



## **Christchurch City Council**

# **HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA**

**WEDNESDAY 22 AUGUST 2007**

**3.00 PM**

**IN THE BOARDROOM, LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE,  
180 SMITH STREET**

**Community Board:** Bob Todd (Chairperson), David Cox, Anna Crighton, John Freeman, Yani Johanson, Brenda Lowe-Johnson and Brendan Smith

**Acting Community Board Adviser**

Tony McKendry

Telephone: 941-6615

Fax: 941-6604

Email: [tony.mckendry@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:tony.mckendry@ccc.govt.nz)

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

### **INDEX**

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 8 AUGUST 2007**
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
- PART B 4. PETITIONS**
- PART B 5. NOTICES OF MOTION UNDER STANDING ORDERS 2.16**
- PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE**
- PART A 7. CHESTER ST WEST – CONSIDERATION OF REQUEST TO REVOKE PEDESTRIAN MALL STATUS**
- PART C 8. STRUCTURE ON STREET APPLICATION FOR 40 KINSEY TERRACE**
- PART C 9. FRIENDS AND FAMILY OF RICHMOND SCHOOL**
- PART C 10. BROMLEY PARK BASKETBALL HALF COURT**
- PART C 11. ROLLESTON AVENUE-PROPOSED RELOCATION OF AN EXISTING METERED MOBILITY-PARKING SPACE**
- PART C 12. COUNCIL FARMS – GRAZING LICENCE TO TUSSOCK HILLS FARM LIMITED**

**We're on the Web!**

[www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/](http://www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/)

**22. 8. 2007**

**- 2 -**

- |               |            |   |
|---------------|------------|---|
| <b>PART C</b> | <b>13.</b> | <b>APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – ASHLEY AUSTIN AND THEO MANUEL</b> |
| <b>PART C</b> | <b>14.</b> | <b>APPLICATION TO HAGLEY FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – KARYN LLOMA SANDFORD</b>              |
| <b>PART</b>   | <b>15.</b> | <b>WOOLSTON PARK AMATEUR SWIMMING CLUB - WPASC SWIMMING ADVANCEMENT FUND</b>  |
| <b>PART B</b> | <b>16.</b> | <b>COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE<br/>CSR UPDATE (JULY 2006 AND JULY 2007</b>                                       |
| <b>PART B</b> | <b>17.</b> | <b>QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS 4.1</b>  |

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 8 AUGUST 2007**

The report of the Board's ordinary meeting of 8 August 2007 has been **separately circulated** to members.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the report of the Board's ordinary meeting be confirmed.

**3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

Speaking rights have been granted to:

**3.1 INNER CITY WEST NEIGHBOURHOOD ASSOCIATION INC.**

**3.2 THE CATHEDRAL GRAMMAR SCHOOL**

In respect to item 7 on the agenda, Chester Street West – Consideration of request to revoke pedestrian mall status.

**3.3 JUSTIN WALLACE, LINWOOD RUGBY LEAGUE**

Justin Wallace wishes to update the Board on progress achieved by the Linwood Rugby League and issues relating to Linwood Park.

**3.4 MOUNT PLEASANT COMMUNITY CENTRE AND RATEPAYERS ASSOCIATION**

Representatives will address the Board on funding issues applicable to the Association.

**4. PETITIONS**

**5. NOTICES OF MOTION UNDER STANDING ORDERS 2.16**

**6. CORRESPONDENCE**

## 7. CHESTER ST WEST – CONSIDERATION OF REQUEST TO REVOKE PEDESTRIAN MALL STATUS

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Clare Sullivan, Community Board Principal Adviser

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is for the Board to recommend that the Council decline the request that staff investigate the possible revocation of the Pedestrian Mall in Chester St West between Park Terrace and Chester St West.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. A request has been received from the Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON) asking the Board to reconsider the Special Order declaring part of Chester Street West be a pedestrian mall under section 336 of the Local Government Act 1974 (made by the Council in 2000) following consideration by the Board.
3. In December 1999 the Cathedral Grammar School, which is bisected by Chester Street West, approached the Hagley/Ferryhead Community Board suggesting the conversion of part of Chester St West into a pedestrian mall following concerns relating to the safety of school pupils and traffic congestion.
4. In August 2000 the Council under s336 of the Local Government Act 1974 gave public notice to declare part of Chester Street West a pedestrian mall between the hours of 9.30am and 2.00pm, Monday to Friday on a school day, subject to a number of conditions. These conditions mainly related to exemptions for emergency, cleaning, delivery and trade vehicles as well as the delegation to School representatives to physically open and close the street. On 28 September 2000 the Council adopted the notice of motion concerning Confirmation of Proceedings to create a Pedestrian Mall in Chester Street between Park Terrace and Cranmer Square.
5. There were no objections received to the proposed declaration and consequently there were no appeals to the Environment Court.
6. In October 2002, the Board, via a deputation, received a similar request to the one considered in this report seeking that the pedestrian mall status be lifted. Following a site visit, the Board decided in January 2003 that the pedestrian mall status remain. Advice was sought and received in May 2003 from the then Director of Legal and Secretarial Services who reviewed the process to date and reported on the legality of the process.
7. In 2005, following a further deputation and in an attempt to address some other concerns expressed by various parties regarding car parking shortages and congestion the Board asked staff to prepare a report on the possible conversion of part of Chester Street West between Park Terrace and Cranmer Square to a one-way street. A comprehensive report was commissioned from Streets in Sync and the Board considered the report in February 2007 and did not pursue the option of the creating a 'one-way' section.
8. There are two possible options. Either, that the Board recommend to the Council that the request investigating the possible revocation of the pedestrian mall status be declined. If this option is agreed, staff will advise both the Cathedral Grammar School and ICON advising them of the fact.
9. The other option is that staff are requested to prepare a report to commence the revocation procedure which follows the same Special Order process as to make the declaration (Section 336 (13) of the Local Government Act 1974 would apply. The same rights of objection and appeal to the Environment Court would apply. The process would then recommence.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

