



Christchurch City Council

CANTERBURY WASTE JOINT COMMITTEE AGENDA

MONDAY 10 JULY 2006

AT 10.30AM

IN THE NO 3 COMMITTEE ROOM, CIVIC OFFICES

Committee: Councillor Sally Buck (Christchurch City Council) (Chairman)
Councillor Robbie Brine (Waimakariri District Council)
Councillor James Gibson (Waimate District Council)
Mayor Kevin Heays (Kaikoura District Council)
Mayor Garry Jackson (Hurunui District Council)
Councillor Ross Little (Environment Canterbury)
Councillor Pat Mulvey (Timaru District Council)
Councillor Lindsay Philps (Selwyn District Council)
Councillor Dave Pullen (Mackenzie District Council)
Councillor Bob Shearing (Christchurch City Council)
Councillor Bev Tasker (Ashburton District Council)
Councillor Sue Wells (Christchurch City Council)
Councillor Judy Waters (Environment Canterbury)

Council Secretary
Tony McKendry
Telephone: 941-8536
Fax: 941-8696

INDEX

- 1. APOLOGIES**
- 2. MINUTES OF MEETING - 15 MAY 2006**
- 3. UPDATE ON WASTE MINIMISATION PROJECTS AND BUDGET**
- 4. REGIONAL WASTE MINIMISATION PLAN**
- 5. INFORMATION SHARING**

1. APOLOGIES

2. MINUTES OF MEETING - 15 MAY 2006

Attached.

3. UPDATE ON WASTE MINIMISATION PROJECTS AND BUDGET

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment
Officer responsible:	City Water and Waste Manager DDI 941- 6231
Author:	Zefanja Potgieter

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present a summary of expenditure and projects for 2005/06.

UPDATE

2. The table sets out the status of the budgeted \$75,000 at the end of the 2005/06 financial year.

	Budgeted	30 June 2006
Construction and Demolition project	\$20,000	\$6,000
SKM Action Plan report	\$32,900	\$32,900
Regional organics project	\$13,000	\$13,000
Silage contractors using tyres study	\$5,000	\$5,000
Professional fees (Buddle Findlay for new constituting agreement for CWJC)	\$4,000	\$3,450
TOTAL	\$74,900	\$60,350

3. As has been the case in previous years Christchurch City Council pays all invoices on behalf of the Committee during the financial year, and as set out in the Constituting Agreement, at the end of the financial year the other participating councils contribute in terms of respective population sizes.

Christchurch City Council	67.4%	\$40,677
Timaru	8.8%	\$5,311
Waimakariri	7.7%	\$4,647
Selwyn	5.7%	\$3,440
Ashburton	5.3%	\$3,199
Hurunui	2.1%	\$1,267
Waimate	1.5%	\$905
Mackenzie	0.8%	\$481
Kaikoura	0.7%	\$423
Total	100.0	\$60,350

Note: The five Kate Valley shareholder councils will share an additional \$3,450 for the separate Constituting Agreement for the Canterbury Landfill Joint Committee comprising 50 % of the total Buddle Findlay invoice for the two agreements.

3 Cont'd

4. **Construction and Demolition** The construction and demolition project involved support for the national REBRI program (*Resource Efficiency in Building and Related Industries*) and is aimed at identifying one-on-one project assistance for local Canterbury construction and demolition projects. Only one suitable project could be identified (the construction of a Buddhist temple by Fletcher Construction) at a cost of \$6,000, leaving \$14,000 unspent. Report back on the results will be presented at a future meeting.
5. **Regional Action Plan:** Completed at quoted price, and presented on 10 July 2006.
6. **Regional organics:** A Market Research Action Plan is being developed based on stakeholder workshops and a literature review that will investigate the barriers, opportunities, priorities and uses/markets of municipal compost in Canterbury. Report back on the results will be presented at a future meeting.
7. **Silage contractors and tyres.** The project done by Terranova involved research and survey of the role silage contractors play and could continue to play in future in absorbing used tyres in Canterbury. The \$5,000 funding is part funding together with additional funding from Ministry for the Environment an industry stakeholder. Report back on the results will be presented at a future meeting.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the information be received.

4. **REGIONAL WASTE MINIMISATION PLAN**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment
Officer responsible:	City Water and Waste Manager DDI 941 6231
Author:	Zefanja Potgieter

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present the draft regional waste minimisation plan for consideration.

BACKGROUND

2. As from 30 June 2006 both the Canterbury Waste Joint Committee and the Canterbury Waste Subcommittee have ceased to exist and as from 1 July 2006 have been replaced by an enlarged committee which includes ECan, called the Canterbury Waste Joint Committee (CWJC) to deal with regional waste minimisation issues and projects. Regional landfill issues will be dealt with by the separate Canterbury Landfill Joint Committee (CLJC).
3. Part of the proposed restructuring process during 2005 was the development of separate Constituting Agreements for the CWJC and CLJC. These were approved by all CWSC members and by ECan and therefore the new CWJC Constituting Agreement will apply in future. The new agreement included a provision potentially increasing the total annual amount contributed by member councils for regional waste minimisation projects from \$75,000 to \$150,000. This increased resource requires higher levels of regional waste minimisation planning and it was decided to develop a multi-year structured approach for the coming years.
4. On 14 November 2005 the Subcommittee therefore approved the commissioning of consultants to develop a medium-term action plan for the period mid 2006 -2010. SKM was the successful tenderer and their report is attached and will be presented to the Committee on 10 July affording the opportunity for questions and consideration.

4 Cont'd

5. The process followed in developing the draft action plan started with meetings across Canterbury with officers and where possible elected members from each member council as well as with ECan (then not yet a member) to gain an understanding of local issues, waste management plans and climate change initiatives. Meetings were also held with stakeholders such as Wastebusters and Terranova. A workshop with officers was held to discuss the structure, options and possible outcomes of the study, followed by ongoing email exchanges between SKM and officers as the project moved towards completion.
6. The Action Plan includes:
 - (a) Principles and objectives, to provide the direction and purpose for the programme
 - (b) A list of priority waste issues, and the methodology for prioritisation
 - (c) A programme of projects for implementation from 2006/07 to 2009/10
 - (d) Details of the projects, such as purpose, methods, outputs and costs
 - (e) Brief details on how the Action Plan will be implemented and reviewed
7. Proposed action plans fall into two categories – waste types (e.g. paper and cardboard; putrescibles and green waste; plastics etc), and waste management (sharing information; lobbying; policy and planning etc).
8. The report confirms that the three key waste types are paper and cardboard, putrescibles and green waste, and plastics. The key evaluation criteria used were:
 - Annual tonnages to landfill
 - Net savings of diversion alternatives, and
 - Net reduction in CO2 equivalent emissions.
9. Table 2 on page 8 of the report is a summary table on prioritised waste types and diversion scenarios. Table 3 is the proposed action plan for 2006 to 2010.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that

- (a) The Committee approve the actions identified for 2006/07 in principle, and that for those projects which have expenditure attached, that officers report to the next Committee meeting with detail to enable projects to be approved for implementation.
- (b) For those 2006/07 projects with no expenditure attached, that officers prepare a work plan to be presented to the next Committee meeting.

5. INFORMATION SHARING

The opportunity will be taken for all members to share any items of concern or interest.