



Christchurch City Council

HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

22 NOVEMBER 2006

3.00 PM

IN THE BOARDROOM, LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE
180 SMITH STREET

Community Board: Bob Todd (Chairperson), David Cox, Anna Crighton, John Freeman, Yani Johanson, Brenda Lowe-Johnson and Brendan Smith

Community Board Principal Adviser

Clare Sullivan

Telephone: 941-6601

Fax: 941-6604

Email: clare.sullivan@ccc.govt.nz

Community Secretary

Kevin Roche

Telephone: 941-6615

Fax: 941-6604

Email: kevin.roche@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT
- PART B 3. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 4. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE
- PART B 5. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS
- PART B 6. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
- PART B 7. PRESENTATIONS
- PART C 8. LINWOOD PARK PLAYGROUND REDEVELOPMENT
- PART C 9. PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF COMMUNITY BOARD MEETINGS FOR 2007
- PART C 10. TREE REMOVAL - 44 BAY VIEW ROAD, MONCKSBAY
- PART A 11. NOTICES OF MOTION

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORTS

The report of the ordinary meeting held on Wednesday 8 November 2006 has been circulated to Board members.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the report of the ordinary meeting held on Wednesday 8 November 2006 be confirmed.

3. CORRESPONDENCE

4. COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER'S UPDATE

4.1 PROJECT/DISCRETIONARY FUNDING 2006/07

Attached is a copy of the report on the above for the period to 31 October 2006

4.2 HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD - MEETING 13 DECEMBER 2006

In view of the anticipated size of the agenda for this meeting it is suggested that Board commence this at the earlier time of 2.30 pm. A separate resolution to this effect will be required from the Board.

5. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

Members may at any ordinary meeting put a question to the Chairperson concerning any matter relevant to the role or function of the Community Board concerning any matter that does not appear on the order paper. All questions are subject to Standing Orders 4.1.1 to 4.1.5.

6. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

6.1 MS SALLY MACDONALD

Ms MacDonald will address the Board regarding the removal of the gum tree outside her property at 44 Bay View Road. Clause 10 of the agenda refers.

6.2 MS TOPSY RULE – SUMNER REDCLIFFS HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Ms Rule will address the Board regarding the naming of the Clifton Bay Reserve. A copy of the correspondence from Ms Rule has been separately circulated to board members

7. PRESENTATIONS

A presentation will be made to the Board by the Tu Ma Hip Hop Group. Members will recall that the Board provided funding of \$800 to assist three members of the group to attend the National Hip Hop Championships in Wellington on 1 July 2006.

8. LINWOOD PARK PLAYGROUND REDEVELOPMENT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Joanne Walton, Parks and Waterways Area Advocate

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval to the plan for the redevelopment of the Linwood Park playground following consultation with the local community (refer attached).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. A long term plan for the development of Linwood Park was prepared by the Council in 2003 with community input. The Board received information from the public consultation process on this master plan at its meeting of 19 November 2003. The redevelopment of the existing central play space, along with the provision of a new playground for younger children, was a part of that development plan.
3. Although the redevelopment of the playground had already been agreed upon as part of the earlier consultation, more detailed designs for separate junior and senior playgrounds were released for further public comment in September-October 2006. Staff noted that during the 2003 consultation process there had been a response rate of only 195 submissions received from the 3,000 copies of the consultation document distributed to stakeholders and residents in the area surrounding Linwood Park. It was therefore considered that it would be more effective to target local families over a wider area by distributing the public information leaflet to the 342 pupils of Linwood Avenue Primary School which adjoins Linwood Park. The leaflet was also distributed to a number of key stakeholder groups, and made available at the Linwood Service Centre, Linwood Library, and on the Council's website. In addition, focus groups were also conducted with two groups of children, aged 5-10 years old, and 11-14 years old respectively, from Council's school holiday programmes, and with a class of Linwood Intermediate School pupils.
4. There was still a very low response rate to the public information leaflet with a total of four stakeholder groups and nine residents returning the comment form providing feedback on the proposed plans. However, the feedback was very positive with all respondents indicating a good level of support for the both playground designs. The children in the focus groups also provided many constructive suggestions.
5. As the overall feedback was positive, the Transport and Greenspace Unit propose to make only one minor alteration to the original proposal as follows.
6. Many of the children identified the need for a drinking fountain and it was suggested that it be situated close to the playgrounds but away from the toilet block. There have been drinking fountains in the park previously but these were continually vandalised and were eventually removed for this reason. However, a new drinking fountain of extremely robust construction will be installed in an open area close to the playgrounds with the exact location to be determined by the ability to connect to water supply and waste services.
7. The proposed new play equipment for both playgrounds was generally very well supported. The children were particularly enthusiastic about the space net, the provision of which was also previously identified in the 2003 consultation.
8. Many of the children expressed a desire for additional play equipment or recreational facilities to be provided. There was a clear indication that another larger piece of equipment is needed for the senior playground, preferably one with a spinning component such as a 'spica'. At this time, there is no funding available for other pieces of equipment in addition to those shown on the concept plans. However, staff will continue to investigate whether this may be able to be provided within the next few years through other sources of funding.
9. Similarly, there is currently no funding for other facilities such as a public barbeque. This area is also currently well serviced with paddling pools in other parks. In addition, some items are no longer considered to be appropriate for a public park, for example, tree huts.