10. To commence the revocation procedure a Council resolution is required and public notification and rights of appeal would apply. In order to advise the Board and the Council on whether revocation should occur staff consider that the matter would need to be fully investigated. This is not currently budgeted for in the 2006-16 Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) and the process would incur a significant cost. The technical aspects of revocation are estimated to cost \$20,000. However, the consultation involved, given the rights of objection and appeal to the Environment Court could cost hundreds of thousands of dollars which is not budgeted for.

#### **Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

11. There is no money set aside for a report investigating revocation. If the Board and the Council request staff to prepare a report investigating the revocation of the mall status money would have to be redirected from other projects already committed in the LTCCP

#### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

12. If staff are requested to commence the revocation procedure which follows the same Special Order process as to make the declaration (Section 336 (13) of the Local Government Act 1974 would apply. The same rights of objection and appeal to the Environment Court would apply.

#### **Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

13. As above. The Board and Council should consider carefully whether there is a genuine desire to commence the process for revoking the pedestrian mall as the mall has now been in place for almost seven years, any revocation is likely to be objected to and possibly appealed. The Board does not have delegated authority in this matter. The Board does, however, have recommendatory powers to the Council.

#### **ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

14. Not Applicable.

#### **Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

15. As above

#### **ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

16. Not Applicable.

#### **Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

17. Not Applicable.

#### **CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

18. If the Council decides to request the report regarding revocation then consultation will occur as part of that process.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Board recommend to the Council:

- (a) That the request that staff investigate the possible revocation of the pedestrian mall status for part of Chester St West between Park Terrace and Cranmer Square be declined.
- (b) That the Cathedral Grammar School and the Inner City West Neighbourhood Association be advised of the decision.

**CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**8. STRUCTURE ON STREET APPLICATION FOR 40 KINSEY TERRACE**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Neera Vishnubhatla, Engineer (Information)

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to erect a timber ramp partially on legal road. The timber ramp is to serve the double garage proposed on the property at 40 Kinsey Terrace. The proposed structure is as shown on **attachment 1**.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. A Structure on Street application for a new double garage which is sited within the property boundary with a drive on access partially sited on legal road has been made by the owners of 40 Kinsey Terrace. Currently the owners have a deed of licence with Council for a dedicated single garage located entirely on legal road.
3. Previous to this application the board has declined the application to build a double garage entirely on legal road.
4. A contract has been let for street renewal project on Kinsey Terrace.
5. There is no impact of the proposed structure on the design of Kinsey Terrace upgrading project. The design was carried out to accommodate the existing garage on legal road. This proposal is for its removal and using the road space for access into the site.
6. Staff have assessed that the public walkway is not affected and that the proposed structure will not compromise any existing parking.
7. Owners of 44 Kinsey Terrace and 38 Kinsey Terrace, who are the most affected parties, are supportive of the proposed structure.
8. The approval of the structure on street will render road space the structure occupies surplus to road requirement.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

9. Nil.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

10. Not applicable.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

11. Community Boards have the delegation to approve Structure on Street applications for garages and parking platforms.
12. This application is for road access onto the site and Local Government Act 1974 Sec 335 states "Where vehicles are being taken or, in the opinion of the council, are likely to be taken, on to or from any land across any footpath or any road or any water channel on or adjoining any road otherwise than by means of a crossing properly constructed under the provisions of any bylaw made by the council, the principal administrative officer or other officer authorised by the council may, by notice in writing, require the occupier or, in any case where there is no occupier, the owner of the land to pay to the council such sum of money as the council from time to time fixes as payment for the cost of the construction of a crossing by the council".

13. "CCC Public Places and Signs Bylaw 2003" requires the owner to apply to the Council for vehicle access to road as stated in Clause 52 Vehicle Crossings:
- "1. No Person shall construct any crossing across the footpath or water channel without obtaining a crossing permit from the Council.
  - 2. (a) The owner or occupier of any land who may require vehicular access across any footpath and water channel adjoining that land shall apply in writing to the Council to construct at the owner's or occupier's cost.  
(b) Together with every application there shall be submitted a plan showing the location and design of the crossing and description of the construction materials."
14. And Clause 54 Access On Hillside Sites:
- "1. Where a new vehicular access is to be created and where there is a difference in level between the edge of the kerb or road seal on a formed road and the property boundary the cost of forming a suitable access way for the vehicles shall be the responsibility of the owner requiring the access and the standard of any works carried out on the road shall be the standard that would be appropriate for a right-of- way to a new subdivision.
  - 2. Where the access way is a structure a formal licence agreement between the owner and the Council will be required. Surfacing of the access way and the installation of any culvert required will be carried out in accordance with vehicle crossing procedures set out in clause 52 of this Bylaw."

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

15. Yes as above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

16. Yes, LTCCP page 152 "To provide public street frontages to properties.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

17. Yes.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

18. This recommendation is in alignment with the parking strategies of City Plan.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

19. Yes.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

20. Affected neighbours have been consulted who are supportive of the proposal.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approves this application subject to:

- (a) Deed of licence being entered into with the Council.
- (b) Resource and building consents being obtained.
- (c) The engineering plan for construction being approved by the Asset Planning and Network Unit prior to the application for a building consent.
- (d) The owner being responsible for the stability, safety and future maintenance of the bank, driveway and formation work associated with the structure.



**22. 8. 2007**

- 9 -

- (e) The site being kept in safe and tidy condition at all times during the course of construction.
- (f) Clear access to neighbouring properties and pedestrians being maintained at all times.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATIONS**

For discussion.

#### BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

21. Initially, the owners of 40 Kinsey Terrace approached the Council earlier with plans for the construction of a double garage sited partly on legal road (three metres over the boundary). A report was submitted to the Board in April 2006 and the Board resolved to decline the application.
22. However, the owners have now made another application for a new double garage to be incorporated within the property with a drive on access partially on legal road. The existing single garage is proposed to be removed. Plans for the proposal shown on **attachment 1**.
23. When considering the application for approval the following assessments have been considered by staff:
  - (a) Safety of all road users is not compromised.
  - (b) Legal right of access is maintained for individual property owners.
  - (c) The applicant is unable to construct the structure on his or her land because of the nature of the terrain.
  - (d) The proposal is consistent with the City Plan objectives on the property access and parking requirements.
  - (e) The road environment is not unduly compromised with the presence of the structure.
  - (f) The visual intrusion to the streetscape will have minimal effect to road users.
  - (g) Road users include pedestrians, cyclists, and other commuters.
24. The owners of 44 Kinsey Terrace and 38 Kinsey Terrace have been consulted and are supportive of the application.
25. The Kinsey Terrace renewal project has commenced. This proposal will not have any impact on the number of on street parking spaces available to the road upgrade project. See **attachment 2**.
26. The owners have an existing deed of licence for the existing garage and this will be revoked by the Transport and Greenspace Manager.
27. This proposed structure is below the road level and impact on road environment will be minimal.
28. The proposed structure will have a minimum life of 50 years and approving the road space for this structure renders the land surplus to road requirement.

#### THE OBJECTIVES

29. The approval of the structure will enable a motor vehicle to be garaged instead of occupying road space. The approval will lead to compliance to City Plan's rules for property access and car parking on Living Hills Zone.

**9. FRIENDS AND FAMILY OF RICHMOND SCHOOL**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Community Services Group, DDI 941-8986
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Community Support Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Claire Milne, Community Development Adviser

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to provide information relating to an application from the Friends and Family of Richmond School for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board Board's 2007/08 discretionary funds.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The Friends and Family of Richmond School are requesting \$2,252.49 funding support from the Board. Funds will be used to support the Books in Homes Project, which this group have undertaken in partnership with the Alan Duff foundation.
3. Friends and Family of Richmond School has been operating since October 1998 as a community based support group that assists the school learning programme by providing fundraising for specific projects.
4. The books in homes project is not a core education, Ministry of Education funded, project. Books accessed through this programme are distributed to children within the Richmond School, for the children to take home. Approximately 110-120 children will benefit, receiving 12 books over a two year period.
5. The goal of Duffy Books in Homes is to inspire a love of books and of reading in children. The programme encourages parents and whanau to recognise the fundamental role they play in their child's literacy and language development by telling stories, reading to, listening to and talking with children. It is by doing this that we are also promoting family literacy.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

6. Sound financial practices are in place. Audited accounts for the year ended 31 December 2006 represent the financial position of the Friends and Family of Richmond School, and reflect a closing balance of \$1,069.
7. Expected expenditure for the Books in Homes project is \$5,782.20. plus GST. The Alan Duff Foundation will be contributing \$2,891.10 as sponsor of the project. The Friends of Richmond School are required to fund the balance of \$3,252.49 and have a confirmed contribution of \$1000 from the Richmond Working Men's Club.

This leaves a required balance of \$2,252.49

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

8. Yes. There is currently a balance of \$38,720 in the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2007/08 discretionary fund.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

9. There are no legal issues to be considered.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

10. Yes.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

11. Yes.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

12. Yes.

Aligns with community outcomes:

- A city of inclusive and diverse communities
- A city of lifelong learning

Aligns with Hagley Ferrymead Community Board objectives:

2. Maintain an awareness of the diversity of the ward in decision-making.
3. Acknowledge diversity and support measures for a vibrant, inclusive and strong communities.
8. Advocate for adequate resourcing for diverse communities.
11. Support/advocate for initiatives that support lifelong learning

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

13. Aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

14. Yes. As above.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

15. Not applicable.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Council:

- (a) It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board agree to allocate \$2,252 from its 2007/08 Discretionary Fund to The Friends and Family of Richmond School to support the Books in Homes Project.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

## BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

16. Information accessed on the background of Duffy Books in Homes states the following:

The Books in Homes Programme was inspired by Alan Duff who, with the help of Christine Fernyhough, developed the idea after realising that failures in adult life often stem from childhoods spent in bookless homes. The philosophy behind the programme is simple - to break the cycle of 'booklessness'. Kids who can't read become adults who can't communicate and that's a serious disadvantage in a world that operates on the written word.

Nearly half the workforce in New Zealand (46%) cannot read well enough to work effectively in a modern economy. As the availability of low-skilled jobs diminishes, the high concentration of adults with poor literacy skills will become increasingly vulnerable. In addition one in four New Zealanders are functioning below the level of competence required to meet the demands of everyday life.

The best way to create an employable, literate society is to foster a love of reading in children, and the earlier the intervention begins the better.

Results to date have shown a 35% increase in reading skills by children on the programme in one year. In addition schools on the Duffy Books in Homes programme (Duffy Schools) have experienced less truancy and greater involvement in school life by parents and the community.

<http://www.scoop.co.nz> ; <http://www.booksinhomes.org.nz>

## 10. BROMLEY PARK BASKETBALL HALF COURT

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Joanne Walton, Consultation Leader – Greenspace

## PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to approve the plan for the construction of a basketball half court in Bromley Park following consultation with the local community.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. A proposal for a basketball half court was presented to the Board at the meeting of 27 June 2007 prior to the Transport and Greenspace Unit carrying out consultation with the local community.
3. The provision of a hard court in the Bromley area is scheduled in the Capital Works Programme for 2007/08.
4. The public information leaflet was distributed to approximately 285 households in the vicinity of the reserve, along with a number of identified key stakeholder groups. There was a good response from the local community with a total of 34 residents returning the comment form.
5. Overall we received a very positive response from the community with 26 residents (76%) clearly indicating their support for the plan, and many offering additional feedback on a variety of issues (refer to **attached** summary).

<b>Support for proposal</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Not indicated</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Number of responses</b>	26	7	1	34
<b>%</b>	76%	21%	3%	100%

6. In recognition of this feedback, the Transport and Greenspace Unit proposes that this proposal be approved and implemented (refer to **attached** plan).
7. Nineteen of the 26 respondents who indicated their support, also provided additional comments about the proposal. Most indicated that this was a good project, or beneficial for youth and sport in the area. Some also expressed concerns about the project which have been discussed below.
8. The need for an additional recreational facility of this type has previously been demonstrated in order for funding to have been approved on the Capital Works Programme. In selecting a suitable location for this facility, Council staff considered the spatial distribution of half and full courts in local parks, in conjunction with demographic information for this area. Bromley Park was chosen as the most suitable location as it is centrally located in relation to other facilities in the surrounding neighbourhoods, on a bus route, and a court would supplement informal recreational opportunities available there.
9. Demographic information was obtained from the latest Census 2006 statistics for 20 census mesh blocks around the park with a total population of 4284 people. The area has a higher proportion of children in the Under 5's and 5-14 year old age groups compared with the city-wide average. These age groups would be expected to utilise the facility over its projected lifespan of 20 years.

	<b>Under 5's</b>	<b>5-14 yr olds</b>	<b>15-24 yr olds</b>
<b>Number</b>	333	630	609
<b>% of population</b>	7.8	14.7	14.2
<b>% difference from City average</b>	25.4%	15.8%	- 7.1%

Source: Census 2006 data plotted onto CCC MapInfo

10. The main issues identified by those expressing opposition to the proposal, and also by four of those in favour, was the potential of the facility to attract young people likely to engage in anti-social behaviour. Of particular concern was a possible increase in graffiti and vandalism to not only the court itself, but also to the changing rooms and toilet block, and the neighbouring cemetery.
11. Council officers acknowledge that vandalism and graffiti to parks and recreational facilities, and the ongoing costs associated with maintenance and repair are a major issue, and are continually working to address this by a variety of means. This may be through developing collaborative community partnerships such as the "Strengthening Communities" graffiti project which has been initiated successfully in Phillipstown. It also involves improving layouts for parks, and the design and location of park buildings and equipment, particularly in accordance with *Crime Prevention through Environmental Design* (CPTED) principles, to both improve safety for users and reduce the opportunities for vandalism and other crime. These principles include locating high use elements where they are visible from adjoining streets, houses and from within the park, providing facilities for activities that attract people into parks and encourage them to stay longer, and providing a range of recreational opportunities and spaces in order to ensure activity throughout the day and a range of users eg passive open areas as well as sports fields.
12. Accordingly, the position of the court on the park was chosen primarily because it is in the open and clearly visible from within the park, the playing fields, and the adjoining houses and roads, including a busy arterial route. However, it is sited far enough away from these roads to avoid being a safety risk when in use to either traffic or players. And although it is clearly visible, it is situated a minimum distance of 120 metres from the nearest houses on Butterfield Avenue, Buckleys Road and McGregors Road, as it is acknowledged that basketball courts can be quite noisy when in use.
13. The court has also been located so as not to conflict with the use of the open space for sports and other events, that is, away from the sports training grounds, and main areas that are used for visiting circuses and fairs. It has been located close to the sealed path through the park for easy access but away from the car-park to reduce the risk of it becoming a 'hang-out' for people in vehicles. Transport and Greenspace Unit staff are currently investigating the option of securing the carpark at night as was raised by one respondent.
14. The court has been located approximately 10 metres from the edge of the playground so that balls and players are not likely to conflict with use of this equipment, but still close enough for parents and caregivers to be able to see and supervise children using both the playground and the court. The picnic tables provide for family-oriented activity, encourage adults to go there and to stay longer, and are also placed to encourage social interaction and casual surveillance. At present there are 43 parks throughout the City that have an outdoor basketball court and of these, 40 also have playground equipment.
15. In accordance with the principles of CPTED the use of parks at night is discouraged and in general lighting is only provided in parks where the use of a particular path as a designated route is essential at all times. Therefore lighting would not be provided for the use of the court at night. The path through the park is currently lit and staff are investigating the desirability of maintaining this.
16. Three respondents have suggested the better utilisation of the basketballs courts at Cowles Stadium or the siting of the court at Cuthbert's Green as an alternative to Bromley Park. Provision of an outdoor court offers opportunities for recreation for those who are not able to, or do not wish to, take part in organised formal sport that is offered by clubs, for example, because of cost or time constraints. Although the area around Cuthbert's Green may appear to have ample space for an outdoor court, it is the opinion of staff that the park does not offer a site that would meet CPTED standards in terms of being open and visible. The presence of many windowless buildings, trees and car-parking areas reduces visibility, obstructs sight lines and reduces casual surveillance.
17. Overall, it is the opinion of staff that the proposed basketball court will play a valuable role in addressing the need for additional youth recreational facilities in this area. It will also encourage participation in physical activity and sport, and provide opportunities for socialising with family and friends in an outdoor setting for many within the community, not just youth. It is not considered that the provision of a court will result in an increase in anti-social behaviour.

The Linwood Community Constable has also indicated that the police would not be opposed to this facility. There is a balance to be achieved by Council in ensuring that the large majority of our community have access to parks and recreational facilities and opportunities, and are not denied this because of the activities of a small anti-social minority.

18. The existing traffic issues will also be referred to another Unit within the Council as appropriate.
19. All respondents have been sent a final letter of reply thanking them for their input. The letter has also informed respondents that the final plan would be presented to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for approval. Details of the meeting were provided so that any interested people could attend.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

20. The current Greenspace Capital Works Programme has funding to undertake the construction of the basketball half court with in Bromley Park with \$33,000 available in the current 2007/08 financial year.

#### **Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

21. As above.

#### **LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

22. No resource or building consent issues have been identified.

#### **Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

23. No legal implications have been identified.

#### **ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

24. The proposed development aligns with the LTCCP as follows:

##### **Parks, open spaces and waterways - p.123**

Safety – by ensuring our Parks, open spaces and waterways are healthy and safe places.  
Recreation – By offering a range of active and passive recreation and leisure opportunities  
Health – By providing areas for people to engage in healthy activities.  
Community – By providing welcoming areas for communities to gather and interact.  
Governance – By involving people in decision-making about parks, open spaces and waterways.  
City Development - By providing inviting, pleasant and well cared-for environments.

##### **Provision of recreational facilities – p.125**

Measures and targets - >1 Youth recreation facility per 1,000 youth

#### **Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

25. This project supports a level of service in the LTCCP as follows:
  - (a) Provision of recreational facilities – Measures and targets – >1 Youth recreation facility per 1,000 youth
  - (b) Customer satisfaction with appearance of parks and with range of recreational opportunities available within parks.

#### **ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

26. This project has primary alignment with the following Council strategies and policies:
  - Safer Christchurch Strategy
  - Parks and Waterways Access Policy
  - Environmental Policy Statement



- Children's Policy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy
- Social Wellbeing Policy

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

27. As above.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

28. Consultation was undertaken with the local community on the proposal.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approve the concept plan for a basketball half court in Bromley Park, amended as a result of public consultation, and the City Environment and Capital Programme Groups commence the construction programme.

**CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

# 11. ROLLESTON AVENUE-PROPOSED RELOCATION OF AN EXISTING METERED MOBILITY-PARKING SPACE

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Paul Burden

## PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to relocate an existing metered mobility parking space outside the Canterbury Museum in Rolleston Avenue.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has received a request from the Canterbury Museum to relocate the mobility space currently located outside the Museum in Rolleston Avenue as a result of complaints by users of the space. There is a storm water sump located in the channel in the middle of the existing mobility space. Those with a disability have stated that they find this car park space difficult to use as the sump grate is located adjacent to the passenger door of a vehicle. The request is supported by the Christchurch City Councils Metropolitan Community Advisor for Older Adults and People with Disability, Karen Rickerby, **attached** is a letter of support from her.
3. The space provides easy access to the Canterbury Museum, Botanical Gardens, the Arts Centre and surrounding businesses and attractions. The surrounding on-street car parking on Rolleston Avenue is controlled by meters. (**attached** plan).
4. The current mobility space measures 5.4 metres in length and is located at the end of a row of 13 metered spaces running between the vehicle entrance to the Botanical Gardens (opposite the Hereford Street intersection) and the kerb build out outside the Canterbury Museum. It is proposed that the mobility space be swapped with the next closest space located behind the current space. This space measures 6.5 metres in length. The current mobility space will in turn become a normal metered space therefore there will be no overall change to the number of metered or mobility spaces available in the area.
5. The relocation of the existing mobility metered parking space is considered the most cost effective and practicable solution to the problems currently experienced by users of the existing space.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The estimated cost of this work is \$500.

## Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

7. The installation of parking signs and road markings is within the LTCCP budgets.

## LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of parking restrictions and parking meters.

## Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. As above.

## ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Aligns with the streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community outcomes- Safety and Community.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

11. This contributes to improve the level of service and safety for parking.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

12. The recommendations align with the Council's parking strategy 2003

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

13. As noted in paragraph 12.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

14. This mobility parking space is being swapped with the one directly behind it for ease of access and has been requested by a person using the mobility park, the proposed relocation has been supported by the Disabilities advocate therefore consultation is not required

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve:

- (a) The parking of vehicles currently limited to those displaying an "operation mobility card only" be revoked on the western side of Rolleston Avenue from a point 32 metres south of the Worcester Street intersection and extending 5.4 metres in a southerly direction.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles be limited to those displaying an "operation mobility card only" on the western side of Rolleston Avenue from a point 37.4 metres south of the Worcester Street intersection and extending 6.5 metres in a southerly direction.

**CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

**12. COUNCIL FARMS – GRAZING LICENCE TO TUSSOCK HILLS FARM LIMITED**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment DDI 941 8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Manager Transport and Greenspace
<b>Author:</b>	David Rowland, Property Consultant

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to ratify an existing arrangement by issuing a Licence to Tussock Hills Farm Limited over those areas of reserve land contained within the Council's farm portfolio for up to five years.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The Council owns substantial areas of farm/rural lands throughout Christchurch that are held for a number of purposes including, but not limited to, the protection of indigenous wildlife, habitat, stormwater retention and ecological restoration.
3. Approximately 267.40 hectares was farmed by the Council by way of grazing cattle and/or making hay as a means of controlling vegetative growth and to allow for the purposes outlined in 2 above. The majority of the land is held by the Council in fee-simple; however there are some pockets of reserve contained within these areas. The subject areas of land are identified in the **attachments**.
4. In early 2006 the Council's Greenspace Unit considered that the land would be better managed by a third party through a Licence to Occupy or Lease arrangement, and in June 2006 proceeded to invite Request for Proposals (RFP) from interested parties to occupy the land for the grazing of cattle and/or making hay.
5. As part of the existing farming activity the Council owned a number of livestock, items of plant and produce that would become surplus to requirements in the event that the responsibility for farming the land transferred to another party. The RFP was extended to include the purchase of these assets by the successful registrant.
6. Five proposals were received and a weighted attributes assessment resulted in Tussock Hill Farm being selected as the preferred registrant.
7. A month to month Licence has been issued to Tussock Hill Farm pending the approval by three Community Boards (Hagley/Ferrymead, Burwood/Pegasus and Shirley/Papanui) to the issue of a Lease for a period of five years over the land held by the Council as reserve. The Corporate Support Manager has delegated authority to approve a Lease over the balance land held in fee-simple.
8. Procedural steps have been concluded under the RFP and this report seeks to ratify and formalise an interim monthly tenancy by creating a term tenancy as provided for in the RFP process.
9. The monthly tenancy was entered into following a proper leasing process for expediency to manage the Council's risk and property as it would have been untenable to have left the properties vacant or unmanaged for any extended period especially over the spring and summer periods.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

10. The annual licence fee as established through the RFP is \$25,000 plus GST. By disposing of stock and hay Council continued liability is removed.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

11. Not applicable.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

12. The Board has delegated authority to approve the granting of a licence under Sections 54, 56, 58a, 61, 73 and 74 of the Reserves Act 1977. Section 74 is relevant in this case.
13. Section 74 Reserves Act 1977, Licences to Occupy Reserves Temporarily - where it is necessary or desirable for the management of the reserve licences to occupy any recreation, historic, scenic or local purpose reserve for grazing or other similar purposes may be granted.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

14. Yes - Page 124 of the LTCCP, level of service under parks, open spaces and waterways.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

15. Not applicable.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

16. No Required.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approve the granting of a licence for grazing or other similar purposes over those reserve lands described and marked Ψ in the first schedule, as shown below, for a term of five years less one day effective from 1 October 2006 at a rental as submitted as part of the RPF process comprising both freehold and reserve lands of \$25,000 per annum plus GST.

**CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION**

That the matter be deferred pending a seminar between the Burwood/Pegasus, Hagley/Ferrymead and Shirley/Papanui Community Boards.

**SCHEDULE ONE**

Note: The land identified with a "Ψ" symbol indicates land held by the Council as a reserve pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977.

**SCHEDULE OF PROPERTIES**

Name	Plan Reference	Location	Legal Description	CT Reference	Approximate Area (ha)
Cuthberts Paddocks	Plan 2	Cuthberts Road	Part Lot 1 DP 53704	31F/439	25
			Lot 2 DP 28471	32B/102	
			Part Lot 2 DP 8686	32B/102	
			Part Lot 3 DP 21264	32B/102	
Linwood	Plan 3	Bordered by Dyers Road/ Linwood Avenue	Part Lot 1 DP 9714	6D/180	81
			Part Lot 2 DP 9714	6D/180	
			Part Lot 3 DP 9714	9F/947	
			Part Lot 4 DP 9714	9F/947	
			Part Lot 5 DP 20628	?	
			Part Lot 1 DP 20628	40A/82	
			Part Lot 2 DP 20628	2B/871	
			Part Lot 3 DP 20628	40A/82	
Ruru Paddocks	Plan 5	Dyers Road	Part Lot 5 DP 8686	2F/382	6
<b>TOTAL AREA</b>					<b>267.4 ha</b>

### 13. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – ASHLEY AUSTIN AND THEO MANUEL

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8986
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
<b>Author:</b>	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2007/08 Youth Development Scheme.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Burnside Under 15 Premier Football team is applying for funding assistance to compete in the Champions Youth Cup. This tournament is being held on the Gold Coast, Australia from 29 September to 6 October 2007. The team has two players from Hagley/Ferrymead – Ashley Austin of Bayswater Crescent, Bromley, and Theo Manuel of Haig Street, Woolston.
3. The Champions Youth Cup has been held annually for the past 11 years and is an initiative of the Mudgeeraba Soccer Club. The aim of the tournament is for young players to develop football skills, promote cultural understanding and to forge friendships through sport. The tournament attracts teams from all over New Zealand, Australia and internationally. International teams include Nigeria, Wales, Chinese Taipei, Samoa, Cook Islands and New Caledonia. It is the only youth tournament that club teams, as opposed to representative teams, are able to enter, and is seen as great opportunity for top club players to experience high level competition.
4. The team is made up of the top players from the Under 14 and Under 15 teams that were selected after a series of pre season trials. To date the team has not lost a game this season, conceding only one draw, placing them at the top of the local competition table. The travelling squad will consist of 15 players and five support staff.
5. The team is actively fundraising with garage sales, sausage sizzles, firewood raffles, commission sales and have submitted funding applications to various charitable trusts.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table provides a breakdown of the costs per person for this trip.

<b>EXPENSES</b>	<b>Cost (\$)</b>
Return airfares	\$ 628
Transport and food	\$ 350
Team uniform	\$ 120
Registration fee	\$ 50
Accommodation	\$ 447
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>\$1,595</b>

7. This is the first time the team has made an application to the Youth Development Scheme. On an individual basis Ashley Austin applied to the 2006-07 Youth Development Scheme and was granted \$300 to attend the International Futsal Tournament in China in March 2007. This is the first time that Theo Manuel has applied to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for financial support.

#### Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

8. This application is seeking funding from the Community Board's 2007/08 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2007/08 Project Funding.

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

9. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

10. Aligns with pages 170 and 174 of the LTCCP regarding Community Board Project funding.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

11. As above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

12. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

13. As above.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

14. Not applicable.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approve the application and allocate \$150 to Ashley Austin and \$150 to Theo Manuel from the 2007/08 Youth Development Scheme.

**CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.



#### 14. APPLICATION TO HAGLEY FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – KARYN LLOMA SANDFORD

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Community Board approval for an application for funding from the 2007/08 Hagley Ferrymead Youth Development Scheme.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by the applicant, Karyn Sandford, an 18 year old, single parent, Travel and Tourism student of Frensham Crescent Woolston. Karyn is seeking funding to support her to represent Canterbury at the Women's Ice Hockey Nationals Tournament in Dunedin from 30 August to 3 September 2007.
3. Karyn's application for funding aligns with two of the five Youth Development Scheme categories that are eligible for consideration. These are: representation at events and recreation development. This is the first time the applicant has approached the Community Board for Funding support.
4. Karyn has been playing ice hockey for seven years and currently plays for the Fly Ins club team at Alpine Ice. It is a demanding sport and requires two hours of on ice training, one hour off ice training and two games per week. Having been selected for the Canterbury team by coach Garry Hibbard, Karyn is also looking forward to the possibility of being identified by selectors for the New Zealand Ice Hockey Team at the Nationals.
5. Team members have been fundraising for the trip through a fundraising event, garage and chocolate sales. Karyn is also seeking support from her family given she is on a limited income.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table details event expenses and funding requested:

<b>EXPENSES FOR KARYN SANDFORD</b>	<b>Cost (NZ \$)</b>
Tournament Fees	100
Travel Equalisation	150
Accommodation	100
Travel	50
Team Uniform	80
Ice Time	<u>200</u>
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>680</b>
<b>Fundraising</b>	<b>169</b>

#### Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes.

#### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. There are no legal issues to be considered.

#### Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Not applicable.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

10. Yes, relates to 2007 – 08 Community Board Funding Allocations.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

11. Yes, as mentioned above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

12. Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

13. Yes.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

14. Not applicable.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board allocate \$150 from the 2007/08 Youth Development Scheme to assist Karyn Sandford represent Canterbury at the Women's Ice Hockey Nationals Tournament in Dunedin from 30 August to 3 September 2007.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

**15. WOOLSTON PARK AMATEUR SWIMMING CLUB - WPASC SWIMMING ADVANCEMENT FUND**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to recommend that the Board consider a proposal for an Aquatics Hagley/Ferrymead scholarship programme including an Annual Talented Swimmer Scholarship and an Annual Potential Swimmer Scholarship utilising the monies gifted to the Council by the former Woolston Park Amateur Swimming Club.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. In July 2001, the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board agreed to "act as Trustee on behalf of the Woolston Park Amateur Swimming Club to administer \$28,000 as the club has gone into recess and will not be coming out of recess". (Clause 16.4 Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board report dated 4 July 2001). This agreement followed the final meeting of the WPASC management committee on 22 May 2001, where the committee resolved:

*To hand monies to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board to act as trustees on behalf of the WPASC which has been dissolved to be dispensed as the following:*

*\$10,000 to Woolston Park Pool at the rate of \$1,000 per year in the month of August.*

*\$16,000 to be put aside for talented, dedicated swimmers in need of monetary assistance, as a travel grant in the Woolston/Sumner area.*

*Any interest compounded to go back into the trust fund.*

Given a Community Board is technically unable to hold monies on trust the Council resolved that:

1. A special fund was established for the administration of monies gifted to the Council by the former Woolston Park Amateur Swimming Club (WPASC), with the fund to be known as the WPASC Swimming Advancement Fund.
2. That the fund receive interest.
3. That the fund be administered in a manner to be determined by the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board.
3. Since establishing the fund, several options regards a process for distributing the monies have been presented at Board seminars. These have included enabling local low deciles schools to apply for a grant to enhance delivery of learn to swim programmes; working in partnership with Aqua Gym to develop a squad programme; and working in partnership with the Peter Snell Institute to identify and support talented swimmers. These would have resulted in utilising all the capital and any interest within a definitive timeframe and were not considered acceptable. Also during this period the Council's Aquatic Facility Strategy was adopted and as a result the Woolston Pool has been closed for public use and is no longer the responsibility of the Woolston Residents Association's Woolston pool committee. Consequently, there is no longer a need for the Woolston Pool Committee to receive funds for managing the pool.
4. There is currently \$39,753.81 in the fund as a result of interest compounding.
5. To create a sustainable fund that will assist talented swimmers living in the Hagley/Ferrymead ward, the Community Recreation Adviser for Hagley/Ferrymead and Swim Canterbury (Swimming Canterbury West Coast Inc) have developed a scholarship proposal for the Board to consider. This is based on clearly identified needs of talented swimmers and what is currently required by the sport to succeed. The proposal includes an Annual Talented Swimmer Scholarship and an Annual Potential Swimmer Scholarship as follows:

## 5.1 Annual Talented Swimmer Scholarship

### Funding:

- Scholarship grant of \$1,000 within each twelve month period.
- To facilitate the advancement of a talented competitive swimmer.
- The Board reserves the right to not present the scholarship in any given year.

### Recipient: The awarded scholarship will be to a talented individual that:

- Has already achieved at a national level in their swimming career (top eight finish at national age group or open championships in previous year)
- Be at least 13 years old at time of application
- Permanently reside in the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board area
- Can provide a detailed swimming plan for the next 18 months highlighting training, targeted meets, camps and goals.
- Is found to be dedicated and committed to improving their performance

### Intended uses of Award:

The award is to be used to finance initiatives that will further the athletes swimming career, (this list is not exclusive and the board may consider other uses of the money to aid the recipient's further development)

- Travel to important competitions, national or international, that are considered important to the development of the individual and be part of an overall competition plan.
  - National championships within New Zealand
  - Nationally selected representative teams travelling overseas (user pays portion)
  - International meets not selected by SNZ but considered important to the swimmers development.
- Coaching fees and/or equipment
- Training or development camps run by regional, national or international swimming bodies
- Sport science testing: Any such testing would be part of an overall development plan that the applicant and their coach have formulated. (There must be a reason why such testing is needed and for it to not be covered under SPARC carding allowance)
- For example: Flume tank analysis

### Personal Behaviour and Accountability:

- The recipient must display a good sense of fair play in and out of the pool and be prepared to be a role model for other swimmers.
- Drug usage whether performance enhancing or recreational will not be tolerated. Any breach will mean instant withdrawal of the scholarship.
- All recipients are required to complete an accountability report and give a short informal presentation to the board outlining how the grant was used and how this has helped them.

### Payment:

- Funds will be released on receipts for expenditure. These must be forwarded to the board for reimbursement within three calendar months of payment.
- In cases of financial hardship, an application may be made to the Board to pay invoices direct to the provider where the recipient can prove hardship.

### Time line:

- For the Annual Talented Swimmer Scholarship applicants, the last of the National Championships is early April at the latest and any swimmers considering applying would have mapped out the next years programme, costs and goals at this time.
- Closing date of 31 March for a decision 1 May.

## 5.2 Annual Potential Swimmer Scholarship

### Funding:

- Scholarship grant of \$500 within each twelve month period to facilitate the advancement of a potential competitive swimmer.
- The funds are to be targeted at a child that has already mastered the basic skills of swimming and would benefit from professional coaching (rather than learn to swim lessons).
- The Board reserves the right to not present the scholarship in any given year.

Recipient: The awarded scholarship will be to a school pupil in the Hagley/Ferrymead Ward that:

- Has been identified and assessed to have the potential to progress in the sport of swimming with professional coaching.
- Not have been in a professional coaching programme previously
- Be in years 4 - 8 at time of application.
- Permanently reside in the Hagley/Ferrymead Board area
- Is found to have the desire and committed to improving their skills.
- Family support for the programme.
- Requirement to compete at local swim meets.

### Intended uses of Award:

- To pay for professional lessons in a club squad of their choice for the period of 12 months.

### Personal Behaviour and Accountability:

- The recipient must display a good sense of fair play in and out of the pool and be prepared to be a role model for other swimmers.
- The recipient is required to complete an accountability report and give a short informal presentation to the board outlining how the grant was used and how this has helped them.

### Payment:

- Funds will be released on receipts for expenditure. These must be forwarded to the board for reimbursement within three calendar months of payment.
- In cases of financial hardship, an application may be made to the Board to pay invoices direct to the provider where the recipient can prove hardship.

### Reference from School:

- Commitment, attendance, history, family support etc.

### Time line:

- For the Annual Potential Swimmer Scholarship, it is recommended that applications are made through schools during the first term as they have the children in the water, can see them and arrange time to have them assessed. Plus it would be good for the scholarships to be in place for the start of the swimming calendar which is after the April school holidays and gets the child swimming through winter.
- Closing date of 31 March for a decision 1 May.

6. It is recommended that a WPASC Swimming Advancement Fund advisory group be established with a nominated representative from Swim Canterbury, Swimming New Zealand and the Board. The purpose of this group would be to have an informed group of people assess sponsorship applications and advise Council staff responsible for reporting to the Board for decision making. This could include Bob Todd, Chairperson of the Board and former Chairman of the Woolston Park Amateur Swimming Club.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. Fund has a balance of \$39,753.81 as at 30 June 2007. The interest earned on the fund on an annual basis will fund the two Scholarships without using any of the principal fund.

**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

7. Yes

**LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

8. In order to fulfil WPASC's intentions regarding distributing its funds, a special fund 'WPASC Swimming Advancement Fund' has been created by Council to hold and distribute the monies.

**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

9. Not applicable.

**ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**

10. Yes, funds currently held in a Council special fund. Alignment with Recreation and Sports Activity Management Plans.

**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

11. Yes, as mentioned above.

**ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**

12. Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.

**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

13. Yes.

**CONSULTATION FULFILMENT**

14. Not applicable.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

Consider adopting the recommended swimming advancement scholarship programme including an Annual Talented Swimmer Scholarship and an Annual Potential Swimmer Scholarship utilising the former Woolston Park Amateur Swimming Club monies gifted to the Council for administration by the Board.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted and Diana Saxton thanked for her comprehensive report.

**22. 8. 2007**

- 31 -

**16. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**

**CSR UPDATE (JULY 2006 AND JULY 2007)**

**Attached.**

**17. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS 4.1**