

2. PRIORITISATION OF ACTIVITIES FOR PROJECT FUNDING

PROCESS

The Community Advocate explained the process used by staff in giving a staff priority of high/medium/low to each of the proposals.

A request for project funding received by the Community Advocate on 1 March 2001 from the Kimihia Youth Skills Trust was declined due to the late arrival of the application.

The Board adopted the following process suggested by the chairperson. The Board agreed to:

- work through the spreadsheet and eliminate those projects that they all agreed they did not want to fund;
- go through the remaining projects and pencil in a suggested funding amount; and
- go back and discuss fully each item and agree finally on each project so that the final allocations agree with the \$290,000.

At the end of the second part of the process, when the Board examined the remaining projects and pencilled in a suggested funding amount the total was \$290,240, just over the amount the Board had allocated to project funding. At that stage it was decided not to go through each of the proposals again for debate/discussion on substance as had been agreed earlier.

The Board agreed that, \$60,000 of Discretionary Funding should be allocated during the year at monthly meetings during the year. The Board agreed that \$40,000 for Strengthening Communities meeting should be allocated during the year. A report on a process for this allocation will be prepared by staff. The Board noted that \$290,000 Project Funding is available for allocation.

The Board considered the allocation of its project funds and **resolved** to allocate these as follows:

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	\$
Community Development Fund	10,000
Graffiti partnership	3,000
Redcliffs, Woolston and Heathcote Libraries (\$1,000 each)	3,000
Bromley Out of School Programme	28,000
Linwood North After School Programme	3,000
Te Roopu Tamahine	4,000
Linwood Out of School Programme	32,000
Youth Initiatives Facilitator	30,000
Social Worker – Linwood Avenue Union Church	10,000
Te Whare Roimata Trust (for community gardens co-ordinator's position only)	21,000
Linwood Resource Centre – House Co-ordinator's salary	5,040
Woolston Development Project – Family Support Worker Project	15,000
SOSCAR's (\$10,000 to come from 2000/01 building fund)	15,000
Sumner/Redcliffs/Mt Pleasant Youth Initiative	<u>25,000</u>
Amount to be set aside and not uplifted unless the group has obtained other funds from other sources.	
	204,040
 RECREATION	
Heritage Awards	2,500
Linwood Youth Programmes	9,800
Leisure Activities for Older Adults	8,000
Linwood Avenue Holiday Programme	20,000
Linwood LYFE Youth Festival (Linwood Liaison Group)	10,000
Phillipstown Community Centre and Linwood Art Centre Holiday Programme	5,000
ROOST Holiday Programme	9,800
Sumner Pool Supervision Costs	<u>1,000</u>
	66,100

CITY STREETS/PARKS

Bulb planting Barbadoes cemetery	1,000
Bulb planting Linwood Avenue median	5,000
Bulb planting Bealey Avenue median	1,000
Daffodils – Avon Loop	300
Cemetery Subcommittee – work in both Linwood and Barbadoes Street cemeteries	5,000
Arbor Day	2,000
Community Pride Garden Awards	800
Interpretative Panel for Barnett Park	<u>5,000</u>
	20,100

The Board agreed that some projects for which it did not allocate project funding could qualify for discretionary funding in the 2001/02 financial year including the Linwood Community Toy Library. Community Days events, “Clean up the World” and associated projects, Gigantic beach clean up will be considered for funding from discretionary funding along with other requests for event funding. The Board noted that the Charleston NIP project might be an opportunity for an art works competition.

The Board asked the Parks Advocate to monitor the use of the Cashel Street Reserve to see if further landscaping and play equipment are required. The Board noted that release of the \$25,000 for the Sumner Youth Initiatives is dependent upon the group satisfying the Board that it has obtained funds from other sources.

The Board asked the Parks Advocate and Community Recreation Adviser to investigate what sports facilities were required in Bromley Park and to seek funding from the Parks Unit or Recreation facilities fund. The total of project funds came to \$290,240. The Board agreed that the shortfall of \$240 would come out of discretionary funding.