

8. ROAD NAMING - GREENWOOD ESTATE SUBDIVISION, RICHMOND HILL ROAD

General Manager responsible :	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible :	Environmental Policy and Approvals Manager
Author :	Bob Pritchard, Subdivision Officer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval to one new road name in the Greenwood Estate subdivision, Richmond Hill Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The approval of proposed road and right of way names is delegated to Community Boards.
3. The Subdivision Officer has checked all proposed names against the Council's road name database to ensure they will not be confused with names currently in use. The names have also been discussed with staff at Land Information NZ, who act on behalf of the emergency services in respect to road naming.
4. This application is stage 1 of a proposed subdivision, to be known as Greenwood Farm, which will ultimately create 124 residential allotments served by eight new roads. Stage 1 will create 26 new residential allotments to be served by one new road, and by an extension to Richmond Hill Road, see **Attachment One**. The Greenwood family have been involved in training racehorses for nearly a century, and propose naming the roads after racehorses owned and trained by the Greenwood family. This will create a common theme for the new road names. Three racehorse names have been proposed in order of preference for the new road on stage 1. It is likely that the two surplus names will be proposed for future stages. The three names are "Teviotdale Way", "Sunglow Way" and "Moonglow Way".

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

5. The administration fee for road naming is included as part of the subdivision consent application fee, and the cost of name plates is charged to the developer. There is no financial cost to the Council. Local Authorities have a statutory responsibility to approve road names.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board consider and approve one of the names proposed above for stage 1, and if the Board considers that the two surplus names are also suitable, that these be approved for use on the next stages.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.