

**10. REFERRED APPLICATIONS FROM SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Community Services, DDI: 941-8534
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Community Support Unit Manager
<b>Authors:</b>	Erin Eyles and Ingrid de Meyer, Community Development Advisors

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to present to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board a request from the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Development Funding Committee for consideration of applications from the 2006/07 round of the Community Development Scheme.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Development Funding Committee (SHCDFC) met on 9 November 2006 to consider the Community Development applications for the 2006/07 funding year. A total of 53 applications were received requesting \$136 028. The Committee had a total of \$32,860 to allocate. Due to the number and quality of applications, funds were allocated to priority one and priority two projects with recommendations resulting in an overspend of \$16,800. Subsequently no priority three applications could be supported. As a result, the SHCDFC resolved to forward \$13,700 of the overspend to the Community Board Discretionary Fund and \$3,100 to SCAP Committee for consideration.
3. Those referred to the SCAP Committee were new initiatives which the SHCDFC considered worthwhile and relevant for consideration under SCAP funding criteria. They link directly to SCAP aims particularly with social isolation and early intervention priorities.
4. Those referred to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Discretionary Fund were considered quality projects, many aligning directly to the Community Board's Objectives.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

5. There are no legal considerations. The total recommended for consideration from the Discretionary Fund is \$13,700.
6. The following table illustrates the groups, their project, how much was requested and the SHCDFC's recommendations made in relation to the Community Development Scheme Guidelines.

<b>Group</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Amount Req</b>	<b>Amount Rec</b>
Manuka Cottage	Strickland Street Community Gardens – Manager Salary top-up	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,500
Rowley Resource Centre	Older Persons Events	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,000
Te Whare Roopu o Oterepo	Seniors Group	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200
Christchurch South Toy Library	Librarian wages	\$ 4,000	\$ 2,000
Spreydon Youth Community Trust (SYC)	Youth Camps	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,500
ToughLOVE Hoon Hay	Group check-ins	\$ 3,120	\$ 2,000
Cross-Over Trust	Client care costs, family activities and 4 X 4 project costs.	\$ 2,600	\$ 1,500
St Martins Friendship	Social outings	\$ 1,500	\$ 500
St Martins/Opawa Ladies Probus	Annual operating costs	\$10,108	\$ 500
<b>Total Recommended</b>		<b>\$32,028</b>	<b>\$13,700</b>

7. Financial statements for these groups have been sighted.

## **BACKGROUND ON REFERRED APPLICATIONS FROM SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING**

8. The following groups projects fit within the Spreydon/Heathcote Objective 7 (The integrated needs of older people in the Spreydon/Heathcote ward are understood by planners, and progress is made to meet those needs):
  - Rowley Resource Centre.
  - Te Whare Roopu o Oterepo.
  - St Martins Friendship.
  - St Martins/Opawa Ladies Probus.
9. Manuka Cottage, Strickland Street Community Gardens project links directly to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Objective 4 (Great community awareness of the value of extensive planted areas, using both native and exotic species), with milestone for the ongoing support for Strickland Street Community Gardens.
10. The following groups projects fit within the Spreydon/Heathcote Objectives 5 (Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote) and Objective 6 (Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes):
  - Christchurch South Toy Library.
  - Spreydon Youth Community Trust (SYC).
  - ToughLOVE Hoon Hay.
  - Cross-Over Trust.
11. These groups contribute to the following LTCCP Community Outcomes:
  - A Safe City.
  - A City of Lifelong Learning.
  - A City of Inclusive and Diverse Communities.
  - A City for Recreation, Fun and Creativity.

### **OPTIONS**

12. That the Board agree to fund (or a proportion) the requests.
13. That the Board decline funding requests.

### **PREFERRED OPTION**

14. The most appropriate option for consideration would be that Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board allocate a total of \$13,700 to the Community Development Scheme for allocation as per the above table. This would mean the applications would be included in the community development database, providing a true picture of demand and quality of applications for the scheme. It would also mean that the scheme's accountability processes would be followed.
15. In conclusion the demand on the Community Development Scheme exceeds what is available. Subsequently the Community Development Funding Committee has requested that the Board consider the shortfall. In addition these projects and requests align with the Board's Objectives, and link with the LTCCP Community outcomes and therefore it would be appropriate to receive this assistance from the Board's Discretionary fund.

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board allocates \$13,700 from its 2006/07 Discretionary Fund for the projects referred from the Community Development Funding Scheme.

### **CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.