9.5.0

CITY STREETS

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Overall Objectives

To ensure a sustainable, safe, convenient, comfortable and cost effective roading system is provided for the movement of people, goods and vehicles within and beyond the city, and to ensure that the road system is designed, managed and maintained to service levels agreed with the community, that it supports approved city development and the Council's environmental goals, and that it minimises the adverse effects of traffic on living, working and recreational environments.

Desired outcomes that reflect this overall objective are:

- People are satisfied with the level of service for personal mobility, and they have an appropriate choice of transport mode by car, bus, cycle or on foot
- Businesses and therefore the economy are supported by levels of service for goods movement that are consistent with efficient business operations
- Christchurch is perceived as a safe city for road users
- Christchurch is safe and accessible for cyclists
- More people use passenger transport than they do today and it keeps growing as a proportion of all trips
- Christchurch is regarded as a pedestrian friendly city especially for children, the elderly and the disabled
- The streets meet agreed community expectations and they are managed in the most cost effective way
- The city's physical environment is protected and enhanced

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Key Changes

• Maintenance Programme

Significant cost increases are included in this draft budget due to a number of factors which are summarised below.

All maintenance work is tendered or under some form of contract and many contracts are for 3 and up to 5 year terms. These contracts have cost adjustment clauses tied to the relevant CPI index. All budgets need to be increased to allow for this. Also the roading network increases on average by 0.4% each year. In some categories the increase is greater as the growth depends on subdivisional work as well the Councils capital works programme. For example, the area of cobblestone paving can increase by greater than the average when major projects are completed, or the number of traffic signals installed in any one year can be greater than the average. Extra costs due to growth will either be the average or due to actual growth if it can be reasonably calculated.

A number of other cost pressures are occurring in the maintenance area due to: -

- 1. A return to normal rainfall levels following two years of low rainfall. Current budgets were based on the dry weather patterns. Wet weather accelerates the deterioration of pavements and requires more expenditure on cleaning sumps.
- 2. Increased costs in the contracting sector due to rising oil prices, which effect the price of bitumen and diesel. It is noted that bitumen was \$473/tonne in Aug 1999 and increased to \$840/tonne in Nov 2000.
- 3. Increased contract rates on contracts that are being retendered due to the fact that previous contracts were let when the market was very competitive and economic conditions were poor, which lead to prices that could not be sustained. While the market is still very competitive prices are returning to more sustainable levels.
- 4. The changing use and high expectations of cleanliness in the central city means increased cleaning frequencies and increased costs.
- 5. The introduction of a Graffiti 'Hotline' and the need to clean graffiti immediately. This has a significant effect on bus shelter maintenance costs.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Committed Costs (Operating)

and

Increased Costs due to Increased Demand

For the Roading System Maintenance Output the two reasons for increased costs are lumped together because it is too difficult to separate them.

• ROAD MAINTENANCE \$188,368 Net

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAYS

Sub Output: Carriageway Maintenance

Current (2000/01) gross budget is \$1,969,530.

The amount of work identified through inspections and contractor programmes as not meeting specified levels of service has increased in the last two years.

In 1999/00 the gross expenditure was 4% or \$86,663 over budget and for 2000/01 the projection is 13% or \$270,000 over budget.

One reason for the increase is that rainfall levels have returned to normal levels after some dry winters. Previous reductions in budgets were based on dry weather patterns. Wet weather accelerates the deterioration of the pavements.

Another factor is that contractor costs have increased due to fuel and bitumen. As mentioned above term contracts have cost adjustment clauses tied to the CPI in them and budgets will be adjusted to allow for this. Some works, though, are either not covered by scheduled rates because they are difficult to define or are not included in the term contracts and are tendered as one off jobs. For these the rates have increased more than the CPI because of the larger effect of fuel and bitumen.

Based on current work programmes to meet current levels of service the budget level should be \$2,300,000 or an increase of 16%. To this, adjustments for inflation (3%) and for growth (0.4%) in the network need to be added.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

• ROAD MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL

Sub Output: Street Cleaning \$184,000 Net

In addition to inflation and network growth, cost pressures are occurring in two areas in this Sub Output. One is extra sweeping of roads, mainly at intersections, to provide a better level of service for cyclists. Cyclists are becoming more aware of cycle safety and that action is taken when requested and so more requests are being received. These cannot be ignored because of the safety implications. An increase of \$80,000 is required to meet this level of service.

The other area is in the sumps cleaning and the clearing of pipe blocks. As mentioned above budget levels reduced when they were based on the dry winters and years of lower rainfall. The last two winters have had more normal rainfall and expenditure levels have increased. In 1997/98 the expenditure was \$197,953 (Budget \$200,000), in 98/99 expenditure was \$332,777 (Budget \$200,000), in 99/00 expenditure was \$361,291 (Budget \$200,000). This activity is vitally important to prevent road and property flooding. The 2000/01 budget is \$236,900 and the projected expenditure is \$360,000. An increase of \$120,000 is required to cope with normal rainfall conditions.

The total increase of \$200,000 is about 12% of this Sub Output. To this, adjustments for inflation (3%) and for growth (0.4%) in the network need to be added.

It is noted that the street cleaning contract, which includes amenity cleaning, is to be re-tendered shortly with the new contract to start on 1 July 2001. Depending on how competitive the market is and the effect of increased diesel prices the contract price could be higher than the previous contract. The contract price will be known before the budget process is complete.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

OUTPUT: AMENITY MAINTENANCE

Sub Output: Maintenance \$30,000

This Sub Output covers the structural maintenance. Cleaning is not included in this Sub Output.

The adjustment for growth needs to be greater than the 'average' due to additional areas of feature paving being installed such as the Square and Oxford Tce. The 2000/01 budget was not adjusted to allow for the Square or the first stage of Oxford Tce. Normally with a new asset the maintenance costs in the early years are low but because of the nature of the Square paving where continual lifting, resanding and relaying is required, maintenance costs have been reasonably high from day one. An increase of \$30,000, or about 10%, to allow for the increased area and costs plus an inflation adjustment is required.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

OUTPUT: AMENITY MAINTENANCE

Sub Output: Major Amenity Cleaning

\$170,000

Due to the changing use and high expectations of cleanliness in the central city this budget needs to be increased significantly to cope with the demand. The food stalls in the Square now cover a wider area and so cleaning in this area is required twice a week rather once a week. There is new paving in Oxford Tce and the new paved areas around the bus exchange require weekly cleaning. An increase of \$150,000 is required to cover these costs.

Graffiti Removal (ie, graffiti on road reserve) is included in this Sub Output. Recently a new proactive approach to graffiti removal was implemented. There is a 'Hotline' and the expectation is that graffiti will be removed immediately. This has created a much greater awareness and the 'Hotline' has generated more work. The budget of \$20,520 needs to be doubled to meet demand.

The total increase for this Sub Output is, therefore, \$170,000 to which inflation needs to be added.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SERVICES

Sub Output: Maintenance \$56,760 Net

The maintenance of Bus Signs is included in this output and with new routes such as the Orbiter being implemented the growth in the number of signs is greater than the average growth. The budget of \$30,900 needs to be increased to \$40,000 to allow for this.

One of the road marking contracts has just been retendered (Oct 2000) and the contract rates have risen by approximately 20%. The cost of fuel is a major contributor to this increase. The other two contracts will be retendered during 2001/02 so the budget will need to be increased by \$90,000 to allow for the expected increase in rates on these contracts.

The total increase for this Sub Output is, therefore, \$99,500 or 13% to which inflation and growth needs to be added.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS

Sub Output: Maintenance \$2,850 Net

With the increase in the number of traffic signals and the addition of the School Speed signs the cost of this output has increased by 3.5% compared with last years budget. This is only marginally greater than the 3% inflation allowance.

Three new signalised intersections have been installed for the Railway Cycleway, ie at Wairakei Rd, Glandovey Rd and Fendalton Rd. One signalised intersection has been removed at Colombo St and the Square (north end). The net increased cost of maintaining these is \$12,480. An inflation adjustment of \$10,000 (less than 2%) has been provided as cost increases in this area are less than general inflation. The SCATS licence is actually \$9,660 whereas we budgeted \$11,286 in the past.

New temporary school speed signs were installed during the 1999/00 year. No budget provision was made for the ongoing maintenance of these signs in the 2000/01 budget. A provision of \$5,000 has now been made.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

Sub Output: Maintenance \$48,000 Net

This output includes bus shelter maintenance, and graffiti removal expectations mentioned above have impacted on this activity. Previously graffiti was cleaned from bus shelters once a month. With the introduction of the new 'Hotline' the 'policy' is to remove graffiti immediately. This on demand system has increased costs significantly and most of the current budget is spent on graffiti removal and cleaning with very little structural maintenance possible.

The budget for 2001/02 needs to increase by \$80,000, 54%, to cover the extra costs.

Summary

The following increase is in the base maintenance budget is required to cope with increased demand and growth over the average and is included in the budget. The inflation adjustment is not included in this Table but has been added to the figures in the budget.

| Category (Output and Sub Output) | Gross Increase | Transfund Revenue | Net Increase |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Carriageways - Carriageway Maintenance | \$330,470 | \$142,102 | \$188,368 |
| Kerb and Channel - Street Cleaning | \$200,000 | \$16,000 | \$184,000 |
| Amenity Maintenance - Maintenance | \$30,000 | | \$30,000 |
| Amenity Maintenance – Major Amenity Cleaning | \$170,000 | | \$170,000 |
| Traffic Services – Maintenance (Bus Signs) | \$9,100 | \$3,640 | \$5,460 |
| Traffic Services – Maintenance (Road Marking) | \$90,000 | \$38,700 | \$51,300 |
| Traffic Signals – Maintenance (School Speed Signs) | \$5,000 | \$2,150 | \$2,850 |
| Passenger Transport Infrastructure – Maintenance (Bus Shelters) | \$80,000 | \$32,000 | \$48,000 |
| Totals | \$914,570 | \$234,592 | \$679,978 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Committed Costs (Operating)

• Shuttle Increase \$20,230

\$150,000 was allowed for in the budget for an additional shuttle on the existing route. A contract has been negotiated with Red Bus for this Shuttle and based on the existing costs the annual cost will be \$174,235. The increased cost is, therefore, about \$20,230 above last years inflation adjusted figure.

• RAMM \$5,130 net

The RAMM and SLIM Support and Maintenance Fee has increased by \$9,000, or \$5,130pa net cost to the Council after Transfund subsidy. This is seen as necessary to enable the RAMM and SLIM system to be continually developed and updated with the latest technology for the benefit of the users. It is a relatively small cost when compared with the value of asset being maintained.

• Infrastructural Asset Write-off Costs (All Categories)

\$2,604,719

Accounting Standards require that the Council recognise the loss associated with the replacement of Infrastructural Assets before the end of their estimated economic lives. These losses need to be provided for to recognise that insufficient depreciation has been charged in earlier years and to show the economic cost of early replacement of Infrastructure Assets. These costs are being budgeted for the first time by City Streets.

• Rating for Infrasructural Assets (bus shelters)

\$2,738

The Valuer General has advised that all Utilities within the City must be valued and included on the rating valuation roll for 2001/02. This sum reflects the estimated rating provision for bus shelters.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Items Committed by Council during the year (Operating)

Inner City Undergrounding

\$322,000

The Council decided to underground a number of inner city streets in conjunction with the Telstra/Saturn work. The Council decided at the September 2000 meeting to approve \$400,000 of funding in the 2001/02 budget for this. Of the \$400,000, \$322,000 is operational expenditure and \$78,000 is capital expenditure for the new streetlighting.

• Waiwetu St Undergrounding

\$81,000

The City Services Committee at its November meeting agreed to a proposal from the residents of Waiwetu St to fund 50% of the undergrounding in Waiwetu St in conjunction with the kerb and channel project. The Committee agreed to delay the project until 2000/01 to enable the Council's share of the undergrounding to be budgeted for in the 2000/01 budget. Budget \$81,000. Note a further \$15,000 has been included in the Capital Budget for the streetlight component of the Waiwetu St undergrounding.

New Operating Initiatives

• RAMM

At the present time the RAMM system and database is on a single computer within the City Streets Unit. This presents some issues and risks in maintaining the data and making it available for others to use and update. The option has arisen for the RAMM system provider to "host" the entire RAMM system with access for staff and other users through the internet. This will allow our many Contractors and Consultants access to "live" data providing up-to-date data instantly. This will have many advantages over our current methods which entail the provision of specialist computer services for the running of RAMM within City Streets. The cost of hosting (\$12,000 pa gross with Transfund subsidy of \$5,160 leaving the net cost of \$6,840pa) includes access for 4 concurrent users, all computer back-ups, loading of new software upgrades, processing and loading of all data collected (rating, roughness, strength data, etc), and many other services. It will also avoid the need to replace the existing specialised computer system which is nearing the end of its functional life.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Maintenance \$30,000

Bus Shelter Maintenance – \$30,000 (over the extra \$80,000 considered a committed cost) for a more proactive approach to removal of graffiti on shelters.

PLANNING

New Operating Initiatives

• Road Network Improvements

\$178,350

Facilitating Living Streets Show-case Projects: \$178,350. The funding is required to enable the Living Streets Sub-committee to commission experts in travel demand management, street reclaiming and implementing main street speed zones as well as to undertake the marketing and promotion initiatives developed in line with the Key Result Areas of the Living Streets Charter.

Safety Planning and Auditing

\$4,148

Major Road Audits/Inspections: A further \$ 4,148 is required to provide for an increase in the length of inspections to 25km per year.

Crash Reduction Studies: Reducing the number of injury crashes occurring is resulting in investigations becoming more \$4,432 complex with 'area action' replacing some 'black spot' investigations. An increase of \$4,432 is required for this to bring the total budget up to \$15,000.

9.5.xiii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

• Cycle Safe Training

Funding of \$8,230 is to cover additional costs in operating the two teams involved in this training.

\$8,230

• Cycle Network Planning & Promotions

\$36,000

Cycling Conference – (September 2001) - Funding of \$10,000 for assisting the hosting of the Cycling Conference in Christchurch in September 2001.

\$10,000

Fee Changes

Advertising Shelters

Revenue from the Advertising Shelters will increase by \$25,000 to \$60,000, based on the number of shelters now in place and an estimate of the number of new shelters to be installed.

On-street Rental – Increased rental will occur when Oxford Terrace is upgraded. Additional amount is \$22,500 in 2001/02.

Efficiency Gains

Nil

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Capital Programme

GENERAL

RENEWALS AND REPLACEMENTS

New Capital Initiatives

• New Item for Road Pavement Replacement

\$500,000

The road pavement is managed in two ways. One being routine maintenance and the other being renewals and replacements. In asset management terms the pavement consists of a number of components. These are; the surface, ie chipseal, asphalt, porous asphalt etc, the basecourse, ie the high grade gravel layer immediately below the surfacing, and the sub-base layer, ie the lower grade gravel layer between the natural ground and the basecourse layer. All these components have different life expectancies and need to be renewed and replaced at different times. In the renewal and replacement budget there is an output for sealing and surfacing and smoothing and this is the renewal and replacement of the road surface only. We also renew and replace the basecourse and sub-base layers, ie fully reconstruct pavements when required in association with kerb and channel and other road improvement projects. There is currently no budget provision for the replacement of basecourse and sub-base layers on roads where there is no other project involved. There are a number of roads that are in need of replacement and the options used to date have been to keep repairing them out of operational budgets or to apply a surface replacement in an attempt to protect the layers and get some more life out of the pavement. Both of these strategies are acceptable in some situations but they are not always the best solution on a lifecycle cost basis. Transfund have funding available for what they call Area Wide Pavement Treatment, which is essentially basecourse and subbase replacement.

Some roads where this treatment is required are Waimairi Rd, where a surfacing treatment was tried unsuccessfully, Radcliffes Rd, Crawford Rd and Milns Rd where changing use has meant the existing pavements are no longer able to carry the traffic they are now subject to, and parts of Hereford St and Riccarton Rd. It is recommended that an annual sum of \$500,000 be allocated for this new item for 5 years reducing to \$250,000 for year 6 and beyond. It is expected that at least part of this amount would be eligible for Transfund subsidy. Revenue of \$150,000 is estimated.

Note: Funding for this for years 3 and beyond should come from alternative funding sources.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Carriageway Sealing

Increased Costs >2%

Increase \$382,500

The price of oil and hence the price of bitumen has continued to rise. Bitumen was \$473/tonne in Aug 1999. In November 2000 it was \$840/tonne. It is uncertain as to what will happen in the future, but continuing price rises will impact markedly on this activity as bitumen is the major component. The price of diesel is also a significant input price for contractors and this has also increased significantly. For 2000/01 the sealing budget was set at \$1,950,094 and this was based on bitumen at about \$640/Tonne. The price rose by \$100/tonne in September and another \$100/tonne in October. Because this years work was tendered before September we have avoided most of the latest increases and so the 2000/01 budget has proved adequate. The 2000 Annual Plan showed the budget for sealing dropping back to \$1,815,093 in 2001/02 and beyond. This was based on the expectation that oil prices would settle back to a more reasonable level. This now seems unlikely. The budget for sealing needs to be set at the \$840/tonne level. Approx. 1200 tonnes of bitumen are used annually, therefore, the budget needs to increase by \$240,000 (1200 tonnes times \$200/tonne increase) on the 2000/01 budget to cover the cost of bitumen and another 2% to cover other cost increases, i.e. diesel and the like.

The sealing budget, therefore, needs to be \$1,950,094 + \$240,000 = \$2,190,094 plus 2% inflation = \$2,233,895. This should be the annual amount in the programme and only reduced if and when the price of bitumen falls. This is \$382,500 higher than the inflation adjusted budget in the 2000 Annual Plan.

Carriageway Surfacing Increase \$10,760

Increased Costs >2%

The price of bitumen will affect this activity as well, but not to the same extent as the sealing due to bitumen being a smaller component. A 3% inflation adjustment has been made and this should cover the bitumen price increase as well other cost increases.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Carriageway Smoothing Increase \$3,745

Increased Costs >2%

A 3% inflation adjustment is required here. See comment for Carriageway Surfacing.

Footpath Resurfacing Increase \$369,402

Increased Costs >2%

The target length for footpath resurfacing is 98.5km. In anticipation of higher contract rates due to the price of bitumen and the fact that previous rates have been at very low levels, and due to the new batten policy, the budget for 2000/01 was increased over previous years. Contract rates have, in fact, risen higher than that anticipated by a significant amount. The cost based on prices for work tendered for the 2000/01 projects is \$25,280 per km compared with the budget estimate of \$21,065 per km, an increase of 20%. It is noted that in1998/99 the costs were \$18,190 per km. Last years actual costs were \$20,856 per km. All of the above exclude the professional services costs involved in programming and supervising the work as this has remained constant. The budget for 2001/02 will be based on \$25,280/km plus professional services costs. This amounts to \$2,700,000 an increase of \$369,402 over the inflation adjusted budget in the 2000 Annual Plan.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

ASSET IMPROVEMENTS

Road Network Improvements

Capital Cost Increases >2% Committed by Council during the year

Blenheim Road Deviation: This project was approved by the Council at the December 2000 meeting. The total project cost is \$9,945,000 net of surplus property sales. As reported at the meeting the net capital cost is made up as follows:

| Type of Cost | 00/01 | 01/02 | 02/03 | Total |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Land & Building Purchase incl Compensation | 7,186,500 | 2,027,500 | | 9,214,000 |
| Construction Costs | 414,000 | 3,015,000 | 2,030,000 | 5,459,000 |
| Recoveries – sale of surplus property | | | (4,728,000) | (4,728,000) |
| | | | | |
| Annual Cost | 7,600,500 | 5,042,496 | (2,698,000) | 9,945,000 |

A sum of \$810,000 was included in the 2000 Plan in 2002/03 for the project. This means that the additional funding required over the term of the project is \$9,135,000. In 2002/03 the capital will be reduced by \$3,508,000.

Funding of the 2000/01 portion is from the Capital Development Reserve. This reserve is available only temporarily to fund projects and must be replenished to a minimum of \$5 million out of the 2001/02 budget as it is an emergency fund. This fund has sufficient in hand to meet the first years costs of \$7.6M and require replenishment of approximately \$3.56M from the 2001/02 budget.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

The extra funding included in the 2001 Plan is, therefore, as follows:-

| | 1/2 | 02/03 |
|--|-----------|---|
| Property Purchase incl compensation | 2,027,500 | |
| Construction | 3,015,000 | 1,220,000 net of 810,000 already budgeted |
| Sale of Property and rents | (80,000) | (4,788,000) |
| Replenishment of Capital Development Reserve | 3,560,000 | |
| Total * | 8,522,500 | (3,568,000) |

^{*} Contingency sum – in addition to the above, a contingency sum of \$820,000 is required to cover possible poor ground conditions.

New Capital Initiatives

Port Hills Road/Opawa Road: The status of this project for improvement along this corridor is in the process of negotiation with Transit/Transfund NZ. Current indications are that Transit may take on responsibility for completion of the Opawa Road section whilst the City could take on responsibility for Port Hills Road. It may be, however, that the City has to make some contribution to construction along Opawa Road. Initial estimates are as follows:

| | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Property Purchase (Net) | \$715,500 | \$484,500 | | \$1,800,000 |
| Construction | | | \$ 700,000 | 1,100,000 |

Other Projects

| | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Halswell Junction Rd | \$80,000 | | | |
| Treffers/Parkhouse | \$80,000 | | | |
| Northern Access | | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Travis Road Traffic Management: The project requires a holistic traffic management approach to the corridor between Burwood Road and Bassett Street including safe accommodation for cyclists. There are also traffic safety egress issues from the Travis Country subdivision that would be simultaneously addressed. Provision for the design and construction of this new project is \$10,000 and \$200,000 in 2001/02 and 2002/03 respectively.

Cycleways

The proposed changes below will mean the total cycleway budget for 2001/02 will exceed the budget of \$750,000 indicated in the 2000/01 Annual Plan by \$10,000. This is within the 2% inflation adjustment. The budgets for 2002/03 and 2003/04 exceed those indicated in the 2000/01 Annual Plan by \$45,000 and \$40,000 respectively. The proposed budgets for the 2004/05 and 2005/06 years are \$740,000 and \$750,000 respectively.

Substitutions/Deletions

It is proposed to defer the following projects from:

- the 1st year to the 2nd year: Papanui Rd Bealey to Heaton; Colombo St Armagh to Bealey and Lincoln Rd Whiteleigh to Moorhouse.
- the 2nd year to the 3rd year: Papanui Rd Blighs to Innes/Heaton.
- the 3rd year to the 4th year: Papanui Rd Blighs to railway (plus \$60,000 for 5th year.)

Linwood Ave – Avonside to Dyers in the amount of \$80,000 is proposed to be delayed beyond the new 5th year until future maintenance is undertaken.

Victoria St – Bealey to Durham (\$45,000) is to be completed in 2000/01 and therefore can be deleted.

An amount of \$540,000 was provided in 2004/05 for the funding of new projects that were yet to be specified last year. The following new projects with their corresponding amounts have now been identified and to be included in 2004/05:

Railway Cycleway – Northcote to Main North (\$200,000 plus \$200,000 for 2005/06 from unspecified sum);

Linwood high (\$60,000), Aranui High (\$70,000) and Avonside Girls High (\$60,000) "bubbles";

New Brighton Access Cycle Route (\$100,000 plus \$100,000 for 2005/06 from unspecified sum);

Whiteleigh-Clarence – Blenheim to Lincoln (\$50,000);

Waltham – Moorhouse to Brougham (\$40,000) and;

Main North Rd – Papanui to Prestons (\$60,000 plus \$80,000 for 2005/06 from unspecified sum).

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

New Capital Initiatives

The following are new projects in the 1st year and have been proposed as a result of requests from Community Boards:

Signals at Blighs Rd Railway Crossing - \$35,000;

Port Hills Rd – Avoca Valley Path \$20,000 and;

Annex Rd link improvements \$30,000 plus \$45,000 in 2nd year.

A new project, Waimairi Rd – Tudor to Greers in the amounts of \$40,000 is proposed for both the 2nd and 3rd years.

Provision for \$10,000 in 2003/04 and \$40,000 in 2004/05 is proposed for new subdivision pathways.

Cost Increases >2%

Hagley Park Cycle Routes, the Boys/Girls High "bubble"; the Cashmere High "bubble" and the Heaton/St Andrews "bubble" have been increased by \$10,000 each in the 1st year to accommodate further requirements from the Safe Routes to School planning feedback.

Similarly, upward cost adjustments in the corresponding amounts have been proposed for the Branston Intermediate (\$20,000); Hillmorton/Manning (\$10,000), Hornby High (\$20,000) and Papanui High "bubbles" (\$10,000) in the 2nd year to accommodate further requirements from the Safe Routes to School planning feedback.

Also, upward cost adjustments in the amount of \$10,000 each have been proposed in the 3rd year for the Casebrook, Christchurch South and Riccarton High "bubbles" for the same reason.

Street Lighting Upgrading - Safety

A number of deletions, substitutions, and new projects are proposed and these are all within the totals in the 2000 Annual Plan.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Substitution/Deletions

2001/02 Projects:

Breezes Rd (Pages – Bexley) - \$63,240 – Delete - Was completed in 2000/01

Port Hills Rd (Curries – Tunnel) - \$\$71,400 – Delete - Port Hills Rd is a State Highway and, therefore, Transit NZ's responsibility.

Blighs Rd (Idris – Papanui) - \$27,540 – move to 2002/03 to fit in with road works project.

BowerAve (New Brighton – Queenspark) - \$77,520 - move to 2004/05 to fit in with road works project.

Travis Rd (Frosts – Bower/Rookwood) - \$27,000 – New project in 2001/02 to substitute for deleted and moved projects

Rookwood (Bower – Bowhill) - \$18,000 – New project in 2001/02 to substitute for deleted and moved projects

Keyes Rd (Bowhill – Hawke) - \$42,000 – New project in 2001/02 to substitute for deleted and moved projects

Greers (Memorial – Waimairi) - \$19,000 – New project in 2001/02 to substitute for deleted and moved projects

Wilsons (Railway – Shakespeare) - \$6,000 – New project in 2001/02 to substitute for deleted and moved projects

2002/03 Projects:

Akaroa St (Hills – Briggs) - \$14,280 – Delete - Was completed in 2000/01

Annex Rd (Birmingham – Railway) - \$16,320 – Delete - Was completed in 2000/01

Birmingham Drive (Wright – Annex) - \$32,640 – Delete - Was completed in 2000/01

Briggs Rd (Akaroa – Marshland) - \$21,420 – Delete - Was completed in 2000/01

Halswell Junction Rd (Alvason - Halswell) - \$60,180 - Delete - Was completed in 1999/00

Lyttelton St (Lincoln – Sparks) - \$61,200 – Delete - Being done as part of the Lyttelton St undergrounding project.

Antigua St (Brougham – Tuam) - \$43,860 – move to 2001/02 to substitute for deleted projects.

Parnwell St (Bassett – Travis) - \$14,280 – move to 2001/02 to substitute for deleted projects so it can be included in Bassett St project.

Bridge St (Dyers – Marine Parade) - \$66,000 – New project in 2002/03 to substitute for deleted and moved projects

 $Wordsworth\ St\ (Durham-Waltham)\ -\ \$35,\!000\ -\ New\ project\ in\ 2002/03\ to\ substitute\ for\ deleted\ and\ moved\ projects$

Bexley Rd (Wainoni – Breezes) - \$75,000 - New project in 2002/03 to substitute for deleted and moved projects

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

2003/04 Projects:

Idris Rd (Glandovey – Blighs) - \$38,760 – Delete - Done as part of the Idris Rd undergrounding project
Shirley Rd (Hills – Marshlands) – \$29,580 – Delete - Done as part of the Shirley Rd undergrounding project
Waterloo Rd (Gilberthorpes – Brixton) - \$24,480 – Delete - Completed.
Bridle Path Rd (Main – Martindales) - \$43,697 – Defer to 2004/05 to enable higher priority projects to be completed.
Ilam Rd (Maidstone – Wairakei) - \$88,000 – move to 2002/03 to substitute for deleted projects
Owles Tce (Seaview – Union) - \$26,520 - move to 2001/02 to substitute for deleted projects
Union St (Owles – Estuary) - \$37,740 - move to 2001/02 to substitute for deleted projects and to be done as part of Owles Tce project
Farrington Ave (Wairakei – Harewood) - \$40,000 - New project in 2003/04 to substitute for deleted and moved projects
Burwood Rd (Lake Tce – Prestons) - \$62,000 - New project in 2003/04 to substitute for deleted and moved projects
Merrin St (Avonhead – Withells) - \$18,000 - New project in 2003/04 to substitute for deleted and moved projects
Rose St (Hoon Hay – Lyttelton) - \$13,000 - New project in 2003/04 to substitute for deleted and moved projects
Highstead Rd (Harewood – Sawyers Arms) - \$27,000 - New project in 2003/04 to substitute for deleted and moved projects
Lake Tce Rd – (Marshlands – New Brighton) - \$120,000 - New project in 2003/04 to substitute for deleted and moved projects
Unspecified Projects – delete this item of \$20,400.

2004/05 Projects:

St Martins Rd (Wilsons – Ensors) - \$19,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Dunbars Rd (Wigram – Halswell) - \$97,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision St Martins Rd (Wilsons – Burnbrae) - \$16,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Buchanans Rd (Carmen – Gilberthorpes) - \$28,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Edgeware Rd (Manchester – Hills) - \$28,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Sawyers Arms Rd (Main North – Northcote) - \$42,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Selwyn St – (Hagley – Somerfield) - \$53,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Grimseys Rd – (QE 11 – Prestons) - \$75,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Cresswell St West (Gayhurst- New Brighton) - \$12,000 - New project in 2004/05 to substitute for unspecified projects provision Unspecified Projects – delete this item of \$500,000.

9.5.xxiii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

2005/06 Projects:

Budget for 2005/06 is \$604,820, ie old year 6 with 2% inflation. The following projects will be added to the 2005/06.

Minor Works - \$53,060.

Rutland St (Innes – St Albans) - \$18,000

Normans Rd (Strowan – Papanui) - \$29,000

Cuthberts Rd (Ruru – Breezes) - \$46,000

Marine Parade (Beach – Hawke) - \$74,000

Briggs Rd (Akaroa – Innes) - \$16,000

Hills Rd (Akaroa – Innes) - \$20,000

Beach Rd (Frosts- Marine Parade) - \$57,000

Unspecified Projects – \$240,820.

Street Lighting Upgrading – Conversion

\$93,000

Capital Cost Increases >2% Committed by Council during the year

Inflation adjustment (2%) plus addition of committed cost of \$78,000 for streetlighting in conjunction with the inner city undergrounding and a committed cost of \$15,000 for Waiwetu St streetlighting in conjunction with the undergrounding.

Seal Extensions

Substitutions/Deletions

Kinleys Lane (off St Albans St) was formed in the 1800's and until recently its ownership was not certain. It has now been established that it is legal road. This lane is unsealed and as it serves a number of properties it needs to be upgraded reasonably soon. This can be achieved by shifting two lower priority projects to future years and including Kinleys Lane in 2001/02 at \$92,840.

The two projects to be moved are Lillian St (\$35,000 to 2002/03) and Murphys Rd (\$5,000 to 2002/03 for design and \$52,840 to 2003/04 for construction). There is no urgent need to seal these low volume roads.

9.5.xxiv

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

It is also recommended that Beach Rd (Frosts – Travis Wetlands) be deleted from the programme (shown as \$40,000 in 2002/03). This short length of road is a no exit road and it only serves a Council owned house. The house is used by the Parks and Waterways Unit and is occupied by the Ranger for the Travis Wetlands. In effect the road is an accessway to the house and there are no general benefits in sealing it. In fact, sealing it may encourage undesirable public use.

Rothersay Rd can be included in the programme in 2003/04 (\$72,160) and 2004/05 (\$75,000) using unspecified sums.

All of the above changes can be made within the budget provisions shown in the 2000 Annual Plan.

An unspecified sum of \$75,000 has been added to the new year 5.

Major Amenity Improvements

Substitution

Worcester St/Latimer Square Projects

There are three projects listed in the 2000 programme in the Worcester St and Latimer Square area for 2000/01. These are: -

- 'Latimer Square Stage 1 Worcester Manchester to Latimer \$206,000'
- 'Worcester St ® Manchester Latimer Square \$178,600'
- 'Latimer Square Worcester to Gloucester \$142,800'.

The first two are, in fact, in the same block and are in the Major Amenity Improvements Category while the latter project is a kerb and channel renewal project. In reality there are two projects,

- Worcester St between Manchester and Latimer Square with a budget of \$384,600.
- Latimer Square between Worcester and Gloucester with a budget of \$142,800.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Scheme plans have been developed for these two projects and cost estimates are \$481,000 for Worcester St and \$186,400 for Latimer Square, a total extra cost of \$140,000. At this stage it is expected that these projects will not be completed before the end of the 2000/01 financial year, therefore, the additional funds will not be required until the 2001/02 year.

The draft capital programme also includes a project in Latimer Square and listed as Latimer Square Stage 2 Gloucester to Hereford with a budget of \$330,000 in 2002/03. Because Latimer Square between Worcester and Gloucester is included above, this project will be for the section between Hereford and Worcester. The cost is expected to be in the order of \$190,000, leaving a surplus of \$140,000.

It is recommended that the extra costs for this years (00/01) projects be funded by bringing forward \$140,000 of budget from 2002/03 to 2001/02.

New Capital Initiatives

Cathedral Square stage 5 - \$1,500,000

Cashel Mall improvements - \$40,000 in 2001/02, \$200,000 in 2003/04 and \$200,000 in 2004/05.

Lichfield/Tuam One way swap – budget is \$600,000 in 2001/02. New estimate is \$1,260,000 with \$60,000 in 2001/02 and \$1,200,000 in 2002/03. **NOTE:** See the separate report 'Funding Request for Central City Projects' for further details on these 3 projects and other central city projects.

New Brighton Mall - \$500,000 in 2001/02 and \$700,000 in 2002/03

The Council meeting on 23/11/00 included the following resolution with regard to budgeting for this project:

"It was resolved, further that the funding of the project be considered in the Annual Plan process for 2001/02, following negotiations with the New Brighton Business Association."

The project incorporates the following aspects:

- slow road through the New Brighton Mall from Union St to Oram Ave
- upgrade of the Beresford St Carpark
- a pedestrian link between the Beresford St Carpark and the New Brighton Mall
- upgrade of Seaview Rd leading into the slow road

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

NEW ASSETS

New Construction/Kerb and Channel Substitution

Centaurus Rd (Aynsley to Glenelg)

This project is shown in the 2000 Plan with \$81,600 in 2000/01 and \$102,000 in 2001/02, ie total budget of \$183,600. The project is for the construction of new kerb and channel and a footpath on the side of the road where there is currently no kerb and channel or path. The other side has kerb and dish channel. The existing poles conflict with the proposed new kerb and footpath and so would require relocation. Centaurus Rd fits the undergrounding criteria. Also the kerb and dish channel replacement is close to being on the 5 year programme.

Because of the above issues it is necessary to align the three projects (ie the new kerb and path, the dish channel replacement, and the undergrounding) into one project. At this stage the year 2004/05 would fit best as this is when there is currently unspecified funding in the undergrounding budget and when the dish channel renewal could be slotted in. The following changes to the draft budget are required.

Shift the \$102,000 from 2001/02 to 2004/05 and add \$81,600 from the Unspecified sum in 2004/05. Note that the \$81,600 budgeted in 2000/01 will be shifted to the Taylors Mistake Rd budget and the \$81,600 added in 2004/05 will effectively come off the Taylors Mistake Rd budget that was proposed for that year.

Taylors Mistake Rd - \$224,400 (ie \$306,000 - \$81,600) to be included in 2004/05 from the Unspecified sum.

New Capital Initiatives

New footpaths at various locations – there have been requests for footpaths at 70 different locations by the community. This amounts to 3-5km of new footpath and has budget provision of \$50,000 for 3 years from 2001/02.

Safety Improvement Works Capital Cost Increase >2%

Avonside Drive Barriers – an extra \$65,000 is required to find a barrier that meets the residents' requirements.

Cashmere/Penruddock: The project cost has been increased by \$42,000 in order to address additional drainage issues.

Avonside/Fitzgerald: This project has increased in cost from \$280,000 to \$325,000. Because of the low B/C this project could be delayed and replaced by projects with higher B/C's and hence higher priorities.

9.5.xxvii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Deferral of Project

Berwick/Forfar/Warrington: Recommend that project deferred by two years to assess the impact of recent changes that have been implemented. Marshland/Lower Styx – delay project by one year to 2002/03.

New Capital Initiatives

Kerb cutdown improvements: An ongoing annual provision of \$10,000 to improve crossing conditions for mobility impaired people.

Road Safety at Schools: An additional \$25,000 per annum has been proposed for the first three years increasing the annual provision to \$100,000 in order to address the growing list of projects identified by the Sub-committee.

Safe Routes to School: An additional \$30,000 per annum has been proposed for the first two years increasing the annual provision to \$70,000 in order to address projects that have been identified through the planning process.

Aymes/Goulding/Shands: The project has been shifted to the Road Network Improvement Category and delayed by a year to 2002/03 in order to combine it with work planned by Transit. Budget provision has been increased to \$45,000 in 2001/02 and \$200,000 in 2002/03.

The following new projects with their respective costs have been identified through formal crash reduction studies and all have very high economic benefits (i.e. high cost benefit ratios):

| Evans Pass Safety Improvements | \$150,000 | 2001/02 | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| Marshs/Springs | \$42,000 | 2001/02 | |
| Innes/Rutland | \$50,000 | 2001/02 | and \$150,000 in 2002/03 |
| Bowhill/Rookwood/Keys | \$35,000 | 2003/04 | |
| Dyers Pass Road bends | \$92,000 | 2003/04 | |
| Dyers Pass Road at Cashmere School | \$35,000 | 2003/04 | |

Northcote rail crossing signals: A proposed safe crossing project with both a pedestrian and cycle emphasis and a cost of \$50,000 in 2001/02.

Two signal installation projects proposed to address pedestrian and disabled crossing of main roads in 2001/02 are Eastgate Pedestrian Signals (\$50,000) and Buckleys/Kerrs Ped Signals (\$30,000) respectively.

9.5.xxviii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Crash reduction studies implementation: indicative provision has been made for two unspecified amounts of \$300,000 and \$300,000 in the 4th and 5th years to address projects with high economic benefit that will be identified through future crash reduction studies.

Neighbourhood Improvements

The projects within this output have been significantly reprogrammed and there are some cost adjustments but the annual totals as reflected in the 2000 Annual Plan have been retained.

Capital Cost Increase >2%

Hawford Road: The project cost has been reduced by \$20,000 from \$30,000 down to \$10,000. Ashgrove / Barrington: An increase of \$9,400 from \$30,600 to \$40,000 is required for this work.

Garvins Rd: Increase of \$15,000 from \$25,000 to \$40,000

Substitutions/Deletions

It is requested that the following projects be displaced by higher priority projects and be deferred by one year from 2001/02 to 2002/03:

| Amyes/Trevor | \$30,000 |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Dominion/Milton | \$40,000 |
| Fifield @ Ensors (East approach) | \$40,000 |
| O'Halloran Drive | \$45,000 |

9.5.xxix

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

It is requested that the following projects be displaced by one year by higher priority projects and be deferred from 2002/03 to 2003/04:

| Holliss Avenue | \$8,000 |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Birdwood @ Waimea | \$18,000 |
| Riverlaw (Burnbrae to Wilsons) | \$15,000 |
| Hastings Street East | \$10,000 |
| Wychbury Street \$ | 10,000 |
| Fifield/Ford | \$30,500 |
| Bunyan | \$60,000 |
| Rydal/Sparks | \$2,500 |
| Cashmere View | \$15,000 |
| Tirangi Street | \$30,000 |
| Jones/Railway | \$30,000 |
| Fisher Ave | \$5,000 |
| Palatine Tce Traffic Calming | \$15,300 |
| Croydon/Southampton | \$9,000 |
| Fifield (Ensors to Beckford) | \$10,000 |
| Thorrington Road | \$10,000 |
| Croydon/Southampton | \$9,000 |
| Fifield (Ensors to Beckford) | \$10,000 |
| Thorrington Road | \$10,000 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

It is requested that the following projects be displaced by higher priority projects and be deferred from 2002/03 by more than a year to beyond 2003/04:

| Nortons Road | \$30,000 |
|---------------------|----------|
| Witham Street | \$30,000 |
| Grange Street | \$13,000 |
| Bowenvale Avenue | \$20,000 |
| Barrington/Wychbury | \$9,000 |
| Glynne Crescent | \$8,000 |
| Lewis/Wyn | \$9,000 |
| Cable Street | \$30,000 |

Wilsons Road (Waltham to Brougham) from 2001/02 and Barrington/Howard from 2002/03 have both been deferred to beyond the 3rd year to coincide with the future programming of Kerb & Channel.

The following projects have been built and therefore fall out of the programme:

| Halswell/Rowley | \$9,000 |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Hendersons/Rowley | \$2,500 |
| Dovedale Avenue | \$30,000 |
| Ford Road at Opawa School | \$10,000 |

Gilberthorpes/Roberts: (\$45,000 in 2001/02) The project is no longer seen as necessary following the conclusions from a report on the heavy vehicle study undertaken in the Riccarton/Wigram area.

The following new and increased scope projects are recommended to be added as substitutes for the projects above.

Mathers Road Calming (additional \$45,000 to be added to the \$50,000 provision in 2000/01) 2001/02 has been identified as having high potential for the application of the "Living Streets" philosophy and the budget provision therefore needs to be \$95,000 to fund improvements other than just the installation of road humps.

9.5.xxxi

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Aynsley Tce Traffic Calming - New budget of \$60,000 to replace the \$25,500 in the draft to fund improvements other than just the installation of road humps.

Sturrocks Road: Provision of \$12,700 in 2001/02 for a new project with high priority identified through the Redwood West LATM planning process.

Tuckers Road: Provision of \$242,000 in 2002/03 for a new project identified through the Redwood West LATM planning process.

Rowley Avenue: (additional \$8,000 to be added to the \$8,000 provision in 2000/01) An increase of \$8,000 is required for this work will be reprogrammed into 2001/02 at a total cost of \$16,000.

Aorangi/Brookside: Provision of \$50,000 in 2002/03 for a new project.

Signals

Traffic Signal Upgrade: Last year's provision for the amount of \$204,000 in the 2002/03 financial year is no longer required due to very competitive tender rates and early project completion.

Signs

New Capital Initiatives \$5,000

School Crossing Equipment: The Council is responsible for the provision of all equipment, i.e. signs and markings, associated with the installation of new School patrols. This includes the Kea crossing school patrol, which has now been approved nationally as an approved traffic control device. Extra funding of \$5,000 per annum is allocated to enable Kea crossings to be installed at 5 schools per year. Existing funding of \$10,404 covers three schools. There is currently 5 schools requesting Kea crossings and we expect 5 schools per year to seek this facility.

9.5.xxxii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| ACTIVITY: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

Fixed Assets - Property Purchase

New Capital Initiatives

Port Hills/Opawa Rd: The notes under the Section **Road Network Improvements** in this regard refer. Net amounts of \$715,000 in 2001/02 and \$484,500 in 2002/03 have been provided

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

| NET COST SUMMARY | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT | Page 9.5.4 | 3,490,497 | 3,963,935 |
| ACTIVITIES ON STREET | Page 9.5.14 | (338,971) | |
| ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME | Page 9.5.15 | 469,738 | 675,158 |
| ROADING LAND | Page 9.5.17 | (20,342) | (96,625) |
| UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION | Page 9.5.18 | 1,357,521 | 1,887,226 |
| COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES | Page 9.5.19 | 2,314,927 | 2,732,969 |
| ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE | Page 9.5.23 | 32,097,943 | 35,433,212 |
| REVENUE ON INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS | | (5,343,309) | (6,498,629) |
| REVENUE ON FIXED ASSETS | | (92,880) | (264,000) |
| TOTAL NET COST OF CITY STREETS BUSINESS UNIT | | 33,935,125 | 37,472,786 |
| COST OF CAPITAL EMPLOYED | | 54,730,759 | 59,703,322 |
| IDENTIFIABLE WORKS | Page 9.5.56 | 46,542,007 | 36,421,638 |
| FIXED ASSET PURCHASES | Page 9.5.85 | (2,164,758) | 417,005 |
| NET FINANCING TRANSFERS | Page 9.5.86 | 0 | 0 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

| OUTPUT CLASS EXPENDITURE | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT | Page 9.5.4 | 3,851,063 | 4,381,916 |
| ACTIVITIES ON STREET | Page 9.5.14 | 206,529 | 493,539 |
| ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME | Page 9.5.15 | 621,738 | 827,158 |
| ROADING LAND | Page 9.5.17 | 104,658 | 108,375 |
| UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION | Page 9.5.18 | 1,357,521 | 1,887,226 |
| COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES | Page 9.5.19 | 2,877,677 | 3,310,547 |
| ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE | Page 9.5.23 | 36,185,973 | 39,969,063 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | | 45,205,159 | 50,977,824 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | BUSINESS UNIT SUMMARY |

| | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| OUTPUT CLASS REVENUE | | | |
| TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT | Page 9.5.4 | 360,565 | 417,981 |
| ACTIVITIES ON STREET | Page 9.5.14 | 545,500 | 854,000 |
| ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME | Page 9.5.15 | 152,000 | 152,000 |
| ROADING LAND | Page 9.5.17 | 125,000 | 205,000 |
| UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION | Page 9.5.18 | 0 | 0 |
| COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES | Page 9.5.19 | 562,750 | 577,578 |
| ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE | Page 9.5.23 | 4,088,029 | 4,535,851 |
| REVENUE ON INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS | • | 5,343,309 | 6,498,629 |
| REVENUE ON FIXED ASSETS | | 92,880 | 264,000 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | 11,270,034 | 13,505,038 |
| NET COST CITY STREETS | | 33,935,125 | 37,472,786 |
| INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS EXPENDITURE | | 46,542,007 | 36,421,638 |
| FIXED ASSETS | | (2,164,758) | 417,005 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

PLANNING - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see the following pages 9.5.text.5 to 9.5.text.13.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| PLANNING - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | | |
| Road Network Improvements | 990,759 | 1,308,182 |
| Cycle Network Planning & Promotions | 353,270 | 434,789 |
| Safety Planning & Audits | 288,239 | 260,235 |
| Pedestrian Planning | 125,748 | 138,894 |
| Public Transport Planning | 207,828 | 242,680 |
| Asset Management Planning | 468,908 | 392,472 |
| Local Roads Planning | 1,000,305 | 1,068,835 |
| RAMM | 326,896 | 445,072 |
| Project Feasibility | 89,109 | 90,756 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 3,851,063 | 4,381,916 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 360,565 | 417,981 |
| Internal Fees | | |
| External Revenue | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 360,565 | 417,981 |
| NET COST PLANNING - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 3,490,497 | , , |
| | | |

9.5.text.5.i

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: Road Network Improvements

For text see page 9.5.text.5.ii and 9.5.text.5.iii.

9.5.text.5.ii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: Road Network Improvements

Description

- The development of forward roading programmes in conjunction with key stakeholders that are consistent with the Unit's strategic outcomes, the proposed Transport Strategy and the City Streets Asset Management Plan (AMP).
- Planning for road network improvements.
- Maintenance and updating of the City's traffic and transportation models.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. Report to City Services Committee on the extent and value of road network improvements that can be economically justified over the next five years.
- 2. To report annually on the economic viability of potential and designated road network improvements based on Transfund New Zealand's project evaluation criteria.
- 3. To complete the Regional Land Transport Strategy and Metropolitan Christchurch Strategy.
- 4. To manage the scheme assessment study of the Southern Arterial and associated roading schemes on behalf of the Council and in conjunction with Transit New Zealand.
- 5. To complete the planning process in order to enable construction of the Opawa Road / Port Hills Road improvements, in conjunction with Transit New Zealand.
- 6. To complete the planning and initiate the construction of the Fendalton Road 4 laning project.
- 7. To represent the Council's interests in the Christchurch International Airport / Russley Road joint study with Transit New Zealand.
- 8. To provide planning study management of the Christchurch Northern Roading Options Scoping Study (NROSS) and the ensuing main study.
- 9. To undertake the ongoing planning of traffic management projects as part of the Central City Strategy.
- 10. To represent the Council's interests in the Waimakariri District Transport study.
- 11. To provide expert transport evidence in Urban Growth references to the City Plan, on behalf of the Council.

9.5.text.5.iii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: Road Network Improvements (cont'd)

Performance Indicators (to be read in conjunction with the corresponding Objectives above.)

- 1. Report by September 2001.
- 2. Report completed by June 2002.
- 3. Reports submitted to Regional Land Transport Committee in accordance with agreed timeframe.
- 4. By June 2002.
- 5. By 30 June 2002.
- 6. By 30 June 2002.
- 7. By 30 June 2002.
- 8. By 30 June 2002.
- 9. By 30 June 2002.
- 10. By 30 June 2002.
- 11. By 30 June 2002.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|----------|--------|---|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Road Network Improvements | | | | |
| DIRECT COSTS | | | 100 100 | 124014 |
| Transportation Studies | | | 123,120 15,390 | , |
| Transport Planning Research & Development CTS Model Enhancement | | | 51,300 | 15,852 52,839 |
| Speed Limit Monitoring & Assessments | | | 10,260 | 10,568 |
| Assessment Requests | | | 10,260 | 10,568 |
| Effects of Traffic Calming in Christchurch | | | 65,000 | |
| Promotions/Customer Research | | | 18,468 | 19,022 |
| Land Legalisation Surveys | | | 0 | 0 |
| Reinstatement of Survey Traverses | | | 0 | 0 |
| New Brighton Area Redevelopment (Strategic Pla | nn) | | 0 | 0 |
| Consultant Fees | | | 20,520 | , |
| Traffic Counting Contract & Maintenance | | | 41,040 | 42,271 178,350 |
| Facilitating Living Streets City Plan Hearings | | | | 21,136 |
| Aerial Mapping | | | 12 312 | 12,681 |
| Acriai Mapping | | | | |
| ALLOCATED COSTS | | | 388,190 | 578,186 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (11.27)% | 13.38% | 602,569 | 729,996 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 990,759 | 1,308,182 |
| REVENUE | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | | | 0 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL NET COST - ROAD NETWORK IMPR | OVEMENTS | | 990,759 | 1,308,182 |
| | | | ======================================= | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

Sub Output: Cycle Network Planning and Promotions

Description

- Planning for cycleways.
- Promotion of cycling.
- Development of forward programme for cycleways

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To report to City Services Committee on the updated proposed actions and costs of cycle network development and facilities improvements.
- 2. To represent Council cycle interests in Urban Growth references to the City Plan and urban and regional transport strategy development.
- 3. To develop scheme plans for cycle network and cycle facility projects in accordance with the Scheme Planning Process Guideline.
- 4. To represent the cycle interests in all city infrastructure projects.
- 5. To provide traffic engineering expertise and input from a cycle advocacy perspective to all infrastructure planning projects including proposals by developers and major resource consent applications.
- 6. To participate in the production of a national standards for cycle facilities for the acceptance by practitioners and users in the industry.
- 7. To produce the annual Cycle Strategy Monitoring Report and Work Plan.
- 8. To undertake cycle rides and other promotional activities.
- 9. To run cycle promotion and information/education programmes.
- 10. To maintain relevance and alignment with community demands of cycle infrastructure, promotion and information programmes.

Performance Indicators (to be read in conjunction with the corresponding Objectives above.)

- 1. Report submitted and presented to City Services as part of Transport Improvement Team planning process.
- 2. As required and requested by the urban growth planning process and urban and regional transport strategy development.
- 3. Scheme plans for all projects scheduled on Capital Works Programme submitted on time to ensure completion before the end of the financial year.
- 4. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 5. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 6. Draft intersection standards, and draft signing standards produced by December 2001.
- 7. Document developed by March 2002 and presented to April 2002 City Services Committee meeting.
- 8. Park to Pier Mass Ride: October 2001. Bike to work day: February 2002.Other events to programme to be determined by March 2001 and events implemented according to budget 2001/02 provisions.
- 9. Christchurch Press Cycling Page published monthly; promotional and safety messages developed in response to local demands and available budget.
- 10. Cycle Steering Group to meet at least quarterly.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING Sub Output : Cycle Network Planning & Promoti | ions | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|-------------|--------|---|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Promotion & Profile Raising Campaign Cycle Planning, Research & Monitoring Bike Rides & Events Cyle Planning and Bike Rides Cycling Conference - September 2001 | | | 53,352 88,236 29,754 25,000 0 | 30,647 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (2.94)% | 4.08% | 196,342 156,928 | ŕ |
| TOTAL COST REVENUE | | | 353,270 | 434,789 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET COST - CYCLE NETWORK PLANN | IING & PROM | OTIONS | 353,270 | * |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

Sub Output: Safety Planning and Audits

Description

Promote a road safety culture

• Planning for road safety improvements

Manage the Safety Management System including audits

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To identify through crash reduction studies and promote within the Prioritisation Process citywide Road Safety capital works projects for inclusion in the Annual Plan.
- 2. To develop scheme plans for Road Safety projects identified in the Annual Plan in accordance with the Scheme Planning Process Guideline.
- 3. To promote a Road Safety culture within the community and in all city infrastructure projects.
- 4. To provide Road Safety Audit and traffic engineering expertise and input to all infrastructure planning projects including proposals by developers and major resource consent applications.
- 5. To develop and implement a Safety Management System for the City Streets Unit and promote it to users in the industry.
- 6. To support the Co-ordinating Committee for Traffic Safety and manage the Road Safety Education and Enforcement Programmes.
- 7. To research and develop innovative Road Safety programmes and produce an Annual Monitoring Report.

Performance Indicators (to be read in conjunction with the corresponding Objectives above)

- 1. Report presented to City Services Committee by December 2001.
- 2. Scheme plans for all projects scheduled on Capital Works Programme submitted on time to ensure completion before the end of the financial year.
- 3. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 4. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 5. Implement the Safety Management System by June 2002.
- 6. The Co-ordinating Committee for Traffic Safety and road users satisfied with the functioning of the road environment.
- 7. Report presented to the Co-ordinating Committee for Traffic Safety and City Services by August 2001.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING Sub Output : Safety Planning & Audits | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------|--|---|
| DIRECT COSTS Major Rd Safety Inspections Crash Reduction Studies Accident Investigations Safety Management System Safety at Schools | | 15,390 10,260 16,416 20,000 10,260 | 20,000 15,000 16,908 0 10,568 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets (4.04) | % 3.62% | 72,326 215,913 | 62,476 197,759 |
| TOTAL COST REVENUE | | 288,239 | 260,235 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET COST - SAFETY PLANNING & AUDITS | | 288,239 | * |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

Sub Output: Pedestrian Planning

Description

• Development of forward programmes for pedestrian facilities.

- Planning for pedestrian safety and facilities
- Promotion of walking

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To identify and report on initiatives and programmes for city-wide pedestrian projects for inclusion and acceptance in the Annual Plan.
- 2. To develop scheme plans for Pedestrian Safety, Safe Routes to School and Road Safety at Schools projects in accordance with the Scheme Planning Process Guideline.
- 3. To represent the pedestrian interests in all city infrastructure projects.
- 4. To provide traffic engineering expertise and input from a pedestrian advocacy perspective to all infrastructure planning projects including proposals by developers and major resource consent applications.
- 5. To participate in the production of a national guideline for pedestrian road crossing facilities for the acceptance by practitioners and users in the industry.
- 6. To manage the ongoing control and operation of school patrols including Kea Crossings.

Performance Indicators (to be read in conjunction with the corresponding Objectives above.)

- 1. Report presented to City Services Committee by December 2001.
- 2. Scheme plans for all projects scheduled on Capital Works Programme submitted on time to ensure completion before the end of the financial year.
- 3. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 4. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 5. Draft Guideline produced in time for presentation to the Trafinz annual meeting in early August 2001.
- 6. Schools and road users satisfied with the functioning of the school patrols and Kea Crossings.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING Sub Output : Pedestrian Planning | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|-----------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Pedestrian Crossing Points-Guidelines & Mino | r Designs | | 10,260 | 10,568 |
| | | | 10,260 | 10,568 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (2.16)% | 2.35% | 115,488 | 128,327 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 125,748 | 138,894 |
| REVENUE | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET COST - PEDESTRIAN PLANN | ING | | 125,748 | 138,894 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

Sub Output: Public Transport Planning

Description

• Planning for public transport

Promotion of passenger transport

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To investigate, develop and finalise proposals for passenger transport initiative projects including bus priority routes for inclusion and acceptance in the Annual Plan.
- 2. To hold regular liaison meetings with key passenger transport stakeholders to co-ordinate initiatives and projects, identify issues, address gaps and overlaps and encourage ongoing mutual understanding of direction.
- 3. To develop a plan for the provision of passenger transport facilities to support the bus service reviews of Environment Canterbury.
- 4. To develop scheme plans for passenger transport projects in accordance with the Scheme Planning Process Guideline.
- 5. To represent passenger transport interests in all city infrastructure projects.
- 6. To provide passenger transport expertise and input from an advocacy perspective into all infrastructure planning projects including proposals by developers and major resource consent applications.

Performance Indicators (to be read in conjunction with the corresponding Objectives above)

- 1. Report submitted and presented to City Services Committee by Jan 2002.
- 2. Hold regular formal meetings (notified agenda and the keeping of formal minutes) with the following:
- 3.1 Quarterly with Taxi Consultative Forum;
- 3.2 Monthly with Environment Canterbury's bus planning and operations team;
- 3.3 Quarterly with scheduled commuter bus operators, and;
- 3.4 Quarterly with tour coach operators.
- 3.5 Report on the proposals and presentation to respective Community Board and/or the City Services Committee as appropriate in time for the installation before the launch of the new service(s).
- 4. Scheme plans for all projects scheduled on Capital Works Programme submitted on time to ensure completion before the end of the financial year.
- 5. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 6. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING Sub Output : Public Transport Planning | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|----------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Public Transport Initiatives & Planning | | | 92,340 | 53,910 |
| | | | 92,340 | 53,910 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (2.16)% | 3.46% | 115,488 | 188,770 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 207,828 | 242,680 |
| REVENUE | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET COST - PUBLIC TRANSPORT | PLANNING | | 207,828 | 242,680 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: Asset Management Planning

Description

To manage the assets so that agreed levels of service are achieved in the most cost-effective manner through creation, acquisition, maintenance, operation, renewal and disposal of assets for present and future key stakeholders.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. Complete the 3 yearly review of the Asset Management Plan.
- 2. Develop forward asset renewal and replacement programmes in conjunction with key stakeholders, and consistent with the Unit's strategic outcomes, the proposed Transport Strategy and the City Streets Asset Management Plan (AMP).
- 3. Promote the asset management perspective relating to capital works projects and new assets vested in Council as the result of new subdivisions and developments.
- 4. Monitor/evaluate new techniques/products to ensure best practices are used.
- 5. Introduce dTIMS as a pavement predictive modelling tool.

Performance Indicators

- 1. Update the Asset Management Plan, using new valuations and levels of service data, by 30 June 2002.
- 2. 2002/03 draft annual budget and five-year programme prepared by 30 November 2001
- 3. On going response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 4. Report on asset management issues within agreed timeframes.
- 5. Prepare a report (including an improvement plan) on the implementation of dTIMS by 31 July 2001.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING Sub Output : Agest Management Planning | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|------------|-------|--|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Asset Management Planning | | | | |
| DIRECT COSTS dTimms data collection and management. Pavement Deterioration Studies Minor Lighting Trials Asset Management Plan Review Risk Management/Emergency Response | | | 65,000 15,390 17,852 18,058 10,000 | 8,388 18,599 |
| | | | 126,300 | 43,139 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (6.41)% | 6.40% | 342,608 | · |
| TOTAL COST | | | 468,908 | 392,472 |
| REVENUE | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET COST - ASSET MANAGEMEN | T PLANNING | | 468,908 | , |
| | | | ======================================= | |

9.5.text.11.i

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output : Local Roads Planning

For text refer page 9.5.text.11.ii and 9.5.text.11.iii.

9.5.text.11.ii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: Local Roads Planning

Description

- The development of forward local roading improvement programmes in conjunction with key stakeholders that are consistent with the Unit's strategic outcomes, the proposed Transport Strategy and the City Streets Asset Management Plan (AMP).
- Development of Local Area Traffic Management Schemes (LATMS) and input to Neighbourhood Improvement Plans (NIP'S).
- Provide local area traffic engineering and roading advice to Community Boards and the community.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To identify and report on initiatives and programmes for city-wide Neighbourhood Improvement Works projects for inclusion and acceptance in the Annual Plan.
- 2. To develop scheme plans for Neighbourhood Improvement Works projects in accordance with the Scheme Planning Process Guideline.
- 3. To provide traffic engineering input to the development of Kerb & Channel Renewal and other capital works project scheme plans in local areas.
- 4. To provide traffic engineering and roading expertise and input from a local roading advocacy perspective to all infrastructure planning projects.
- 5. To provide traffic engineering and roading expertise and input from a local roading advocacy perspective regarding the use of legal road for other activities including applications of overweight vehicle permits, parades, cranes, construction and alfresco dining.
- To review how the Unit undertakes LATMS planning.

9.5.text.11.iii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: Local Roads Planning (cont'd)

Performance Indicators

- 1. Proposal submitted and presented to City Services Committee by December 2001.
- 2. Scheme plans for all projects scheduled on Capital Works Programme submitted on time to ensure completion before the end of the financial year.
- 3. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 4. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 5. Ongoing response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.
- 6. By 30 June 2002.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING Sub Output : Local Roads Planning | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Local Roads Assessments/Studies LATM Plan Preparations LATM Coordinator - Salary | 30,780 6,000 0 | 31,703 6,180 0 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets (18.03) | 36,780 9% 18.90% 963,525 | 37,883 1,030,952 |
| TOTAL COST | 1,000,305 | 1,068,835 |
| REVENUE | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET COST - LOCAL ROADS PLANNING | 1,000,305 | 1,068,835 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: RAMM System

Description

Provide a cost effective road asset management information system (RAMM) to allow advanced asset management decision making.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. Complete a roughness survey of half of the cities local roads.
- 2. Complete a condition rating survey of the cities roads.
- 3. Update RAMM with data required for dTIMS.
- 4. Update RAMM with additions and alterations to the assets.
- 5. Prepare statistical data reports.
- 6. Data capture and introduction of data management strategy for street furniture asset data.

Performance Indicator

- 1. Complete roughness survey by 30 June 2002.
- 2. Complete condition rating of roads by 30 November 2001.
- 3. Ongoing updating of RAMM to the satisfaction of the asset managers.
- 4. Ongoing preparation of statistical data within the agreed timeframes.
- 5. Data collection contract and updating procedures completed by 31 December 2001.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT: PLANNING | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : RAMM | | | | |
| DIRECT COSTS RAMM Inventory data RAMM Rating data RAMM Miscellaneous RAMM and SLIM Support and Maint Fee RAMM Hosting dTimms Support and Maint Fee | | | 18,000 0 | 92,700 5,150 27,000 |
| ALLOCATED COCTO | | | 206,000 | 319,590 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (2.26)% | 2.30% | 120,896 | 125,482 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 326,896 | 445,072 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | | | 140,565 | 191,381 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | | 140,565 | 191,381 |
| TOTAL NET COST - RAMM | | | 186,331 | * |
| | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Sub Output: Project Feasibility

Description

• Carry out scheme assessments and economic analysis of proposed projects.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To analyse and assess costs and benefits of candidate projects identified by the Transport Improvements Team.

Performance Indicator

1. To undertake all the project feasibility analysis required for the 5 year Capital Works Programme before the Transfund cut-off date in November.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

| OUTPUT : PLANNING | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------|-------|---|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Project Feasibility | | | Ψ | Ψ |
| DIRECT COSTS Consultancy Fees | | | 52,000 | 53,560 |
| ALLOCATED COOTES | | | 52,000 | 53,560 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (0.69)% | 0.68% | 37,109 | 37,196 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 89,109 | 90,756 |
| REVENUE | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET COST - PROJECT FEASIBILITY | | | 89,109 | * |
| | | | | |
| Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets REVENUE | (0.00)% | 0.00% | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA - Administration | | | 220,000 | 226,600 |
| TOTAL NET COST - PLANNING | | | , , | 3,963,935 |
| | | | ======================================= | |

9.5.funding.text.13

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

Description Development of forward roading programmes in consultation with key stakeholders;

Implementing and monitoring the roading Asset Management Plan;

Planning for road network improvements, cycling, safety, pedestrians and passenger transport.

Participate in the development of local area traffic management schemes and neighbourhood improvement programmes.

Benefits The delivery of this service ensures road users have a choice of transport mode and have an efficient roading system for the movement of people and

goods.

Strategic Objectives A3, C2, C3, C5, CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Plan, Traffic Calming Policy, Parking Policy, Public Transport Policy, Bus

D1, E2, F1, F6 Passenger Shelters and Seats Policy, Cycle Strategy, Cycling Policy, Cycleways Policy, Safety Strategy, City

Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the value of sound planning of the roading needs for the future needs of the city which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits of planning accrue on the basis of 80 % vehicle-km, 20% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra planning effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

Control Negative Effects

9.5.funding.13

| | RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| - | OUTPUT CLASS: | TRANSPORT PLANNING/ASSET MANAGEMENT |

OUTPUT: PLANNING

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 60.00% General Benefits | - | 1,924,803 | 509,430 | 67,747 | 127,170 | | 2,629,149 CapValAll |
| 40.00% Direct Benefits | - | 909,628 | 827,968 | 15,170 | - | | 1,752,766 Road60%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 2,834,431 | 1,337,398 | 82,917 | 127,170 | - | 4,381,916 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | (0) | - | - | - | - | | (0) |
| Non-Rateable | - | 97,833 | 25,893 | 3,443 | (127,170) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | (0) | 97,833 | 25,893 | 3,443 | (127,170) | - | (0) |
| Total Costs and Modifications | (0) | 2,932,264 | 1,363,291 | 86,361 | - | - | 4,381,916 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | (0) | | | | | | (0) |
| 9.54% Grants and Subsidies | | 216,918 | 197,445 | 3,618 | - | | 417,981 Road60%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 90.46% Capital Value Rating | - | 2,715,346 | 1,165,846 | 82,743 | - | - | 3,963,935 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | (0) | 2,932,264 | 1,363,291 | 86,361 | - | - | 4,381,916 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ACTIVITIES ON STREET |

OUTPUT: ACTIVITIES ON STREET

Description

• Administration of procedures for utility services installation, vehicle crossings, structures on street, lease of road space, and other activities on street.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. To protect infrastructure assets from premature deterioration caused by others, with full recovery of all associated administration costs.
- 2. All permitted activities are consistent with the Councils policies and procedures.

Performance Indicators

- 1. All costs associated with processing, administering, and monitoring trenching activities are recovered from those undertaking the activities.
- 2. All service utility authorities trenching operations meet the requirements outlined in the Council Document titled 'Installation and Maintenance of Network Services in Roads' as shown by audit records.
- 3. Lease and rental revenue 5% greater than 2000/01.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ACTIVITIES ON STREET |

| OUTPUT : ACTIVITIES ON STREET | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Operating Costs | | | 15,130 | 143,521 |
| | | | 15,130 | 143,521 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (3.58)% | 6.42% | 191,399 | 350,018 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 206,529 | 493,539 |
| REVENUE External Revenue Internal Recoveries | | | 443,500 102,000 | 827,000 27,000 |
| NET COST - ACTIVITIES ON STREET | | | (338,971) | (360,461) |

9.5.funding.text.14

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ACTIVITIES ON STREET |

OUTPUT: ACTIVITIES ON STREET

Description Consents for trenching, utilities, crossings, structures on street; rental of street for commercial benefit; contributions from subdividers for work on the

street. Note that some costs associated with these activities are included elsewhere.

Benefits Individual property owners, businesses and network operators benefit from being able to use part of the street.

Strategic Objectives B3, C2, D5 CCC Policy Structures on Street Policy, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Footpath extensions to expand cafes onto the roadway Policy; Vehicle Crossings, Policy for Sealing Of Service

Strips And Road Openings: Charges For

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

The City as a whole benefits from a consents process; the benefit of having consents process is independent of the number of beneficiaries.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to inquirers and applicants through the permission to carry out their planned activities.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Costs are included in other Outputs of Streets and ESU.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

All benefits shall be funded from consents charges.

Control Negative Effects

9.5.funding.14

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ACTIVITIES ON STREET |

OUTPUT: ACTIVITIES ON STREET

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 50.00% General Benefits | - | 180,660 | 47,815 | 6,359 | 11,936 | | 246,770 CapValAll |
| 50.00% Direct Benefits | 246,770 | - | - | - | - | | 246,770 TableC |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | 246,770 | 180,660 | 47,815 | 6,359 | 11,936 | - | 493,539 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | 607,230 | (444,554) | (117,658) | (15,647) | (29,371) | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | (13,413) | (3,550) | (472) | 17,435 | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | 607,230 | (457,967) | (121,208) | (16,119) | (11,936) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | 854,000 | (277,307) | (73,394) | (9,760) | - | - | 493,539 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 173.04% User Charges | 854,000 | | | | | | 854,000 |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| -73.04% Capital Value Rating | - | (277,307) | (73,394) | (9,760) | - | - | (360,461) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | 854,000 | (277,307) | (73,394) | (9,760) | - | - | 493,539 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME |

OUTPUT: SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Description

- Promotion of road safety throughout the city.
- Co-ordinate and support road safety in the city through the Traffic Safety Co-ordinating Committee including the co-ordination of data bases for accident related information.
- Disseminate Roadshow Trust information to schools.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. To increase community awareness of road safety and develop a safety culture in the community which, in the long term, will lead to a reduction in traffic collisions in the city.
- 2. To emphasise road safety for schools.

Performance Indicators

- 1. 100% of projects completed.
- 2.1 Report quarterly to the City Services Committee on road safety education and promotion initiatives.
- 2.2 Percentage of crashes involving responsible road use factors and the number of crashes per 10,000 people for the current year show a reduction over the previous year.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME |

| OUTPUT : SAFETY ADMINISTRATION | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS | | | | |
| Roadshow Sponsorship | | | 20,000 | 20,600 |
| Road Safety Coordinator | | | 49,000 | 50,470 |
| Speed outside Schools | | | 37,000 | 38,110 |
| Kidsafe Week | | | 5,000 | 5,150 |
| Child Restraint/Seatbelts Campaign | | | 10,000 | 10,300 |
| Display at A&P Show | | | 3,000 | 3,090 |
| Cycle Safety | | | 27,500 | 28,325 |
| Winter Driving | | | 7,000 | 7,210 |
| Intersection Safety | | | 24,000 | 24,720 |
| Urban Speed Campaign | | | 40,000 | 41,200 |
| Community Alcohol Action Programme | | | 60,000 | 61,800 |
| CAAP Coordinator (Salary) | | | 39,501 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Safety | | | 50,000 | 51,500 |
| Safe Routes to School | | | 30,000 | 30,900 |
| Safety Campaign | | | 20,000 | 20,600 |
| Cycle Safe Training | | | 86,184 | 97,000 |
| Safe with Age | | | 6,000 | 6,180 |
| Safe Start | | | 3,000 | 3,090 |
| Community Support | | | 15,000 | 15,450 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS | | | 532,185 | 515,695 |
| Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (1.68)% | 5.71% | 89,553 | 311,463 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 621,738 | 827,158 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME |

OUTPUT: SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

For text see page 9.5.text.15.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME |

| OUTPUT : SAFETY ADMINISTRATION (Continued) | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| EXTERNAL REVENUE | | |
| Revenue LTSA | 152,000 | 152,000 |
| Revenue Other | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST - SAFETY ADMINISTRATION | 469,738 ==================================== | 675,158 |

9.5.funding.text.16

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME |

OUTPUT: SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Description Promotion of road safety throughout the city.

Coordinate and

support road safety in the city through the Traffic Safety Coordinating Committee including the coordination of data bases for accident related

information.

Benefits The delivery of this service ensures road users are educated and made aware of road safety so that crash rates and hence the number of personal injuries

are reduced.

Strategic Objectives A3, E2

CCC Policy Safety Strategy, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of safer streets and through the reduced impact on the community from accidents.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the roads in that there are reduced accidents.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

Control Negative Effects

9.5.funding.16

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROAD SAFETY PROGRAMME |

OUTPUT: SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 40.00% General Benefits | - | 242,225 | 64,109 | 8,526 | 16,004 | | 330,863 CapValAll |
| 60.00% Direct Benefits | - | 342,832 | 148,163 | 5,299 | - | | 496,295 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 585,057 | 212,272 | 13,825 | 16,004 | - | 827,158 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 12,312 | 3,258 | 433 | (16,004) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 12,312 | 3,258 | 433 | (16,004) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 597,369 | 215,531 | 14,258 | - | - | 827,158 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 18.38% Grants and Subsidies | | 104,999 | 45,378 | 1,623 | - | | 152,000 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 81.62% Capital Value Rating | - | 492,370 | 170,153 | 12,635 | - | - | 675,158 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 597,369 | 215,531 | 14,258 | | - | 827,158 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING LAND |

OUTPUT: MAINTENANCE OF PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE ROADS

Description

• The maintenance of property and land held for future roading purposes.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. To maintain roading properties and land held for future roading in a reasonable condition and to rent any available buildings or land to minimise the ongoing costs.

Performance Indicator

1. Minimum net return on the value of tenantable property 1.5% excluding depreciation.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING LAND |

| OUTPUT : MAINTENANCE OF PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE ROADS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| PROPERTY MAINTENANCE: | · | · |
| General Maintenance | 84,658 20,000 | 84,658 20,000 |
| Depreciation Cost Of Capital | 20,000 | 3,717 |
| | | |
| TOTAL COSTS - MAINTENANCE OF PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE ROADS | 104,658 | 108,375 |
| REVENUE External Revenue - Rents | 125,000 | 205,000 |
| NET COST - MAINTENANCE OF PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE ROADS | (20,342) | (96,625) |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING LAND |

OUTPUT: MAINTENANCE OF PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE ROADS

Description Maintenance of property and land held for future roading purposes including depreciation, rates, maintenance costs and rental income. This output also

includes link strips, ie narrow pieces of land on property frontages to restrict access.

Benefits Tenants of the property benefit by having well maintained properties. Road user benefit by having land available for road improvements.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy City Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of future roading needs which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 80 % vehicle-km, 20% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Revenue exceeds costs and is allocated to ratepayers as the stakeholders.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING LAND |

OUTPUT: MAINTENANCE OF PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE ROADS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 60.00% General Benefits | - | 47,605 | 12,599 | 1,676 | 3,145 | | 65,025 CapValAll |
| 40.00% Direct Benefits | - | 29,945 | 12,942 | 463 | - | | 43,350 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 77,550 | 25,541 | 2,138 | 3,145 | - | 108,375 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | 205,000 | (150,081) | (39,721) | (5,282) | (9,916) | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | (5,209) | (1,379) | (183) | 6,770 | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | 205,000 | (155,289) | (41,100) | (5,466) | (3,145) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | 205,000 | (77,739) | (15,559) | (3,327) | - | - | 108,375 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 189.16% User Charges | 205,000 | | | | | | 205,000 |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| -89.16% Capital Value Rating | - | (77,739) | (15,559) | (3,327) | - | - | (96,625) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | 205,000 | (77,739) | (15,559) | (3,327) | - | - | 108,375 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION |

OUTPUT: UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION

Description

• To fund the conversion of existing overhead services to underground wiring (Orion, and Telecom) to improve street amenity.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. Develop undergrounding programme to meet the agreed levels of service (ie 5km).
- 2. Manage the implementation of the undergrounding programme and coordinate with associated roading projects.
- 3. Provide input on issues relating to underground conversion programme.

Performance Indicator

- 1. Develop undergrounding programme within the agreed timeframe to allow completion of the work by 30 June 2002.
- 2. Undergrounding programme completed by 30 June 2002.
- 3. On going response, involvement and input to the satisfaction of key stakeholders.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION |

| OUTPUT: UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Undergrounding | 1,352,500 | 1,882,550 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets (0.09)% 0.09% | 5,021 | 4,676 |
| TOTAL COST | 1,357,521 | 1,887,226 |
| REVENUE | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION | 1,357,521 | 1,887,226 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION |

OUTPUT: UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION

Description To fund the conversion of existing overhead services to underground wiring (Orion and Telecom) to improve street amenity.

Benefits Undergrounding of overhead reticulation provides environmental benefits through less visual pollution.

Strategic Objectives C2 CCC Policy Undergrounding Of Overhead Services policy.

City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the improved amenity value for the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION |

OUTPUT: UNDERGROUND WIRING CONVERSION

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|---------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 100.00% General Benefits | - | 1,381,640 | 365,673 | 48,629 | 91,284 | | 1,887,226 CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 1,381,640 | 365,673 | 48,629 | 91,284 | - | 1,887,226 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 70,225 | 18,586 | 2,472 | (91,284) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 70,225 | 18,586 | 2,472 | (91,284) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 1,451,866 | 384,259 | 51,101 | - | - | 1,887,226 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 100.00% Capital Value Rating | - | 1,451,866 | 384,259 | 51,101 | - | - | 1,887,226 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 1,451,866 | 384,259 | 51,101 | | - | 1,887,226 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: TRAM OPERATION

Description

• The provision and maintenance of the tram infrastructure, and the management of the tram operation through a commercial operator.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. To provide a high quality, internationally recognised central city attraction for visitors and local citizens which includes linking major attractions and facilities, by the use of attractive, environmentally friendly heritage tram vehicles.
- 2. To encourage economic development, including employment, in the central city both by attracting visitors to the tramway and other attractions and by attracting appropriate investment, particularly along the tram route.
- 3. To return income to the Council as well as profit to the operator, at reasonable cost to the customer, such income not to be at the expense of maintaining a high quality product. The return sought by the Council should take into account the wider public benefit the tramway provides.
- 4. To maintain the tramway infrastructure to a high standard, and if and when appropriate facilitate its extension or alteration so as to further all or any of the above objectives.
- 5. To assist in facilitating the preservation and restoration of heritage tram vehicles for operation on the city tramway.

Performance Indicators

- 1. The tramway is recognised as an icon and symbol of Christchurch.
- 2. The tramway is used and enjoyed by visitors and local citizens.
- 3. High demand for business location in the vicinity of the tram route.
- 4. A positive economic return to the Council excluding depreciation and debt servicing.
- 5. Heritage tram vehicles restored and supplied in a timely manner to the City tramway, to a high and suitable standard.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

| OUTPUT: TRAM OPERATION | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS | | | · | · |
| Tram Track | | | 25,650 | 26,420 |
| Tram Power Network | | | 10,260 | 10,568 |
| Repayable Grant (H.T.T.) | | | 30,000 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous including Insurance premiums | | | 31,887 | 32,694 |
| TOTAL DIRECT COSTS | | | 97,797 | 69,681 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS | | | | |
| Allocated Holding A/C - City Streets | (0.10)% | 0.15% | 5,445 | 8,063 |
| Tram Shed Rent | | | 108,800 | 93,600 |
| Depreciation | | | 112,110 | 112,110 |
| Debt Servicing | | | 375,000 | 380,000 |
| TOTAL COST | | | 699,152 | 663,454 |
| EXTERNAL REVENUE | | | 148,625 | 148,625 |
| NET COST TRAM OPERATION | | | 550,527 | 514,829 |
| Cost of Capital | | | 335,000 | 340,000 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: TRAM OPERATION

Description The provision of the Tram infrastructure and income from the Operation. Note that the operation of the Tram is leased to the private sector.

