

8. PROPOSED RIGHT OF WAY NAMING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Environment Policy & Approvals
Author:	Bob Pritchard

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval to two new right of way names (refer attached).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed new road and right of way names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked the proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure it will not be confused with names currently in use.

RMA 20022124 & 20018076 Netheravon Holdings Limited 75A & 83A Crofton Road Two adjoining subdivisions by the same development company has created two new rights of way serving thirteen new residential allotments. The owners have proposed the names **Croft-Leigh Lane** for the right of way at 75A Crofton Road, and **Glen Bridge Lane** for the right of way at *3A Crofton Road. The applicant advises that there is a bridge, and that looking over the bridge through the trees gives the impression of a glen.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. There is no financial cost to the Council. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plate manufacture is charged direct to the developer.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

5. NA

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. Council has a statutory obligation to approve road names.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. There are no legal implications

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. NA

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

9. NA

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. NA

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. NA

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Where proposed road names have a possibility of being confused with names in use already, consultation is held with Land Information New Zealand and NZ Post. Where a Maori name is proposed Ngai Tahu are consulted.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Approve the following proposed right of way names for use :

Croft-Leigh Lane
Glen Bridge Lane

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

13. There are no issues

THE OBJECTIVES

14. Approval by the Community Board of the road names proposed in this report

THE OPTIONS

15. Decline the proposed names and require alternative names to be supplied.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

16. Approve the names proposed

CHAIRMAN'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

The Preferred Option

17. Approve the proposed names

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	New properties are addressed	Nil
Cultural	NA	Nil
Environmental	NA	Nil
Economic	NA	Nil
Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: NA		
Impact on the Council's capacity and responsibilities: Satisfies the statutory requirement to name roads.		
Effects on Maori: Nil		
Consistency with existing Council policies: The City Plan requires roads to be named.		
Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: NA		
Other relevant matters: None		

Maintain the Status Quo (if not preferred option)

18. No. New roads are required to be named.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	No benefits in retaining status quo	Nil
Cultural	None	Nil
Environmental	No	Nil
Economic	No	Nil
Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: NA		
Impact on the Council's capacity and responsibilities: NA		
Effects on Maori: NA		
Consistency with existing Council policies: NA		
Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: NA		
Other relevant matters: None		