10. A new public toilet to be situated close to the Linwood Avenue entrance has been approved as part of the overall development plan for the park, although this is not scheduled to be constructed until 2013.
11. One respondent identified the need to ensure good visibility with the plantings to allow for supervision. In the new landscape plantings, the trees will have their lower branches pruned back, and the plantings underneath will be at a low level, to maintain good sight lines and visibility into the playground areas and improve user safety.
12. Another respondent expressed concerns about how the separation of the two playgrounds would make it difficult to supervise children of different ages, and also attract children from the school. The desire for a separate junior playground was identified in the original consultation process. This provides younger children with the opportunity to play on equipment more suited to their age and abilities, with less likelihood of straying into the path of older children, or of the equipment being dominated by older children. Although the two playgrounds are separated, the junior playground has been sited on a raised area of the park close to the main path with good visibility all around and clear sight lines across to the senior playground area. The School has confirmed that children are not permitted to enter the park during school hours other than for organised sport.
13. The issue of rubbish and graffiti in the park and surrounding streets was raised by one respondent. Some children also expressed a dislike of graffiti on the play equipment and the removal of this is a part of ongoing routine maintenance. The issue of rubbish will be referred to other staff within the Council as appropriate.
14. All respondents who supplied their contact details have been sent a letter of reply thanking them for their input. The letter has also informed respondents that the plan would be presented to the Board for approval. Details of the meeting were provided so that any interested people could attend. Linwood Avenue School and Linwood Intermediate School have also been thanked for their assistance and informed of the outcome of the consultation process.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. The current Greenspace Capital Works Programme has funding available to undertake the playground redevelopment at Linwood Park with \$100,000 available in the current 2006/07 year. Subject to any unavoidable delays, the works will be completed within the current financial year.
10. If a building consent is required for the space net due to its height, this will be applied for as part of the implementation process.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board approve the plans for redevelopment of the senior playground, and the construction of a new junior playground, at Linwood Park and that the Transport and Greenspace Unit commence the construction programme.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That option "B" be adopted

OPTIONS

11. There are two options:
 - (a) Do nothing/maintain the status quo. This is not considered a viable option as the upgrade of the senior playground and the installation of a new junior playground has already been agreed upon in the overall development plan for the park.
 - (b) Approve the plans for the redevelopment of the senior playground, and the construction of a new junior playground, at Linwood Park and the Transport and Greenspace Unit commence the construction programme.

This will allow the Transport and Greenspace Unit to provide an improved playground with an improved design that provides better facilities and play opportunities for children using the reserve and their caregivers.

PREFERRED OPTION

12. The preferred option is (b).

ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

The Preferred Option

13. Approve the plans for the redevelopment of the senior playground, and the construction of a new junior playground, at Linwood Park and the Transport and Greenspace Unit commence the construction programme.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	Improved play opportunities for both children in this immediate area, and those visiting the park from other areas; Improved facilities for caregivers; Improved safety for users.	None identified.
Cultural	No benefits identified.	None identified.
Environmental	Enhancement of recreation facilities.	None identified.
Economic	No positive economic impact for the community identified.	\$100,000 already in capital programme for 2006/07 year; May be maintenance costs for additional equipment due to high level of vandalism in this area.
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: Primary alignment with community outcomes: A city for recreation, fun and creativity. A healthy city. Also aligns with: A city with a sustainable natural environment – our city's natural resources, biodiversity, landscapes and ecosystem integrity are protected and enhanced. Also contributes to:</p> <p>Impact on Council's capacity and responsibilities: No impacts on Council's capacity and responsibilities have been identified.</p> <p>Effects on Maori: No effects on Maori have been identified.</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies: Parks and Waterways Access Policy. Childrens Play Equipment on Parks Policy.</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: Those local residents and user groups who did respond indicated good level of support of proposed plan.</p> <p>Other relevant matters: None identified.</p>		

Maintain The Status Quo (If Not Preferred Option)

14. Do nothing/maintain the status quo

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	None identified.	Recreation experience for children in this low socio-economic area is not enhanced by existing inadequate facilities.
Cultural	None identified.	None identified.
Environmental	None identified.	None identified.
Economic	No immediate financial cost of upgrade.	Eventual removal of old equipment.
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: None identified.</p> <p>Impact on Council's capacity and responsibilities: No impacts on Council's capacity and responsibilities have been identified.</p> <p>Effects on Maori: No effects on Maori have been identified.</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies: N/A.</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: Those residents who did respond indicated a high level of support for the proposal.</p> <p>Other relevant matters: None identified.</p>		

9. PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF COMMUNITY BOARD MEETINGS FOR 2007

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8549
Officer responsible:	Secretariat Manager
Author:	Kevin Roche, Community Secretary

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to obtain the Board's approval for the proposed schedule of ordinary meetings in 2007.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Each Community Board normally adopts a schedule of ordinary meetings for the year ahead. The proposed schedule of meetings is compatible with the timetable adopted for meetings of the Council and other Boards where possible. The meetings are scheduled to occur in the alternate week to the meetings of the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board to ensure optimum co-ordination of shared resources. Any extraordinary meetings or Board seminars will be set throughout the year as required and according to statutory requirements for notification.

3. The following is the proposed meeting schedule for 2007:

Wednesday 14 February	Wednesday 23 May
Saturday 24 February (former Sumner Council Chamber)	Wednesday 13 June
Wednesday 28 February	Wednesday 27 June
Wednesday 14 March	Wednesday 11 July
Wednesday 28 March	Wednesday 25 July
Wednesday 11 April	Wednesday 8 August
Thursday 26 April (allows for Anzac Day)	Wednesday 22 August
Wednesday 9 May	Wednesday 12 September

4. The schedule ends in the first week in September as 2007 is a triennial election year. The September meeting will be reported to a late September Council meeting, prior to the election.
5. The Board's meetings are held at the Boardroom, Linwood Service Centre, with meetings commencing at 3.00 pm (note: the meeting on Saturday 24 February 2007 will commence at 1.30pm).

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. There are no financial considerations outside the existing budget for meetings of the Community Board. Clause 19, of Schedule 7, of the Local Government Act 2002 allows the Board to adopt a schedule of future meetings for any period it considers appropriate.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board adopt the ordinary meeting schedule as detailed in the report.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted

10. TREE REMOVAL - 44 BAY VIEW ROAD, MONCKS BAY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Graham Clark, Arborist