Benefits The Tram provides a central city attraction which benefits the city as a whole by attracting tourists and residents.

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the improved amenity value for the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: TRAM OPERATION

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 100.00% General Benefits | - | 485,715 | 128,552 | 17,096 | 32,091 | | 663,454 CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Total Costs | - | 485,715 | 128,552 | 17,096 | 32,091 | - | 663,454 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | 148,625 | (108,809) | (28,798) | (3,830) | (7,189) | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | 19,157 | 5,070 | 674 | (24,902) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | 148,625 | (89,651) | (23,728) | (3,155) | (32,091) | - | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | 148,625 | 396,064 | 104,825 | 13,940 | - | - | 663,454 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 22.40% User Charges | 148,625 | | | | | | 148,625 |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 77.60% Capital Value Rating | - | 396,064 | 104,825 | 13,940 | - | - | 514,829 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | 148,625 | 396,064 | 104,825 | 13,940 | - | - | 663,454 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: ELECTRIC SHUTTLE OPERATIONS

Description

• The operation of the Central City Electric Shuttle service.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. To provide a high frequency, low cost to the user, environmentally friendly, public transport service within the central city.

Performance Indicators

1. An every 10 minutes, 7 days a week shuttle service between Hoyts 8 and the Town Hall.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

| OUTPUT : ELECTRIC SHUTTLE OPERATIONS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Shuttle Bus Feasibility Study for additional shuttle | 593,500 0 | 696,940 0 |
| TOTAL DIRECT COSTS | 593,500 | 696,940 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS | | |
| TOTAL COST | 593,500 | 696,940 |
| EXTERNAL REVENUE | | |
| NET COST ELECTRIC SHUTTLE OPERATIONS | 593,500 | 696,940 |
| Cost of Capital | ======================================= | ======= |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: ELECTRIC SHUTTLE OPERATIONS

Description The provision of the central city Shuttle service. The operation, including vehicles, is provided under contract by Red Bus Ltd.

Benefits The shuttle provides free travel within the central city, promotes environmentally friendly passenger transport, and provides an attraction in the central

city.

Strategic Objectives B3, B4, C1

CCC Policy City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan. Public Transport Policy.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the improved amenity value for the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users and central city businesses

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Direct Beneficiaries are not charged because: Passengers could be charged but Council chooses not to; Council wish to promote growth in passenger transport for environmental reasons therefore general benefits; The whole community benefits from passenger transport; There would be significant difficulties if any other base is chosen; Capital Value Rate is chosen as the best allocation method.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: ELECTRIC SHUTTLE OPERATIONS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 40.00% General Benefits | - | 204,092 | 54,016 | 7,183 | 13,484 | | 278,776 CapValAll |
| 60.00% Direct Benefits | 418,164 | - | - | - | - | | 418,164 TableC |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | 418,164 | 204,092 | 54,016 | 7,183 | 13,484 | - | 696,940 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | (418,164) | 306,138 | 81,024 | 10,775 | 20,226 | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | 25,934 | 6,864 | 913 | (33,710) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | (418,164) | 332,072 | 87,888 | 11,688 | (13,484) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 536,164 | 141,904 | 18,871 | - | - | 696,940 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 100.00% Capital Value Rating | - | 536,164 | 141,904 | 18,871 | - | - | 696,940 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 536,164 | 141,904 | 18,871 | - | - | 696,940 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|--|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES/ EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: CENTRAL CITY BUS INTERCHANGE

Description

The operation of the Bus Exchange building.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. To provide a high quality, internationally recognised off-street/on-street bus exchange
- 2. To provide a high quality, internationally recognised off-street/on-street central city bus interchange
- 3. To provide a focus for support and improvement to the public passenger transport system.
- 4. (a) To provide the best interchange facility for bus passengers at acceptable cost.
 - (b) To respond to and implement as appropriate, passenger focussed improvements/opportunities (where a Christchurch City Council responsibility).

Performance Indicators

- 1. (a) The Exchange is recognised by the Public Passenger Transport community as a leading example.
 - (b) The community has positive views on the quality and provision of the Exchange.
 - (c) The Canterbury Regional Council conditions of use are achieved and accepted by the Canterbury Regional Council.
- 2. Improvements to the Public Passenger Transport system and the Exchange are mutually supportive and interdependent.
- 3. (a) Positive passenger attitudes through surveys.
 - (b) All suggestions and opportunities considered and acted upon.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

| OUTPUT : CENTRAL CITY BUS INTERCHANGE | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| DIRECT COSTS Opening Publicity & Advertising | 30,000 | 0 |
| TOTAL DIRECT COSTS | 30,000 | 0 |
| ALLOCATED COSTS Alloc O/head - Rent Depreciation | 1,140,900 | 1,521,200 |
| TOTAL COST | 1,170,900 | 1,521,200 |
| NET COST CENTRAL CITY BUS INTERCHANGE | 1,170,900 | 1,521,200 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: CENTRAL CITY BUS INTERCHANGE

Description Provision and servicing of a central city off street bus interchange building

Benefits The central city interchange is provided to enhance passenger transport for the benefit of users as well as the whole community.

Strategic Objectives B3, B4, C1, E2 CCC Policy City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan. Public Transport Policy.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

40% - The whole community benefits from promotion of passenger transport. Council is obliged to provide bus stops on street or alternatives.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

60% - Passengers 50%, Bus Operators 20%, Central City Businesses 20%, Road users 10%

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

None

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Direct Beneficiaries are not charged because: Passengers & Bus Operators could be charged but Council chooses not to; Charges to Road users would be impractible; Council wish to promote growth in passenger transport for environmental reasons therefore general benefits; The whole community benefits from passenger transport; There would be significant difficulties if any other base is chosen; Capital Value Rate is chosen as the best allocation method.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: CENTRAL CITY BUS INTERCHANGE

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 40.00% General Benefits | - | 445,469 | 117,900 | 15,679 | 29,432 | | 608,480 CapValAll |
| 60.00% Direct Benefits | 912,720 | - | - | - | - | | 912,720 TableC |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | 912,720 | 445,469 | 117,900 | 15,679 | 29,432 | - | 1,521,200 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | (912,720) | 668,203 | 176,851 | 23,519 | 44,147 | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | 56,605 | 14,981 | 1,992 | (73,579) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | (912,720) | 724,809 | 191,832 | 25,511 | (29,432) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 1,170,277 | 309,733 | 41,190 | - | - | 1,521,200 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 100.00% Capital Value Rating | - | 1,170,277 | 309,733 | 41,190 | - | - | 1,521,200 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | | 1,170,277 | 309,733 | 41,190 | - | - | 1,521,200 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS STATE HIGHWAYS

Sub Output : Maintenance - State Highways

Description

• The management, maintenance and operation of the traffic signal system for the State Highway arterial road network and the Ashburton urban area.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. Maintain and improve the condition of traffic signals infrastructure to minimise asset lifecycle costs.
- 2. Maximise traffic efficiency and safety at signalised intersections.

Service Levels

- 6 CCTV cameras for traffic control surveillance.
- SCATS (Sydney Co-ordinated Adaptive Traffic System) for the State Highway network in the City and Ashburton.
- 57 signalised intersections on behalf of Transit New Zealand and 2 intersections on behalf of Ashburton District Council.
- 100% completion of audio tactile pedestrian button upgrade, year 6 of a six year programme.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

| OUTPUT : TRAFFIC SIGNALS STATE HIGHWAYS | 2000/2001 | 2001/2002 |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| Sub Output - Traffic Signal Maintenance Overheads - State Highways Professional Services | BUDGET \$ 74,325 | BUDGET \$ 98,653 |
| TOTAL COST TRAFFIC SIGNALS OVERHEADS REVENUE | 74,325 | 98,653 |
| Transit NZ External revenue | 73,825 500 | 98,153 500 |
| NET COST TRAFFIC SIGNAL OVERHEADS | 0 | 0 |
| Sub Output - Maintenance State Highways CCTV Maintenance SCATS Maintenance Signals Maintenance - State Highways Signals Maintenance - Ashburton Pole Upgrading GROSS COST - MAINTENANCE | 4,700 41,300 238,300 5,500 50,000 | 246,000 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA External Revenue Internal Recoveries | 329,300 10,000 500 | 319,800 10,000 500 |
| TOTAL REVENUE - MAINTENANCE | 339,800 | 330,300 |
| NET COST MAINTENANCE | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST - TRAFFIC SIGNALS STATE HIGHWAYS | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES | 2,314,927 | 2,732,969 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS STATE HIGHWAYS

Description The management of the operation and maintenance of the State Highway Traffic Signal System in Christchurch. All costs associated with this are fully

recovered from Transit NZ.

Benefits The State Highway traffic signals are managed by the City Council to ensure an efficient and coordinated system exists.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Payments by Transfund New Zealand are regarded as payments on behalf of users for direct benefit.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors via the modifications. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES / EXTERNAL SERVICES |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS STATE HIGHWAYS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 100.00% Direct Benefits | 428,953 | - | - | - | - | | 428,953 TableC |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | 428,953 | - | - | - | - | - | 428,953 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | (417,953) | 305,984 | 80,983 | 10,770 | 20,216 | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | 15,552 | 4,116 | 547 | (20,216) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | (417,953) | 321,536 | 85,100 | 11,317 | - | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | 11,000 | 321,536 | 85,100 | 11,317 | - | - | 428,953 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 2.56% User Charges | 11,000 | | | | | | 11,000 |
| 97.44% Grants and Subsidies | | 321,536 | 85,100 | 11,317 | - | | 417,953 CapValGen |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | 11,000 | 321,536 | 85,100 | 11,317 | - | - | 428,953 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

SUMMARY OF ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE

For budgets and text see pages 9.5.text.24 to 9.5.text.54.

Description

• All routine and programmed maintenance work and operational services carried out on the City's roading assets¹.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. To cost effectively maintain all assets in accordance with the Asset Management Plan, and to carry out all operational services to the specified service levels.

Performance Indicators

- 1.1 Total maintenance cost/km of road no greater than \$10,500/km per annum.
- 1.2 All service levels identified in the Asset Management Plan met during the year.
- 1.3 Asset condition indicators maintained or improved by 30 June 2002.
- 1.4 Total professional services costs/maintenance programme value no greater than 8%.
- 1.5 The number of requests for service, as recorded in the Customer Service Database, relating to the following assets and services is reported annually.
 - Carriageways
 - Footpaths
 - Landscaped areas
 - Weedspraying
 - Grass berm mowing
 - Street cleaning

¹ Assets include carriageways, kerbs and channels, footpaths, berms, bridges and structures, major pedestrian areas (street furniture, litter bins, security cameras), street lighting, traffic signals, markings and signs.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| SUMMARY OF ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|-------------|---|---------------------------|
| OUTPUT EXPENDITURE | | | |
| CARRIAGEWAYS | Page 9.5.24 | 12,692,193 | 14,802,625 |
| KERBS & CHANNEL | Page 9.5.27 | 5,839,000 | 6,300,343 |
| BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES | Page 9.5.30 | 467,901 | |
| FOOTPATHS | Page 9.5.32 | 4,562,234 | 5,405,009 |
| AMENITY AREAS | Page 9.5.34 | 2,099,115 | 1,656,671 |
| STREET LIGHTING | Page 9.5.38 | 4,151,282 | 4,287,963 |
| TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS | Page 9.5.41 | 1,318,516 | 1,291,582 |
| TRAFFIC SERVICES | Page 9.5.44 | 1,357,523 | 1,542,689 |
| CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE | Page 9.5.47 | 3,373,570 | 3,444,782 |
| CYCLEWAYS | Page 9.5.50 | 107,800 | 111,698 |
| PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE | Page 9.5.52 | 216,839 | 564,423 |
| TOTAL COST - ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE OUTPUTS | | 36,185,973 | 39,969,063 |
| REVENUE | | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | | 4,052,029 | 4,499,851 |
| Cost Sharing | | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| External Revenue | | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| Internal Recoveries | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| | | 4,088,029 | 4,535,851 |
| TOTAL COST - ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE OUTPUTS | | 32,097,943 | 35,433,212 |
| | | ======================================= | ======== |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

CARRIAGEWAYS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see pages 9.5.text.25 and 26.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| CARRIAGEWAYS - SUMMARY OF SUBOUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | Ψ | Ψ |
| Professional Services | 162,264 | 163,183 |
| Carriageway Maintenance | 1,969,530 | 2,378,404 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing | 10,560,399 | 12,261,038 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 12,692,193 | 14,802,625 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 913,447 | 1,089,547 |
| Cost Share - Summit Rd | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 937,447 | 1,113,547 |
| NET COST CARRIAGEWAYS | 11,754,747 | 13,689,078 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAYS

For text see page 9.5.text.26.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : CARRIAGEWAYS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Sub Output : Carriageway Overheads Professional Services | 162,264 | 163,183 |
| 1 Totessional Services | 102,204 | |
| | 162,264 | 163,183 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 69,774 | 70,169 |
| NET COST CARRIAGEWAY OVERHEADS | 92,491 | 93,015 |
| Sub Output: Depreciation & Debt Servicing Loss on Asset Disposal Depreciation Debt Servicing | | 1,515,854 10,680,000 65,184 |
| | | |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | 10,560,399 | 12,261,038 |

| R | ESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| В | SUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| C | OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAYS

Sub Output : Carriageway Maintenance

Description

- The routine maintenance of all carriageway surfaces.
- Response to damage caused by natural hazard/disaster events (eg storm, flood, earthquake, landslide).

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. Maintain or improve carriageway condition to minimise lifecycle costs and provide comfortable riding surfaces.
- 2. Minimise road network downtime and the potential for traffic accidents during and following natural hazard/disaster events, and implement cost effective remedial works.

Service Levels

- 1,520.09 km sealed 24.05 km unsealed ------1,544.14 km TOTAL
- Refer Carriageways Maintenance Service specification.
- Condition indicators roughness, carriageway defects rates (RAMM).
- Lifelines routes are key priority for re-establishment of network following natural event.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : CARRIAGEWAYS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Carriageway Maintenance | | |
| Carriageway Maintenance | 1,840,900 | 2,245,385 |
| Carriageway Grading | 36,050 | 37,280 |
| Summit Rd maintenance | 61,800 | 63,909 |
| Storm & flood damage - emergency works | 10,260 | 10,610 |
| Storm & flood damage - repairs | 10,260 | 10,610 |
| Snow & Ice Gritting | 10,260 | 10,610 |
| GROSS COST - MAINTENANCE | 1,969,530 | 2,378,404 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 843,673 | 1,019,379 |
| External Revenue | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| NET COST - MAINTENANCE | 1,101,857 | 1,335,025 |
| TOTAL NET COST CARRIAGEWAYS | 11,754,747 | 13,689,078 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAYS

Description The routine maintenance of carriageway surfaces.

Benefits The carriageway is provided for vehicles in moving people and goods.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of roading assets which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 30 % vehicle-km, 70% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAYS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 20.00% General Benefits | - | 2,167,403 | 573,638 | 76,286 | 143,198 | | 2,960,525 CapValAll |
| 80.00% Direct Benefits | - | 2,076,324 | 9,711,182 | 54,593 | - | | 11,842,100 Road20%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u> </u> |
| Total Costs | - | 4,243,728 | 10,284,820 | 130,879 | 143,198 | - | 14,802,625 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | 24,000 | (17,570) | (4,650) | (618) | (1,161) | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | 109,271 | 28,920 | 3,846 | (142,037) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | 24,000 | 91,700 | 24,270 | 3,228 | (143,198) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | 24,000 | 4,335,428 | 10,309,090 | 134,107 | - | - | 14,802,625 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.16% User Charges | 24,000 | | | | | | 24,000 |
| 7.36% Grants and Subsidies | | 191,035 | 893,490 | 5,023 | - | | 1,089,547 Road20%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 92.48% Capital Value Rating | - | 4,144,393 | 9,415,600 | 129,084 | - | - | 13,689,078 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | 24,000 | 4,335,428 | 10,309,090 | 134,107 | - | - | 14,802,625 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAYS INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation for carriageways.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of roading assets which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 30 % vehicle-km, 70% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAYS INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road20%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ |
| Non-Rateable | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | - |
| Total Modifications | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u>-</u> |
| | | | | | | | |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 604,256 | 2,826,169 | 15,888 | - | | 3,446,314 Road20%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (604,256) | (2,826,169) | (15,888) | - | - (3 | ,446,314) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

KERB AND CHANNEL - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see page 9.5.text.28.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| KERB AND CHANNEL - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | · | • |
| Professional Services | 129,671 | 225,169 |
| Maintenance | 844,600 | 873,418 |
| Street Cleaning | 1,624,550 | 1,838,067 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing | 3,240,179 | 3,363,690 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 5,839,000 | 6,300,343 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 562,563 | 635,438 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 562,563 | 635,438 |
| NET COST KERB & CHANNEL SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 5,276,437 | 5,664,905 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL

Sub Output : Maintenance

Description

• The maintenance of all roadside stormwater collection channels to, and including, the outfall points.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. Maintain or improve current asset condition to minimise lifecycle costs, prevent seepage of water into the carriageway, and provide for effective drainage of channels avoiding stagnation.

| | | 1997 | 1998 | April 2000 | Sept 2000 |
|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| • | Kerb and flat channel | 1,742.6 km | 1,789.0 km | 1841.4 km | 1,863.12 km |
| | Kerb and dish channel | 518.8 km | 495.8 km | 471.1 km | 426.27 km |
| | Shallow open drains | 140.8 km | 140.5 km | | 136.70 km |
| | Deep open drains | 82.5 km | 83.2 km | | 80.29 km |
| | Other channels | 183.2 km | 192.3 km | | 202.79 km |
| | | | | | |
| | | 2,668.0 km | 2,700.8 km | | 2,745.17 km |

- Refer Kerb and Channel Maintenance service specification.
- Condition indicators amount of cracking/broken per km (RAMM).

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Kerb & Channel Overheads | Ψ | * |
| Professional Services | 129,671 | 225,169 |
| | 129,671 | 225,169 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 47,395 | 82,299 |
| NET COST KERB & CHANNEL OVERHEADS | 82,276 | 142,869 |
| Sub Output : Maintenance | | |
| Repairs | 545,900 | 564,526 |
| Water tables | 56,650 | • |
| Pedestrian cutdowns | 10,300 | · |
| Sump Repairs | 108,150 | • |
| Kerb Only Repairs | 123,600 | 127,817 |
| GROSS COST - MAINTENANCE | 844,600 | 873,418 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 305,601 | 316,028 |
| NET COST - MAINTENANCE | 538,999 | , |
| | ======================================= | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL

Sub Output: Street Cleaning

Description

- The cleaning by mechanical sweeper and by hand of the kerb and channel network.
- The collection of leaves from street areas throughout the city. Carried out in addition to the street sweeping rounds.
- The cleaning of sumps to reduce the risk of flooding.
- The removal of litter on routes leading to the transfer stations.
- Cleaning up of on-road spillages.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. To enhance the clean image of the city.
- 2. To cost effectively keep channels and sumps reasonably clear of rubbish build up and leaves to minimise flooding and nuisance problems.

- 1,863.12 km kerb and flat channel. (1,841.4 last year.)
- 426.27 km dish channel. (471.1 last year.)
- Refer Amenity Area Cleaning service specification and the Sweeping/Cleaning frequencies schedule.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Street Cleaning | 7 | T |
| Channel sweep - flat - mechanical day | 442,900 | 540,741 |
| Channel sweep - dish - mechanical day | 307,800 | 318,302 |
| Channel sweep - hand | 82,080 | • |
| Leaf collection | 204,950 | 211,943 |
| Sumps & blocks | 236,900 | 320,340 |
| Gully emptying | 113,300 | |
| Roadside paper pick-up | 164,800 | 170,423 |
| Spillages | 71,820 | 74,270 |
| GROSS COST - STREET CLEANING: | 1,624,550 | 1,838,067 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 209,567 | 237,111 |
| NET COST - STREET CLEANING: | 1,414,983 | 1,600,956 |
| Sub Output : Depreciation & Debt Servicing | | |
| Loss on Asset Disposal | 0 | 141,430 |
| Depreciation | 3,149,000 | 3,213,760 |
| Debt Servicing | 91,179 | 8,500 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | 3,240,179 | * * |
| | | |
| NET COST KERB & CHANNEL | 5,276,437 | * * |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL

Description Maintenance of all roadside stormwater collection channels and the cleaning of roadside channels, sumps and spillages.

Benefits Kerb and channel is provided for the collection and disposal of road stormwater so as to protect the road structure. The kerb and channel is also used for

the disposal of property stormwater.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan. Street

Cleaning Policy.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of roading assets which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 30 % vehicle-km, 70% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 60.00% General Benefits | - | 2,767,492 | 732,461 | 97,407 | 182,845 | | 3,780,206 CapValAll |
| 40.00% Direct Benefits | - | 658,367 | 1,847,604 | 14,167 | - | | 2,520,137 Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Total Costs | - | 3,425,859 | 2,580,065 | 111,573 | 182,845 | - | 6,300,343 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 140,665 | 37,229 | 4,951 | (182,845) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 140,665 | 37,229 | 4,951 | (182,845) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 3,566,524 | 2,617,294 | 116,524 | - | - | 6,300,343 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 10.09% Grants and Subsidies | | 166,003 | 465,863 | 3,572 | - | | 635,438 Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 89.91% Capital Value Rating | - | 3,400,521 | 2,151,432 | 112,952 | - | - | 5,664,905 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 3,566,524 | 2,617,294 | 116,524 | - | - | 6,300,343 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation on kerbs & channels.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of roading assets which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 30 % vehicle-km, 70% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | _ | _ | | - | | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| M - I:C4: | | | | | | | |
| Modifications Transfer User Costs to Rating | | | | | | | |
| Non-Rateable | _ | - | _ | - | - | | - |
| Total Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Total Mougiculous | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 706,916 | 1,983,851 | 15,211 | - | , | 2,705,979 Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (706,916) | (1,983,851) | (15,211) | - | - (2 | ,705,979) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see page 9.5.text.31.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | Ψ | Ψ |
| Professional Services | 60,000 | 61,800 |
| Bridge & Structures Maintenance | 76,950 | 79,259 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing | 330,951 | 420,220 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 467,901 | 561,279 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 51,523 | 53,068 |
| External Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 51,523 | 53,068 |
| NET COST BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 416,379 | 508,210 |
| | ======================================= | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES

Sub Output: Bridge and Structures Maintenance

Description

The routine maintenance of all road and pedestrian bridges, culverts and retaining walls and guard rails.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. Maintain the structural integrity of structures to minimise lifecycle costs and maintain load carrying capacity.

- 139 road and pedestrian bridges.
- Condition indicators number and value of untreated defects by type.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Sub Output: Bridges & Structures Overheads Professional Services | 60,000 | 61,800 |
| | 60,000 | 61,800 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 20,640 | 21,259 |
| NET COST BRIDGES & STRUCTURES OVERHEADS | 39,360 | • |
| Sub Output: Bridge & Structures Maintenance Road Bridges & Culverts Boundary Bridges Pedestrian Bridges Retaining Walls | 51,300 0 | 52,839 0 5,284 21,136 |
| GROSS COST - BRIDGE & STRUCTURES MAINTENANCE | 76,950 | 79,259 |
| Transfer ex LTDA COST SHARE - Waimaikariri DC | 30,883 0 | 31,809 0 |
| NET COST - BRIDGE & STRUCTURES MAINTENANCE | 46,067 | 47,449 |
| Sub Output: Depreciation & Debt Servicing Depreciation Debt Servicing | 4,951 | 412,500 7,720 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | 330,951 | 420,220 |
| TOTAL NET COST - BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES | 416,379 | 508,210 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES

Description The routine maintenance of all road and pedestrian bridges, culverts, retaining walls and guard rails.

Benefits Road bridges and culverts are provided for vehicles in moving people and goods. Pedestrian bridges are provided for the convenience of people.

Retaining walls are provided to support roads and footpaths. Guard rails are provided for the protection of road users.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of roading assets which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 30 % vehicle-km, 70% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|--------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 20.00% General Benefits | - | 82,183 | 21,751 | 2,893 | 5,430 | | 112,256 CapValAll |
| 80.00% Direct Benefits | - | 78,729 | 368,224 | 2,070 | - | | 449,023 Road20%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 160,912 | 389,975 | 4,963 | 5,430 | - | 561,279 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 4,177 | 1,106 | 147 | (5,430) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 4,177 | 1,106 | 147 | (5,430) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 165,089 | 391,080 | 5,110 | - | - | 561,279 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 9.45% Grants and Subsidies | | 9,305 | 43,519 | 245 | - | | 53,068 Road20%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 90.55% Capital Value Rating | - | 155,784 | 347,561 | 4,865 | - | - | 508,210 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 165,089 | 391,080 | 5,110 | - | - | 561,279 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation on miscellaneous components to the roading system.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of roading assets which benefit the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 30 % vehicle-km, 70% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--|----------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road20%VKm |
| Total Costs | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u>-</u> | _ | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Total Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | _ | | | | | | - |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - Road20%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

FOOTPATHS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see pages 9.5.text 33.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| FOOTPATHS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | т | T |
| Professional Services | 94,285 | 97,429 |
| Footpath Maintenance | 794,285 | 821,385 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing | 3,673,664 | 4,486,194 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 4,562,234 | 5,405,009 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA External | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST FOOTPATH SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 4,562,234 | 5,405,009 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: FOOTPATHS

Sub Output: Footpath Maintenance

Description

• The routine maintenance of the footpath network.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. Maintain footpaths to minimise lifecycle costs and provide for safe and comfortable passage by pedestrians.

- 2,203.62 km footpaths (2200.2km last year) including stormwater connections (boundary to kerb).
- Refer Footpaths Maintenance service specification.
- Condition indicator inspection ratings.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : FOOTPATHS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output: Footpath Overheads Professional Services | · | 97,429 |
| REVENUE | 94,285 | 97,429 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST FOOTPATHS OVERHEADS | 94,285 | 97,429 |
| Sub Output: Footpath Maintenance Footpath Maintenance | 794,285 | 821,385 |
| GROSS COST - FOOTPATH MAINTENANCE Transfer ex LTDA | 794,285 0 | 821,385 0 |
| NET COST - FOOTPATH MAINTENANCE | 794,285 | 821,385 |
| Sub Output: Depreciation & Debt Servicing Loss on Asset Disposal Depreciation Debt Servicing | 3,576,000 97,664 | 884,954 3,601,240 0 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | 3,673,664 | 4,486,194 |
| TOTAL NET COST - FOOTPATHS | | 5,405,009 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: FOOTPATHS

Description Routine maintenance of the footpath network. Costs include Professional Services, Footpath maintenance work, Debt servicing.

Benefits Footpaths are provided for the convenience and safe movement of people.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, Footpath Berms Policy, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

Footpaths and berms contribute to the amenity of the City, and to the Garden City image. The costs of this benefit are independent of the number of beneficiaries.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 50 % vehicle-km, 50% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads, or pedestrians using the footpaths. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

As it is not practicable to recover the costs of footpaths from users directly, they shall be funded by capital value rating.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: FOOTPATHS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 60.00% General Benefits | - | 2,374,207 | 628,372 | 83,565 | 156,861 | | 3,243,005 CapValAll |
| 40.00% Direct Benefits | - | 936,275 | 1,209,203 | 16,526 | - | | 2,162,004 Road50%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Total Costs | - | 3,310,482 | 1,837,575 | 100,091 | 156,861 | - | 5,405,009 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 120,675 | 31,939 | 4,247 | (156,861) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 120,675 | 31,939 | 4,247 | (156,861) | - | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 3,431,158 | 1,869,513 | 104,338 | - | - | 5,405,009 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 100.00% Capital Value Rating | - | 3,431,158 | 1,869,513 | 104,338 | - | - | 5,405,009 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 3,431,158 | 1,869,513 | 104,338 | - | - | 5,405,009 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: FOOTPATHS INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation on footpaths & berms

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

Footpaths and berms contribute to the amenity of the City, and to the Garden City image. The costs of this benefit are independent of the number of beneficiaries.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue on the basis of 50 % vehicle-km, 50% weighted vehicle-km, on the grounds that extra effort is required to ensure the system can handle heavy vehicles, not just on the number of vehicles using the roads, or pedestrians using the footpaths. Damage comes, in the main, from direct usage.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

None necessary, other than for practicability as discussed below.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

As it is not practicable to recover the costs of footpaths from users directly, they shall be funded by capital value rating.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: FOOTPATHS INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road50%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects Total Costs | - | | | <u> </u> | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| - | | | 1 | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

AMENITY AREAS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see page 9.5.text.35.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| AMENITY AREAS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | т | • |
| Professional Services | 94,143 | 120,765 |
| Maintenance | 286,017 | 284,298 |
| Litter Bin Collection | 535,600 | 323,948 |
| Major Amenity Areas Cleaning | 1,026,000 | 775,385 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing | 157,354 | 152,276 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 2,099,115 | 1,656,671 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST AMENITY AREAS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2,099,115 | 1,656,671 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: AMENITY AREAS

Sub Output : Maintenance

Description

- The routine maintenance of pavements and street furniture in nominated major pedestrian areas in the city.
- The maintenance of the litter bin network.
- The maintenance of the security camera system, including telecommunications and power costs. Operational costs met by NZ Police.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. Maintain and improve the condition of these assets cost effectively.
- 2. Deter criminal/antisocial activity in major pedestrian areas.

- Cobblestone areas 0.29% of footpath length Litter bins - approximately 2,500 Security cameras - 15
- Refer Major Pedestrian Areas service specification.
- Condition indicators age, cracked/irregular surfaces.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : AMENITY AREAS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output: Amenity Areas Overheads | · | · |
| Professional Services | 94,143 | 120,765 |
| | 94,143 | 120,765 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST AMENITY AREAS OVERHEADS | 94,143 | 120,765 |
| Sub Output: Maintenance General feature paving General furniture Litter bins - maintenance | 51,500 | 53,045 |
| Inner City - paving # | 49,955 | 82,354 |
| Inner City - furniture # | 29,973 | · · |
| Suburban - paving | 5,995 | 6,174 |
| Suburban - furniture | 5,995 | |
| Security cameras - operation # City Maintenance Co-ordinator | 102,600 40,000 | 105,678 0 |
| GROSS COST - MAINTENANCE | 286,017 | 284,298 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST - MAINTENANCE | 286,017 | 284,298 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: AMENITY AREAS

Sub Output: Litter Bin Collection

Description

• Collection and disposal of litter from the litter bin network.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. To remove litter so that rubbish problems in shopping areas are cost effectively minimised.

Service Level

• Refer Amenity Area Cleaning service specification and litter bin collection frequencies schedule.

Sub Output: Major Amenity Areas Cleaning

Description

• The cleaning of amenity areas.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. To enhance the clean image of the city by keeping amenity areas in a neat and tidy condition.

Service Level

Refer Amenity Area Cleaning service specification and Central City Sweeping/Cleaning frequencies schedule.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : AMENITY AREAS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output: Litter Bin Collection Litter bins - emptying and disposal | 535,600 | · |
| GROSS COST - LITTER BIN COLLECTION | 535,600 | 323,948 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST - LITTER BIN COLLECTION | 535,600 | 323,948 |
| Sub Output: Major Amenity Areas Cleaning Inner City cleaning Suburban shops - sweeping Graffiti Removal Special events cleaning | 933,660 51,300 20,520 20,520 | 52,839 |
| GROSS COST MAJOR PEDESTRIAN | 1,026,000 | 775,385 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST MAJOR PEDESTRIAN AREAS CLEANING | 1,026,000 | 775,385 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: AMENITY AREAS

For text see pages 9.5.text.35 and 9.5.text.36.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : AMENITY AREAS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Sub Output: Depreciation & Debt Servicing Depreciation Debt Servicing | 155,000 2,354 | 150,080 2,196 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | 157,354 ==================================== | 152,276 |
| TOTAL NET COST - AMENITY AREAS | 2,099,115 | 1,656,671 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: AMENITY AREAS

Description Routine maintenance and cleaning of feature paving and street furniture in the major pedestrian areas in the City, including litter bin emptying and

security camera maintenance.

Benefits Amenity areas are provided in commercial areas for the benefit of businesses.

Strategic Objectives A3, B3, C2, E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of clean maintained city mall and pedestrian areas.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue by maintaining malls serving commercial premises.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

This work is done for malls serving commercial premises.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

It is not practicable to collect revenues from individual businesses receiving benefits from this function. It shall therefore be funded by capital value rating on the commercial sector.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: AMENITY AREAS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|--------------------|--|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | | |
| 20.00% General Benefits | - | 242,570 | 64,200 | 8,538 | 16,026 | | 331,334 CapValAll | |
| 80.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | 1,325,337 | - | - | | 1,325,337 TableGU1 | |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | - | |
| Total Costs | - | 242,570 | 1,389,537 | 8,538 | 16,026 | - | 1,656,671 | |
| Modifications | | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | _ | - | | - | |
| Non-Rateable | - | 12,329 | 3,263 | 434 | (16,026) | | - CapValGen | |
| Total Modifications | - | 12,329 | 3,263 | 434 | (16,026) | - | - | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 254,899 | 1,392,800 | 8,972 | - | - | 1,656,671 | |
| Funded By | | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - | |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | _ | - | | - | |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | _ | - | | - | |
| 100.00% Capital Value Rating | - | 254,899 | 1,392,800 | 8,972 | - | - | 1,656,671 | |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - | |
| Total Funded By | - | 254,899 | 1,392,800 | 8,972 | - | _ | 1,656,671 | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see page 9.5.39.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : STREET LIGHTING - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | • | * |
| Professional Services | 30,134 | 29,229 |
| Maintenance | 3,352,650 | 3,467,042 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing Etc | 768,497 | 791,692 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 4,151,282 | 4,287,963 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 1,376,647 | 1,422,787 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 1,376,647 | 1,422,787 |
| NET COST STREET LIGHTING SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2,774,635 | 2,865,177 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING

Sub Output : Maintenance

Description

The maintenance of the street light network on Local Roads and State Highways including the supply of power.

Objectives for 2001/02

- Maintain the Local Road and State Highway street light network to minimise lifecycle costs.
- Provide light to the urban street network during the defined hours of darkness for safety purposes.

Service Levels

Refer Streetlighting service specification.

33,584 street lights 16,200 poles (approximately)

Power consumption 4,409 kW

363 million lumens

Light output
Efficacy (lumens/watt) 82.47

¹ As at 1 July 1998.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : STREET LIGHTING | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Sub Output : Street Lighting Maintenance Overheads | · | · |
| Professional Services | 30,134 | 29,229 |
| Maintenance Management | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL COST MAJOR PEDESTRIAN AREAS OVERHEADS | 30,134 | 29,229 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 12,958 | 12,568 |
| NET COST MAJOR PEDESTRIAN AREAS OVERHEADS | 17,177 | 16,660 |
| Sub Output : Maintenance | | |
| Street lighting: roads | 0 | 0 |
| Street lighting - Urban | 432,600 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Street lighting - State Highways | 120,510 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Street lighting - Pedestrian | 121,540 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Energy Costs - Urban | | 2,481,785 |
| Energy Costs - State Highways | | 225,810 |
| Energy Costs - Pedestrian | 59,740 | 61,778 |
| GROSS COST - MAINTENANCE | 3,352,650 | 3,467,042 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 1,363,689 | 1,410,218 |
| NET COST - MAINTENANCE | 1,988,961 | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING

For text see page 9.5.text.39.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : STREET LIGHTING | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Depreciation & Debt Servicing Etc | | |
| Rates | 0 | 0 |
| Loss on Asset Disposal | 0 | 62,481 |
| Depreciation | 757,000 | 726,480 |
| Debt Servicing | 11,497 | 2,731 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING ETC | 768,497 | 791,692 ====== |
| TOTAL NET COST - STREET LIGHTING | 2,774,635 | 2,865,177 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING

Description Maintenance and operation, including electricity costs, of the street lighting system.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of safer streets and through the reduced impact on the community from accidents.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the roads in that there are reduced accidents.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 40.00% General Benefits | - | 1,255,689 | 332,338 | 44,196 | 82,962 | | 1,715,185 CapValAll |
| 60.00% Direct Benefits | - | 1,777,233 | 768,074 | 27,471 | - | | 2,572,778 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 3,032,922 | 1,100,412 | 71,667 | 82,962 | - | 4,287,963 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 63,824 | 16,892 | 2,246 | (82,962) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 63,824 | 16,892 | 2,246 | (82,962) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 3,096,745 | 1,117,304 | 73,914 | - | - | 4,287,963 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 33.18% Grants and Subsidies | | 982,838 | 424,757 | 15,192 | - | | 1,422,787 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 66.82% Capital Value Rating | - | 2,113,908 | 692,547 | 58,722 | - | - | 2,865,177 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 3,096,745 | 1,117,304 | 73,914 | - | - | 4,287,963 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation on street lighting

Benefits Streetlighting is provided for the safety and convenience of road users including pedestrians.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of safer streets and through the reduced impact on the community from accidents.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the roads in that there are reduced accidents.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential C | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | (0) | - | - | - | - | | (0) |
| Non-Rateable | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Modifications | (0) | - | - | - | - | - | (0) |
| Total Costs and Modifications | (0) | - | - | - | - | - | (0) |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | (0) | | | | | | (0) |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 15,761 | 6,811 | 244 | - | | 22,816 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (15,761) | (6,811) | (244) | - | - | (22,816) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | (0) | <u> </u> | - | - | - | - | (0) |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see pages 9.5.text.42.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | • | · |
| Professional Services | 255,989 | 233,402 |
| Maintenance | 743,758 | 769,867 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing Etc | 318,769 | 288,312 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 1,318,516 | 1,291,582 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 429,891 | 431,406 |
| External Revenue | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| Internal Revenue | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 441,891 | 443,406 |
| NET COST TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 876,625 | 848,176 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS

Sub Output : Maintenance

Description

• The maintenance and operation of the traffic signal system for the Local Authority arterial road network.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. Maintain and improve the condition of traffic signals infrastructure to minimise asset lifecycle costs.
- 2. Maximise traffic efficiency and safety at signalised intersections.

Service Levels

- Refer to Traffic Signal Maintenance service specification.
- 15 CCTV cameras for traffic control surveillance.
- SCATS (Sydney Co-ordinated Adaptive Traffic System) throughout the city.
- 164 signalised intersections.
- 1 red light camera at 4 sites, NZ Police action processed film.
- 100% completion of audio tactile pedestrian button upgrade, year 6 of a six year programme.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output - Traffic Signal Maintenance Overheads - City Streets Professional Services | 255,989 | • |
| TOTAL COST TRAFFIC SIGNALS OVERHEADS REVENUE | 255,989 | 233,402 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 110,075 | 100,363 |
| NET COST TRAFFIC SIGNAL OVERHEADS | 145,914 | 133,039 |
| Sub Output - Maintenance | | |
| Controller Maintenance | 0 | 0 |
| CCTV Maintenance | 8,516 | |
| SCATS Maintenance | 140,562 | · · |
| SCATS Communication Equipment Signals Maintenance | 0 597 190 | 5,000 603,180 |
| Red Light Camera Shifting | | 7,500 |
| GROSS COST - MAINTENANCE REVENUE | 743,758 | 769,867 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 319.816 | 331,043 |
| External Revenue | | 11,000 |
| Internal Recoveries | | 1,000 |
| TOTAL REVENUE - MAINTENANCE | 331,816 | 343,043 |
| NET COST MAINTENANCE | 411,942 | 426,824 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS

For text see page 9.5.text.42.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS Sub Output: Depreciation & Debt Servicing Etc | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Rates | 0 | 0 |
| Depreciation | 314,000 | 286,800 |
| Debt Servicing | 4,769 | 1,512 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING ETC | 318,769 | 288,312 |
| NET COST - TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS | 876,625 | 848,176 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS

Description Operation and maintenance of the traffic signal system on that part of the roading network that is the City's responsibility

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of safer streets, better traffic management and through the reduced impact on the community from accidents.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the roads in that there are reduced accidents.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 40.00% General Benefits | - | 378,227 | 100,104 | 13,312 | 24,989 | | 516,633 CapValAll |
| 60.00% Direct Benefits | - | 535,322 | 231,352 | 8,275 | - | | 774,949 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 913,549 | 331,456 | 21,587 | 24,989 | - | 1,291,582 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | 12,000 | (8,785) | (2,325) | (309) | (580) | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | 18,778 | 4,970 | 661 | (24,409) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | 12,000 | 9,993 | 2,645 | 352 | (24,989) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | 12,000 | 923,542 | 334,101 | 21,939 | - | - | 1,291,582 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.93% User Charges | 12,000 | | | | | | 12,000 |
| 33.40% Grants and Subsidies | | 298,008 | 128,791 | 4,606 | - | | 431,406 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 65.67% Capital Value Rating | - | 625,534 | 205,310 | 17,332 | - | - | 848,176 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | 12,000 | 923,542 | 334,101 | 21,939 | - | - | 1,291,582 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation on traffic signals

Benefits Traffic Signals are provided to ensure there is an efficient and coordinated system for the movement of people and goods.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan, Right

turn phases at traffic signals

Policy.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of safer streets, better traffic management and through the reduced impact on the community from accidents.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the roads in that there are reduced accidents.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SIGNALS CITY STREETS TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential C | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|--------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | _ | - | - | | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ |
| Non-Rateable | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | - |
| Total Modifications | _ | _ | _ | | _ | _ | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 74,478 | 32,187 | 1,151 | - | | 107,817 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | _ | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (74,478) | (32,187) | (1,151) | - | - | (107,817) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| - | | | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

TRAFFIC SERVICES - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see page 9.5.text.45.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| TRAFFIC SERVICES - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | Ψ | * |
| Professional Services | 170,762 | 222,743 |
| Maintenance | 771,549 | 910,191 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing | 415,212 | 409,755 |
| TOTAL COSTS REVENUE | 1,357,523 | 1,542,689 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 405,194 | 487,162 |
| External Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| NET COST TRAFFIC SERVICES - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 952,329 | 1,055,527 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SERVICES

Sub Output : Maintenance

Description

- The routine maintenance of road markings¹ in the city, including remarking and removing redundant markings on existing roads to the required standard.
- Maintenance of all regulatory traffic (including parking), warning, guide and destination signs in the city.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. Maintain and improve the condition of these assets cost effectively.
- 2. Provide delineation and information to guide road users, define the road network, provide intersection controls, and enhance road safety.

Service Levels

- Refer Roadmarking service specification.
- Maintain approximately 2,500 parking signs, 2,000 regulatory traffic signs, 2,500 warning signs, 2,200 street name plates and 2,000 information/destination signs.
- Street name plates upgraded to white on blue, approximately 350 per year.
- New Neighbourhood Group signs as requested by Residents and Neighbourhood Associations.
- Comply with recognised national standards.

¹ Includes painted markings, edge marker posts and raised reflectorised pavement markers (RRPMs).

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : TRAFFIC SERVICES | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Traffic Services Overheads | | |
| Professional Services | 170,762 | 222,743 |
| TOTAL COST REVENUE | 170,762 | 222,743 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 73,427 | 95,780 |
| NET COST STREET TRAFFIC SERVICES OVERHEADS | 97,334 | 126,964 |
| Sub Output : Maintenance | ======================================= | ======= |
| Marking Maintenance | 319,300 | 423,265 |
| Marking Removal | 41,200 | 42,606 |
| New Markings | 46,350 | |
| Parking Signs | 27,019 | 27,941 |
| Traffic Signs | 144,200 | 159,120 |
| Street Nameplates | 103,000 | 106,514 |
| Neighbourhood Group Signs | 5,150 | , |
| Cycle signs and markings | | 13,847 |
| Bus signs and markings | 30,900 | |
| Railings | 41,040 | 42,440 |
| TOTAL COST STREET MARKINGS AND SIGNS MAINTENANCE REVENUE | 771,549 | 910,191 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 331,766 | 391,382 |
| External Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 331,766 | 391,382 |
| NET COST STREET MARKINGS AND SIGNS MAINTENANCE | 439,783 | 518,809 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SERVICES

For text see page 9.5.text.45.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : TRAFFIC SERVICES | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Depreciation & Debt Servicing Depreciation Debt Servicing | 409,000 6,212 | 409,000 755 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | 415,212 | 409,755 |
| NET COST TRAFFIC SERVICES | 952,329 | 1,055,527 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SERVICES

Description The routine maintenance of road markings and all regulatory traffic, warning, guide and destination signs in the City.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of safer streets, better traffic management and through the reduced impact on the community from accidents.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the roads in that there are reduced accidents.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SERVICES

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 40.00% General Benefits | - | 451,762 | 119,566 | 15,901 | 29,847 | | 617,076 CapValAll |
| 60.00% Direct Benefits | - | 639,399 | 276,332 | 9,883 | - | | 925,613 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u> </u> |
| Total Costs | - | 1,091,160 | 395,898 | 25,784 | 29,847 | - | 1,542,689 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | (0) | - | - | - | - | | (0) |
| Non-Rateable | - | 22,962 | 6,077 | 808 | (29,847) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | (0) | 22,962 | 6,077 | 808 | (29,847) | - | (0) |
| Total Costs and Modifications | (0) | 1,114,122 | 401,975 | 26,592 | - | - | 1,542,689 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | (0) | | | | | | (0) |
| 31.58% Grants and Subsidies | | 336,523 | 145,437 | 5,202 | - | | 487,162 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 68.42% Capital Value Rating | - | 777,599 | 256,538 | 21,390 | - | - | 1,055,527 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | (0) | 1,114,122 | 401,975 | 26,592 | | | 1,542,689 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SERVICES INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation on street markings & signs

Benefits Road markings, traffic signage and street name plates are all provided for the safety and navigation of the road user.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan, Public

Amenity Signing Policy, School Patrol Signs Policy.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the provision of safer streets, better traffic management and through the reduced impact on the community from accidents.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the roads in that there are reduced accidents.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits are allocated to the rating sectors based on the special formular for Streets Cost allocations and using a mixture of 80% from vehicle kilometre and 20% weighted due to heavy truck usage. Grants from Transfund New Zealand shall be allocated in the same proportion as the direct benefits.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: TRAFFIC SERVICES INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential (| Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| M - I:C4: | | | | | | | |
| Modifications Transfer User Costs to Rating | | | | | | | |
| Non-Rateable | _ | - | - | _ | _ | | _ |
| Total Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Total Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | _ | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 27,813 | 12,020 | 430 | - | | 40,263 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | · - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (27,813) | (12,020) | (430) | - | - | (40,263) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | | | - | - | - | | <u> </u> |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see pages 9.5.text.48.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ψ | * |
| 106,318 | 140,383 |
| 2,134,302 | 2,146,813 |
| 1,132,950 | 1,157,586 |
| 3,373,570 | 3,444,782 |
| 215,520 | 229,417 |
| 215,520 | 229,417 |
| 3,158,051 | 3,215,365 |
| | 3,373,570 215,520 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE

Sub Output : City Streetscape Maintenance

Description

- The maintenance of all the medians, islands and landscape planted areas on legal road.
- The moving of all grass berms, rural grass shoulders and road reserves not maintained by adjoining owners or residents.
- The control of weed growth within the road reserve.
- The maintenance of berms, some in conjunction with footpath resurfacing.

Objectives for 2001/02

- 1. To maintain the existing landscape, medians and island areas in a neat and tidy condition so that the Garden City image of Christchurch is enhanced.
- 2. To maintain the existing grass shoulder, rural grass berms and road reserves areas in a neat and tidy condition.
- 3. To control weed growth so that damage to footpaths, channels and water tables is minimised.
- 4. To control noxious weeds spreading within the road reserve.
- 5. To enhance the streetscape providing a 'greener' environment.

Service Levels

- 20.3 km medians mowed/maintained.
- 1,200 landscape/island/roundabout areas.
- Refer to roadway landscape maintenance standards covering grass, gardens and weed control on hard surfaces.
- Refer Berm Construction service specification.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Sub Output: City Streetscape Maintenance Overheads | • | |
| Professional Services | 106,318 | 140,383 |
| TOTAL COST STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE OVERHEADS | 106,318 | 140,383 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 22,858 | 30,182 |
| NET COST CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE OVERHEADS | 83,460 | 110,201 |
| Sub Output : City Streetscape Maintenance Community Board Projects | ======================================= | ======= |
| Median Maintenance - Carriageways | 185,400 | 191,726 |
| Landscape maintenance - Footpaths | 910,816 | 881,582 |
| Plant Bed Refurbishment | 71,820 | , |
| Road Reserves | 69,216 | 71,578 |
| General Landscape Improvements | | |
| Grass Mowing - Shoulders | 103,000 | 106,514 |
| Grass mowing - Footpaths | 345,050 | 356,823 |
| Weed Spraying - Carriageways Weed spraying - Footpaths | 159,650 37,000 | 165,097 |
| Street Seats (Four) (S/H) | 37,000 | 38,262 0 |
| Berm new construction | 56,650 | · · |
| Berm reconstruction | 133,900 | 138,469 |
| Berm assistance | 61,800 | , |
| GROSS COST | 2,134,302 | 2,146,813 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 192,662 | 199,235 |
| NET COST - CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE | 1,941,641 | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT : CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE

For text see page 9.5.text.48.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Depreciation & Debt Servicing Depreciation Debt Servicing | 1,116,000 16,950 | 1,138,520 19,066 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | 1,132,950 | 1,157,586 |
| NET COST CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE | 3,158,051 | 3,215,365 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE

Description The maintenance of median, island and footpath landscape plantings, moving of all grassed areas including berms not mown by residents and the control

of weed growth in the road reserve.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the improved amenity value for the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|---------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 100.00% General Benefits | - | 2,521,929 | 667,469 | 88,764 | 166,621 | | 3,444,782 CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | 2,521,929 | 667,469 | 88,764 | 166,621 | - | 3,444,782 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 128,184 | 33,926 | 4,512 | (166,621) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 128,184 | 33,926 | 4,512 | (166,621) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 2,650,112 | 701,394 | 93,276 | - | - | 3,444,782 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 6.66% Grants and Subsidies | | 176,494 | 46,712 | 6,212 | - | | 229,417 CapValGen |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | _ | - | - | | - |
| 93.34% Capital Value Rating | - | 2,473,619 | 654,683 | 87,064 | - | - | 3,215,365 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 2,650,112 | 701,394 | 93,276 | <u>-</u> | - | 3,444,782 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

CYCLEWAYS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see page 9.5.text.51.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| CYCLEWAYS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | т | * |
| Professional Services | 5,022 | 5,879 |
| Cycleway Maintenance | 87,550 | 90,537 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing Etc | 15,228 | 15,281 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 107,800 | 111,698 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 34,934 | 36,421 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 34,934 | 36,421 |
| NET COST CYCLEWAYS - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 72,866 | 75,277 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CYCLEWAYS

Sub Output : Maintenance

Description

• The maintenance of cycleway network.

• The maintenance of cycle stands.

Objectives for 2001/02

1. Maintain cycleways and cycle stands to minimise lifecycle costs and provide for safe and comfortable use by cyclists.

Service Levels

- Refer Cycle Maintenance service specifications.
- Condition indicator inspection ratings.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : CYCLEWAYS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Cycleway Overheads Professional Services | | 5,879 |
| TOTAL COST CYCLEWAY OVERHEADS | 5,022 | 5,879 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 2,160 | 2,528 |
| NET COST CYCLEWAY OVERHEADS | 2,863 | 3,351 |
| Sub Output: Cycleway Maintenance Cycleways Network Maintenance Cycle Stands Maintenance | 76,220 11,330 | 78,821 11,717 |
| GROSS COST - CYCLEWAY MAINTENANCE | 87,550 | 90,537 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 32,775 | 33,893 |
| NET COST - CYCLEWAY MAINTENANCE | • | 56,644 |
| Sub Output: Depreciation & Debt Servicing Depreciation Debt Servicing | 15,000 228 | 15,000 281 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING | • | 15,281 |
| TOTAL NET COST CYCLEWAYS | 72,866 | 75,277 ====== |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CYCLEWAYS

Description The maintenance of the Cycleway network including cycle stands.

Benefits Cycleways are provided for the benefits of cyclists and therefore encourage citizens to use more environmentally friendly modes of travel.

Strategic Objectives C2, C3, E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, Footpath Berms Policy, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the

Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the reduced traffic congestion on the roads and reduced pollution therefore an improved amenity value for the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the cycleways who are from the residential sector.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits shall be funded by the residential sector through rates as there is no other method of charging.

| R | ESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| В | USINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| O | UTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CYCLEWAYS

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 50.00% General Benefits | - | 40,887 | 10,821 | 1,439 | 2,701 | | 55,849 CapValAll |
| 50.00% Direct Benefits | - | 55,849 | - | - | - | | 55,849 TableGC4 |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Total Costs | - | 96,736 | 10,821 | 1,439 | 2,701 | - | 111,698 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | 2,078 | 550 | 73 | (2,701) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | - | 2,078 | 550 | 73 | (2,701) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 98,814 | 11,371 | 1,512 | - | - | 111,698 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 32.61% Grants and Subsidies | | 36,421 | _ | - | _ | | 36,421 TableGC4 |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | _ | - | _ | | - |
| 67.39% Capital Value Rating | - | 62,393 | 11,371 | 1,512 | - | - | 75,277 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 98,814 | 11,371 | 1,512 | - | - | 111,698 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CYCLEWAYS INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation for carriageways.

Benefits Cycleways are provided for the benefits of cyclists and therefore encourage citizens to use more environmentally friendly modes of travel.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, Cycleways Policy, Cycling Policy, City Streets Unit Strategic Outcomes listed on page

9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

General Benefits arise from the reduced traffic congestion on the roads and reduced pollution therefore an improved amenity value for the whole community.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Direct benefits accrue to the users of the cycleways who are from the residential sector.

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

General benefits shall be funded by capital value rating on properties liable for general rates, as capital value best represents stakeholder interest in the City.

Direct Benefits

Direct benefits shall be funded by the residential sector through rates as there is no other method of charging.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: CYCLEWAYS INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - TableGC4 |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ |
| Non-Rateable | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Modifications | _ | _ | | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 0.00% Grants and Subsidies | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS

For text see page 9.5.text.53.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | · | · |
| Professional Services | 8,036 | 20,998 |
| Passenger Transport Infrastructure Maintenance | 158,044 | 276,124 |
| Real Time Information System Maintenance | 0 | 13,720 |
| Depreciation & Debt Servicing | 50,759 | 253,582 |
| TOTAL COSTS | 216,839 | 564,423 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 62,312 | 114,605 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 62,312 | 114,605 |
| NET COST PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE - SUMMARY OF SUB OUTPUTS | 154,527 | 449,818 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

Sub Output : Passenger Transport Infrastructure Maintenance

Description

- The maintenance of bus shelters.
- The maintenance of shuttle signs and stops.

Objective for 2001/02

1. Maintain bus shelters and shuttle signs and stops to minimise lifecycle costs and provide for safe and comfortable use by bus passengers.

Service Levels

• Refer Bus Shelter Maintenance service specifications.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sub Output : Passenger Transport Infrastructure Overheads Professional Services | 8,036 | 20,998 |
| TOTAL COST | 8,036 | 20,998 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 3,214 | 8,399 |
| NET COST PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE OVERHEADS | 4,822 | 12,599 |
| Sub Output: Passenger Transport Infrastructure Maintenance Bus Shelters and Seats Shuttle Bus Signs & Stops | 147,744 10,300 | 265,515 10,609 |
| TOTAL COST | 158,044 | 276,124 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 59,098 | 106,206 |
| NET COST - PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE | 98,946 | 169,918 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

For text see page 9.5.text.53.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

| OUTPUT : PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Sub Output: Real Time Information System Maintenance Line & Transmitter Charges Electricity Charges | 0 0 | 8,720 5,000 |
| TOTAL COST REAL TIME INFORMATION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE | 0 | 13,720 |
| Sub Output: Depreciation & Debt Servicing Etc Rates Depreciation Debt Servicing | 0 50,000 759 | 2,738 250,000 844 |
| TOTAL COST DEPRECIATION & DEBT SERVICING ETC | 50,759 ==================================== | 253,582 ======= |
| TOTAL NET COST PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE | 154,527 ==================================== | 449,818 ====== |
| NET COST ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE | 32,097,943 | 35,433,212 |
| Cost of Capital Employed - ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE | 54,376,598 | 59,363,322 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

Description The maintenance of bus shelters, shuttle signs and stops.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

40% - The whole community benefits from promotion of passenger transport. Council is obliged to provide bus stops on street or alternatives.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

60% - Passengers 50%, Bus Operators 20%, Central City Businesses 20%, Road users 10%

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

None

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Direct Beneficiaries are not charged because: Passengers & Bus Operators could be charged but Council chooses not to; Charges to Road users would be impractible; Council wish to promote growth in passenger transport for environmental reasons therefore general benefits; The whole community benefits from passenger transport; There would be significant difficulties if any other base is chosen; Capital Value Rate is chosen as the best allocation method.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 40.00% General Benefits | - | 165,286 | 43,746 | 5,818 | 10,920 | | 225,769 CapValAll |
| 60.00% Direct Benefits | 338,654 | - | - | - | - | | 338,654 TableC |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | 338,654 | 165,286 | 43,746 | 5,818 | 10,920 | - | 564,423 |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | (338,654) | 247,929 | 65,618 | 8,726 | 16,380 | | - CapValAll |
| Non-Rateable | - | 21,003 | 5,559 | 739 | (27,301) | | - CapValGen |
| Total Modifications | (338,654) | 268,932 | 71,177 | 9,466 | (10,920) | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | 434,217 | 114,923 | 15,283 | - | - | 564,423 |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 20.30% Grants and Subsidies | | 88,167 | 23,335 | 3,103 | - | | 114,605 CapValGen |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 79.70% Capital Value Rating | - | 346,051 | 91,588 | 12,180 | - | - | 449,818 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | 434,217 | 114,923 | 15,283 | - | - | 564,423 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Depreciation for carriageways.

Benefits The provision of bus stops and shelters benefits bus users as well as the community because the use of passenger transport reduces demand on the

roading system and benefits the environment.

Strategic Objectives E2 CCC Policy Asset Management Plan, Public Transport Policy, Bus Passenger Shelters and Seats Policy, City Streets Unit

Strategic Outcomes listed on page 9.5.I of the Corporate Plan.

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

40% - The whole community benefits from promotion of passenger transport. Council is obliged to provide bus stops on street or alternatives.

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

General benefits are considered to accrue in the same proportion as stakeholders' interests in the City.

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

60% - Passengers 50%, Bus Operators 20%, Central City Businesses 20%, Road users 10%

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

None

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Direct Beneficiaries are not charged because: Passengers & Bus Operators could be charged but Council chooses not to; Charges to Road users would be impractible; Council wish to promote growth in passenger transport for environmental reasons therefore general benefits; The whole community benefits from passenger transport; There would be significant difficulties if any other base is chosen; Capital Value Rate is chosen as the best allocation method.

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

To be funded by capital value rating to General Ratepayers.

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | ROADING SYSTEM MAINTENANCE |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential C | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|-------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - TableC |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | | | | - | _ | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | - | - | - | - | | <u> </u> |
| Total Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u>-</u> |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | _ | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 134,968 | 35,721 | 4,750 | - | | 175,440 CapValGen |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (134,968) | (35,721) | (4,750) | - | - | (175,440) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

9.5.text.55.i

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS |

SUMMARY OF INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS

For budgets and detailed text see pages 9.5.55 to 9.5.83.

Description

• All infrastructural assets capital works, including renewals, improvements and new assets. This includes projects which enhance cycling, public passenger transport and pedestrian facilities.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To implement cost effective asset renewals (to minimise asset life cycle costs) in accordance with the Asset Management Plan, and to implement prioritised asset improvements and new projects which contribute to the achievement of the strategic outcomes.
- 1.2 Average gross cost of renewals projects \$420,000/km.
- 1.3 The amounts of each asset type renewed are to be reported annually against the Asset Management Plan targets:

Carriageway Kerb and channel Footpath Berm Street Lighting Other (to specify)

Performance Indicators

- 1.1 Total number and value of projects, by category type.
- 1.2 Average gross cost of renewals projects \$450,000/km.

9.5.text.55.ii

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS |

SUMMARY OF INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS

Performance Indicators (contd.)

1.3 The amounts of each asset type renewed are to be reported annually against the Asset Management Plan targets:

Carriageway

Kerb and channel

Footpath

Berm

Street Lighting

Other (to specify)

1.4 The amounts of new assets created, by type, are to be reported annually against the Asset Management Plan targets:

Carriageway Berms
Kerb and Channel Streetlights
Cycleways (off road) Traffic Signals

Footpaths Other

- 1.5 Target per cent completion of major projects:
 - Woolston/Burwood Expressway Stage 2
 Fendalton Rd
 Blenheim Rd Deviation
 20%
- 1.6 Percentage of projects completed at year end, by value/number, reported annually.
- 1.7 Total professional services costs/project costs no greater than 17%.
- 1.8 Proportion of residents and businesses satisfied with capital works completed in their street (sample survey) greater than 80%.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS |

| 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|--|
| • | • |
| 14,679,426 | 16,752,778 |
| 9,128,991 | 14,416,732 |
| 22,733,590 | 5,252,128 |
| 46,542,007 | 36,421,638 |
| | |
| 5,343,309 | 6,498,629 |
| 41,198,698 | 29,923,009 |
| ======================================= | |
| | |
| 10,793,385 | 12,440,889 |
| 8,391,737 | 13,220,441 |
| 22,013,575 | 4,261,678 |
| 41,198,698 | 29,923,009 |
| | ### 14,679,426 9,128,991 22,733,590 46,542,007 5,343,309 41,198,698 ==================================== |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS |

OUTPUT: TRANSFER EX LTDA

Description Part of TNZ contribution to capital works. Allocated in the same proportion as City Streets depreciation.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS |

OUTPUT: TRANSFER EX LTDA

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Transfer User Costs to Rating | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | | - |
| Non-Rateable | - | - | - | - | _ | | - |
| Total Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | | - | - | - | - | <u> </u> |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 1,697,717 | 4,764,380 | 36,531 | - | | 6,498,629 Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | - | - | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (1,697,717) | (4,764,380) | (36,531) | - | - (6 | 5,498,629) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | - | - | - | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS |

OUTPUT: TRANSFER EX LTDA TRANSFER

Description Part of TNZ contribution to capital works. Allocated in the same proportion as City Streets depreciation.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

Direct Benefits

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS |

OUTPUT: TRANSFER EX LTDA TRANSFER

| | Customer | Residential | Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | _ | _ | | - | | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| M. I.C. add | | | | | | | |
| Modifications Transfer User Costs to Rating | | | | | | | |
| Non-Rateable | _ | - | _ | - | _ | | _ |
| Total Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Total Montications | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | (1,697,717) | (4,764,380) | (36,531) | _ | (6 | ,498,629) Road30%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | _ | - | - | · · | - - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | 1,697,717 | 4,764,380 | 36,531 | - | _ | 6,498,629 |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL

Description

• Whole block kerb and channel replacement, footpath and berm renewal and carriageway works, which may include road narrowing or widening, streetscape improvements, stormwater drainage improvements and traffic management measures.

Objective for 2001/02

1. Meet Asset Management Plan targets¹ - AMP target = 20km/year.

Service Levels

The following changes to the assets database will be reported annually:

- Kerb and channel renewal
- Carriageway reconstruction
- Footpath reconstruction
- Berms reconstruction
- Other

1

¹ Targets may also be contributed to by other outputs, viz Kerb and Channel Enhancement, Asset Improvements.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

| OUTPUT : KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL | | | | | | | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Project Management | | | | | | . 0 | . 0 |
| Miscellaneous carryove | rs to 2000/2001 | | | | | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | | | 8,698,770 | |
| Miscellaneous carryove | rs to 2001/2002 | | | | | (200,000) | (200,000) |
| | | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| D 1 G | | | | 17.200 | 2 225 | | 0.600 |
| Baker St | All | 0 | 6,263 | 17,200 | -2,337 | | 8,600 |
| Bamford St | Barton | End | 119,868 | 19,752 | -44,732 | | 164,600 |
| Brenchley Ave | Urunga | Watford | 126,859 | 20,904 | -47,341 | | 174,200 |
| Burke St | Orbell | Montreal | 33,353 | 5,496 | -12,447 | | 45,800 |
| Centaurus Rd | Austin Kirk | St Martins | 3,131 | 8,600 | -1,169 | | 4,300 |
| Chapter St (Reprogrami | Papanui | Bretts | 295,010 | 48,612 | -110,090 | | 405,100 |
| Charlesworth St | 0 | | 149,289 | 24,600 | -55,711 | | 205,000 |
| Churchill St | Bealey | Cambridge | 82,073 | 13,524 | -30,627 | | 112,700 |
| Clarence St | Lincoln | Railway | 248,184 | 40,896 | -92,616 | | 340,800 |
| Coles Pl | Trafalgar | End | 74,645 | 12,300 | -27,855 | | 102,500 |
| College Ave | Normans | Brenchley | 89,574 | 14,760 | -33,426 | | 123,000 |
| Cornwall St | Cranford | Lindsay | 104,502 | 17,220 | -38,998 | | 143,500 |
| Creyke Rd | Ilam | Clyde | 92,486 | 15,240 | -34,514 | | 127,000 |
| Curletts Rd | Main South | Tensing | 419,393 | 69,108 | -156,507 | | 575,900 |
| Edmond St | Randolph | End | 69,547 | 11,460 | -25,953 | | 95,500 |
| Edward Ave | Barbadoes | Cleveland | 2,614 | 7,180 | -976 | | 3,590 |
| Estuary Rd | Beattie | Halsey | 262,166 | 43,200 | -97,834 | | 360,000 |
| Everard St | Milton | Athelstan | 96,565 | 15,912 | -36,035 | | 132,600 |
| Ferry Rd (Reprogramme | | Williams | 22,648 | 3,732 | -8,452 | | 31,100 |
| Geraldine St | Bealey | Canon/Gresford | 360,752 | 59,445 | -134,623 | | 495,375 |
| Greers Rd | Langdons | Harewood | 2,239 | 6,150 | -836 | | 3,075 |
| Harakeke St | Rochdale | End | 1,194 | 3,280 | -446 | | 1,640 |
| Harvey Tce | Fitzgerald | Draper | 187,158 | 30,840 | -69,842 | | 257,000 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL

For text see page 9.5.text.56.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

2000/2001 2001/2002 **OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL BUDGET BUDGET** \$ **NET MGMT REVENUE** Hawford Rd 186,721 30,768 -69,679 Butler Opawa 256,400 Heywood Tce Fitzgerald 171,646 28,284 -64.054 235,700 Harvey -42.313 Hinau St Clyde 113,387 18,684 155,700 Totara Fendalton 164,509 27,108 -61.391 Holmwood Rd Rossall 225,900 Idris Rd Blighs Wairakei 3.714 10,200 -1.386 5.100 Hamilton #272 4,369 12,000 -1.631 6,000 Ilam Rd Innes Rd Rutland Cranford 9.200 3,350 -1.250 4,600 Jennifer St (ReprogrammWairakei No 44 198,081 32,640 -73,919 272,000 Lyttelton St Lincoln Edinburgh 201,650 33,228 -75,250 276,900 Lyttelton St Edinburgh Cobham 2,164 5,944 -808 2,972 4,999 13,730 -1,866 6,865 Mackworth St Ferry Bonar Marylands Pl Birmingham End 18,600 -42,123 155,000 112,877 McLeod St North Avon 119,832 19,746 -44,718 164,550 Avalon 4,304 -585 Medbury Tce Clyde 1,567 2,152 Kotare Winchester Merivale Ln Rossall 5,000 13,732 -1,866 6,866 Nayland St Wakefield Marriner 247,092 40,716 -92,208 339,300 Nelson St 19,728 119,723 -44,677 Picton Clarence 164,400 New Brighton Rd 4,369 12,000 -1,631 6,000 Pages Bower Orbell St Brougham Burke 201,540 33,210 -75,210 276,750 Paparoa St Papanui Claremont 2,463 6,764 -919 3,382 Peterborough St Madras Cambridge 97,584 16,080 -36,416 134,000 Picton Ave Peverel 216,433 35,664 -80,767 297,200 Riccarton Richmond Hill Rd (ReprNayland base of hill 104,575 17,232 -39,025 143,600 Rossall St 4,366 11,990 -1,629 5,995 Office Merivale Shirley Rd Hills Ouinns 4,253 11,680 -1,5875.840 21,492 -48,672 130,428 179,100 Southwark St Manchester Madras Station Rd Flavell 2,050 -279 Martindales 746 1.025 St Asaph 3.134 8,608 -1,170 4,304 Stewart St Horatio

2000/2001

BUDGET

2001/2002 BUDGET

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL

| | | | | | | \$ \$ |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------|---------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | |
| Stirling St | Office | Aikmans | 952 | 2,614 | -355 | 1,307 |
| Straven Rd | Kilmarnock | Rochdale/Weka | 327,344 | 53,940 | -122,156 | 449,500 |
| Studholme St | Barrington | Somerfield | 246,436 | 40,608 | -91,964 | 338,400 |
| Studholme St | Somerfield | Ashgrove | 3,918 | 10,760 | -1,462 | 5,380 |
| Tyrone St | Factory | Third | 2,403 | 6,600 | -897 | 3,300 |
| Wai-iti Tce | Clyde | End | 119,431 | 19,680 | -44,569 | 164,000 |
| Waimea Tce | Corson | Riverview | 68,964 | 11,364 | -25,736 | 94,700 |
| Wainoni Rd | Shortland | Avonside | 1,121 | 185 | -419 | 1,540 |
| Waiwetu St (Reprogram | Fendalton | End | 259,763 | 42,804 | -96,937 | 356,700 |
| Waltham Rd | Opp pool | | 72,824 | 12,000 | -27,176 | 100,000 |
| Weka St | Tui | Straven | 2,800 | 7,690 | -1,045 | 3,845 |
| Winchester St | Merivale | Rugby | 91,744 | 15,118 | -34,236 | 125,980 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

| OUTPUT : KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------|------------|---|---------------------------|
| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 6,477,792 | | -2,417,341 | | |
| TOTAL COST - KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL | | | | 8,698,770 | 8,895,133 |
| | | | | 0,000,770 | 0,000,100 |
| | | | | | |
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | | | | 2,475,227 | 2,417,341 |
| | | | | 6 223 543 | 6,477,792 |
| | | | | ======================================= | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: KERB & CHANNEL ENHANCEMENT

Description

• Whole block kerb and channel replacement, footpath and berm renewal, incorporating streetscape improvements and traffic management measures in agreed areas of urban renewal.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To enhance the urban street environment, while also contributing to AMP targets.

Service Levels

The following changes to the assets database will be reported annually:

- Kerb and channel renewal
- Carriageway reconstruction
- Footpaths reconstruction
- Berms reconstruction
- Other

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

| OUTFUT CLASS. | | | INFRASIRUCI | UNAL ASSE | I KENEWALS | & KEI LACEN | ALLIAT S |
|--|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|---|---------------------------|
| OUTPUT : KERB | | NHANCEMENT | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
| Project Managemen Miscellaneous carry Budget 2000/2001 | |)1 | | | | 306,347 | 100,000 |
| Miscellaneous carry | vovers to 2001/200 |)2 | | | | | (100,000) |
| | | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Addington NIP Percy St | | | 141,494 141,494 | 16,979 16,979 | 0 | | 141,494 141,494 |
| Shakespeare Rd Sydenham NIP | Defoe | Wilsons | 249,696 141,494 | 29,964 16,979 | 0 | | 249,696 141,494 |
| | | | | ,,,,,, | | | , - |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 674,179 | | 0 | | |
| | | | , | L | -1 | | |
| TOTAL COST | | | | | - | 306,347 | 674,179 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | | | | | = | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL KERB & | c CHANNEL EN | HANCEMENT | | | - | 306,347 | 674,179 |
| | | | | | = | ======================================= | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: STRUCTURE RENEWALS

Description

• The renewal of existing retaining walls which are not performing adequately.

Objective for 2001/02

1. The renewal of a retaining wall(s) in order to maintain safety requirements.

Service Levels

The following change to the asset database will be reported annually:

• Retaining wall(s) renewed.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

2000/2001 2001/2002 BUDGET BUDGET \$

OUTPUT: STRUCTURE RENEWALS

Project Management Budget 2000/2001

152,000

| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Bridges Retaining Walls Renewal Bridge Renewals | 104,040 51,000 25,500 | 6,120 | 0 | 104,040 51,000 25,500 |
| | 180,540 | | 0 | |

| TOTAL COST | 152,000 | 180,540 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL STRUCTURE RENEWALS | 152,000 | 180,540 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUTS

- ROAD PAVEMENT REPLACEMENT
- CARRIAGEWAY SEALING
- CARRIAGEWAY SURFACING

Description

• The resurfacing of sealed carriageways with either a layer of bitumen and stone chips or a thin layer of asphaltic concrete.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To maintain the waterproofness and skid resistance of sealed carriageways to minimise lifecycle costs and provide safe riding surfaces.

Service Level

• Refer to Asset Management Plan. Actual length and treatment type to be completed each year is determined from RAMM condition reports, SCRIM results and visual inspection.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

2000/2001 2001/2002 BUDGET BUDGET \$

OUTPUT: ROAD PAVEMENT REPLACEMENT

Project Management Budget 2000/2001

| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | | 0 |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|--------|----------|---------|
| | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | |
| Road Pavement Replace | m ent | 260,000 | 60,000 | -240,000 | 500,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 260,000 | | -240,000 | |

| TOTAL COST | 0 | 500,000 |
|---------------------------------|---|---------|
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 240,000 |
| TOTAL ROAD PAVEMENT REPLACEMENT | 0 | 260,000 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAY SEALING

For text see page 9.5.text.62.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |
| | |
| | 2000/2001 2001/2002 |

| BUSINESS UNIT: | | | LO DEDI LODI | FENITE | |
|--|-----------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| OUTPUT CLASS: INFRASTRUCT | | TURAL ASSI | ET RENEWALS | 8 & REPLACE | MENTS |
| OUTPUT : CARRIAGEWAY SEALING | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
| Project Management Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 1,950,093 | |
| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | 1,,,,,,,,,, | |
| Resealing | 1,273,320 | 100,525 | -960,575 | | 2,233,895 |
| | 1,273,320 |) | -960,575 | | |
| | 1,273,320 | <u>'</u> | -700,575 | | |
| TOTAL COST | | | | 1,950,093 | 2,233,895 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | | | | 838,540 | 960,575 |
| TOTAL CARRIAGEWAY SEALING | | | | 1,111,553 | 1,273,320 |

_____ ========

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAY SURFACING

For text see page 9.5.text.62.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAY SURFACING

2000/2001 BUDGET 2001/2002 BUDGET \$

Project Management Budget 2000/2001

912,771

| | <u>_</u> | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| Slurry Sealing Surfacing Budget | | 56,581 617,872 | 4,467 48,779 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 674,453 | | -508,798 | |

| TOTAL COST | 912,771 | 1,183,251 |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| DEVENIUE | ======= | ======= |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 392,492 | 508,798 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 392,492 | 308,798 |
| TOTAL CARRIAGEWAY SURFACING | 520,280 | 674,453 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: CARRIAGEWAY SMOOTHING

Description

• The smoothing of sealed carriageways with a 15-40 mm layer of either cold laid bituminous emulsion mix or hot laid asphaltic concrete.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To carry out carriageway smoothing treatments on streets where house vibrations greater than an acceptable standard are being felt, or where justified by traffic volume and road roughness.

Service Level

• The quantity of smoothed carriageway will be reported annually; the current AMP target is 6 km per annum.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

| OUTFUT CLASS. | INTRASTRUCT | CIMIL ADDI | EI KEILEWALD | W KEI LACEN | |
|--|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| OUTPUT : CARRIAGEWAY SMOOTHING | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
| Project Management Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 374,544 | 0 |
| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Carriageway Smoothing | 200,606 | 17,360 | -185,175 | | 385,780 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 200,606 | | -185,175 | | |
| | 200,000 | I | -105,175 | | |
| TOTAL COST | | | | 374,544 | 385,780 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | | | | 179,781 | 185,175 |
| TOTAL CARRIAGEWAY SMOOTHING | | | | 194,763 | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT: FOOTPATH RESURFACING

Description

• The resurfacing of footpaths with asphaltic concrete including the repair of failed areas of footpath.

Objective for 2001/02

1. Provide a smooth surface for pedestrians.

Service Levels

- 98.5 km of resurfacing (4.1% of the network length).
- Convert gritted berms and over width sealed footpaths to 1.5 m footpath and grass berm. (See Berm Construction sub output.)
- Condition indicator age

| Year | Length of Surface older than 20 Years |
|------|---------------------------------------|
| 2000 | 489 km |
| 1999 | 508km |
| 1998 | 535km |
| 1997 | 630km |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

OUTPUT : FOOTPATH RESURFACING

2000/2001
BUDGET
BUDGET
\$
\$

Project Management Budget 2000/2001

2,284,900

| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Footpath Resurfacing | 2,700,000 | 270,000 | 0 | 2,700,000 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 2,700,000 | | 0 | |

| TOTAL COST | 2,284,900 | 2,700,000 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL FOOTPATH RESURFACING | 2,284,900 | 2,700,000 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS SUMMARY

For text on Infrastructural Asset Renewals and Replacements see the following pages:

- 9.5.text.56

- 9.5.text.60 9.5.text.61 9.5.text.62
- 9.5.text.63 9.5.text.64 9.5.text.65 9.5.text.66

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|---|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS |

| ASSET RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS SUMMARY | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | | |
| KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL | 8,698,770 | 8,895,133 |
| KERB & CHANNEL ENHANCEMENT | 306,347 | 674,179 |
| STRUCTURE RENEWALS | 152,000 | 180,540 |
| ROAD PAVEMENT REPLACEMENT | 0 | 500,000 |
| CARRIAGEWAY SEALING | 1,950,093 | 2,233,895 |
| CARRIAGEWAY SURFACING | 912,771 | 1,183,251 |
| CARRIAGEWAY SMOOTHING | 374,544 | 385,780 |
| FOOTPATH RESURFACING | 2,284,900 | 2,700,000 |
| TOTAL COST | 14,679,426 | 16,752,778 |
| REVENUE | ======================================= | ======= |
| Transfer ex LTDA | 3,886,040 | 4,311,888 |
| NET COST RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS | 10,793,385 | 12,440,889 |
| | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: ROAD NETWORK IMPROVEMENTS

Description

• Improvements to existing roads and structures, including road widening, intersection improvements and bridge strengthening.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To reduce traffic congestion, increase traffic capacity, improve safety and ease of use for the road user, and increase the strength/capacity of bridges to withstand natural hazards.

Service Levels

The following changes to the assets database will be reported annually, for renewals/reconstructions and new construction:

- Carriageways
- Kerb and channels
- Footpaths
- Berms
- Traffic signals
- Bridges
- Other

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

| OUTPUT: ROAD NETWORK IMPROVEMENTS | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| EXPENDITURE Project Management Miscellaneous carryovers to 2000/2001 Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 0 135,000 5,458,045 | 0 135,000 |
| Miscellaneous carryovers to 2001/2002 | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | (135,000) | (135,000) |
| Blenheim Road deviation (*1) | 3,765,000 | 451,800 | 0 | | 3,765,000 |
| Amyes/Goulding/Shands Clarence/Riccarton/Straven Intersection Durham/Salisbury Fendalton Rd (R) | 45,000 108,202 32,460 728,000 | 5,400 24,970 7,491 168,000 | 0 -99,878 -29,964 -672,000 | | 45,000 208,080 62,424 1,400,000 |
| Ferry Rd/Humphreys Drive Intersection change Halswell Junction/State Highway 73 Signals Halswell Junction/Waterloo Signals Hills/Shirley/Warrington (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 349,905 10,000 70,000 52,697 | 80,747 1,200 8,400 12,161 | -322,989 0 0 -48,643 | | 672,894 10,000 70,000 101,340 |
| Travis Rd traffic mgmt Treffers/Parkhouse Woolston-Burwood expressway and cycleway - Stage 2 (*2) | 10,000 80,000 2,650,580 | 1,200 9,600 318,070 | 0 0 0 | | 10,000 80,000 2,650,580 |
| TOTAL ROAD NETWORK IMPROVEMENTS | 7,901,844 | | -1,173,474 | 5,458,045 | 9,075,318 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | | | | 689,916 | 1,173,474 |
| | | | | 4,768,129 | 7,901,844 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: SEAL WIDENING

Description

• Widening of existing sealed carriageways.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To improve traffic safety and reduce ongoing seal edgebreak maintenance costs by widening the carriageway seal width on rural roads to the appropriate rural highway width.

Service Level

• The quantity of new carriageway will be reported annually.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

| OUTPUT: SEAL WIDENING | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|--------|------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE Project Management Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 0 30,600 | 0 |
| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Allocation | 31,212 | 0 | 0 | | 31,212 |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL SEAL WIDENING | 31,212 | | 0 | 30,600 | 31,212 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | | | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 30,600 | 31,212 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: CYCLEWAYS

Description

• The upgrading of the cycleway network, including new works and other asset improvements.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To provide a network of safe, accessible cycle routes through and around Christchurch.
- 2. To improve safety for school children, commuters and recreational cyclists through the upgrading of various intersections and school routes.

Service Levels

- New cycleways 1.8 km (off road).
- Length of new marked cycleways 2.8 km.
- Changes in the asset database will be reported annually.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

| OUTPUT: CYCLEWAYS | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET | 2001/2002 BUDGET |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| EXPENDITURE Project Management Budget 2000/2001 | | | | \$ 710,000 | \$ |
| Budget 2000/2001 | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | 710,000 | |
| Annex Road Avondale Bridge Bealey Avenue | 30,000 153,000 50,000 | 3,600 18,360 6,000 | 0 0 0 | | 30,000 153,000 50,000 |
| Boys High/Girls High "bubble" Burnside High School "bubble" Cashmere High "bubble" | 70,000 20,400 70,000 | 8,400 2,448 8,400 | 0 0 0 | | 70,000 20,400 70,000 |
| Colombo Street Colombo Street (R) Hagley Park Cycle Routes Heaton/St Andrews "bubble" | 40,800 48,800 130,000 70,000 | 4,896 5,856 15,600 8,400 | 0 0 0 | | 40,800 48,800 130,000 70,000 |
| Port Hills Road Riccarton Road St Albans | 20,000 81,600 20,400 | 2,400 9,792 2,448 | 0 0 | | 20,000 81,600 20,400 |
| | | | | | |
| | 805,000 | | 0 | | |
| DEL/ENLIE | 005,000 | L | <u> </u> | 710,000 | 805,000 |
| REVENUE Transfer ex LTDA | | | = | 0 | 0 |

710,000

805,000

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING UPGRADING

Description

Renew/upgrade existing street lighting.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To increase the level of light to reduce known night time accident rates.
- 2. To renew light fittings which are technically obsolete, providing light levels to current standards.
- 3. To meet the Council's cost obligations for street lighting when Southpower undergrounds its overhead reticulation, whilst renewing technically obsolete light fittings and providing light levels to current standards.

Service Levels

The following changes to the assets database will be reported annually:

- Number of lights renewed.
- Number of new lights added.
- Length of street treated.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

| OUTPUT: STREET | LIGHTING UPG | RADING | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Project Management | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous carryove | ers to 2000/2001 | | | | | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | | | 1,001,927 | |
| Miscellaneous carryove | ers to 2001/2002 | | | | | (30,000) | (30,000) |
| • | | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Street Lighting -Safet | y | | | | | | |
| Minor Works | | | 30,244 | 6,367 | -22,816 | | 53,060 |
| Antigua St | Brougham | Tuam | 43,860 | 5,263 | 0 | | 43,860 |
| Bassett St | New Brighton | Parnwell | 13,796 | 1,655 | 0 | | 13,796 |
| Durham St | Bealey | Springfield | 19,768 | 2,372 | 0 | | 19,768 |
| Estuary Rd | Jervois | Ebbtide | 79,070 | 9,488 | 0 | | 79,070 |
| Greers Rd | Memorial | Waimairi | 19,000 | 2,280 | 0 | | 19,000 |
| Halswell Junction Rd | Springs | Shands | 74,909 | 8,989 | 0 | | 74,909 |
| Keyes Rd | Bowhill | Hawke | 42,000 | 5,040 | 0 | | 42,000 |
| Owles Tce | Seaview | Union | 26,520 | 3,182 | 0 | | 26,520 |
| Parnwell St | Bassett | Travis | 14,280 | 1,714 | 0 | | 14,280 |
| Rookwood | Bower | Bowhill | 18,000 | 2,160 | 0 | | 18,000 |
| Sawyers Arms Rd | Northcote | Johns | 92,325 | 11,079 | 0 | | 92,325 |
| Travis Rd | Frosts | Bower | 27,000 | 3,240 | 0 | | 27,000 |
| Union St | Owles | Estuary | 37,740 | 4,529 | 0 | | 37,740 |
| Wilsons Rd | Railway | Shakespeare | 6,000 | 720 | 0 | | 6,000 |
| | | | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING UPGRADING

For text see page 9.5.text.71.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: STREET LIGHTING UPGRADING

2000/2001 2001/2002 BUDGET BUDGET \$

| | | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Street Lighting - Asset | t Improvements | | | | | | |
| Street light Poles | | | 144,324 | 17,319 | 0 | | 144,324 |
| Algidus St | All | | 2,081 | 250 | 0 | | 2,081 |
| Brixton St | Waterloo | to bend | 5,202 | 624 | 0 | | 5,202 |
| Butts Valley Rd | All | | 3,121 | 375 | 0 | | 3,121 |
| Greenhurst St | Epsom | Main South | 5,202 | 624 | 0 | | 5,202 |
| Neill St | Springs | Main South | 10,924 | 1,311 | 0 | | 10,924 |
| O'Briens Rd | All | | 5,722 | 687 | 0 | | 5,722 |
| Shaw Ave | Lonsdale | Rawhiti Domain | 4,162 | 499 | 0 | | 4,162 |
| Vickerys Rd | Haytons | Washbournes | 4,162 | 499 | 0 | | 4,162 |
| Washbournes Rd | Vickerys | Haytons | 4,682 | 562 | 0 | | 4,682 |
| Wilson St | Waterloo | to end | 5,202 | 624 | 0 | | 5,202 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Street Lighting Conve | ersion | | | | | | |
| Unspecified | | | 349,950 | 34,995 | 0 | | 349,950 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COST STREE | T LIGHTING UPGI | RADING | 1,089,246 | | -22,816 | 1,001,927 | 1,112,062 |

REVENUE

Transfer ex LTDA

| 47,338 | 22,810 |
|---------|----------|
| 954,589 | 1,089,24 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: SEAL EXTENSION

Description

• The chip sealing of unsealed roads.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To progressively seal all unsealed roads, where it is practical, in the city to improve life cycle costs, road user comfort and eliminate dust.

Service Level

The following changes to the assets database will be reported annually:

- Completion of stage 5 of Cathedral Square redevelopment.
- Completion of the redevelopment of Worcester St between Manchester St and Latimer Sq.
- Complete 40% of the New Brighton Commercial Area Development.
- Complete planning and concept design for the Cashel Mall upgrade.
- Complete planning and concept design for the Lichfield/Tuam one way swap.
- Changes to assets database to be reported annually.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

| CCIICI CEIRS. | | 21 (2 2028 2210 0 2 | 0141211201 | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|---------|---|---------------------------|
| OUTPUT : SEAL EX | TENSION | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
| EXPENDITURE Project Management | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | | 107,528 | O |
| - | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Kinleys Ln | St Albans | 92,840 | 4,642 | 0 | | 92,840 |
| | | , | , | | | , |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 92,840 | | 0 - | | |
| TOTAL COST SEAL I | EXTENSION | 7 _ , 0 . 0 | ı | | 107,528 | 92,840 |
| REVENUE | | | | = | ======================================= | ======= |
| Transfer ex LTDA | | | | | | 0 |
| | | | | - | 107,528 | 92,840 |
| | | | | = | ======================================= | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

OUTPUT: MAJOR AMENITY IMPROVEMENTS

Description

• The upgrading of the central city and other major commercial areas. This may involve reconstruction, new paving, new lighting, or special features.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To enhance the environment of the central city and other major commercial areas, while also providing better facilities for pedestrian safety and comfort.

Service Levels

- Completion of Cathedral Square redevelopment to a stage where bus stops are removed from the north west quadrant.
- Completion of the redevelopment of Oxford Tce between Hereford St and Gloucester St.
- Completion of Stage 1 of 3 stages of redevelopment in the Latimer Sq environs.
- Completion of traffic management measures on Colombo St to help public transport.
- 6 other projects.
- Changes to assets database to be reported annually.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICE | | TEE | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS | | | | | |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCT | URAL ASSE | T IMPROVEM | ENTS | | |
| OUTPUT: MAJOR AMENITY IMPROVEMENTS | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ | |
| Project Management | | | | φ | φ | |
| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 1,820,891 | · · | |
| 244get 2000/2001 | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | 1,020,071 | | |
| Cashel Mall upgrade | 40,000 | 3,600 | 0 | | 40,000 | |
| Cathedral Junction - Public Amenity Site Works | 408,000 | 36,720 | 0 | | 408,000 | |
| Cathedral Square Stage V | 1,500,000 | 135,000 | 0 | | 1,500,000 | |
| Cathedral Square Stage V Traffic Measures only | 102,000 | 9,180 | 0 | | 102,000 | |
| CBD Quadrants - Stage 1 Design (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 27,000 | 2,430 | 0 | | 27,000 | |
| | | | | | | |
| CBD Quadrants - Stage VII Lichfield/Tuam Street one way sw | | 5,400 | 0 | | 60,000 | |
| City Approaches EPPU Northern Approach (Reprogrammed 0) | 30,600 | 2,754 | 0 | | 30,600 | |
| Latimer Square Stage I Worcester St (Manchester - Latimer) | 140,000 | 12,600 | 0 | | 140,000 | |
| Pedestrian and Cycle Facilities | 61,200 | 5,508 | 0 | | 61,200 | |
| Service Lane walkways | 61,200 | 5,508 | 0 | | 61,200 | |
| Upgrade Pedestrian Facilities at Jade Stadium (Reprogrammed | | 33,327 | 0 | | 370,300 | |
| New Brighton Commercial Area Development | 500,000 | 45,000 | 0 | | 500,000 | |
| New Brighton - Beresford St Carparking Development (Reprog | | 18,000 | 0 | | 200,000 | |
| New Brighton - Sale of Surplus Carparking / or Substitution (R | -200,000 | -18,000 | 0 | | (200,000) | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 3,300,300 | | 0 | | | |
| TOTAL COST MAJOR AMENITY IMPROVEMENTS REVENUE | | _ | | 1,820,891 | 3,300,300 | |
| Transfer ex LTDA / Sponsorship | | | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | • | 1,820,891 | 3,300,300 | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

ASSET IMPROVEMENTS SUMMARY

For text on Asset Improvement outputs see the following pages:

- 9.5.text.68

- 9.5.text.69 9.5.text.70 9.5.text.71
- 9.5.text.72 9.5.text.73 9.5.text.74

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS |

| | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|-----------|---|
| • | |
| 5,458,045 | 9,075,318 |
| 30,600 | 31,212 |
| 710,000 | 805,000 |
| 1,001,927 | 1,112,062 |
| 107,528 | 92,840 |
| 1,820,891 | 3,300,300 |
| 9,128,991 | 14,416,732 |
| | |
| 737,254 | 1,196,290 |
| 8,391,737 | 13,220,441 |
| | 5,458,045 30,600 710,000 1,001,927 107,528 1,820,891 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: NEW CONSTRUCTION / NEW KERB AND CHANNEL

Description

• The construction of new roads, new kerbs and channels and new footpaths.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. To construct new roads, bridges and retaining walls in response to road network needs, and subdivisional and other development.
- 2. To construct new sections of kerb and channel where none currently exists to improve stormwater drainage and definition of the road edge.
- 3. To provide new footpaths where none currently exist to provide a safe walkway away from the roadway for pedestrians.

Service Levels

The following changes to the assets database will be reported annually:

- New carriageway.
- New kerbs and channels.
- New footpaths.
- New Bridges
- New Retaining Walls
- Other (specify).

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

| OUTPUT: NEW CONSTRUCTION/NEW KERB AND | CHANNEL | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET | 2001/2002 BUDGET |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| EXPENDITURE | | | | \$ | \$ |
| Project Management | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 1,755,030 | |
| Miscellaneous carryovers to 2000/2001 | | | | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Miscellaneous carryovers to 2001/2002 | | | | (100,000) | (100,000) |
| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Halswell Junction Rd | 52,020 | 5,202 | 0 | | 52,020 |
| Minor Landscape Improvements | 20,808 | 2,081 | 0 | | 20,808 |
| Muritai Tce | 1,530 | 153 | 0 | | 1,530 |
| New Brighton Rd | 156,060 | 15,606 | 0 | | 156,060 |
| New Footpaths | 50,000 | 5,000 | 0 | | 50,000 |
| New Retaining Structures | 51,000 | 5,100 | 0 | | 51,000 |
| Shands Rd @ Printpacs | 5,100 | 510 | 0 | | 5,100 |
| Subdivisions | 510,000 | 51,000 | 0 | | 510,000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: NEW CONSTRUCTION / NEW KERB AND CHANNEL

For text see page 9.5.text.76.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

| OUTPUT : NEW CONS | TRUCTION/NEW KERB AND C | HANNEL | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 946.519 | | 0 | | |
| | | 846,518 | | 0 | | |
| TOTAL COST NEW CO | ONSTRUCTION | | | | 1,755,030 | 846,518 |
| Transfer ex LTDA | | | | | 110,080 | 0 |

1,644,950 846,518

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS WORKS

Description

• The construction of roading improvements and traffic management schemes that improve safety, including immediate response minor safety improvements (blackspots) further to ongoing accident investigations.

Objective for 2001/02

1. Improve safety for road users and pedestrians by implementing works with demonstrable safety benefits.

Service Levels

Report the following information annually:

- Number of blackspot sites treated (minor).
- Number and average value of unspecified projects.
- Changes to assets databases.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

| OUTPUT: SAFETY IMPROVEMENT WORKS Project Management Miscellaneous carryovers to 2000/2001 | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ 0 50,000 | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ 0 50,000 |
|--|---------|--------|------------|--|--|
| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 844,200 | |
| Miscellaneous carryovers to 2001/2002 | | | | (50,000) | (50,000) |
| | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Aldwins/Ensors/Ferry (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 42,640 | 8,200 | -39,360 | | 82,000 |
| Avonside Drive Safety | 33,800 | 6,500 | -31,200 | | 65,000 |
| Blackspot Remedial Works | 23,256 | 4,080 | -17,544 | | 40,800 |
| Buckleys/Kerrs Ped Signals | 17,100 | 3,000 | -12,900 | | 30,000 |
| Dyers Pass Rd at Cashmere School | 19,950 | 3,500 | -15,050 | | 35,000 |
| Eastgate Pedestrian Signals | 28,500 | 5,000 | -21,500 | | 50,000 |
| Evans Pass Safety Improvements | 78,000 | 15,000 | -72,000 | | 150,000 |
| Innes/Rutland | 26,000 | 5,000 | -24,000 | | 50,000 |
| Kerb Cutdown Improvements | 10,000 | 1,000 | 0 | | 10,000 |
| Marshs/Springs | 23,940 | 4,200 | -18,060 | | 42,000 |
| Milns/Sparks/Sutherlands | 84,864 | 16,320 | -78,336 | | 163,200 |
| Minor Safety Projects | 35,582 | 6,242 | -26,842 | | 62,424 |
| Northcote Rd at Rail Crossing | 28,500 | 5,000 | -21,500 | | 50,000 |
| Pedestrian Safety Initiatives/Crossing Facilities | 102,000 | 10,200 | 0 | | 102,000 |
| Road Safety at Schools | 101,500 | 10,150 | 0 | | 101,500 |
| Safe Routes to Schools | 70,800 | 7,080 | 0 | | 70,800 |
| | 726,432 | | -378,292 - | | |
| TOTAL COST SAFETY IMPROVEMENT WORKS | | - | = | 844,200 | 1,104,724 |
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Transfer ex LTDA | | | | 188,956 | 378,292 |
| | | | _ | 655,244 | 726,432 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENTS

Description

• The construction of traffic management schemes which are identified in approved Neighbourhood Improvement Plans, Local Area Traffic Management Schemes or separately approved by the Council.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To reduce the volume and speed of traffic in local areas, while improving road safety and amenity values for road users, pedestrians and residents.

Service Level

Number and average value of projects completed.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCT | <u>'URAL ASSE</u> | ETS - NEW | | |
|---|-------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET | 2001/2002 BUDGET |
| OUTPUT: NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENTS | | | | \$ | \$ |
| Project Management | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous Carryovers to 2000/2001 | | | | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Budget 2000/2001 | | | | 817,250 | , |
| Miscellaneous Carryovers to 2001/2002 | | | | (30,000) | (30,000) |
| · | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | | |
| Aorangi/Brookside | 50,000 | 8,500 | 0 | | 50,000 |
| Avonhead Rd - New Kerb & Channel (F/W) | 6,000 | 1,020 | 0 | | 6,000 |
| Aynsley Tce Traffic Calming | 34,200 | 10,200 | -25,800 | | 60,000 |
| Banks/McBratneys | 106,000 | 18,020 | 0 | | 106,000 |
| Bealey Avenue – Bulb planting in the median (H/F) | 1,000 | 170 | 0 | | 1,000 |
| Birdwood/Norwood/Sandwich Rds intersection adjustment (R) | | 5,661 | 0 | | 33,300 |
| Bishopdale Mall - Children's Playground (F/W) | 4,000 | 680 | 0 | | 4,000 |
| Brynley/Springs | 25,650 | 7,650 | -19,350 | | 45,000 |
| Clarence/Peverel | 90,000 | 15,300 | 0 | | 90,000 |
| Coloured Paintings - Selected Sites (F/W) | 5,000 | 850 | 0 | | 5,000 |
| Domain Tce Traffic Calming (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 27,503 | 8,203 | -20,748 | | 48,250 |
| Garvins Road | 22,800 | 6,800 | -17,200 | | 40,000 |
| Goulding Avenue | 11,400 | 3,400 | -8,600 | | 20,000 |
| Hawford Road | 10,000 | 1,700 | 0 | | 10,000 |
| Heberden/ Evans Pass (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 37,500 | 6,375 | 0 | | 37,500 |
| Joy St Traffic Restraint (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 38,000 | 6,460 | 0 | | 38,000 |
| Landscaping of CCC Land (F/W) | 3,500 | 595 | 0 | | 3,500 |
| Linwood Avenue– Bulb planting in the median (H/F) | 5,000 | 850 | 0 | | 5,000 |
| Lochee Road | 14,250 | 4,250 | -10,750 | | 25,000 |
| Maidstone Rd Pedestrian Island (F/W) | 6,000 | 1,020 | 0 | | 6,000 |
| Manchester St (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 33,630 | 10,030 | -25,370 | | 59,000 |
| Mathers Rd Traffic Calming (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 54,150 | 16,150 | -40,850 | | 95,000 |
| Maxwell Street | 25,000 | 4,250 | 0 | | 25,000 |
| Minor Works | 30,300 | 5,151 | 0 | | 30,300 |
| Neill/Springs | 25,650 | 7,650 | -19,350 | | 45,000 |
| | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENTS

For text see page 9.5.text.79.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

2000/2001 2001/2002 **BUDGET BUDGET OUTPUT: NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENTS** \$ NET **MGMT REVENUE** Riccarton/Rimu Service Lane (Reprogrammed 01/02) 51.619 8,775 51.619 Rowley Ave Traffic Calming (Reprogrammed 01/02) 9,120 2,720 -6,880 16,000 Roydvale Ave/ Teesdale (Reprogrammed 01/02) 19,665 5,865 34,500 -14,835 Selwyn Street – Pedestrian Island & Blips (S/H) 2,550 15,000 15,000 0 St Andrews Square (Reprogrammed 01/02) 22,515 6,715 -16,985 39,500 Stourbridge Street 10,830 3,230 -8,170 19,000 Sturrocks Rd 12,700 2,159 12,700 Suva Street @ Hansons Lane 45,600 13,600 -34,400 80,000 Suva Street @ Middleton Road 25,650 7,650 -19,350 45,000 Wairakei Rd 2xPedestrian Islands (F/W) 12,000 2,040 12,000

874,532

TOTAL COST NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENTS

REVENUE

| 817,250 | 1,213,169 |
|---------|-----------|
| 189,802 | 288,638 |
| 627,448 | 924,532 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: SIGNALS

Description

• The installation of improved traffic signal control systems.

Objective for 2001/02

1. To improve traffic efficiency and safety at signalised intersections and the ability to monitor travel times and network efficiency.

Service Levels

- Extensions to the Automatic Network Travel Time System (ANTTS) to improve monitoring of efficiency of roading network.
- Year 5 of a five year programme to upgrade the poles at all signals to NAASRA standard.
- CCTV cameras at two intersections.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

| BUSINESS CIVIT. | CITTOTREETS | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCT | URAL ASSE | ETS - NEW | | |
| OUTPUT : SIGNALS | | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
| Project Management Budget 2000/2001 | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | 276,420 | |
| ANTTS (Automatic Network Travel Time Sys.) CCTV Installation - City Traffic Signal Upgrade | 31,212 24,314 118,606 | 2,809 0 18,727 | -107,817 | | 0 31,212 42,656 208,080 |
| TOTAL COST SIGNALS | | | | 276,420 | 281,948 |
| REVENUE | | | ; | 105,703 | 107,817 |
| NET COST SIGNALS | | | - | 170,717 | 174,132 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: SIGNS

Description

• The installation of improved road signage.

Objective for 2001/02

To improve the standard of direction signs and provide other traffic signs that meet current standards.

Service Levels

• Continue upgrading of major destination signage.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

| | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | • | Ф |
| NET | MGMT | REVENUE | 183,810 | 0 |
| 26,530 53,373 20,000 25,000 52,020 15,404 | 1,857 0 0 0 0 1,386 | -40,263 0 0 0 0 -40,263 | | 26,530 93,636 20,000 25,000 52,020 15,404 |
| | - | - | 183,810 | 232,590 |
| | | = | 39,474 | 40,263 |
| | | _ | 144,336 | 192,327 |
| | 26,530 53,373 20,000 25,000 52,020 | 26,530 1,857 53,373 0 20,000 0 25,000 0 52,020 0 15,404 1,386 | 26,530 1,857 0 53,373 0 -40,263 20,000 0 0 25,000 0 0 52,020 0 0 15,404 1,386 0 | NET MGMT REVENUE 26,530 1,857 0 53,373 0 -40,263 20,000 0 0 25,000 0 0 52,020 0 0 15,404 1,386 0 192,327 -40,263 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

Description

• The installation of public transport infrastructure and enhancement projects.

Objective for 2001/02

To enhance public transport.

Service Levels

- 30 new seats at bus stops.
- Installation of infrastructure for new routes and changes to existing routes to meet Environment Canterbury's requirements.
- Provision of bus priority measures for Environment Canterbury's through services on Papanui Rd, Colombo St, Riccarton Rd and Pages Rd in order to provide more consistent reliability of service.
- Completion of Stage 2 of the Real Time Bus Information System.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCT | URAL ASSI | ETS - NEW | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| OUTPUT : PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTU | J RE | | | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
| Project Management | | | | | |
| Budget 2000/2001 | NET | MGMT | REVENUE | 18,856,880 | |
| Real Time Information Public Transport Initiatives Kainga Rd - Bus Shelter (S/P) | 1,155,179 232,560 10,000 1,397,739 | 381,209 134,640 3,300 | -175,440 | | 1,155,179 408,000 10,000 |
| TOTAL COST PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCT | URE | | | 18,856,880 | 1,573,179 |
| REVENUE | | | • | 86,000 | 175,440 |
| NET COST PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTUR | Е | | - | 18,770,880 | 1,397,739 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW |

SUMMARY OF NEW ASSETS

For text on new asset outputs see the following pages:

9.5.text.76

9.5.text.76 9.5.text.77 9.5.text.78 9.5.text.80 9.5.text.81 9.5.text.82 9.5.text.83

| CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | |
| INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW | | |
| | | 2001/2002 |
| | | BUDGET |
| | \$ | \$ |
| | | |
| | 1,755,030 | 846,518 |
| | 844,200 | 1,104,724 |
| | 817,250 | 1,213,169 |
| | 276,420 | 281,948 |
| | 183,810 | 232,590 |
| | 18,856,880 | 1,573,179 |
| | 22,733,590 | 5,252,128 |
| | | |
| | 720,015 | 990,450 |
| | 22,013,575 | 4,261,678 |
| | CITY STREETS INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW | CITY STREETS INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS - NEW 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ 1,755,030 844,200 817,250 276,420 183,810 18,856,880 22,733,590 ==================================== |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | FIXED ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: NEW ASSETS (FIXED ASSETS)

Description

- The purchase of furniture, communication equipment and traffic counting equipment.
- The purchase of land for road widening purposes and the sale of surplus roading land.

Objective for 2001/02

- 1. Efficient and user friendly office operations.
- 2. Land is purchased sufficiently in advance so as not to delay roading projects, and surplus land is sold so as to maximise economic return.

Service Level

• Number of property purchases and sales.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS - FIXED ASSETS - NEW |

| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS - FIXED ASSETS - NEW | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| OUTPUT: NEW ASSETS (FIXED ASSETS) | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
| Project Management Property Purchase Fees | 24,562 | 0 |
| Budget 2000/2001 Office Equipment: | 386,100 | |
| Communications Equipment Furniture | $0 \\ 0$ | 2,122 2,122 |
| Traffic Counters | 0 | 20,808 |
| Property Purchase: | | 107.070 |
| Miscellaneous Hardship Purchases | 0 | 135,252 |
| Blenheim Rd deviation Riccarton/Straven Opawa/Port Hills | 0 0 0 | 2,027,500 550,000 715,500 |
| | | |

410,662

3,453,305

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | FIXED ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT : NEW ASSETS (FIXED ASSETS)

For text see page 9.5.text.85.

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS - FIXED ASSETS - NEW |

| OUTPUT : NEW ASSETS (FIXED ASSETS) | 2000/2001 BUDGET \$ | 2001/2002 BUDGET \$ |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| SALES: | Ψ | * |
| Budget 2000/2001 | (2,575,420) | 0 |
| Riccarton/Straven | 0 | (580,000) |
| Property Sales Unspecified | 0 | (885,000) |
| EXTERNAL CONTRIBUTIONS: | | |
| Contribution from Transit NZ for Woolston-Burwood Stage 2 | 0 | (1,031,300) |
| Contribution from Transit NZ for Blenheim Rd Deviation | 0 | (540,000) |
| TOTAL SALES - FIXED ASSETS | (2,575,420) | (3,036,300) |
| NET COST FIXED ASSETS | (2,164,758) | 417,005 |
| | | |
| TRANSIT NZ SUBSIDY ON ROADING PROPERTIES | 02 000 | 2 < 4 000 |
| Budget 2000/2001 & 2001/2002 | 92,880 | 264,000 |
| NET COST NEW ASSETS (FIXED ASSETS) | (2,257,638) | 153,005 |
| FINANCING TRANSFERS | | |
| TOTAL COST - FINANCING TRANSFERS | 0 | 0 |
| | ======================================= | |

9.5.funding.text.86

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS - FIXED ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: TRANSIT NZ SUBSIDY ON ROADING PROPERTIES

Description Part of TNZ contribution for Capital Works. Allocated in the same proportion as City Streets depreciation.

Benefits

Strategic Objectives CCC Policy

Allocation of Costs of Benefits Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(a)

General Benefits (Section 112F(b))

Nature and Distribution of General Benefits

Direct Benefits (Section 112F(c))

Control Negative Effects (Section 112F(d))

Modifications Pursuant to Section 12

Funding of Expenditure Needs Pursuant to Section 122E(1)(c)

General Benefits

Direct Benefits

Control Negative Effects

9.5.funding.86

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS - FIXED ASSETS - NEW |

OUTPUT: TRANSIT NZ SUBSIDY ON ROADING PROPERTIES

| | Customer | Residential (| Commercial | Rural | Institutions | Grants | Total Method |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------|--------------------|
| Costs and Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% General Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - CapValAll |
| 0.00% Direct Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | | - Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Negative Effects | - | - | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> |
| Total Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Modifications Transfer User Costs to Rating | | | | | | | |
| Non-Rateable | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | - |
| Total Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Total Montecanons | | | | | | | |
| Total Costs and Modifications | - | - | - | - | - | - | <u>-</u> |
| Funded By | | | | | | | |
| 0.00% User Charges | - | | | | | | - |
| 100.00% Grants and Subsidies | | 182,367 | 78,814 | 2,819 | _ | | 264,000 Road80%VKm |
| 0.00% Net Corporate Revenues | | - | _ | _ | - | | - |
| 0.00% Capital Value Rating | - | (182,367) | (78,814) | (2,819) | - | - | (264,000) |
| 0.00% Uniform Annual Charge | | - | - | - | | | - |
| Total Funded By | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| RENEWALS AND REP | PLACEMENTS | | | | | | | |
| KERB AND CHANNEL | RENEWALS | | | | | | | |
| Baker St | All | | Bth | 8,600 | 744,800 | | | |
| Bamford St | Barton | End | Bth | 164,600 | | | | |
| Brenchley Ave | Urunga | Watford | Bth | 174,200 | | | | |
| Burke St | Orbell | Montreal | Sth | 45,800 | | | | |
| Centaurus Rd | Austin Kirk | St Martins | Bth | 4,300 | 256,800 | | | |
| Chapter St (Reprogramme | e c Papanui | Bretts | Bth | 405,100 | | | | |
| Charlesworth St | | | Bth | 205,000 | | | | |
| Churchill St | Bealey | Cambridge | Bth | 112,700 | | | | |
| Clarence St | Lincoln | Railway | Bth | 340,800 | | | | |
| Coles Pl | Trafalgar | End | Bth | 102,500 | | | | |
| College Ave | Normans | Brenchley | Bth | 123,000 | | | | |
| Cornwall St | Cranford | Lindsay | Bth | 143,500 | | | | |
| Creyke Rd | Ilam | Clyde | Bth | 127,000 | 552,800 | | | |
| Curletts Rd | Main South | Tensing | Bth | 575,900 | | | | |
| Edmond St | Randolph | End | Bth | 95,500 | | | | |
| Edward Ave | Barbadoes | Cleveland | Bth | 3,590 | 215,600 | | | |
| Estuary Rd | Beattie | Halsey | Bth | 360,000 | | | | |
| Everard St | Milton | Athelstan | Bth | 132,600 | | | | |
| Ferry Rd (Reprogrammed | Barbadoes | Williams | Bth | 31,100 | | | | |
| Geraldine St | Bealey | Canon/Gresford | Bth | 495,375 | | | | |
| Greers Rd | Langdons | Harewood | Est | 3,075 | 105,000 | | | |
| Harakeke St | Rochdale | End | Bth | 1,640 | 148,800 | | | |
| Harvey Tce | Fitzgerald | Draper | Bth | 257,000 | 0 | | | |
| Hawford Rd | Butler | Opawa | Bth | 256,400 | 291,400 | | | |
| Heywood Tce | Fitzgerald | Harvey | Bth | 235,700 | 0 | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Hinau St | Totara | Clyde | Bth | 155,700 | 401,400 | | | |
| Holmwood Rd | Rossall | Fendalton | Sth | 225,900 | 0 | | | |
| Idris Rd | Blighs | Wairakei | Bth | 5,100 | 348,200 | | | |
| Ilam Rd | Hamilton | #272 | Bth | 6,000 | 178,600 | | | |
| Innes Rd | Rutland | Cranford | Bth | 4,600 | 257,200 | | | |
| Jennifer St (Reprogramm | e Wairakei | No 44 | Bth | 272,000 | 0 | | | |
| Lyttelton St | Lincoln | Edinburgh | Bth | 276,900 | 0 | | | |
| Lyttelton St | Edinburgh | Cobham | Bth | 2,972 | 482,300 | | | |
| Mackworth St | Ferry | Bonar | Bth | 6,865 | 633,000 | | | |
| Marylands Pl | Birmingham | End | Bth | 155,000 | 0 | | | |
| McLeod St | Avalon | North Avon | Bth | 164,550 | 0 | | | |
| Medbury Tce | Kotare | Clyde | Bth | 2,152 | 153,500 | | | |
| Merivale Ln | Rossall | Winchester | Bth | 6,866 | 400,900 | | | |
| Nayland St | Wakefield | Marriner | Bth | 339,300 | 0 | | | |
| Nelson St | Picton | Clarence | Bth | 164,400 | 0 | | | |
| New Brighton Rd | Pages | Bower | Nth | 6,000 | 452,000 | | | |
| Orbell St | Brougham | Burke | Bth | 276,750 | 0 | | | |
| Paparoa St | Papanui | Claremont | Bth | 3,382 | 205,400 | | | |
| Peterborough St | Madras | Cambridge | Bth | 134,000 | 0 | | | |
| Picton Ave | Riccarton | Peverel | Bth | 297,200 | 0 | | | |
| Richmond Hill Rd (Repro | ogNayland | base of hill | Bth | 143,600 | 0 | | | |
| Rossall St | Office | Merivale | Bth | 5,995 | 399,700 | | | |
| Shirley Rd | Hills | Quinns | Bth | 5,840 | 309,100 | | | |
| Southwark St | Manchester | Madras | Bth | 179,100 | 0 | | | |
| Station Rd | Flavell | Martindales | Est | 1,025 | 0 | 101,900 | | |
| Stewart St | St Asaph | Horatio | Bth | 4,304 | 297,000 | | | |
| Stirling St | Office | Aikmans | Bth | 1,307 | 107,200 | | | |
| Straven Rd | Kilmarnock | Rochdale/Weka | Bth | 449,500 | 0 | | | |
| Studholme St | Barrington | Somerfield | Bth | 338,400 | 266,500 | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Studholme St | Somerfield | Ashgrove | Bth | 5,380 | 358,700 | | | |
| Tyne/Pope | Blenheim | End | Bth | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 150,700 | |
| Tyrone St | Factory | Third | Bth | 3,300 | 230,400 | | | |
| Wai-iti Tce | Clyde | End | Bth | 164,000 | 0 | | | |
| Waimea Tce | Corson | Riverview | | 94,700 | | | | |
| Wainoni Rd | Shortland | Avonside | Bth | 1,540 | 142,700 | 0 | | |
| Waiwetu St (Reprogram | meFendalton | End | Bth | 356,700 | 0 | | | |
| Waltham Rd | Opp pool | | | 100,000 | | | | |
| Weka St | Tui | Straven | Bth | 3,845 | 266,000 | | | |
| Winchester St | Merivale | Rugby | Est | 125,980 | 0 | | | |
| Hills Rd (Reprogramme | d 0Akaroa | Aylesford | Bth | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Prossers Rd (Reprogram | m St Martins | Wades | Bth | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Wades Ave (Reprogram | meWilsons | Prossers | Bth | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Berwick St (Reprogramm | neMersey | Forfar | Bth | 0 | | | | |
| Browns Rd (Reprogrami | meSt Albans | Innes | Bth | 0 | | | | |
| Wakefield Ave | Stoke | Nayland | Est | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Alexandra St | Fitzgerald | Stanmore | Bth | | 5,500 | 369,000 | | |
| Baretta St | Dunn | Somerfield | Bth | | 2,550 | 164,000 | | |
| Bellamy Ave | | | | | 12,100 | 98,500 | | |
| Bordesley St | | | | | 20,100 | 190,900 | | |
| Cambridge Tce | Barbadoes | Madras end | Nth | | 1,500 | 122,500 | | |
| Centaurus Rd | Ramahana | Albert | Bth | | 2,000 | 133,200 | | |
| Champion | Bealey | Gresford | Bth | | 4,900 | 341,600 | | |
| Charles St | Wilsons | Barbour | Bth | | 15,100 | 105,500 | | |
| Clyde Rd | University Dr | Creyke | Bth | | 30,100 | 371,200 | | |
| Coronation St | Selwyn | Simeon | Bth | | 4,000 | 328,000 | | |
| Edward Ave | Cleveland | Hills | Bth | | 3,700 | 195,700 | | |
| Garreg Rd | Glandovey | Galway | Bth | | 7,600 | 386,800 | | |
| Geraldine St | Canon | Edgeware | Bth | | 5,500 | 213,400 | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|------------------|------------|-------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Glenroy St | Hargood | Portman | Bth | | 3,600 | 236,100 | | |
| Grants Rd | Papanui | Raburn | Bth | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Grants Rd | Papanui | Culvert | Bth | | 40,200 | 376,800 | | |
| Hastings St East | Vienna | | Bth | | 20,100 | 201,000 | | |
| Hawthorne St | Papanui | Watford | Bth | | 2,900 | 194,700 | | |
| Innes Rd | Cranford | Jamieson | Bth | | 20,100 | 190,900 | | |
| Kinsey Tce | All | | | | 50,200 | 552,600 | | |
| Manning Pl | Ferry | Wildberry | Bth | | 15,100 | 165,800 | | |
| Mary St | Wyndham | Main North | Bth | | 20,100 | 155,700 | | |
| Mathias St | Mays | Chapter | Bth | | 15,100 | 105,500 | | |
| Newnham Tce | | | | | 20,100 | 215,700 | | |
| North Parade | Averill | Medway | Bth | | 15,100 | 105,500 | | |
| Office Road | | | | | 20,100 | 175,700 | | |
| Orbell St | Moorhouse | End | Bth | | 15,100 | 100,500 | | |
| Snowdon Rd | Fendalton | Idris | Bth | | 4,800 | 216,000 | | |
| Speight St | All | | | | 20,100 | 150,700 | | |
| St Albans St | Rutland | Trafalgar | | | 20,100 | 105,500 | | |
| St James St | Windemere | Dalriada | Bth | | 20,100 | 221,100 | | |
| St Martins Rd | Centaurus | Gamblins | Bth | | 15,100 | 115,600 | | |
| Stratford | All | | | | 30,100 | 301,400 | | |
| Straven | Kilmarnock | Rata | | | 15,100 | 105,500 | | |
| Sullivan Ave | Ensors | Whittington | Bth | | 4,800 | 277,700 | | |
| Thorrington Rd | | | Bth | | 308,350 | | | |
| Totara St | Kahu | Puriri nth | Bth | | 20,100 | 231,100 | | |
| Union St | Beresford | Owles | Bth | | 15,000 | 175,600 | | |
| Vienna St | | | | | 15,000 | 150,700 | | |
| Wainoni Rd | Breezes | Shortland | Bth | | 35,000 | 256,800 | | |
| Warrington | Hills | Flockton | Bth | | 20,100 | 266,000 | | |
| Wherstead Rd | | | | | 162,800 | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Wildberry St | Manning | Hopkins | Bth | | 4,305 | 287,000 | | |
| Wilfred St | | | | | 3,699 | 246,000 | | |
| Winchester St | Merivale | Andover | Bth | | 30,100 | 211000 | | |
| Banks Ave | Nth Parade | Sth of Achilles | | | | 5,000 | 105,500 | |
| Barbour St | Ferry rd | End | Bth | | | 5,000 | 271,200 | |
| Bower Ave | New Brighton | Travis | Bth | | | 25100 | 640,000 | |
| Bridge St | Pine | Sth Brighton | Bth | | | 5,000 | 370,000 | |
| Burlington St | Huxley st | Hastings st | Bth | | | 5,000 | 205,600 | |
| Canon St | Colombo | Sherbourne | Bth | | | 5,000 | 100,500 | |
| Canon St | Barbadoes | Geraldine | Bth | | | 5,000 | 100,500 | |
| Centaurus Rd | Glenelg Spur | Rapaki | Bth | | | 5,000 | 273,300 | |
| Cleveland St | Edward | Hendon | Bth | | | 5,000 | 105,500 | |
| Cobham St | Domain | Lyttelton | Bth | | | 15100 | 165,800 | |
| Dennett St | All | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 100,500 | |
| Ellery St | All | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 100,500 | |
| Fairfield Ave | Antigua st | Selwyn st | Bth | | | 10,000 | 411,800 | |
| Frank St | All | | Bth | | | 30150 | 371,600 | |
| Geraldine St | Edgeware | Warrington | Bth | | | 3,600 | 462,200 | |
| Girvan St | All | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 100,500 | |
| Grants Rd Stage 2 | Rayburn | culvert | Bth | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Halton St | Watford | Papanui rd | Bth | | | 5,000 | 161,500 | |
| Harewood Rd | Wilmot | Harris | Bth | | | 5,000 | 201,100 | |
| Hastings St East Stage 2 | | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 81,200 | |
| Hendon St | All | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 216,000 | |
| Kowhai Tce | Buxton ave | Centaurus rd | Bth | | | 15100 | 105,500 | |
| Lyttelton St | Cobham | Wychbury | Bth | | | 19620 | 256,200 | |
| Mayfield Ave | Forfar st | Westminster st | Bth | | | 4,500 | 356,600 | |
| Ngaio St | All | | Bth | | | 30100 | 336,500 | |
| Preliminary Design For 2 | 2004/05 Projects | | | | | 5,000 | 341,600 | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Rattray St | Peverel st | Riccarton rd | Bth | | | 29117 | 281,300 | |
| Saltaire St | | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 160,650 | |
| Sinclair St | | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 175,000 | |
| Sullivan Ave | Whittington ave | Richardson tce | Bth | | | 7,075 | 492,200 | |
| Wainoni Rd | Breezes | Bexley | Bth | | | 35,000 | 950,200 | |
| Wainui St | Riccarton | Peverel | Bth | | | 5,000 | 297,500 | |
| Wildberry St | Richardson | Hopkins st | Bth | | | 25150 | 331,500 | |
| Winchester St Stage 2 | | | Bth | | | 5,000 | 100,440 | |
| Osborne | Ferry | end | Bth | | | | 462,000 | |
| Unspecified | | | _ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,595,082 |
| | | | | 8,895,133 | 9,322,104 | 9,571,012 | 9,342,690 | 9,595,082 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description KERB AND CHANNE | L ENHANCE | MENTS | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Addington NIP | | | | 141,494 | | | | |
| Percy St | | | | 141,494 | | | | |
| Shakespeare Rd | Defoe | Wilsons | Bth | 249,696 | | | | |
| Sydenham NIP | | | | 141,494 | | | | |
| Charles St | Osborne | Barbour | Nth | | 15,300 | 107,100 | | |
| Barbour St | Charles | End | East | | 132,600 | | | |
| Suffolk St | | | | | 141,494 | | | |
| Flavell/Marsden/Rollin | | | | | | 88,740 | 101,000 | |
| Kipling St | | | | | | 263,160 | | |
| Barrie St | | | | | | | 183,000 | |
| Crohane Pl | | | | | | | 175,000 | |
| Ruskin St | | | | | | | | 430,000 |
| Unspecified | | | | | 16,606 | | | 29,000 |
| | | | | 674,179 | 306,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 |
| STRUCTURE RENEW | 'ALS | | | | | | | |
| Bridges | Lifelines | | | 104,040 | 104,040 | 104,040 | 104,040 | 104,040 |
| Retaining Walls Renewal | ls | | | 51,000 | 51,000 | 51,000 | 51,000 | 51,000 |
| Bridge Renewals | | | | 25,500 | 25,500 | 25,500 | 25,500 | 25,500 |
| Culvert Renewal | | | | | | 153,000 | | 51,000 |
| | | | - | 180,540 | 180,540 | 333,540 | 180,540 | 231,540 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description ROAD PAVEMENT REPLACEMENT | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Road Pavement Replacement | 500,000 | 500,000 | | | |
| CARRIAGEWAY SEALING | 500,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resealing including second coat sealing Second Coat Sealing | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 |
| CARRIAGEWAY SURFACING | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 | 2,233,895 |
| Slurry Sealing | 99,265 | 99,265 | 99,265 | 99,265 | 99,265 |
| Surfacing Budget | 1,083,986 | 1,102,082 | 1,345,178 | 1,513,274 | 1,681,369 |
| CARRIAGEWAY SMOOTHING | 1,183,251 | 1,201,347 | 1,444,443 | 1,612,539 | 1,780,634 |
| Carriageway Smoothing | 385,780 | 385,780 | 385,780 | 385,780 | 385,780 |
| | 385,780 | 385,780 | 385,780 | 385,780 | 385,780 |
| FOOTPATH RESURFACING | | | | | |
| Footpath Resurfacing | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 |
| - | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 |
| RENEWALS AND REPLACEMENTS | 16,752,778 | 16,829,666 | 17,127,670 | 16,914,444 | 17,385,931 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | NUTC | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ASSET IMPROVEME | | | | | | | |
| ROAD NETWORK IM | | S | | | | | |
| Blenheim Road deviation | ` / | | 3,765,000 | 2,780,000 | | | |
| Blenheim Road deviation | • | et) | | 0 | | | |
| Amyes/Goulding/Shands | | | 45,000 | 200,000 | | | |
| Clarence/Riccarton/Strav | en Intersection | | 208,080 | | | | |
| Durham/Salisbury | | | 62,424 | | | | |
| Fendalton Rd (R) | Railway | Clyde | 1,400,000 | 1,506,155 | | | |
| Fendalton Road (R) | Landscaping | | 0 | 193,490 | | | |
| Ferry Rd/Humphreys Dr | ive Intersection cl | hange | 672,894 | | | | |
| Halswell Junction/State Highway 73 Signals | | | 10,000 | | | | |
| Halswell Junction/Waterloo Signals | | | 70,000 | | | | |
| Hills/Shirley/Warrington (Reprogrammed 01/02) | | | 101,340 | | | | |
| Linwood/Dyers Signalisation (Reprogrammed 01/02) | | | 0 | 205,000 | | 0 | |
| Travis Rd traffic mgmt | | | 10,000 | 200,000 | | | |
| Treffers/Parkhouse | | | 80,000 | | | | |
| Woolston-Burwood expr | essway and cycle | eway - Stage 2 (*2) | 2,650,580 | 1,145,460 | | | |
| Bealey/Carlton/Harper | | | | 56,160 | 360,000 | 520,200 | |
| CCC works associated w | ith Transit projec | ets | | 110,000 | 0 | 510,000 | |
| Ferrymead Bridge | | | | 1,213,800 | 2,499,000 | | |
| Gloucester/Linwood signalisation | | | | 163,200 | | | |
| Northern Access | | | | 200,000 | 200,000 | | |
| Mandeville/Riccarton + Riccarton Rd misc | | | | | 291,312 | | |
| Opawa/Port Hills Rd | | | | | 700,000 | 1,100,000 | |
| Unspecified | | | | | | 1,898,800 | 3,468,000 |
| | | | 9,075,318 | 7,973,265 | 4,050,312 | 4,029,000 | 3,468,000 |

^{(*1} Note:- refer Transit NZ contribution below of \$-540000)

^{(*2} Note:- refer Transit NZ contribution below of \$-1031300)

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| ADDITIONAL WORKS FROM ALTERNATIVE FUNDING | | | | | |
| Road Network Improvements | | 3,000,000 | 2,500,000 | 2500000 | 1,939,000 |
| Road Pavement Reconstruction | | | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Property Purchase | | | | | 561,000 |
| Alternative Funding for the above | | -3,000,000 | -3,000,000 | -3,000,000 | -3,000,000 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CARRIAGEWAY SEAL WIDENING | | | | | |
| Allocation | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 |
| | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description CYCLEWAYS | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Annex Road | Dimmin ah am | Blenheim | 30,000 | 45,000 | | | |
| | Birmingham | Біеппепп | * | 45,000 | | | |
| Avondale Bridge | Clip-on | F:414 | 153,000 | 50,000 | | | |
| Bealey Avenue | Park | Fitzgerald | 50,000 | 50,000 | | | |
| Boys High/Girls High "b | | | 70,000 | | | | |
| Burnside High School "b | | | 20,400 | | | | |
| Cashmere High "bubble" | | XXX 1 .1 | 70,000 | | | | |
| Colombo Street | Brougham | Wordsworth | 40,800 | | | | |
| Colombo Street (R) | Moorhouse | Wordsworth | 48,800 | | | | |
| Hagley Park Cycle Route | | | 130,000 | | | | |
| Heaton/St Andrews "bub | | | 70,000 | | | | |
| Port Hills Road | Avoca Valley | | 20,000 | | | | |
| Riccarton Road | Deans | Matipo | 81,600 | | | | |
| St Albans | Cranford | English Park | 20,400 | | 31,400 | | |
| Aldwins-Buckleys | Ensors | Kerrs | | 45,400 | 45,000 | | |
| Blighs Road signalised c | rossing | | | 64,500 | | | |
| Branston Intermediate "b | oubble" | | | 55,400 | | | |
| Colombo Street | Huxley | Tennyson | | 60,000 | | | |
| Colombo Street | Armagh | Bealey | | 10,000 | | | |
| Fitzgerald Avenue | Moorhouse | Bealey | | 45,400 | 45,000 | | |
| Hillmorton/Manning "bu | ıbble" | | | 60,000 | | | |
| Hornby High "bubble" | | | | 55,400 | | | |
| Lincoln Road | Whiteleigh | Moorhouse | | 31,000 | | | |
| Moorhouse Avenue | Lincoln | Fitzgerald | | 45,400 | 45,000 | | |
| New Brighton Road | Pages | Ajax | | 40,500 | 40,000 | | |
| Papanui High "bubble" | C | v | | 60,000 | | | |
| Papanui Road | Bealey | Heaton | | 50,400 | | | |
| Riccarton Road | Rattray | Ilam | | 40,800 | | | |
| Strickland Street | Milton | Colombo | | 10,600 | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Waimairi Road | Tudor | Greers | | 40,200 | 40,000 | | |
| Casebrook "bubble" | | | | | 60,400 | | |
| Christchurch South "bubb | ole" | | | | 60,400 | | |
| Ensors-St Martins | Ferry | Centaurus | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | |
| Glandovey-Heaton-Innes | Railway | Rutland | | | 40,000 | 40,000 | |
| Halswell Road | Sylvan | Templeton | | | 61,200 | | |
| Innes Road | Cranford | Mahars | | | 30,600 | | |
| Pages Road | New Brighton | Kerrs | | | 50,000 | 60,000 | |
| Papanui Road | Blighs | Innes/Heaton | | | 50,000 | | |
| Riccarton High "bubble" | | | | | 60,000 | | |
| Riccarton Road | Ilam | Church Cnr | | | 51,000 | | |
| Aranui High "bubble" | | | | | | 70,000 | |
| Avonside Girls High "but | oble" | | | | | 60,000 | |
| Linwood High "bubble" | | | | | | 60,000 | |
| Main North Road | Papanui | Prestons | | | | 60,000 | 80,000 |
| New Brighton Access Ro | ute | | | | | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| Papanui - Harewood | Blighs | Railway | | | | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Railway Cycleway | Northcote | Main North | | | | 100,000 | 200,000 |
| Warrington-Berwick | Hills | Cranford | | | | 20,400 | |
| Whiteleigh-Clarence | Blenheim | Lincoln | | | | 50,000 | |
| