



Christchurch City Council

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

TUESDAY 17 APRIL 2007

AT 5.00PM

IN THE BOARDROOM,
SOUTH LIBRARY, SERVICE CENTRE AND LEARNING CENTRE,
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH

Community Board: Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Paul de Spa, Chris Mene, Sue Wells and Megan Woods.

Acting Community Board Principal Adviser
Peter Dow
DDI: 941-5108
Email: peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz

Acting Community Secretary
Carole Tobin
DDI: 941-5105
Email: carole.tobin@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 3 APRIL 2007
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 4. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 5. PETITIONS
- PART B 6. NOTICES OF MOTION
- PART C 7. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
- PART B 8. PARKING OF VEHICLES IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS
- PART B 9. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- PART B 10. ACTING COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE
 - 10.1 CURRENT ISSUES
 - 10.2 CUSTOMER SERVICE REQUESTS (1 MARCH 2007 TO 10 APRIL 2007)
- PART B 11. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

(SEMINAR TO FOLLOW)

1. APOLOGIES

Phil Clearwater, Barry Corbett, Paul de Spa.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 3 APRIL 2007

The report of the meeting of 3 April 2007 has been **separately circulated**.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the Board's meeting of 3 April 2007 be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4. CORRESPONDENCE

5. PETITIONS

6. NOTICES OF MOTION

7. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Recreation & Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Loren Sampson, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Community Board approval for an application for funding from the 2006/2007 Spreydon/Heathcote Youth Achievement Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Funding is being sought by the applicant, a 17 year old boy who lives in the Heathcote area towards costs associated with attending the 2007 Team Insulin Dependence Expedition in Montana, USA in May 2007.
3. This is the first time the applicant has approached the Community Board for funding support.

Background on Tom Radford

4. Tom Radford is a 17 year old student from St Andrews College who has been selected to attend the 2007 Team Insulin Dependence Expedition. Ten diabetic people from around the world will come together to learn from one another, amidst new and exciting physical challenges.
5. Insulin Dependence is a Minnesota non-profit corporation focused on changing diabetic lives through adventure travel and educational outreach. The Insulin Dependence Expedition provides an avenue whereby individuals are given the opportunity to learn about how to live an active lifestyle whilst managing their diabetes. Over the course of the trip individuals will be challenged to achieve a higher level of diabetic maturity through new forms of exercise, with special focus on improved methods of teamwork and communication.
6. Tom was first diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes in 2005. From the time he was diagnosed Tom had to adjust to this life changing condition. Tom's referees comment that he accepted the news of his illness in a mature and positive manner.

7 Cont'd

7. Tom attends local diabetes youth camps for young people with Type 1 diabetes, and is a respected member of this group of teens. Tom is also a peer leader for the 9-12 year old diabetes camps. The local diabetes youth fieldworker has commented that Tom is a wonderful role model for young people with diabetes, ensuring that new members feel welcome and are included.
8. Tom has indicated that upon return from his trip overseas he intends to write an article for the Diabetes Youth Magazine. He will also share his knowledge on the management of diabetes and physical activity with young people when he is next involved with a youth camp, as well as sharing information with his peers.
9. To date Tom has secured \$600 funding from Diabetes Youth towards the cost of his trip and has applied to two drug companies for funding support. He is currently waiting to hear back from both companies. The money Tom earns from his part-time job at a local nursery, as well as the work he intends to carry out over the upcoming school holidays will all go towards the cost of his trip.
10. Tom's application for funding fits within two of the five categories for consideration; educational studies and capacity building i.e. leadership training. The skills Tom will gain from participating in the expedition will be valuable and transferable in his interactions with other young diabetics.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. The following table details trip expenses and funding requested by the applicant:

Expenses for Tom Radford	Cost (\$)
Travel - Flights	\$2,400
Accommodation	\$ 400
Kayak Trip	\$ 845
Additional kayak trip expenses	\$ 100
Total Cost	\$3,745
Amount raised by applicant to date	\$ 600
Amount Requested from Community Board	\$ 500

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

12. Yes, 2006-2007 Community Board Funding Allocations, page 173.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. There are no legal issues to be considered.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

14. No.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. Yes, relates to 2006-2207 Community Board Funding Allocations, page 173.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

16. Yes, as mentioned above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

17. Recreation and Sport Policy.
Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.

7 Cont'd

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

18. Yes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board allocates \$500 from the 2006/2007 Youth Achievement Scheme to Tom Radford to attend the 2007 Team Insulin Dependence Expedition.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

8. PARKING OF VEHICLES IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Inspections & Enforcement Unit Manager
Author:	Kay Hazlett-Brown, Team Leader Parking Enforcement

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to inform the Board how enforcement action is carried out by Parking Enforcement Officers in relation to the Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991 – Displaying Vehicles on Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. An issue was raised by a member of the public who had concerns regarding commercial vehicles in residential areas.
3. The Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991 states:

“Displaying Vehicles On Street

No person shall use any road or public place for the keeping, placing or parking of vehicles for sale, exhibition, demonstration, storage or otherwise in connection with any trade or business of such person, unless the written consent of the Council is first obtained.”

4. At present this bylaw is used to prevent businesses from using the road as a storage area for their business. In most cases businesses use the road to store work vehicles such as buses, truck, trailers etc.
5. To be able to prove that an offence is taking place, the Parking Enforcement Team must be able to prove that the vehicles are parked (stored) outside a property that is being used to conduct business.
6. The Parking Enforcement Team investigates and visits the street on many occasions, to confirm that in fact an offence under the Traffic and Parking Bylaw is being committed. Reliance is heavily placed on the vehicles being registered to the residential address where the vehicles are parked either outside, or close to the property.
7. Should it be found that an offence has taken place, in the first instance the owner of the business is informed by letter that an offence is being committed against a city bylaw. The Parking Enforcement Team then advises the business owner that alternative arrangements must be made within a limited time, for the parking of the offending vehicles.

8 Cont'd

8. In most instances business owners are unaware of the bylaw and comply with the wishes of the Council and find alternative storage facilities.
9. When a business fails to comply, enforcement action begins and infringement notices are issued. The cost of breaching the bylaw is \$40.00.
10. The Parking Enforcement Team does not pursue under this bylaw any person who takes a truck/bus etc to their residential address on completion of their day's work, and at present, the Council does not have a bylaw that restricts heavy vehicles parking overnight in residential streets.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. No extra costs are incurred outside the normal operations budget.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

9. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Board members to provide updates on community/Council issues.

10. ACTING COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE

10.1 CURRENT ISSUES

The Acting Community Board Principal Adviser will update the Board on current issues.

10.2 CUSTOMER SERVICE REQUESTS (1 MARCH 2007 TO 10 APRIL 2007)

Attached.

11. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS