



## Christchurch City Council

# HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

WEDNESDAY 13 JUNE 2007

3.00 PM

IN THE BOARDROOM, LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE,  
180 SMITH STREET

**Community Board:** Bob Todd (Chairperson), David Cox, Anna Crighton, John Freeman, Yani Johanson, Brenda Lowe-Johnson and Brendan Smith

**Community Board Principal Adviser**

Clare Sullivan

Telephone: 941-6601

Fax: 941-6604

Email: [clare.sullivan@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:clare.sullivan@ccc.govt.nz)

**Acting Community Secretary**

Tony McKendry

Telephone: 941-6615

Fax: 941-6604

Email: [tony.mckendry@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:tony.mckendry@ccc.govt.nz)

**PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**

**PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**

**PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

### INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT
- PART B 3. PETITIONS
- PART B 4. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 5. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 6. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE
- PART B 7. NOTICES OF MOTION UNDER STANDING ORDERS 2.16
- PART B 8. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS 4.1
- PART B 9. CHESTER STREET WEST – PEDESTRIAN MALL – REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
- PART C 10. ALEXANDRA STREET POCKET PARK CONCEPT PLAN
- PART C 11. KILMORE STREET - P15 PARKING RESTRICTION
- PART A 12. PETERBOROUGH STREET - PROPOSED MOTORCYCLE PARK AND ALTERATIONS TO P5 PARKING RESTRICTION
- PART C 13. TUAM STREET - PROPOSED 5 MINUTE PARKING RESTRICTION
- PART C 14. APPLICATION TO HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME - JORDAN KELLY-HOUSTON
- PART C 15. SURPLUS 2006/07 DISCRETIONARY FUNDS - ALLOCATION PROPOSALS

**We're on the Web!**

[www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/](http://www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/)



**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT**

The report of the ordinary meeting (both open and public excluded) of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board held on Wednesday 23 May 2007 have been circulated to Board members.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the report of the ordinary meeting (both open and public excluded) held on Wednesday 23 May 2007 be confirmed.

**3. PETITIONS**

**4. CORRESPONDENCE**

**4.1 CHARLESTON NEIGHBOURHOOD ASSOCIATION INC.**

**5. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

**5.1 INSPECTOR GARY KNOWLES – NEW ZEALAND POLICE**

Inspector Knowles will update members on the review of the new Police Act and how the Board can contribute.

**5.2 LINDA RUTLAND**

Linda Rutland would like to address the Board about the Mitre 10 development at Ferrymead.

**5.3 BRUCE BELLIS, ICON**

**5.4 GEOFF KANE, BURSAR CATHEDRAL GRAMMAR SCHOOL**

Both deputation 5.3 and 5.4 relate to clause 9 of the agenda

**6. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE**

**6.1 OUTSTANDING REPORTS**

**6.2 CSR UPDATE (FEBRUARY - APRIL 2007)**

Attached.

**6.3 2006/07 PROJECT, DISCRETIONARY AND YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUNDS UPDATE**

Attached.

**7. NOTICES OF MOTION UNDER STANDING ORDERS 2.16**

**8. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS 4.1**

Members may at any ordinary meeting put a question to the Chairperson concerning any matter relevant to the role or function of the Community Board concerning any matter that does not appear on the order paper. All questions are subject to Standing Orders 4.1.1 to 4.1.5.

**9. CHESTER STREET WEST – PEDESTRIAN MALL – REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

Memo from Barry Cook, Network Operations Team Leader (attached).

## 10. ALEXANDRA STREET POCKET PARK CONCEPT PLAN

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Parks and Waterways Area Advocate, Joanne Walton

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to approve the landscape concept plan for the development of the Alexandra Street pocket park following consultation with the local community.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The property at 69 Alexandra Street was held by the Transport and City Streets Unit for a number of years. As part of the consultation process for the street upgrade completed in 2005 the community expressed a strong preference for this property to be retained for open space.
3. At its meeting of 1 April 2005, the Board allocated \$10,000 from the 2005/06 Project Fund for the Alexandra Street Amenity Enhancement, specifying that this be put aside for a Council-owned piece of land in Alexandra Street to be upgraded into a small neighbourhood reserve to complement the recently completed street works. The Board has requested that the Transport and Greenspace Unit consult with the community on the design of the reserve.
4. A community meeting was held on site on Friday 16 March and was attended by approximately 12 residents. The feedback from residents at this meeting was incorporated into a landscape concept plan for the development of this site into a pocket park. This concept plan was then circulated to approximately 110 households in the immediate area during May. There was a very good response with 29 residents returning the comment form providing further feedback on the proposed design.
5. Overall we received a very positive response from the community with 24 residents (83%) clearly indicating their support for the plan.

<b>Support for proposal</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Not indicated</b>	<b>Total</b>
Number of responses	24	4	1	29

6. In recognition of this support, the Transport and Greenspace Unit proposes that this proposal be approved and implemented (refer to attached plan).
7. The development of the site as public open space is consistent with the preferences expressed by the community during earlier consultation processes. A majority of those residents who have responded during the current consultation are also in support of the use of the site as a pocket park. Whilst the Richmond Village Green is close by, this pocket park will provide valuable additional open green space in an area of increasing residential density and will help to improve the streetscape amenity in the immediate neighbourhood.
8. The small size of this site (343m<sup>2</sup>) limits the options for its development and use. Those residents who attended the meeting on 16 March were shown photographs of Essex Reserve, a pocket park on the corner of Stanmore Road and Tuam Street, as an example of what could be successfully achieved on a site of this size. This is a park that is surrounded by both residential and business developments, and also one that most residents were familiar with.
9. There is insufficient space and budget for the development of sports facilities such as a basketball half court on this site. Petanque is an example of a sport that could be played here without a formal court. Furthermore, Richmond Village Green provides a large open space for more active pursuits in close proximity.
10. The park will provide an opportunity to plant the three specimen trees without negative impacts on neighbours. The choice of deciduous tree species will allow for shade in summer but not cause shading effects to surrounding properties or the footpath and road during the winter months. The trees will have their lower branches pruned back, and the shrub plantings underneath will be at a low level, to maintain good sight lines and visibility into the park and improve safety. The seating will provide a resting place for pedestrians, especially those residents walking to and from the nearby shops. The proposed diagonal path has been retained as a means of encouraging people to go into the park from the footpath and to use this space.

11. Low post and cable fencing will be installed around most of the perimeter of the park to prevent parking of cars and trucks as is occurring at present. A number of concerns about traffic issues in Alexandra Street, including the lack of parking, were raised by submitters. However, over the entire consultation period more residents have indicated that, either, there are currently problems with vehicles parking on this site, or they do not want this site developed for car-parking. The traffic and car-parking issues will be considered separately by the Transport and Greenspace Unit.
12. On completion of the development works the park will be placed under the Transport and Greenspace Unit maintenance contracts. There are specified levels of service that set the required maintenance standards to be adhered to within all parks across the City.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

13. The Hagley Ferrymead Community Board has allocated \$10,000 of Project Funding to this work. At present, costs are estimated to be in excess of this amount at approximately \$15,000. It is noted that another report requesting allocation of discretionary funds is before the Board at this meeting and the additional funding for this project is included in this. If this request for additional funding is not successful at this time, the development work may be able to be staged as funds are obtained.

#### **Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

14. Under the LTCCP, the Hagley Ferrymead Community Board is allocated Project and Discretionary Funds for projects and activities that benefit their community.

#### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

15. No resource or building consent requirements have been identified.

#### **Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

16. No legal implications have been identified.

#### **ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

17. The proposed development aligns with the LTCCP as follows:
  - (a) Community Board funding - p.171
  - (b) Parks, open spaces and waterways - p.123
    - (i) Safety – by ensuring our Parks , open spaces and waterways are healthy and safe places.
    - (ii) Recreation – By offering a range of active and passive recreation and leisure opportunities
    - (iii) Health – By providing areas for people to engage in healthy activities.
    - (iv) Community – By providing welcoming areas for communities to gather and interact.
    - (v) Governance – By involving people in decision-making about parks, open spaces and waterways.
    - (vi) City Development - By providing inviting, pleasant and well cared-for environments.

#### **Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

18. This project supports a level of service in the LTCCP as follows:
  - (a) Area of urban park per 1,000 population.
  - (b) Percentage of urban residences within 400m of a park.
  - (c) Customer satisfaction with appearance of parks and with range of recreational opportunities available within parks.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

19. This project has primary alignment with the following Council strategies and policies:

- (a) Safer Christchurch Strategy
- (b) Older Persons Policy
- (c) Parks & Waterways Access Policy
- (d) Environmental Policy Statement
- (e) Local Parks Acquisition Policy
- (f) Social Wellbeing Policy

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

20. As above.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

21. Consultation was undertaken with the local community on the design of the pocket park development.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approve the proposed Alexandra Street Pocket Park Concept Plan and the City Environment Group commence the construction programme.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

**11. KILMORE STREET - P15 PARKING RESTRICTION**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, City Environment 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport & Greenspace Manager
<b>Author(s):</b>	Peter Harte, Stream Survey Ecologist Barry Cook, Network Operations and Traffic Systems Team Leader

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for the installation of a 15 minute parking restriction at all times outside the Firefighters' Reserve at 196 Kilmore Street (refer attached).

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The Council has received a request from the public regarding safety issues and the disruption of traffic flow on Kilmore Street outside the Firefighters' Reserve. Concerns have been raised about the presence of vehicles parked long term outside this reserve on Kilmore Street meaning both cars and tour buses double park while visiting the reserve.
3. The Reserve is located on the southeast corner of the Madras/Kilmore intersection. Observations have shown that people working in the area park all day in these spaces available on Kilmore Street. This leaves no parking spaces for visiting tourists wishing to view this unique memorial. This results in double parking which causes congestion on Kilmore Street resulting in safety issues and traffic flow disruption.
4. Currently there are no restrictions or controls present on Kilmore Street outside the reserve.
5. To improve road safety and traffic flow it is proposed that a 40 metre strip of P15 parking restriction be installed outside the reserve. This is considered the most cost effective and practical solution to the problem.
6. At the time the consultation was carried out staff did not have a contact for the Chester Street Residents' Association.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

7. Cost is estimated to be \$300 and will come out of the operational budget.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

8. The cost of the signs is within the Transport & Greenspace operational budgets.

**LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

9. Land Transport Rule, Road User 2004 provides for parking restrictions.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

10. The report meets the requirements of the Road User Rules and Council delegations.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

11. Aligns with the Transport and Greenspace activities by contributing to the Council's community outcomes - Safety and Community.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

12. This proposal contributes to improve the level of service for safety and access.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

13. The proposal aligns with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

14. Yes, see paragraph 12.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

15. At the time the consultation was carried out staff did not have a contact for the Chester Street Residents' Association.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approves:

That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 15 minutes at all times outside the Firefighters' Reserve on the south side of Kilmore Street, commencing at a point 10 metres from the intersection of Madras Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 40 metres.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

## 12. PETERBOROUGH STREET - PROPOSED MOTORCYCLE PARK AND ALTERATIONS TO P5 PARKING RESTRICTION

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport & Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Paul Burdon, Consultant Barry Cook, Network Operations and Traffic Systems Team Leader

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to inform the Board of a proposal to install a motorcycle stand and shorten an existing P5 At Any Time parking restriction on Peterborough Street just east of the Durham Street intersection (refer attached). A report seeking approval for the changes and including any comments from the Board will be presented to the Council.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Millers Studios are manufacturers and retailers of shop fit-out equipment and signage. The business is located at 332 Durham Street on the north eastern corner of Peterborough Street. The building has a roller door providing access to Peterborough Street however a vehicle crossing in the footpath has never been installed. This is an historical situation and it is understood that previously the roller door was seldom used for vehicular access. Millers now desire this to be formalised as a vehicle access. The location complies with the requirements of the City Plan regarding the minimum separation distance from the intersection.
3. The proposed vehicle crossing bisects an existing P5 At Any Time parking restriction which runs along the Peterborough Street frontage of the site and partially over the Salvation Army headquarters building immediately to the east. The P5 is currently signposted as a Loading Zone but has been previously approved by the Council to become a P5 At Any Time restriction in association with the recently completed *Central City Loading Zone Review*. The changes to the signposting and markings are yet to be completed.
4. To accommodate the new vehicle crossing the P5 needs to be shortened, clear of the new vehicle crossing and because the balance of the P5 is insufficient in length for car parking, the proposal is to convert this area into a motorcycle stand.
5. The only directly affected parties are Millers Studios and the Salvation Army. Both are in support of the proposal. This section on Peterborough Street is predominantly a commercial area and no affects on residents groups are envisaged therefore residents groups have not been consulted over this proposal.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The installation of signs, posts and markings is within existing budgets.

### Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

7. The installation of signs, posts and markings is within the LTCCP Transport and Greenspace Operational Budgets.

### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of parking restrictions.

### Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. As noted in paragraph 8.

### ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Aligns with the Transport and Greenspace activities by contributing to the Council's Community outcomes – Safety and Community.



**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

11. This contributes to improve the level of service for safety and access

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

12. The recommendations align with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

13. As noted in paragraph 12.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

14. Consultation has been carried out and support has been forthcoming.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Board supports and recommends for Council approval:

- (a) That the existing P5 At Any Time on north side of Peterborough Street commencing from a point 7.8m east of the Durham Street intersection and extending 24.3m in an easterly direction be revoked.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum of 5 minutes At Any Time on north side of Peterborough Street commencing from a point 7.8m east of the Durham Street intersection and extending 14m in an easterly direction.
- (c) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to motor cycles only on north side of Peterborough Street commencing from a point 20m east of the Durham Street intersection and extending 4.3m in an easterly direction.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendations be adopted.

**13. TUAM STREET - PROPOSED 5 MINUTE PARKING RESTRICTION**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, City Environment, Jane Parfitt, DDI 941-8608
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Manager Transport and Greenspace Unit
<b>Author(s):</b>	Paul Burden, Consultant Barry Cook, Network Operations and Traffic Systems Team Leader

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Board to install a 5 minute parking restriction covering one space on the south side of Tuam Street, between the Fitzgerald Avenue and Phillips Street intersections (refer attached).

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The proprietor of "Ginny's Kitchen" a take-away café located at 428 Tuam Street has requested a 5 minute parking restriction be installed to provide short term parking for customers.
3. "Ginny's Kitchen" is located in a busy light industrial section of Tuam Street. The kerbside spaces are currently unrestricted and are occupied all day by employees from the surrounding businesses. The business is very popular and services a wide catchment and as such there is considerable demand for short term parking.
4. It is likely that the economic vitality of "Ginny's Kitchen" is being adversely affected by the lack of available customer parking. The installation of a 5 minute parking restriction covering one space would go some way to addressing the concerns being raised.
5. It is noted that the Council's Parking Strategy for "Business Areas" states that "short stay parking for retail needs" is a higher priority than "commuter parking".
6. "Ginny's Kitchen" is considered to be the only party directly affected and support has been forthcoming. Approval has been gained from the Linwood Neighbourhood Committee and the Inner City East Neighbourhood Group.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

7. The installation of signs and posts is within existing budgets.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

8. The installation of signs and posts is within the LTCCP Street and Transport Operational Budgets.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

9. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of parking restrictions.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

10. As noted in paragraph 9.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

11. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community outcomes - Safety and Community.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

12. This contributes to improve the level of service for safety and access.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

13. The recommendations align with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

13. 6. 2007

- 11 -

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

14. As noted in paragraph 13.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

15. Consultation has been carried out and support has been forthcoming.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approve:

- (a) That the parking of vehicles be limited to 5 minutes maximum on the south side of Tuam Street commencing at a point 66.5 metres west of the Phillips Street intersection and extending 5 metres in a westerly direction.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

#### 14. APPLICATION TO HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME - JORDAN KELLY-HOUSTON

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Community Services, Stephen McArthur, DDI 941-8534
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Board approval for an application for funding from the 2006/07 Hagley/Ferrymead Youth Development Scheme.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by the applicant, Jordan Kelly-Houston, a 15 year old boy of Marriner Street, Sumner who attends Christchurch Boys High School. Jordan is one of seven junior tennis players training with coach Glenn Wilson in Canterbury's Junior Academy of Excellence. He has been selected to play in the annual South Pacific International Tennis Federation (ITF) tournament and will be playing in four, five day tournaments in three different countries (Fiji, New Zealand and Australia) during June and July 2007.
3. Jordan's application for funding aligns with two of the five Youth Development Scheme categories that are eligible for consideration. These are: representation at events and recreation development. This is the first time the applicant has approached the Board for funding support.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The following table details trip expenses and funding requested for Jordan to play at the South Pacific International Tennis Federation (ITF) tournament as follows:

Fiji 23 - 30 June, Auckland 8 - 11 July, Darwin and Northern Territories 11 – 22 July

<b>EXPENSES FOR JORDAN KELLY-HOUSTON</b>	<b>Cost (\$)</b>
<b>Fiji June 23 – 30 2007</b>	
Coaching	200
Transport (hotel)	60
Accommodation	290
*Flight	969
Daily meals	300
Daily taxi to courts	30
	<b>1,849</b>
<b>Auckland July 8 – 11 2007</b>	
Coaching (nil)	-
Transport (airport)	80
Accommodation (staying with friend)	-
*Flight (included in above expenses to Fiji)	-
Daily meals	120
Daily transport	100
	<b>300</b>
<b>Darwin and Northern Territory July 11 – 22 2007</b>	
Coaching	
Transport (hotel)	400
Daily transport	30
Accommodation	42
Flight	735
Daily meals	1,422
	700
	<b>3,329</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,478</b>
Amount raised by applicant to date – funding will be provided by parent	-
Amount requested from Community Board	<b>\$500</b>

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

5. Yes.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

6. There are no legal issues to be considered.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

7. Not applicable.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

8. Yes, relates to 2006 – 07 Community Board Funding Allocations.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

9. Yes, as mentioned above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

10. Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

11. Yes.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

12. Not applicable.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board allocate \$500 from the 2006/07 Youth Development Scheme to assist Jordan Kelly-Houston participate in the South Pacific International Tennis Federation Tournament.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

**BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT**

13. In support of this application, the following background information has been supplied by the applicant, Jordan Kelly-Houston; his mother, Stephanie Kelly and Jordan's coach, Glenn Wilson.
- Jordan has been playing tennis since he was six years old. He is currently the highest internationally ranked NZ player in his age group, with a current junior rank of around 50<sup>th</sup> in his age group.
  - Jordan's goal is to play Davis Cup tennis for NZ while becoming a professional tennis player. In order to do this a player must build up junior ITF points till the age of 18, before setting out on the senior professional circuit. This always poses a challenge for NZ players as the ITF tournaments in Oceania and South Pacific are limited.
  - Jordan balances his demanding training and travel schedule with full academic studies. He is in his NCEA year at Christchurch Boys High where he is also carrying out several Cambridge classes.
  - The financial and time pressures for Jordan to compete in his chosen sport and for him to continue to do well academically are considerable. Jordan is achieving all of this with the support of just one parent. This is particularly challenging as Jordan's mother runs a café, which is very time consuming - working an average of 60 -80 hours a week, in an industry where income is unpredictable.
  - Jordan is an outstanding sportsman who is regarded highly by his coach, Glenn Wilson as being a well rounded individual who is respectful of others and in return is well liked. As a determined and hard working player, Jordan's coach is confident that he will take full advantage of the opportunities that are there for him to pursue his future in tennis.

## 15. SURPLUS 2006/07 DISCRETIONARY FUNDS - ALLOCATION PROPOSALS

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Democracy Services Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Clare Sullivan, Community Board Principal Adviser

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to consider the allocation of its remaining 2006/07 Discretionary funds.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The funding available to the Board for the 2006/07 financial year was \$390,000.
3. Unspent Project and Discretionary funds cannot be carried over into the next financial year and, therefore, any unspent funds need to be reallocated and spent before 30 June 2007.
4. Proposals for the allocation of the remaining 2006/07 Discretionary funding are detailed below.
5. The following projects have been identified as suitable proposals for allocation of the remaining funds:

(a) **Waltham Integrated Artwork** (\$5,000)

The total cost of the artwork including a plaque, seat and preparation for installation is \$25,640. Sponsorship of \$5,000 was sought from Solid Energy but this was not approved. Therefore, in order to complete the project \$5,000 is required.

(b) **Charleston Picnic Table** (\$1,800)

A request has been received for a picnic table for the Charles St Reserve Playground. There is currently one picnic table but an additional table would provide extra capacity for people to enjoy the local neighbourhood reserve. This can be provided for the 2006/07 year.

(c) **Alexandra Street Pocket Park** (\$5,803)

In June 2006 the Board recommended that \$10,000 be allocated the development of this park from its 2005/06 Project funding for amenity enhancements in Alexandra Street. The Council agreed to this in July 2006. This amount was carried forward to the 2006/07 year as the process. The actual cost of the redevelopment of the reserve is now estimated between \$18,000-\$20,000. Further funding is sought from the Board in order to complete the project.

Refer to clause 10 of the agenda for further information on this park.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

6. As at 1 May 2007, from an original allocation of \$52,129 there was a current Discretionary fund balance of \$10,009 available for allocation up to 30 June 2007. Together with the return of unspent funds from Project funding there is a total of \$12,603 for reallocation.
7. Unspent Project and Discretionary funds cannot be carried over into the next financial year and, therefore, any unspent funds need to be reallocated and spent before 30 June 2007.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

8. Yes.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

9. Unspent funds cannot be carried over into the next financial year.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

10. Yes.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

11. Yes.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

12. Yes they support the Board's objectives which align with Council strategies and the Community Outcomes.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

13. Not required.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Approve that the \$2,594 remaining in Project funds be returned and allocated to the Discretionary fund for reallocation to other projects.
- (b). Consider the project proposals for allocation from the Board's remaining 2006/07 Discretionary funds as it considers appropriate.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.