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the removal of a large red flowering gum (*Eucalyptus ficifolia*) for the purpose of installation of a new vehicle crossing. (Note - This report is carried forward for consideration from the previous meeting of the Board on 8 November 2006.)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In June 2006 Clifton Stemmer (then property owner) approached the Council with a request to remove the red flowering gum tree on the berm at 44 Bay View Road to allow a new vehicle crossing to be constructed. Since the approach was made the property has been sold on to Sally MacDonald and Alan Butts who are redeveloping the property and also require the removal of the red flowering gum to facilitate their project.
3. The proposed new vehicle crossing location for the new dwelling and garage directly conflicts with location of the street tree.
4. Building consent for the construction of a new dwelling with attached garage and associated vehicle crossing was applied for on 7 July 2006 and granted by Council on 3 August 2006. The trees on the berm were not identified by the applicant on the design plans submitted.
5. The red flowering gum has good vigour and vitality, is of large size (approximately 7.4 metres in height with a canopy spread of 6.6 metres) and moderate form. The tree is situated mid-way across the grass berm in front of the property and contributes to the amenity of the street landscape (photos **attached**).
6. Following a site inspection it was discovered that the tree in question will require significant pruning in order to achieve electrical line clearance from the powerlines located directly above the tree (canopy of the tree currently encapsulates the service lines). This pruning will seriously affect the aesthetic appearance of the tree. If the tree is retained there will be significant future costs associated with ensuring overhead services clearance is maintained.
7. Should the removal be approved in principal by the Board, staff would like to replace the lost amenity value of the removed tree by planting a replacement pohutukawa tree close to the existing tree.
8. Adjacent and opposite neighbours will be notified prior to any work taking place.
9. This case does highlight a problem in the building/resource consent process in that the position of street trees are not always considered in relation to the building layout on the site and in particular the alignment of the garage and driveway crossing that is likely to affect them. Consent for buildings and driveway crossings may therefore be granted without having regard to the tree. The ability of community boards to make decisions under their delegated authority on the removal/retention of street trees is therefore pre-empted and/or compromised by these initial consents.
10. The whole process is, however, currently being investigated by the Units concerned with a view to establishing a procedure that ensures that the preservation of existing street trees is considered from an early planning stage. It is proposed that the accurate position of street trees will be shown by any applicant developer on all consent applications and plans. At this early stage, every reasonable effort will be made by the Council, in consultation with the developer, to position a driveway sufficiently clear of an affected tree and to construct it in a manner that ensures the tree's preservation in a safe and healthy condition. If this is not possible for some reason, any proposal to remove a street tree will still be subject to "Council" approval along with any conditions under the appropriate delegation.

11. This matter was originally placed before the Board at its meeting on 11 October 2006. The Board decided, however, to defer consideration of this item until after a site visit on 30 October 2006. This site visit was undertaken and the matter is therefore placed before the Board again for its consideration.

FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

12. Any healthy street tree can only be removed with approval from the appropriate Community Board and any protected street trees can only be removed by a successful application under the Resource Management Act. These trees are not listed as protected under the provisions of the Christchurch City Plan.
13. Removing and replacing the tree without obtaining reimbursement from the applicant is inconsistent with the current LTCCP as funding has not been allocated in the Transport and Greenspace Unit operational budget for the removal of healthy trees to allow for vehicle crossings.
14. Obtaining reimbursement from the applicant to remove and replace the trees is consistent with the current LTCCP.
15. Funding is available in the Transport and Greenspace Unit operational budget under Street Tree Maintenance for the removal and replacement of trees which are no longer appropriate in their current position.
16. The actual cost to remove the tree and replace it with pb95 grade trees is:

- Removal of Eucalyptus \$500 excluding GST
- Replacement Planting \$215 excluding GST

17. The valuation for the red flowering gum tree using "STEM" is \$6,200.

"STEM" is the national arboricultural industry standard for evaluating and valuing amenity trees. "STEM" is used as a valuation tool by other Councils such as Auckland, Tauranga, Lower Hutt and Wellington.

"STEM" valuation on the tree concerned is detailed on the **attached** valuation sheet.

18. All tree work will be carried out by Council's Street Tree Maintenance Contractor.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Board adopts Option (B) and approves:

- (a) That the red flowering gum tree located on the Bay View Road berm outside number 44 be removed to allow for a new vehicle crossings to be constructed.
- (b) That the red flowering gum removed is replaced with another tree, pb95 grade pohutukawa as close to the original trees location as is practicable.
- (c) That the Council pays the removal costs of \$500 excluding GST.
- (d) That the applicant is charged for the replacement planting cost of \$215 excluding GST (which includes the purchase cost for the tree).
- (e) That the Council does not apply "STEM" valuation in this case as the removal would have been recommended as part of the regular maintenance cycle for the city's tree asset (the trees location and condition do not warrant its retention).

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

OPTIONS

19. Option (A)

Remove the red flowering gum tree from the berm outside 44 Bay View Road, Moncks Bay. All costs to be borne by the applicant including the cost of removing the tree, replacement planting and the "STEM" valuation.

Actual cost of \$715 excluding GST to remove and replace the tree is borne by the applicant.

Applicant to be charged the full "STEM" valuation for the red flowering gum tree of \$6,200.

Total cost of \$6,915 excluding GST.

"STEM" valuation monies received will be utilised to enable planting of new trees both within the Bay View Road and the immediate neighbourhood's streets and parks.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	Tree is removed and Council recovers the lost amenity value of the tree removed. Council utilises the monies received under the "STEM" valuation to enhance the street tree planting both within Bay View Road and the immediate neighbourhood's streets and parks. This will improve local area character and identity. Replacement tree to be a pohutukawa which will compliment and enhance the current planting in the street.	Cost to the applicant may be considered as unreasonable given the size of the tree and their proximity to the overhead power lines. Should the tree remain Council will be required to undertake remedial pruning that will have a detrimental effect on the tree's amenity value. Cost of compliance may be offset by an increase in the applicant's property value.
Cultural	Pohutukawa is a New Zealand icon tree.	No costs identified.
Environmental	Replacement of the tree with a newly planted pohutukawa will mitigate the effects of removal of the existing tree and over time maintain the general streetscape appearance. This is one of the few areas in the city where pohutukawa trees can thrive and prosper and thus the new planting will enhance the biodiversity of the city. STEM valuation monies recovered will allow council to further enhance the amenity planting within the immediate neighbourhood.	Possibility of future shading and leaf fall issues.
Economic	There is no cost to Council to remove or replace the tree as all costs are borne by the applicant. STEM valuation from flowering gum tree allows further planting to occur within the immediate neighbourhood at a reduced cost to Council.	Future general maintenance costs for the trees planted.
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: Primary alignment with community outcomes: "... a place where people enjoy living" "... a thriving, healthy environment" "... the most attractive city in New Zealand"</p> <p>Impact on Council's capacity and responsibilities: No impacts on council's capacity and responsibilities have been identified.</p> <p>Effects on Maori: No specific effects on Maori identified.</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies: Consistent with Urban Renewal Policy, Parks and Open Spaces Activity Management Plan.</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: Removal and replacement may or may not be supported by the adjacent neighbours. Council has not engaged in consultation.</p> <p>Other relevant matters: Nil.</p>		

20. **Option (B)**

Remove the red flowering gum tree from the berm outside 44 Bay View Road, Moncks Bay. All costs for removal to be borne by Christchurch City Council \$500 excluding GST as we would have recommended the removal of the tree in the course of normal maintenance operations. Applicant to pay for replacement planting for amenity value.

Applicant is not to be charged the full "STEM" valuation for the red flowering gum tree due to trees condition and location.

Total cost to applicant \$215.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	Red flowering gum is removed and Council recovers a proportion of the lost amenity value of the tree removed with the replacement planting being undertaken. Replacement tree is a pohutukawa and will enhance the current street tree planting in this area. It is in the mutual interest of both Council and the applicant to have the tree removed.	Cost of compliance may be offset by an increase in the applicant's property value.
Cultural	Pohutukawa is a New Zealand icon tree.	No costs identified.
Environmental	Replacement of the red flowering gum tree with newly planted pohutukawa tree will mitigate the effects of the tree removal and over time improve the general streetscape appearance. This is one of the few areas in the city where pohutukawa trees can thrive and prosper and thus the new planting will enhance the biodiversity of the city	Possibility of future shading and leaf fall issues.
Economic	Council cost benefit achieved through reduced cost incurred by Council to provide a replacement tree. Applicant to pay purchase and replanting costs for replacement tree. Applicant can complete development operations on site with greater ease and reduced cost.	General maintenance costs for new tree planted.
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: Primary alignment with community outcomes: "... a place where people enjoy living" "... a thriving, healthy environment" "... the most attractive city in New Zealand"</p> <p>Impact on Council's capacity and responsibilities: No impacts on council's capacity and responsibilities have been identified.</p> <p>Effects on Maori: No specific effects on Maori identified.</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies: Consistent with Urban Renewal Policy, Parks and Open Spaces Activity Management Plan.</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: Removal and replacement may or may not be supported by the adjacent neighbours. Council has not engaged in consultation.</p> <p>Other relevant matters: Nil.</p>		

21. Option (C)

Remove the red flowering gum tree from the berm outside 44 Bay View Road, Moncks Bay. All costs for the removal and replacement planting to be shared by the applicant and Christchurch City Council on a 50/50 basis.

Actual cost of \$715 excluding GST to remove and replace the red flowering gum tree is borne jointly by Council and the applicant.

Applicant is not to be charged the full "STEM" valuation for the red flowering gum tree due to trees condition and location.

Total cost to applicant \$357.50.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	Red flowering gum is removed and Council recovers 50% of the costs to remove and replant. It is in the mutual interest of both Council and the applicant to have the tree removed. Replacement tree is a pohutukawa and will compliment the existing street tree planting.	Cost of compliance may be offset by an increase in the applicant's property value. Applicant's proposed garage development can proceed with greater ease and reduced associated development costs.
Cultural	Pohutukawa is a New Zealand icon tree.	No costs identified.
Environmental	Replacement of the red flowering gum tree with newly planted pohutukawa tree will mitigate the effects of the tree removal and over time improve the general streetscape appearance. This is one of the few areas in the city where pohutukawa trees can thrive and prosper and thus the new planting will enhance the biodiversity of the city.	Possibility of future shading and leaf fall issues.
Economic	Council costs reduced by 50% in respect of removal and replanting therefore better use of Council funding.	Future general maintenance costs for new tree planted.
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: Primary alignment with community outcomes: "... a place where people enjoy living" "... a thriving, healthy environment" "... the most attractive city in New Zealand"</p> <p>Impact on Council's capacity and responsibilities: No impacts on council's capacity and responsibilities have been identified.</p> <p>Effects on Maori: No specific effects on Maori identified.</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies: Consistent with Urban Renewal Policy, Parks and Open Spaces Activity Management Plan.</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: Removal and replacement may or may not be supported by the adjacent neighbours. Council has not engaged in consultation.</p> <p>Other relevant matters: Nil.</p>		

22. Option (D)

Status quo. Do not remove the red flowering gum tree. Tree is to be maintained to accepted international arboricultural standards and pruned for power-line legal clearance. Approved development is to take account of the fact that the tree is to be retained and all operations around the tree must be undertaken in such a fashion as to not damage the trees structure either above or below the ground.

	Benefits (current and future)	Costs (current and future)
Social	Nil.	Council may be seen as unreasonable. Council may be viewed as a bad neighbour.
Cultural	Nil.	Nil.
Environmental	Trees remain on site and continues to contribute the overall amenity value of the streetscape albeit in a significantly reduced quantity and quality.	The tree will have its amenity value affected due to power line clearance operations required under NZ law. Tree will be misshapen and of very poor form as a result of the pruning required.
Economic	Nil.	Future general maintenance of tree.
<p>Extent to which community outcomes are achieved: No community outcomes are achieved.</p> <p>Impact on Council's capacity and responsibilities: No impacts on council's capacity and responsibilities have been identified.</p> <p>Effects on Maori: No specific effects on Maori identified.</p> <p>Consistency with existing Council policies: Consistent with Urban Renewal Policy, Parks and Open Spaces Activity Management Plan.</p> <p>Views and preferences of persons affected or likely to have an interest: Removal and replacement required by the adjacent neighbour, which does not support this option. Council has not engaged in consultation.</p> <p>Other relevant matters: Nil.</p>		

11. NOTICES OF MOTION

HORSE GRAZING FERRYMEAD/HEATHCOTE VALLEY PARK

To consider the following motion, notice of which has been given by Yani Johanson pursuant to Standing Order 2.16.1:

- “1. That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board seek an urgent report on suitable amendments to the Ferrymead/Heathcote Valley Park Developmental Plan that would allow for allocation of areas suitable for horse grazing.*
- 2. That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board resolve to seek information, as a matter of urgency, from Council staff on what areas are available within the Board’s area (or close by) that could be allocated for horse grazing.*
- 3. That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board resolve to ask Council staff to develop, as a matter of urgency, a city wide strategy and policy on horse grazing and associated issues.”*