a northerly direction.
 - (vii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the southern side of Riccarton Road commencing at its intersection with Rattray street and extending in a westerly direction to its intersection with Shand Crescent.
 - (viii) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Peverel Street commencing at its intersection with Rattray Street and extending 12 metres in a westerly direction.
 - (ix) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Peverel Street commencing at its intersection with Rattray Street and extending 9 metres in a easterly direction.

11 Cont'd

10.3 KATHLEEN CRESCENT – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION

That the Board approves that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the eastern side of Kathleen Crescent commencing at a point 198 metres easterly and then southerly (following the kerb line) from its northern intersection with Parker Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 5 metres.

10.4 KINSELLA CRESCENT – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” LINES

That the Board approves that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Kinsella Crescent, commencing at a point 58 metres west from its intersection with Antonia Place and extending in a South westerly direction for a distance of 41 metres, subject to there being no issues with the resource consent for parking at the Kindergarten.

10.5 PRINCESS STREET – LOADING ZONE AND P60 AT MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

That the Board resolves:

- (a) That the Goods loading zone on the south side of Princess street, which commences at a point 257 metres west of the Whiteleigh avenue intersection, and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 37 metres, be revoked.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 60 minutes on the south side of Princess street commencing at a point 257 metres from its intersection with Whiteleigh Avenue and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 30 metres.
- (b) That a Loading Zone (Goods Vehicles only) time limit 5 minutes be created on the south side of Princess Street commencing at a point 287 metres from its intersection with Whiteleigh Avenue and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.

10.6 LANCEWOOD DRIVE PEDESTRIAN FACILITY

- (a) That the Board approves the allocation of \$27,000 from its Transport & Roothing Committee Fund for the installation of island build outs in Lancewood Drive to create a pedestrian facility.
- (b) That staff explore warning advisory signage for motorists.

12. BOARD MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Board Members will have an opportunity to provide updates on community activities/Council issues.

13. BOARD FUNDS UPDATE

Attached is a schedule with up-to-date information regarding the Board’s 2006/07 Environment Committee, Transport & Roothing Committee and Discretionary Funds (this excludes all financial recommendations contained within the agenda).

14. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER’S UPDATE

14.1 CSR CALLS UPDATE (1 APRIL 2007 – 30 APRIL 2007)

Attached.

14 Cont'd

14.2 CURRENT ISSUES

The Community Board Principal Adviser will update the Board on current issues.

15. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS