



Christchurch City Council

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

TUESDAY 20 MARCH 2007

AT 5.00PM

IN THE BOARDROOM,
SOUTH LIBRARY, SERVICE CENTRE AND LEARNING CENTRE,
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH

Community Board: Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Paul de Spa, Chris Mene, Sue Wells and Megan Woods.

Community Board Principal Adviser
Lisa Goodman
DDI: 941-5108
Email: lisa.goodman@ccc.govt.nz

Acting Community Secretary
Carole Tobin
DDI: 941-5105
Email: carole.tobin@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 6 MARCH 2007
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 4. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 5. PETITIONS
- PART B 6. NOTICES OF MOTION
- PART C 7. CENTENNIAL PARK WALKWAY – TREE REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT PLANTING
- PART C 8. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
- PART A 9. EASEMENTS OVER 1 AND 3 CAMERON STREET
- PART B 10. MONITORING OF COUNCIL OWNED CLOSED LANDFILLS
- PART C 11. BRISBANE STREET – P30 PARKING RESTRICTION
- PART C 12. FIFIELD TERRACE – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION
- PART C 13. LONGHURST TERRACE – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

20. 3. 2007

- 2 -

- PART C 14. NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARD CONFERENCE 2007 – BOARD REPRESENTATION**
- PART B 15. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART B 16. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE**
 - 16.1 BEST PRACTICE AWARDS**
 - 16.2 CURRENT ISSUES**
- PART B 17. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 6 MARCH 2007**

The report of the meeting of 6 March 2007 has been separately circulated.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the Board's meeting of 6 March 2007 be confirmed.

3. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

4. **CORRESPONDENCE**

5. **PETITIONS**

6. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

7. **CENTENNIAL PARK WALKWAY – TREE REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT PLANTING**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager
Author:	Ann Liggett, Parks & Waterways Area Advocate

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to approve a final landscape plan for Centennial Park tree removal and replacement planting programme which will be staged over the next three years.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Following numerous concerns raised by the local community over the years, and the declining health and condition of the willow trees to where they can no longer be safely maintained, a tree removal and replacement planting programme has been prepared for the walkway alongside the Heathcote River and playground area in Centennial Park.
3. All trees being removed along the riverbank are willows. They are either small and misshapen or are showing signs of decline and are becoming unsafe (refer **attached** plan).
4. In December 2006 consultation was undertaken with the local community and key stakeholders with approximately 150 consultation documents being distributed.
5. 14 submissions were received, all in support of the plan, however, concerns were raised in relation to the shelter provided to the beach volleyball area by the eucalypt trees (copy of feedback comments **attached**).
6. A site meeting was held with Council staff and a representative of the Canterbury Volleyball Association and as a result of this meeting the following change was made to the original proposal:
 - (a) The majority of the eucalypts alongside the beach volleyball area will remain in the meantime with new planting of a mix of exotic deciduous trees and totara to provide future shelter for beach volleyball. Once this planting has become well established the remaining eucalypts will be removed.
 - (b) The two willow trees behind number 4 Capri Lane are now included for removal.

7 Cont'd

(c) Extra seating included along the walkway.

7. The park has been divided into five stages (or areas) and it is anticipated that the removal and replacement planting programme will be undertaken over a three year period.
8. The new planting introduces groves of large growing iconic New Zealand native trees along the length of the walkway from Sparks Road to Rose Street, providing a unique experience for the park user.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. Funding for this project is available in the City Environment Group Capital Works Programme for 2006/07/08/09.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. No trees are listed as protected under the City Plan.
11. All work will be carried out by a Council approved contractor with the appropriate health and safety and work site management controls in place.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Page 123 of the LTCCP, level of service under Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board approve the tree removal and replacement planting landscape plan for Centennial Park.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

8. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Recreation & Sports Manager
Author:	Loren Sampson, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Community Board approval for an application for funding from the 2006/07 Spreydon/Heathcote Youth Achievement Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by the Cashmere High School Senior Girls' Volleyball Teams (A and B Teams) for funding support to travel to the New Zealand Secondary School Volleyball Championships in Tauranga in late March.
3. This is the first time the teams have approached the Community Board for funding support.

8 Cont'd

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

4. As at 6 March 2007, a total of \$5,500 has been allocated from the Youth Achievement Scheme from a total funding pool of \$7,500.
5. There are no legal issues to be considered. The following table details trip expenses and funding requested in this application.

Expenses for Cashmere High School Girls' A and B Volleyball Teams Tour to NZ Secondary School Championships	Cost (\$)
Return flights (for 24 people)	\$ 9,672
Mini Van hire (2 x 12 seaters for 7 days)	\$ 1,722
Accommodation (24 people)	\$ 5,880
Food (24 people x \$15 day)	\$ 2,520
Total Cost	\$20,994
Amount raised/contributed by applicants (fundraising to date)	\$ 6,000
Other fundraising (grant from Lion Foundation)	\$ 8,000
Amount Requested from Community Board	\$ 200 per applicant

BACKGROUND ON CASHMERE HIGH SCHOOL GIRLS' VOLLEYBALL TEAMS

6. An application has been submitted by the Cashmere High School Senior Girls' Volleyball A and B Teams. A list of the squad members who reside within the Spreydon/Heathcote Ward has been provided and twenty local girls will be travelling to the NZ Secondary School Volleyball Championships in Tauranga.
7. The Secondary School Volleyball Championships are happening in Tauranga from 25 March – 1 April 2007. The two teams will be flying up to Tauranga and staying at Bennetts Tauranga Motel for the week. The teams will be accompanied by their coach Mike Rickerby and three staff members. It is estimated that each girl will need to pay \$1,000 to cover the cost of accommodation, travel and food (not including spending money).
8. The girls are extremely excited about this trip and have worked hard in the lead up to the Secondary School Championships, training three times per week and playing in local competitions two days per week.
9. The girls have been actively fundraising since the beginning of the school year, and to date have raised \$6,000. Fundraising activities that have been carried out include a sausage sizzle and ice cream sales at the school sports day, work at a local print company preparing a mail out, lamington sales and a garage sale held at the school. Future fundraising activities that will be carried out prior to their departure, include the selling of coloured wrist bands which match the school houses (these will be sold throughout the school) and a quiz evening.
10. The teams have secured a grant of \$8,000 from the Lion Foundation, meaning that to date they have raised \$14,000 towards the total trip cost of \$21,000 with some further fundraising likely to be secured via the fundraising activities that are yet to take place.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board allocates \$400 per team towards the cost of attending the NZ Secondary Schools' Volleyball Championships in Tauranga in March.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

9. EASEMENTS OVER 1 AND 3 CAMERON STREET

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager
Author:	David Rowland, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to the Council to approve the surrender of a right-of-way easement over 3 Cameron Street being land held under the Reserves Act 1977, and also to grant to the owners of 1 Cameron Street the right to drain sewage and water over the same right-of-way.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council purchased the property at 3 Cameron Street in August 2005 as a Local Purpose Reserve and is subject to the Reserves Act 1977. Access from Cameron Street is via a right-of-way shared also in part with the property at 1 Cameron Street. A portion of the Council's right-of-way is no longer necessary and can be surrendered.
3. The developers of 1 Cameron Street have also sought Council consent to a right to drain sewage and water, right to convey water, electric power and telephonic communications over the Council's access strip leading out to Cameron Street.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

4. Standard Council advice to all easement requests includes a written statement, that the Council may charge a one-off compensatory sum for the granting of the easements as scheduled, have been given to the applicants. Valuations assessing the amount of compensation have not yet been requested, however, based on our knowledge and the low impact of such a grant, it is considered that the dollar valuation assessment will be relatively low.
5. It is considered that as the reserve will not be materially altered or permanently damaged and the rights of the public in respect of the reserve are not likely to be permanently affected, public notice is not required to be undertaken.

BACKGROUND ON EASEMENTS OVER 1 AND 3 CAMERON STREET

6. The owners of 1 Cameron Street have undertaken the redevelopment of the site and have built six new units. As part of this development, discussions have been held with Council staff regarding the adjoining waterway and future landscaping as it has an impact on the overall development of the "Cameron St" reserve. These negotiations have resulted in a "Conservation Covenant" being agreed for the Council's benefit, enabling the waterway to be protected without having to acquire the land as an addition to the reserve.
7. In consideration of granting the "Conservation Covenant" the Council has permitted the developers to build closer to the southern boundary as part of their approved Resource Consent.
8. The easement to be surrendered is no longer necessary although an existing easement over those areas shown as "E & H" will remain, permitting the six new owners of 1 Cameron Street access into their units.
9. There is no physical impact affecting the Council's portion of the right-of-way by granting the applicant rights as shown on LT 377668 **attached**. All costs associated with the installation of the necessary pipes etc will be borne by the applicants.

OPTIONS

10. There are no alternative practical options to consider with regards to this application.

9 Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Board recommends to the Council that:

- (a) The right-of-way easement over Lot 3 DP 16880 shown as D on LT 377668 be surrendered.
- (b) Rights to drain sewage and water, right to convey water, electric power and telephonic communications over Lot 3 DP 16880 in favour of Lot 2 DP 16880 shown as E & H on LT 377668, be granted.
- (c) The consent of the Department of Conservation be sought.
- (d) The Corporate Support Manager be authorised to finalise and conclude any compensation transaction.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

10. MONITORING OF COUNCIL OWNED CLOSED LANDFILLS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	City Water & Waste Manager
Author:	Dave Harris, Landfill Rehabilitation Officer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to update the Community Board on the effects of leachate from former landfills on reserves in the Spreydon/Heathcote ward. The report is presented in response to a request from the Board on 5 December 2006, for this information.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. There are nine reserves on closed landfills in the Spreydon/Heathcote ward and routine monitoring is only carried out on the Hansen Park site.
3. Monitoring of the Hansen Park closed landfill in 2006, has shown that leachate discharges from the site do not appear to be having a significant adverse environmental effect on groundwater and surface water quality downgradient of the landfill.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

4. Financial provision for closed landfill monitoring is included in the LTCCP.
5. Monitoring of a number of closed landfills is a requirement of the consent conditions for closed landfills that have resource consents.

BACKGROUND ON MONITORING OF COUNCIL OWNED CLOSED LANDFILLS

6. The Council owns a number of sites which have previously been used for the disposal of refuse. Many of these sites which are scattered throughout the city, and have not received any refuse for many years/decades, are used for recreation purposes as parks, sports fields and reserves.
7. There are nine Council owned sites in the Spreydon/Heathcote ward that are old landfills. These locations and the dates the sites were used for landfilling are as follows: Centennial Park (1915-1947), Hansen Park (1936-1955), Bradford Park (1923-1929), Waltham Park (1880-1921), Simeon Park (1915-1919), Spreydon Domain (1909-1930), Thornington Road Reserve (date unknown) and Alderson Reserve (approximately 1980's).

10 Cont'd

8. An evaluation of the potential environmental effects of the old landfills was carried out in 1992/93 when it was considered that apart from the Hansen Park site, the possibility of adverse effects from the landfills was minimal. Because of the size, age and proximity to the Heathcote River of the Hansen Park landfill, further investigations were carried out on the site, resulting in a consent for discharge of contaminants being required by the Regional Council.
9. The consent requires annual sampling of the river water upstream and downstream of the landfill and groundwater from a monitoring well near the centre of the landfill. Samples are analysed for a number of inorganic and organic compounds and select metals. Analysis results are evaluated against Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) freshwater trigger values for ecosystem protection and New Zealand Drinking Water Standards (NZDWS).
10. The 2006 monitoring has been completed and based on the analysis of the samples, and the current guidelines, the leachate discharges do not appear to be having a significant adverse environmental effect on groundwater and surface water quality downgradient of the landfill.
11. A monitoring report for the landfill has been completed and forwarded to ECan as required by the resource consent.
12. To date ECan has not commented on the 2006 Annual Reports, and ongoing monitoring of the landfill on an annual basis will continue unless required otherwise by ECan.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board receive this information.

11. BRISBANE STREET – P30 PARKING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager
Author:	Peter Harte/Patricia Su, Traffic Engineers

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for the installation of a 30 minute parking restriction at all times outside 65 Brisbane Street (refer to **attached** plan).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The proprietor of Just Finance, located at Unit 65A Brisbane Street has requested a P30 parking restriction be installed outside the company's premises on Brisbane Street. Adjacent land use is industrial, and the high demand for long term parking in the area is predominantly from employees of local businesses. On street parking in Brisbane Street is unrestricted.
3. Just Finance is a business that deals with finance and has a large number of clients for both incoming and outgoing business. The installation of a P30 parking restriction outside the property on Brisbane Street would provide dedicated on street parking for Just Finance clients.
4. Currently the vehicle entranceway to Just Finance is located on Brisbane Street north of the Wordsworth Street intersection. The proprietor of Just Finance has expressed concern over safety issues on Brisbane Street, particularly regarding the speed of vehicles.
5. It is proposed that a P30 Parking restriction be installed on Brisbane Street. This is considered to be the most cost effective and practical solution to the problem.

11 Cont'd

6. Just Finance is the only business directly affected by the proposal and support from the company has been forthcoming.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Cost is minimal and provided for in the operational budget.
8. Land Transport Rule, Road User 2004 provides for this.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approves the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes at all times outside 65 Brisbane Street, commencing at a point 38 metres from the intersection of Wordsworth Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 12 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

12. FIFIELD TERRACE – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager
Author:	Peter Harte/Patricia Su, Traffic Engineers

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for the installation of a section of broken yellow “no stopping” lines on the corner on Fifield Terrace (refer to **attached** plan).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has received a complaint from a resident of Fifield Terrace regarding safety and visibility problems, both entering and exiting Wilsons Road via Fifield Terrace. Concerns have been raised about the presence of vehicles parked on both sides of Fifield Terrace at its intersection with Wilsons Road.
3. Currently there are broken yellow “no stopping” lines on the Wilsons Road north approach to the intersection and across the river bank side of the intersection, but only a short length in Fifield Terrace. There is also a centreline on the Wilsons Road north approach.
4. The access to Wilsons Road via Fifield Terrace is narrow (7 metres); this means when vehicles park near this corner it leaves only one passing lane for traffic wishing to travel in both directions. This can cause problems when a vehicle turns the corner from Wilsons Road north approach and confronts a vehicle coming from Fifield Terrace.
5. To improve road safety and visibility for road users, it is proposed that the installation of broken yellow “no stopping” lines on the north and south sides of Fifield Terrace from its intersection with Wilsons Road be extended. This will allow a centreline to be installed to provide two separate lanes. This is considered the most cost effective and practical solution to the problem.
6. Consultation has been carried out with the affected resident and there are no objections to the proposed action.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Cost is minimal and provided for in the operational budget.

12 Cont'd

8. Land Transport Rule, Road User 2004 provides for this.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board approves:

- (a) That the broken yellow “no stopping” lines on the north side of Fifield Terrace, commencing from its intersection with Wilsons Road and heading in a easterly direction for 9 metres, be revoked.
- (b) That the broken yellow “no stopping” lines on the south side of Fifield Terrace commencing from its intersection with Wilsons Road and heading in a easterly direction for 9 metres, be revoked.
- (c) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Fifield Terrace commencing from its intersection with Wilsons Road, and heading in an easterly direction for 30 metres.
- (d) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Fifield Terrace, commencing from its intersection with Wilsons Road and heading in an easterly direction for 30 metres.

CHAIRPERSON’S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

13. LONGHURST TERRACE – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager
Author:	Peter Harte/Patricia Su, Traffic Engineers

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board’s approval for the installation of broken yellow “no stopping” lines on Longhurst Terrace (refer to **attached** plan).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has received complaints from a resident regarding safety and visibility problems driving down over the hill on Longhurst Terrace just past Fox Lane. Concerns have been raised about the presence of vehicles parked on the west side of Longhurst Terrace.
3. Currently there are no restrictions or controls present on the west side of Longhurst Terrace. The area is residential and just after Fox Lane the road dips significantly, resulting in reduced visibility. Parked vehicles can only be seen from a limited distance which reduces the reaction time to take evasive action.
4. To improve safety and visibility for road users, it is proposed that installation of broken yellow “no stopping” lines on the west side of Longhurst Terrace, starting at its intersection with Fox Lane, is considered the most cost effective and practical solution to the problem.
5. The “no stopping” lines will also make it safer for vehicles waiting to turn right into Harry Ell Drive.
6. Consultation has been carried out with the affected residents and they support the proposed action.

13 Cont'd

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. Cost is minimal and provided for in the operational budget.
8. Land Transport Rule, Road User 2004 provides for this.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approves the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at all times on the west side of Longhurst Terrace, commencing from its intersection with Fox Lane and extending in a northerly direction for 22 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

14. **NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' CONFERENCE 2007 – BOARD REPRESENTATION**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Lisa Goodman, Community Board Principal Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek nominations from the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to attend the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference 2007 being hosted by Manukau City Council on behalf of the Auckland region.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At its meeting of 20 February 2007, the Board **resolved**:
 - (a) That in order to secure the "early bird" advantage, registrations for two Board representatives to attend the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference be secured.
 - (b) To nominate its two representatives at the conference after further information on the programme is received.
3. Further information on the programme for the conference "Leading to Safer Communities" is now available, which has been **circulated separately**.
4. The Board is now invited to nominate two representatives, so that travel and accommodation arrangements can be made as soon as possible.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

5. The cost of attending the conference is detailed as follows:

Registration fees prior to 1 March 2007 (early bird) \$500 +GST or \$590 +GST after 1 March 2006. (includes gala dinner to be held on Thursday.	\$ 500
Accommodation at the Manuka Height Motor Lodge – three nights at \$135.00 per person per night plus GST	\$ 405
Return airfares	\$ 267
TOTAL	\$1,172

14 Cont'd

6. Optional social functions are as follows:
 - (a) **Villa Maria Vineyard Function** - Friday, 8 June 2007 6.00pm-8.00pm
Cost: \$50.00 + GST
 - (b) **Auckland Highlights by Night** - Saturday, 9 June 2007 5.00pm-10.30pm
Cost: \$75.00 + GST
7. This brings the costs per person to attend this conference to approximately \$1,172 + GST exclusive of the two optional social functions, or \$1,297 + GST inclusive of both social functions.
8. Attendance at conferences by Board members is funded by its operational budget.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board nominates two representatives to attend the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference 2007 to be held in Manukau.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

15. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Board members to provide updates on community/Council issues.

16. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE

16.1 BEST PRACTICE AWARDS

An update will be provided on possible options for the Board's nominations for these awards.

16.2 CURRENT ISSUES

The Community Board Principal Adviser will update the Board on current issues.

17. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS