



Christchurch City Council

BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA NO 242

WEDNESDAY 4 OCTOBER 2006

5.00 PM

IN THE BOARDROOM,
CNR BERESFORD AND UNION STREETS
NEW BRIGHTON

Community Board: Glenda Burt (Chairperson), Carole Evans, Carmen Hammond, Caroline Kellaway, Tina Lomax, Don Rowlands, Gail Sheriff

Community Board Principal Adviser
Clare Sullivan
Telephone: 941-6601
Fax: 941-6604
Email: clare.sullivan@ccc.govt.nz

Acting Community Secretary
Leanne Smith
Telephone: 941-6624
Fax: 941-6604
Email: leanne.smith@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

PAGE

- | | | |
|--------|-----|---|
| PART C | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF REPORT |
| PART B | 3. | PETITIONS |
| PART B | 4. | CORRESPONDENCE
Youth Development Fund Recipient |
| PART B | 5. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
Snellings Drain Walkway |
| PART C | 6. | SNELLINGS WATERWAY CONCEPT PLAN |
| PART B | 7. | RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY GROUPS
Aranui Community Trust Inc Soc |
| PART A | 8. | REQUEST FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE CHRISTCHURCH CITY TRAFFIC AND
PARKING BYLAW 1991 |
| PART A | 9. | CHRISTCHURCH CITY PROPOSED NEW SPEED LIMITS |
| PART C | 10. | NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUND APPLICATIONS |
| PART C | 11. | COMMUNITY PRIDE GARDEN AWARDS - ADDITIONAL CATEGORY |

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/



4. 10. 2006

- 2 -

- PART C 12. COASTAL EVACUATION ROUTE AWARENESS**

- PART B 13. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE**
 - PART B 13.1 Notice of Upcoming Board Reports**
 - PART B 13.2 CSR Calls Update (1 to 28 September 2006)**
 - PART B 13.3 2006/07 Project, Discretionary and Youth Development Funds Update**

- PART B 14. NOTICES OF MOTION UNDER STANDING ORDERS 2.16**

- PART B 15. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS 4.1**

- PART B 16. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF REPORT**

The report of the ordinary meeting of the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board held on Wednesday 20 September 2006 has been circulated to Board members.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the ordinary meeting held on Wednesday 20 September 2006 be confirmed.

3. **PETITIONS**

4. **CORRESPONDENCE**

YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND RECIPIENT

The **attached** letter was received from Te Awhiroa Kuka-Sweet, who received Youth Development funding (\$500) in December 2005, which allowed him to attend William Shakespeare workshops in London, England.

5. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

SNELLINGS DRAIN WALKWAY

Mary Godfrey will be in attendance to outline her concerns regarding the new walkway along Snellings Drain.

6. SNELLINGS WATERWAY CONCEPT PLAN

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Kim Swarbrick, Parks and Waterways Area Advocate

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to confirm Board support for the Snellings Waterway Concept Plan following community consultation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At the Board's 19 July 2006 Seminar, Council officers provided information on the proposed stages to develop the Snellings and No 2 Drain system.
3. A preliminary development plan was circulated to key stakeholders in August 2006 to obtain feedback on its design. The final plan (**attached**) aims to reflect the views of the community and has been refined in response to community feedback.
4. One factor arising strongly in the community consultation was the desire to have a footpath link established between the Snellings Drain enhancement area and the existing footpath on Prestons Road. Capital Works Unit staff have consulted internally with the Transport and Greenspace Unit. An extra 40 metres of footpath will now be accommodated as part of the Snellings project.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

5. There is \$50,000 available in the Transport and Greenspace Unit 2006/07 financial year to implement the proposed plan. There is \$100,000 available for each of the next four consecutive years to complete the subsequent development.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve option (b) to accept the Snellings Waterway Concept Plan. Thus accepting an enhancement for the area plus increasing the storm water capacity to keep in line with increased subdivision storm water run-off.

BACKGROUND

6. Snellings Drain catchment is a 314 hectare sub catchment of the No 2 Drain system that discharges into Horseshoe Lake. The catchment extends from Waitikiri Golf Course south to Clare Park. Historically no natural drainage pattern existed in the area. No 2 Drain and Snellings Drain were constructed to enable productive use of the land by reducing natural ponding and lowering groundwater levels. For many years this system has provided adequate drainage for the area, however, the timber lined drain is now at capacity for the existing level of catchment development. With future developments in the area still increasing the current drainage is no longer sufficient.
7. Council officers briefed Board members on upcoming upgrades programmed for Snellings Drain Catchment Area at a Seminar on 19 July 2006. The enhancements would be delivered over several years. The proposed landscape plan (**Attachment 1**) for the drain section adjacent to Limes subdivision is the first stage and due for implementation during the 2006/07 financial year. Stage 2 is where the drain crosses Shirley Golf Course (**Attachment 2**), will be constructed in the 2007/08 financial year. Stage 3 enhancement of Snellings Drain between Limes Subdivision and Cameo Grove will be implemented in the following financial year 2008/09 (**Attachment 3**). Currently Stage 1 is the only section that has been consulted on.
8. A public information leaflet seeking responses on the preliminary plan was distributed to residents and key stakeholder groups in August 2006. Residents were asked to indicate their acceptance/non-acceptance of the plan and were given the option to comment. In total, 38 response forms were received from the 60 consultation packages delivered. 100 percent of respondents accepted the proposed plan and many positive comments were received.
9. A number of suggestions and comments were made that have been considered and where appropriate integrated into the design. A copy of the final plan would be circulated to residents and stakeholder groups prior to the construction date.

OPTIONS

10. There are two possible options:
 - (a) Do nothing or maintain the status quo. This option is not practical as the level of drainage provided to the area is no longer adequate for the level of catchment development.
 - (b) Accept the Snellings Waterway Concept Plan with its minor changes to the original plan in recognition of residents' feedback.

PREFERRED OPTION

11. To adopt option (b) and accept the Snellings Waterway Concept Plan, thus providing adequate drainage for the area. Residents also gain connected footpath access to Prestons Road and a greenspace area for passive recreation.

7. RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATIONS/COMMUNITY GROUPS

Time is allocated at Board meetings for Residents' Association/Community Group representatives to address the Board on local matters. Each group is being invited to do this in rotation.

Rachael Fonotia, Aranui Community Trust Inc Soc, will be in attendance to update the Board on the Trust's activities.

8. REQUEST FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE CHRISTCHURCH CITY TRAFFIC AND PARKING BYLAW 1991

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Secretariat Manager
Author:	Leanne Smith, Acting Community Secretary

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to Council for an amendment to the Christchurch City Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Inspector J W Doyle (Area Commander, Southern Christchurch, New Zealand Police) and Senior Sergeant Trevor Pullen recently made submissions to the Council requesting an amendment to the Ninth Schedule of the Christchurch City Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991 to address street racing activities in the Hasketts Road/Barbers Road area (Bylaw circulated separately to Board members).
3. The Police are now canvassing all Boards for information relating to problem areas that could be added to the Schedule.
4. Board members were requested to identify roads for inclusion. The following roads were received:
 - Ascot Avenue
 - Beach Road
 - Estuary Road
 - Horseshoe Lake Road
 - Mairehau Road
 - Marine Parade
 - Queenspark Drive
 - Rothesay Road
5. A letter was also received from Sarah Lacey outlining her feedback on boy racers in the New Brighton area (circulated separately to Board members).

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. There are financial considerations relating to this report. There will be a need in terms of the Bylaw to erect signage on all the roads listed in the Ninth Schedule of the Bylaw. The cost of this signage, across the city, if all Boards wish to have roads included in the Schedule, could be significant and is currently unbudgeted.
7. It is noted that the Council is required to erect signage on roads added to the Ninth Schedule, and local residents advised accordingly.
8. The Bylaw provides that the prohibited times in listed roads are Friday to Sunday 9.00 pm to 5.00 am, and the same times on statutory holidays.
9. Once all the Boards have considered this issue staff will prepare a report for the Council on the implications of including these additional roads to the Ninth Schedule. Inclusion of roads in the Ninth Schedule prohibits the movement of all cars along that street during the prohibited times except for residents living in the street, trade vehicles, security vehicles and Council vehicles.

