

22. 4. 99

**HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD
8 APRIL 1999**

**A special meeting of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board
was held on Thursday 8 April 1999 at 3.30 pm**

PRESENT: Bob Todd (Chairman),
Erin Baker, John Freeman, Derek McCullough,
Aaron O'Brien and Linda Rutland

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted
from Anna Crighton and Charles Manning

The Board reports that:

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

1. OPTIONS FOR NEW FERRYMEAD BRIDGE

Paul Roberts, Transportation Planning Engineer, briefed the Board on the consultation process and timetable as well as the possible options for improvements to the Ferrymead Bridge and to the adjoining intersections. Options traversed included those which provided transport links from the Sumner and Redcliffs area by routes lying outside the immediate Ferrymead bridge area.

Mr Roberts explained that it was hoped to reduce the possible options to no more than three favoured options for laying before the City Services Committee. The Community Board was one of the "key stakeholders" in this consultation process and its views, therefore, were sought.

As part of this consultation process, Mr Roberts asked Board members if they could provide a consensus view on the Ferrymead Bridge Life Lines Project draft feasibility report.

The Board **agreed** to provide the following answers:

1. *Are you comfortable with the proposed planning process?*

The Board indicated that it was comfortable with the proposed planning process.

2. *What do you consider to be the critical issues regarding the existing bridge and roading layout?*

- A. The consequences of cutting off essential services in an earthquake.
- B. Traffic flow problems which are becoming worse.
- C. The Board rated these two critical issues as equal priorities.

Special Meeting of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 8.4.99

1. Cont'd

3. *Do you believe that any constraint should be imposed on the project?*

Interference with the present recreational amenities in the Estuary should be resisted. Ecological factors in the Estuary and the need to consider the sod cottage are also constraints.

4. *Do you have a view on whether either causeway option should be considered further?*

The Board considered that the causeway options would cause more problems than they would solve.

5. *Do you have a view on whether the "New Brighton Spit" options should be pursued further?*

The Board did not believe that the New Brighton Spit option should be pursued further.

6. *Please rank the presented parallel options (Options A to F) on a scale from zero to ten, zero indicating opposition and ten absolute preference.*

After considering this question in a number of ways, the Board indicated that its three favoured options for community consultation would be options B, C, and F.

The Board reached the following consensus:

1. That the Board should wait until there is feedback from the community before deciding which options to favour.
2. That the Board would be interested to learn which options found favour in the submissions received from the community.
3. That the Board request that a report come back to the Board at the earliest possible opportunity after feedback has been received from the City Services Seminar meeting to allow the Board at its June meeting to reconfirm its position on its preferred options.
4. That Ferrymead Ward Board members be invited to the City Services Seminar meeting to be held on this matter on Tuesday 4 May 1999, in the No 2 Committee Room, Civic Offices, commencing at 12 noon.

The meeting concluded at 6.25 pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 5TH DAY OF MAY 1999

**BOB TODD
CHAIRMAN**