

2. NORTH NEW BRIGHTON SAND DUNE VALUES AND MANAGEMENT

The Parks and Waterways Planner and Coastal Parks Area Head Ranger informed the Board on the context within which coastal dunes are managed in the Waimairi/North New Brighton area and review proposals for changes in management and development being suggested by the Coastal Image Group. The Board **received** as a public document a legal opinion from the Legal Services Unit on liability issues if the Council lowers the sand dunes in the New Brighton area.

Alister James moved the following amendment, in substitution for the five recommendations contained in this clause:

“Having regard to the decision of the Environment Canterbury Commissioner NRW Davidson QC in 1995, and his findings that the adoption of an 8 metre hazard barrier is justified, based on theory and not on local observation, and further that any consent granted should be reviewed in the short term because the evidence other than as it relates to hazard indicates the adoption of a lower minimum height than 8 metres would be preferable given sand accumulation, and management and access issues, that the Christchurch City Council notify its intention to review the consent order accordingly, and proceed to a review of the objectives and policies of its statutory and non-statutory plans involving public consultation.”

The amendment was seconded by Carmen Hammond and when put to the meeting was declared **carried** on division no 1 by 6 votes to 2, the voting being as follows:

For (6): Andrews, Burt, Evans, Hammond, James, Kellaway.

Against (2): Lea, Williams.

The Board **decided** to recommend to the Parks, Gardens and Waterways Committee, having regard to the decision of the Environment Canterbury Commissioner NRW Davidson QC in 1995, and his findings that the adoption of an 8 metre hazard barrier is justified, based on theory and not on local observation, and further that any consent granted should be reviewed in the short term because the evidence other than as it relates to hazard indicates the adoption of a lower minimum height than 8 metres would be preferable given sand accumulation, and management and access issues, that the Christchurch City Council notify its intention to review the consent order accordingly, and proceed to a review of the objectives and policies of its statutory and non-statutory plans involving public consultation.

[Note: Andy Lea and Chrissie Williams recorded their votes against the adoption of the substantive motion.]