10. So when a road is listed in the Ninth Schedule it is unlawful for the general public to drive along that road during the prohibited times and requires them to take alternative routes around the city. For this reason it is important for the Council to see from an overall perspective what roads Boards are putting forward to be listed in the Ninth Schedule so as to ensure that the public can move around the city with reasonable ease and without greatly inconveniencing the public.
11. Once Boards have considered this issue staff will put a report to Council with recommendations on the roads put forward by Boards and with the usual advice regarding the legal and financial issues and any policy issues that may arise.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Board recommend that Council resolves:

1. To add the following roads to the Ninth Schedule of the Christchurch City Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991:
 - Ascot Avenue
 - Beach Road
 - Estuary Road
 - Horseshoe Lake Road
 - Mairehau Road
 - Marine Parade
 - Queenspark Drive
 - Rothesay Road

with effect from the date of Council's resolution, subject to the erection of the signs referred to in clause 68A(3) of the Christchurch City Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991.

2. That Council's resolution be publicly notified, as required by clause 68A(4) of the Christchurch City Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991.
3. That staff provide advice to Council on the list of roads submitted by Boards.

9. CHRISTCHURCH CITY PROPOSED NEW SPEED LIMITS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Malcolm Taylor, Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's support to recommend Council to relocate the 50/80 km/h speed limit change on Burwood Road/Prestons Road and to post a speed limit of 30 km/h on the New Brighton Mall (**see attachments**).

BACKGROUND

2. Board members may recall that at the Council seminar on 1 August 2006 they were briefed on proposals to change certain speed limits on roads within the city.
3. The Council is responsible for setting speed limits on those roads within its district in respect of which it is the road controlling authority. The authority for the Council to do this is contained in the Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2003, Rule 5004 ("the Rule") and the Christchurch City Speed Limit Bylaw 2005 ("the Bylaw").
4. In setting speed limits the Council must comply with the requirements of the Rule. It requires the Council to apply "Speed Limits New Zealand" for the setting of speed limits. "Speed Limits New Zealand" contains guidelines for setting speed limits and procedures for calculating speed limits. They are set out in Schedule 1 of the Rule. The Rule also prescribes the consultation that is required to be carried out for any proposed speed limit change.
5. The Council may set a speed limit that differs from the calculated speed limit under "Speed Limits New Zealand". However, in this case there is provision in the Rule that must be complied with.

"A speed limit different from the calculated speed limit is the safe and appropriate speed limit for a road with regard to the function, nature and use of the road, its environment, land use patterns and whether the road is an urban traffic area or a rural area."
6. Once the provisions of the Rule have been complied with in relation to determining an appropriate speed and undertaking the necessary consultation the Council may set that speed limit by passing a resolution under Clause 5 of the Bylaw. The new speed limit will then be recorded in the Council's Speed Limit Register.
7. At the Council meeting on 21 September 2006 consent was given to carry out the consultation process as required by the Rule.
8. This report forms part of the consultation process which will be reported back to Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**Burwood Road/Prestons Road**

9. It is proposed to reduce the speed limit from 80 km/h to 50 km/h in the section of Prestons Road from a point measured 200 metres west generally of Burwood Road and the section of Burwood Road from a point measured 180 metres south generally from Waitikiri Drive (which includes the bend).
10. Reasons for change:
 - To extend the existing 50 km/h speed limit on Burwood Road/Prestons Road to accommodate the recent residential developments in this area.
 - The advisory speed on the bend is 45 km/h in one direction and 55 km/h in the other direction.
 - The number of cyclists using the intersection of Waitikiri Drive to access Bottle Lake Plantation.
 - The many requests from residents of Waitikiri Drive to reduce the speed limit because of their concern for safety when negotiating this intersection.

New Brighton Mall

11. It is proposed that the section of Seaview Road from Union Street, east generally, to Oram Avenue and Oram Avenue from Seaview Road south generally, to Beresford Street (New Brighton Mall) be posted as a 30 km/h speed limit.
12. Reasons for change:
 - The new road has been designed and constructed to have a slow road environment.
 - The design guidelines in Austroads 2005 part 10, were used to determine an appropriate speed limit for such a commercial/pedestrian environment.
 - The recommended speed limit was 30 km/h.
13. The proposed speed limits for these two changes have been evaluated as prescribed by Speed Limits New Zealand by a consultant, namely Antoni Facey of Facey Consultants.
14. No additional roads are to be considered in this round of consultation. Additional roads can be considered in subsequent reviews once they have been evaluated against the Speed Limits New Zealand guidelines. It is intended that speed limits be reviewed on a biennial cycle.
15. The proposed time table for the process is:
 - 1 August 2006 - Council Seminar
 - 21 September 2006 - Report to Council seeking consent to consult
 - October 2006 - Report to Community Boards seeking support for proposed changes
 - October 2006 - Consultation with:
 - The required parties
 - Directly affected properties owners
 - Residents' Groups
 - News media
 - 27 October 2006 - Closing date for consultation responses
 - 30 November 2006 - Report to Council on consultation feedback and request that the new speed limits be set
 - December 2006 - Arrange for sign changes and to update Speed Limit Register, Map and Council website

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

16. The cost of new signs and the relocation of existing speed limit signs is within existing budgets.
17. That the Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2003, Rule 5004 requires the Council to apply the guidelines of Speed Limits New Zealand for the setting of speed limits and the procedures for calculating speed limits.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board recommend to Council to support the following speed limit changes:

1. That the speed limit on Prestons Road easterly, generally, along Prestons Road from a point measured 500 metres east from Grimseys Road to a point measured 200 metres west, generally, from Burwood Road, remain at 80 km/h.
2. That the speed limit of 80 km/h on Prestons Road and Burwood Road measured along Prestons Road from a point 200 metres westerly, generally, from Burwood Road, and along Burwood Road, to a point measured 180 metres south generally, from Waitikiri Drive, be uplifted.
3. That the speed limit on Seaview Road from Union Street east generally, to Oram Avenue (New Brighton Mall) be set at 30 km/h.
4. That the speed limit on Oram Avenue from Seaview Road south generally, to Beresford Street (New Brighton Mall) be set at 30 km/h.

10. NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUND APPLICATIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Marie Byrne, Acting Community Engagement Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present to the Board applications for Neighbourhood Week funding.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Local community groups, including residents' associations and neighbourhood support groups, have been sent information inviting them to apply for the Neighbourhood Week funding that has been set aside by the Board.
3. Neighbourhood Week 2006 is to be held from 28 October to 5 November 2006. Applications for funding close on 29 September 2006. A list of the applicants and the respective amounts they are applying for will be tabled at the meeting for the Board's consideration for allocation.
4. Because the applications close on 29 September, the list of applicants is unable to be circulated to members with the agenda.
5. Should there be any money unallocated, the Board may wish to delegate authority to the Board Chair and Deputy Chair to allocate that money outside of a regular Board meeting. A report detailing those applicants and amounts allocated will be presented to the Board at a later meeting.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. The Board allocated \$3,500 to go towards the funding of Neighbourhood Week events. Applicants are allocated up to \$100 per event.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board:

1. Consider the tabled applications and allocate funding accordingly.
2. Assign delegated authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair to consider additional applications and allocate funding should any funds remain.

11. COMMUNITY PRIDE GARDEN AWARDS - ADDITIONAL CATEGORY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Marie Byrne, Acting Community Engagement Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's support for the implementation of an additional category for the Community Pride Garden Awards for 2007.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At the Project Funding Allocation meeting held on 8 May 2006, Board members indicated that they wished to have an additional category added to celebrate sustainable gardens and those that reflected a coastal nature.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 3 The Board has allocated \$2,500 for the Community Pride Garden Awards.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board:

1. Adopt the process and criteria for the Sustainable and Coastal Gardens Category.
2. Nominate a Board member to be one of the judges for this separate category.

BACKGROUND

4. The Community Pride Garden Awards currently operate city-wide and are awarded each March/April. The Christchurch Beautifying Association provides judges for the awards and each Board funds a presentation ceremony. There is no competition involved in the scheme. Judges place cards in the letterbox of recipient gardens, and those garden owners are asked to return the cards to the Council.

PROPOSED AWARD SCHEME

5. It is envisaged that the additional category will recognise gardens that demonstrate a notable contribution to sustainability or the ward's unique coastal environs. These gardens will also be expected to contribute to the wider landscape and the city's international reputation for diverse gardens.
6. As with the city-wide Community Pride Garden scheme it is proposed that there be no competition involved.
7. The Board has set aside an additional \$1,000 for the implementation of this category. This will need to cover advertising, certificate production and presentation ceremony costs.

PROCESS

8. It is proposed that advertising for these awards be done locally and nominations invited for qualifying gardens. Judges would then visit the nominated gardens with certificates being awarded to those most deserving.
9. Nominations can be received from individuals or groups and individuals can nominate their own garden.
10. As this will be the inaugural year of this category, it is suggested that a limit of 40 certificates be awarded for this category.
11. It is proposed that initially there be two judges: one community member and one Community Board member. The Board may wish to invite Sue Cobb from the New Brighton Community Gardens to be the community judge for this year.

SUSTAINABLE GARDENS - CRITERIA

12. Gardens should be well maintained and reflect as many as the following as possible:
 - Convenient food growing
 - Minimal use of chemicals
 - Organic waste practices
 - Water use and low water gardening
 - Companion gardening
 - Weed management
 - Biodiversity and seed/plant selection

COASTAL GARDENS - CRITERIA

13. Gardens should be well maintained and reflect as much as the following as possible:
 - Healthy plant selection
 - Good use of wind shelters
 - Effective plant placement schemes

12. COASTAL EVACUATION ROUTE AWARENESS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Marie Byrne, Acting Community Engagement Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to inform the Board of the price of the production of magnets depicting evacuation routes to coastal residents of the Burwood/Pegasus ward.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At the meeting of the Civil Defence Working Party held on 7 August 2006, the Working Party discussed evacuation issues for coastal residents.
3. The recommendation was made that staff investigate the costs of fridge magnets and flyers for distribution to coastal residents. These magnets and flyers would have simple evacuation instructions.
4. It is estimated that there are 6,000 residences within one kilometre of the coast in the Burwood/Pegasus ward.
5. In regards to the flyer the Board may wish to consider whether to have a flyer produced and printed specifically for this distribution or to use a brochure that Civil Defence already produces.
6. Civil Defence has produced a leaflet named "Will You Cope When Disaster Strikes". While it is currently out of print, it is possible that Civil Defence team will reproduce enough leaflets for distribution to coastal residents.
7. The proposed fridge magnets would be four colour and A7 sized.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. The costs of printing the flyers are dependent on the type of paper or card used and whether they are printed in black and white or colour. Copying 6,000 A5 flyers is as follows:

Flyer Printing Options	
Medium	Cost
Black and white on white 80gsm paper	\$235
Black and white on coloured 80gsm paper	\$345
Black and white on white 100gsm paper	\$540
Black and white on white 200gsm card	\$440
Black and white on coloured 200gsm card	\$600
Coloured on glossy white paper	\$1,050

9. The cost of producing 6,000 magnets would be \$1,885. If the Board wished to have the magnet and flow wrapped, this would cost \$2,435.
10. If the Board were to get these distributed the cost would be \$44 per 1,000 or \$264 for 6,000.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board:

1. Consider the tabled costs and decide on preferred options should it decide to have magnets and/or flyers produced.
2. Decide whether to partially or fully fund the production of magnets and/or flyers from the Board's 2006/07 Discretionary fund.

13. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE

13.1 NOTICE OF UPCOMING BOARD REPORTS

- Horseshoe Lake Dog Park
- Bexley Road Renaming
- New Brighton Road Bus Stop

13.2 CSR CALLS UPDATE (1 to 28 September 2006)

Attached.

13.3 2006/07 PROJECT, DISCRETIONARY AND YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUNDS UPDATE

Attached.

14. NOTICES OF MOTION UNDER STANDING ORDERS 2.16

15. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS 4.1

Members may at any ordinary meeting put a question to the Chairperson concerning any matter relevant to the role or function of the Community Board concerning any matter that does not appear on the order paper. All questions are subject to Standing Orders 4.1.1 to 4.1.5.

16. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Board members will have an opportunity to provide updates on community activities and/or Council issues.

Don Rowlands will provide a report on the outcomes of the Workshop B - Effective Land-use Planning for Natural Hazards and Workshop C - Developing Tsunami Ready Communities held during the Natural Hazards Management Conference 2006 on 22 August 2006.