

4. **RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND ROADING COMMITTEE REPORT OF 1 APRIL 2005**

Officer responsible Community Board Principal Adviser	Author Roger Cave, Community Secretary, DDI 941 6502
---	--

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Transport and Roading Committee meeting held on Friday 1 April 2005.

The meeting was attended by Tony Sutcliffe (Chairperson), Neville Bennett, Helen Broughton, Lesley Keast, Bob Shearing, and Peter Laloli. An apology was received and accepted from Mike Mora.

1. **CLYDE ROAD UNIVERSITY DRIVE KERB EXTENSION DEPUTATION**

Mr M Bannister and Mr Peter Molony, University of Canterbury, were welcomed to the meeting.

Michael and Peter had been invited back to the Committee to discuss the kerb build out on the north-west side of Clyde Road, at its intersection with University Drive.

Mr Bannister's concerns can be summarised as follows:

- Desire to open the street view into the University.
- To create a major enhancement opportunity.

Mr Molony's concerns can be summarised as follows:

- Not fully included into (ongoing) consultation, in respect of the kerb build out extension proposal.
- Loss of on street car parking is of concern, especially when the University undertook extensive consultation over its campus parking regime.
- Concern that only one resident is seeking such a major change.

The Community Board at its 1 September 2004 meeting decided to extend the kerb build out by approximately 25 metres only which would eliminate 3-4 car parking spaces; whereas Mr Bannister was looking for an extension which would eliminate 6-7 car parking spaces.

Representing the Transport and City Streets Unit was Jeff Owen.

Mr Bannister, in thanking the Committee for a further opportunity to raise his concerns, reiterated some of the matters first raised at the August meeting of this Committee. He wished to emphasise the opportunity that the kerb extension of 20 metres only (at the expense of three or four on - street car parking spaces only) would create a maredo2(t the8(ar)7 Tc 0.-13(i)3(rdte a m)-ath)

In discussion members then identified that the next step should be an on site visit to look at the request from Mr Bannister whilst acknowledging the stance of the University. Further information would be sought from staff , if required.

It was **agreed** that an on site visit be initiated, and that the Committee would also look at other “enhancement” sites around the Board area in order to give a fair balance to what was being requested.

CHAIRPERSON’S RECOMMENDATION

That the report be received .