



## Christchurch City Council

# SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

TUESDAY 19 SEPTEMBER 2006

AT 5.00PM

IN THE BOARDROOM,  
SOUTH LIBRARY, SERVICE CENTRE AND LEARNING CENTRE,  
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH

**Community Board:** Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Paul de Spa, Chris Mene, Sue Wells and Megan Woods.

**Community Board Principal Adviser**  
Lisa Goodman  
DDI: 941-5108  
Email: [lisa.goodman@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:lisa.goodman@ccc.govt.nz)

**Community Secretary**  
Peter Dow  
DDI: 941-5105  
Email: [peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz)

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

### INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 5 SEPTEMBER 2006
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 4. LOCAL RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY GROUPS/ORGANISATIONS
- PART B 5. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 6. PETITIONS
- PART B 7. NOTICES OF MOTION
- PART B 8. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- PART C 9. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
- PART C 10. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
- PART C 11. WALTHAM PARK WAR MEMORIAL GATES – RESTORATION UPDATE AND REQUEST FOR FUNDING
- PART A 12. WILSONS ROAD SOUTH – SCHOOL PATROL AT HILLVIEW CHRISTIAN SCHOOL

11. 9. 2006

- 2 -

- PART C 13. LYTTELTON STREET/STOURBRIDGE STREET – PROPOSED P10 PARKING RESTRICTION & LYTTELTON STREET – PROPOSED BUS STOP
- PART C 14. SELWYN STREET – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION
- PART C 15. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES ACTION PLAN COMMITTEE – POWER TO ACT
- PART B 16. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ADVISER’S UPDATE
- PART B 17. TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE UNIT – UPDATE ON CURRENT SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE TRANSPORT ISSUES
- PART B 18. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE FINANCE & POLICY COMMITTEE – REPORT OF 11 SEPTEMBER 2006
- PART B 19. BOARD FUNDS UPDATE
- PART B 20. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER’S UPDATE
- PART C 21. ZONE 5 COMMUNITY BOARDS’ FORUM
- PART B 22. MEMBERS’ QUESTIONS

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 5 SEPTEMBER 2006**

The report of the meeting of 5 September 2006 has been separately circulated.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the report of the Board's Ordinary meeting of 5 September 2006, be confirmed.

**3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

**4. LOCAL RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY GROUPS/ORGANISATIONS**

In accordance with Board Objective 1(b), time is allocated for community and residents' groups, etc, to communicate with the Board on local matters. Each group is being invited to do this on rotation.

Mr Peter Tuffley, Beckenham Neighbourhood Association will be in attendance.

**5. CORRESPONDENCE**

**6. PETITIONS**

**7. NOTICES OF MOTION**

**8. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

Board members to provide updates on community/Council issues.

**9. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Loren Sampson, Community Recreation Adviser

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Community Board approval for an application for funding from the 2006/07 Youth Achievement Fund. The current fund balance is \$5,100.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. Funding is being sought by the applicant, a 13 year old boy who lives in the Waltham area, towards costs associated with taking part in the Cashmere High School Music Tour to Australia in September 2006.
3. This is the first time the applicant has approached the Community Board for funding support.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

4. There are no legal issues to be considered. The following table details trip expenses and funding requested by the applicant.

## 9 Cont'd

<b>EXPENSES FOR JAY MADGWICK-PAMMENT</b>	<b>Cost (\$)</b>
Airfare (return, including airport tax), coach transfers, accommodation, luggage/instrument truck, travel insurance, 1 day pass to Dreamworld/Movieworld	\$1,900
Food allowance (\$22 per day)	\$ 152
Contingent/unexpected emergency cost/changes to travel/accommodation	\$ 130
Relief Teaching costs (for 5 days)	\$ 45
Tour of the Sydney Opera House	\$ 110
Music tour uniform	\$ 90
Billet expenses (snacks, Manly Ferry fare, etc)	\$ 100
<b>Total Cost (incl of above items)</b>	<b>\$2,527</b>
Amount to be contributed by applicant	\$2,100
<b>Amount Requested from Community Board</b>	<b>\$ 400</b>

**BACKGROUND ON YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING**

5. Jay Madgwick-Pamment is a 13 year old, year 9 student at Cashmere High School who has been selected to participate in the Cashmere High School Music Tour to Australia. The purpose of this tour is two fold. Firstly, the tour aims to offer students the opportunity to perform in high quality groups in a variety of venues. These include local schools in Brisbane and Sydney as well as evening concerts to community groups. Secondly, the trip aims to show students some of the opportunities that exist in music beyond school. The trip to Australia will include a visit to the Sydney Opera House, meeting some of the musicians of the opera orchestra, attending an opera performance and also visiting the Sydney Conservatory of Music.
6. Jay has been playing the trumpet for six years and is currently diligently practising for his level, six trumpet exam. Jay has performed twice in the concert band for the Christchurch Schools' Music Festival at the Christchurch Town Hall. This year at Cashmere High School, Jay is a member of both the Junior and Senior Concert Bands and the Stage Band.
7. The music tour has been planned for nearly a year but Jay was only invited to join the group three weeks ago due to a senior student pulling out of the trip. Jay is by no means a second choice for this trip but the general rule for trips such as this are that year nine students are only invited to travel if there are no senior students that can attend.
8. Jay's late selection for the tour means he has not had the same fundraising opportunities as other families nor adequate time to save for the trip. The majority of the funding required for this trip will come from Jay's parents. He will be playing in a band on Thursday 24 August 2006 to raise some money towards this trip. Participants in the music tour depart on Saturday 16 September 2006 and return on Tuesday 26 September 2006.
9. The total cost of this tour is \$2,527 and Jay has requested \$400 funding from the Youth Achievement Fund.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board allocate \$400 to Jay Madgwick-Pamment to participate in the Cashmere High School Music Tour to Australia in September 2006.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be supported.

**10. YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Loren Sampson, Community Recreation Adviser

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Community Board approval for an application for funding from its 2006/2007 Youth Achievement Fund.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. Funding is being sought by the applicant Sok Samnang Keo (Lucky), a 13 year old who lives in the Rowley area, towards the costs of attending the New Zealand Boxing Championships in Rotorua from 27 – 30 September 2006.
3. This is the first time the applicant has approached the Community Board for funding support.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

4. There are no legal issues to be considered. The following table provides a breakdown of the costs involved in attending the Boxing Championships.

<b>EXPENSES FOR SOK SAMNANG KEO</b>	<b>Cost (\$)</b>
Return airfares	\$335.00
Uniforms	\$162.50
Accommodation and food (4 nights shared, budget accommodation)	\$180.00
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>\$677.50</b>
Amount contributed by applicant or coach to meet shortfall	\$177.50
<b>Amount requested from Community Board</b>	<b>\$500.00</b>

**BACKGROUND ON YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING**

5. Sok Samnang attends Manning Intermediate and lives in Rowley Avenue. Sok Samnang and 8 other boxers, with the support of their coach Alex Fidow from the Boxing Canterbury Metro Association Inc. hope to attend the New Zealand Boxing Championships at the end of September.
6. Alex Fidow is a highly respected and dedicated boxing coach who is committed to assisting young people develop their boxing skills and pursue this sport to a high level.
7. Sok Samnang has demonstrated significant boxing talent for someone of his age. His school teacher has been impressed with the significant and positive impact this sport has had on Sok's self esteem, attitude and determination to do well. The school is fully supportive of Sok Samnang attending the National Championships and feels the opportunity to compete at a national level will have a number of benefits for him.
8. Coach Alex Fidow has only recently become aware of the Youth Development Funding Scheme, and without funding support Sok Samnang will be unable to afford the airfare required to get to Rotorua.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board agree to allocate \$500 from the 2006/2007 Youth Achievement Fund to support Sok Samnang Keo attending the New Zealand Boxing Championships.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be supported.

## 11. WALTHAM PARK WAR MEMORIAL GATES – RESTORATION UPDATE AND REQUEST FOR FUNDING

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport & Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Ann Liggett, Parks & Waterways Area Advocate and Maria Adamski, Central City and Heritage Contract Manager

### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to report back to the Board on proposed restoration work, including the possible relocation of the Waltham Park War Memorial Gates, and in addition to make application for funding from the 2006/07 Community Board Discretionary Fund.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In March 2005 Council staff were approached by a local resident concerning the condition of the Waltham Park War Memorial Gates and the surrounding area. The Waltham Park War Memorial Gates were erected to honour the memory of the 'boys of the district who fell during the Great War' and were funded by the residents of the district. They were unveiled by Sir R. Heaton Rhodes, Minister of Defence, on Sunday 6 August 1922.
3. From an initial assessment of the area it was determined that there were some issues that required addressing. Firstly the stability of the fence, the deterioration of the balustrade and gates, the broken lights and lastly the surrounding use of the area as a car park and dumpster storage.
4. Contact was made with staff from the Recreation and Sports Unit to determine their requirements regarding access to the area in behind the gates. A landscape plan (copy **attached**) has been prepared in consultation and in agreement with the Waltham Pool staff.
5. The landscape plan proposes to relocate and update the security fencing, install bollards to secure the area, highlight the memorial by focusing on the plaques and providing a gravel bed behind the memorial. In addition simple soft landscaping behind the memorial will be used to compliment and form a backdrop.
6. A report outlining all possible options for the restoration of the Waltham Park War Memorial gates, including relocation, has also been prepared (copy **attached**). These options are included below.
7. To date the dumpster has been permanently relocated and a moss treatment has been carried out on the stonework.

### FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. The critical maintenance work of \$4,200 will be funded from the Transport and Greenspace, Fountains, Clocks and Statues operational budget. Application for funding for the full restoration and landscaping will be made through the next LTCCP funding process.
9. Veterans' Affairs has approved a funding request for \$4,940, and an application for funding is being made to the Community Board as part of this report.
10. All work will be carried out by a Council approved contractor.

### OPTIONS

#### 11. Option 1 – Do Nothing

Doing nothing will result in further deterioration of the metalwork, stonework, and foundations. Obviously less is more, more deterioration of the heritage fabric leading to more cost to maintain and conserve long term. The cheapest option at this point in time.

Not recommended.

**11 Cont'd**

**12. Option 2 – Relocation of gates within the park**

Requires full dismantling and rebuilding at another location within the park. Will require public consultation. Not a conservation option as it entails loss of heritage value in moving from historic original position and possible loss of heritage fabric during relocation. This is the most expensive option.

Not recommended.

**13. Option 3 – Full restoration of memorial gates**

- (a) New foundations to support columns and wall.
- (b) Straightening existing columns and wall.
- (c) Repair and repointing of all stonework.
- (d) Blasting and repainting wrought iron balustrade.
- (e) Replace missing lighting to gate columns.

Requires full dismantling and rebuilding and considerable earthworks and propping. May require public consultation. This is the second most expensive option.

Recommended within the next five years.

**PREFERRED OPTION**

**14. Option 4 – Critical maintenance work**

- (a) Moss and lichen removal. (Completed)
- (b) Repair and re-pointing of damaged stonework only.

Preserves the heritage fabric and allows time for budget allocation. The most cost effective pro-active option.

In addition, we would like to include as part of this initial maintenance items 3.4 (funded from the Veterans' Community Grants Fund) and 3.5 (funded jointly by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board and Transport and Greenspace, Fountains, Clocks and Statues operational budget).

These two desirable but non critical projects, ie, the painting of the balustrades and restoring the lights, will enhance and highlight the Waltham Park War Memorial Gates prior to carrying out the major work of stabilising the wall and landscaping. The major work will not impact greatly on these projects though it is expected there may be some touching up of the paint work to the balustrades when this is done.

Recommended in the short term.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Community Board:

- (a) Support the critical maintenance work being undertaken immediately, with full restoration being budgeted for at a later date.
- (b) Allocate \$7,000 from the Board's 2006/07 discretionary fund towards the replacement of the lights to the gate columns (as per the quotation from Connetics).

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**12. WILSONS ROAD SOUTH – SCHOOL PATROL AT HILLVIEW CHRISTIAN SCHOOL**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI: 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Michael Thomson, Transport Planner (Cycling and Pedestrian)

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to the Council that a school patrol on Wilsons Road South at Hillview Christian School be approved, and that the Council legalise operation of this school patrol.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. In 2001 the Council completed traffic management work on Wilsons Road South between the Heathcote River and Centaurus Road. See the **attached** plan for details of the specific site. This work included cycling and pedestrian facilities on a section of arterial road with a high number of non-vehicular road users. A crossing facility (kerb extensions and central island) was installed between the two frontages of Hillview Christian School.
3. Since installation of the crossing facility, the combination of increasing traffic volumes and school roll has created difficulties for school staff to safely supervise children across the road. The situation is compounded by some, but not all, motorists stopping to allow children to cross. Motorists are not legally obliged to stop and the varying behaviour of motorists contributes to the confusion.
4. School officials have formally requested a school patrol at this site. The Police Education Officer supports this request.
5. Installation of a school patrol at the island crossing facility: The school patrol would be a Kea crossing. This would be very similar to school patrols operating on Ferry Road at St Anne's and Bamford Schools. The safety of children will be improved by ensuring all traffic is stopped prior to the children crossing.
6. Kerbside parking is not affected due to the existing kerb extensions (for visibility) and the existing broken yellow lines.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

7. The cost for this work (signs and road markings) is within operational budgets.
8. The crossing site meets the Land Transport Warrant for a School Patrol.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that, in pursuance of the powers vested in it by Section 8.3(1) of the Land Transport Rule Traffic Control Devices 2004 (Rule 54002), and pursuant to the powers vested in it by the Local Government Act 2002, the Christchurch City Council hereby authorises the head teacher of Hillview Christian School to appoint appropriately trained persons to act as School Patrols at the school crossing point as specified at Wilsons Road South, located at a point more or less 124 metres south east generally of St Martins Road.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be supported.



**13. LYTTELTON STREET/STOURBRIDGE STREET – PROPOSED P10 PARKING RESTRICTION & LYTTELTON STREET – PROPOSED BUS STOP**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI: 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Paul Burden/Jeff Owen, Traffic Engineers

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Community Board to install two lengths of 10 minute parking covering a total of three spaces on the Lyttelton Street/Stourbridge Street corner, and to relocate an existing bus stop on Lyttelton Street (refer to **attached** plan).

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. There is a dairy located on the corner of Stourbridge Street and Lyttelton Street. Located next to the dairy on the Stourbridge Street frontage is a fish and chip shop and a small fitness centre. These businesses are currently serviced by time restricted car parking on both Stourbridge Street and Lyttelton Street. On Stourbridge Street immediately north of the Lyttelton Street intersection there are approximately four car parking spaces that are restricted to a maximum of 60 minutes. On Lyttelton Street immediately west of the Stourbridge Street intersection there is one space that is currently restricted to a maximum of 30 minutes.
3. The dairy owner has expressed concern over the availability of on-street parking for customers, and as such has requested that three of the existing spaces be changed to 10 minute parking. The current restrictions of 30 and 60 minutes are resulting in longer term parking outside the dairy, and it is likely that the economic vitality of the dairy is being adversely affected by the lack of available customer car parking. This problem can be overcome through the installation of two lengths of short term (ten minute) parking restrictions covering a total of three spaces. The proposed 10 minute parking restriction for Lyttelton Street will replace the existing 30 minute parking restriction covering one space. The proposed 10 minute parking restriction for Stourbridge Street will cover two of the existing four 60 minute spaces, and the remaining two spaces will remain restricted to 60 minutes to service the other businesses on Stourbridge Street.
4. All affected parties have been consulted and they are in full support of the proposed changes.
5. The kerb and channel renewal project in Lyttelton Street has resulted in the installation of kerb build outs and associated lengths of broken yellow “no stopping” lines on both corners of and opposite the Stourbridge Street intersection.
6. Currently there is a bus stop located on the south side of Lyttelton Street outside numbers 143 Lyttelton Street. Due to the location of the recently installed kerb build out on Lyttelton Street it is proposed that the bus stop be relocated a short distance west to immediately east of the Hennessy Place intersection. The relocation of the bus stop will provide on-street car parking between the kerb build out and the bus stop for approximately two vehicles.
7. Consultation has been carried out with all affected property owners regarding the relocation of the bus stop and support has been forthcoming.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

8. Installation of signs, posts and markings is within existing budgets.
9. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of parking restrictions.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Community Board approve that:

**13 Cont'd**

- (a) The parking of vehicles currently limited to 60 minutes maximum be revoked on the west side of Stourbridge Street from the Lyttelton Street intersection and extending 27.5 metres in a northerly direction.
- (b) The parking of vehicles currently limited to 30 minutes maximum be revoked on the north side of Lyttelton Street from a point 12.5 metres west of the Stourbridge Street intersection and extending 6 metres in a westerly direction.
- (c) The parking of vehicles be limited to 10 minutes maximum on the west side of Stourbridge Street from a point 13.5 metres north of the Lyttelton Street intersection and extending 14 metres in a northerly direction.
- (d) The parking of vehicles be limited to 10 minutes maximum on the north side of Lyttelton Street from a point 12.5 metres west of the Stourbridge Street intersection and extending 6 metres in a westerly direction.
- (e) The bus stop on the south side of Lyttelton Street from a point 11 metres east of the Hennessy Place intersection and extending 18 metres in an easterly direction be revoked.
- (f) A bus stop be installed on the south side of Lyttelton Street commencing at the Hennessy Place intersection and extending 18 metres in an easterly direction.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendations be supported.

**14. SELWYN STREET – PROPOSED “NO STOPPING” RESTRICTION**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment, DDI: 941-8656
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Transport and Greenspace Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Paul Burden/Jeff Owen, Traffic Engineers

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

- 1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to install four sections of broken yellow "no stopping" lines on Selwyn Street at the Harman Street/Disraeli Street intersection (refer to **attached** plan).

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- 2. The Council has received complaints from road users regarding safety and visibility problems at the Selwyn Street/Harman Street/Disraeli Street intersection. Concerns have been raised regarding the lack of visibility when entering the intersection both from Harman Street and from Disraeli Street.
- 3. Observations have shown that currently vehicles travelling across or turning into Selwyn Street have to move well into the intersection to check the road is clear before completing their manoeuvre. This lack of visibility is directly attributed to the presence of parked vehicles on Selwyn Street.
- 4. The intersection is controlled by "Give Way" controls against Harman Street and Disraeli Street. Currently there are short lengths of broken yellow "no stopping" lines on Selwyn Street on three of the four legs of this intersection, and there are no broken yellow "no stopping" lines on the south east leg of the intersection.
- 5. Selwyn Street, Harman Street and Disraeli Street are all classified as Collector roads in the City Plan. Adjacent land use is predominantly industrial.

**14 Cont'd**

6. A search of the Land Transport crash database for the last five years revealed 11 crashes in the immediate vicinity of this intersection, 6 of these crashes resulted in minor injuries. All 11 crashes were the result of failing to see or look when required to give way to traffic from another direction.
7. Consultation has been carried out with local business owners in the area and support has been forthcoming.
8. To improve road safety and visibility for road users it is proposed that the existing three lengths of broken yellow "no stopping" lines be extended on Selwyn Street and that one length of broken yellow "no stopping" lines be installed on the south east side of Selwyn Street removing a total of 10 car parking spaces. This is considered the most cost effective and practical solution to the problem.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

9. The installation of road markings is within operational budgets.
10. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of parking restrictions including broken yellow (no stopping) lines.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Community Board approve that:

- (a) The stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the western side of Selwyn Street commencing at a point 5.5 metres north of the Harman Street intersection and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 17.5 metres.
- (b) The stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the western side of Selwyn Street commencing at a point 7 metres south of the Harman Street intersection and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (c) The stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the eastern side of Selwyn Street commencing at a point 12.5 metres north of the Disraeli Street intersection and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 10 metres.
- (d) The stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the eastern side of Selwyn Street commencing at the Harman Street intersection and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 26.5 metres.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendations be supported.

**15. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES ACTION PLAN COMMITTEE – POWER TO ACT**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Secretariat Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Lisa Goodman, Community Board Principal Adviser

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek:
  - (a) The Board's confirmation of funding decisions made by its SCAP Committee during the Board's current term, specifically between the period of 29 August 2005 and 19 June 2006.

**15 Cont'd**

- (b) Clarification from the Board as to whether its SCAP Committee has power to act for future SCAP funding allocations.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- 2. At its meeting of 14 December 2004 the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board made a number of decisions regarding the continuation (or otherwise) of its previously established committees. The Board resolved:

*"That the Board re-establish its Strengthening Communities Action Plan Committee (SCAP) and appoint Oscar Alpers and Phil Clearwater to this Committee."*

- 3. That resolution did not make any reference to the SCAP Committee having the power to act with regard to decisions relating to the Board's SCAP funding. While the intention may have been for the Committee to have that power to act, consistent with earlier terms, nevertheless there should have been a formal Board resolution to enable the Committee to exercise that power in this current term.
- 4. There has been a number of SCAP Committee meetings held during this Board's current term, commencing in August 2005. Some of these meetings have taken decisions regarding the allocation of the Board's SCAP funds. To ensure that there is no issue regarding the legality of those decisions, the Board will need to approve (retrospectively) nearly all of those decisions, the exception being those taken by the SCAP Committee at its meeting of 21 August 2006, which the Board approved at its meeting on 5 September 2006.
- 5. To also ensure there is clarity for future SCAP funding allocations, clarification from the Board is sought on the nature of the Committee's decision-making ability; whether the Committee does have the power to act with regard to allocation of the Board's SCAP funds, or whether the Committee has recommendatory powers to the Board only.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- 6. Legal considerations are addressed in paragraphs four and five above.
- 7. There are no specific financial considerations arising from the staff recommendations.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Board:

- (a) Gives retrospective approval to the funding decisions made by its SCAP Committee at meetings held between 29 August 2005 and 19 June 2006.
- (b) Gives consideration as to whether the SCAP Committee should be given power to act with regard to decisions relating to the Board's SCAP funding.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

- (a) That staff recommendation (a) above be supported.
- (b) That the Board's SCAP Committee be granted power to act in making decisions on the allocation of the Board's SCAP funding.

**16. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ADVISER'S UPDATE**

Clare Quirke, Community Engagement Adviser, will update the Board on current issues, including the current residents' group policy review.

**17. TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE UNIT – UPDATE ON CURRENT SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE TRANSPORT ISSUES**

**17.1 COLLINS STREET**

Richard Bailey, Transport and Greenspace Unit, will update the Board on developments relating to Collins Street. Information has previously been circulated to Board members.

**17.2 CURRENT ISSUES**

Richard Bailey will provide an update on local street and roading issues.

**18. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE FINANCE & POLICY COMMITTEE – REPORT OF 11 SEPTEMBER 2006**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Lisa Goodman, Community Board Principal Adviser
<b>Author:</b>	Peter Dow, Community Secretary

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to submit for the Board's consideration, the following report of the Finance & Policy Committee's meeting held on 11 September 2006:

**PRESENT:** Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Chris Mene and Megan Woods.

**APOLOGIES:** Apologies for absence were received and accepted from Paul de Spa and Sue Wells, and from Oscar Alpers for lateness.

Oscar Alpers arrived at 5.14pm.

**1. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD FUNDING ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT 2005/06**

The Committee considered an accountability matrix detailing the outcomes from the allocations of the Board's 2005/06 funding as follows:

Project Funding	\$292,500
Discretionary Funding	\$ 50,000
SCAP Funding	\$ 40,000
Youth Development Funding	\$ 7,500
	<u>\$390,000</u>

The Community Support Unit Manager, Community Engagement Manager, Senior Community Development Adviser, Community Development Advisers, Community Engagement Adviser, and Parks and Waterways Area Advocate were in attendance to address the Committee and to summarise the various project outcomes.

Also in attendance to highlight objectives and outcomes in relation to specific projects funded by the Board were representatives from the Strickland Street Community Garden, Spreydon Baptist Church, Sydenham Community Development Project and He Waka Tapu.

Members asked questions of both the staff and the community representatives.

The Committee noted with appreciation the details of the information presented and the Chairperson extended the Board's thanks to those involved throughout the meeting.

The Committee **received** the information presented.

The meeting concluded at 7.25pm.

**18 Cont'd**

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the report be received.

**19. BOARD FUNDS UPDATE**

**Attached** is a schedule with current information on the Board's 2006/07 Project, Discretionary, SCAP and Youth Achievement Scheme funds.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the report be received.

**20. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE**

The Community Board Principal Adviser will update the Board on current issues.

**21. ZONE 5 COMMUNITY BOARDS' FORUM**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Secretariat Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Peter Dow, Community Secretary

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain Board approval if required, for the attendance of members at the Zone 5 Community Boards' Forum, to be held in Methven on Saturday 7 October 2006.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. An invitation had been extended to all elected members to attend the next Zone 5 Community Boards' Forum in Methven. The forum is the first to be hosted by the Methven Community Board and Ashburton District Council.
3. The forum will provide an opportunity for networking and for elected members to receive training. It is hoped that the Minister of Local Government and Justice will be in attendance at the forum.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

4. There are no legal considerations.
5. Any associated costs with members attending will be met from the Board's 2006/07 operational budget.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board consider approving the attendance of members at the Zone 5 Community Boards' Forum in Methven on 7 October 2006.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**22. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS**