

## 8. SURPLUS 2006/07 DISCRETIONARY FUNDS - ALLOCATION PROPOSALS

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Democracy Services Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Clare Sullivan, Community Board Principal Adviser

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to consider the allocation of its remaining 2006/07 Discretionary funds.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The funding available to the Board for the 2006/07 financial year was \$390,000.
3. Unspent Project and Discretionary funds cannot be carried over into the next financial year and, therefore, any unspent funds need to be reallocated and spent before 30 June 2007.
4. Proposals for the allocation of the remaining 2006/07 Discretionary funding are detailed below.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. As at 1 May 2007, from an original allocation of \$23,202, there was a current Discretionary fund balance of \$1,163 available for allocation up to 30 June 2007. Together with \$1,050 remaining in the Youth Development Fund for allocation and the return of unspent funds from Project funding there was a total of \$5,213 for reallocation.
6. On 16 May 2007 the Board approved the allocation of \$2,435 to the Pier Lighting event. This left a balance of \$2,778 for reallocation.
7. Unspent Project and Discretionary funds cannot be carried over into the next financial year and, therefore, any unspent funds need to be reallocated and spent before 30 June 2007.

### Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes.

### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. Unspent funds cannot be carried over into the next financial year.

### Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Yes.

### ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

### Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

11. Yes.

### ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

### Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. Yes they support the Board's objectives which align with Council strategies and the Community Outcomes.

### CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Not required.

## **PROPOSALS FOR 2006/07 ALLOCATION**

14. The following projects have been identified as suitable proposals for allocation of the remaining funds:

(a) New Brighton and Districts Historical Society (\$880)

A request has been received from the New Brighton and Districts Historical Society who have been unsuccessful in seeking additional funding for rental purposes for a shortfall of until the 30 June 2007 of \$1760 (\$220 per week for eight weeks). The Community Response fund has supported half of this and they remain in need of a further \$880. The Board supported the Society in its 2007/08 project funding allocation with \$8,000.

(b) Board Newsletter (\$1,700)

The Board funded four newsletters in the 2006/07 financial year. At the end of the previous financial year we asked for the accounts for the fourth newsletter for 2005/06 to be sent in order to be paid out of the 2005/06 year. However, this did not occur and the payment was taken from the 2006/07 money. It is therefore proposed that the remaining sum be allocated to fund the final newsletter for the 2006/07 year.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Board:

1. Approve the \$1,500 remaining in the Community Response Fund be returned and allocated to the Discretionary fund for reallocation to other projects.
2. Approve the \$1,500 remaining in the Junior Neighbourhood Support fund be returned and allocated to the Discretionary fund for reallocation to other projects.
3. Consider the project proposals for allocation from its remaining 2006/07 Discretionary funds as it considers appropriate.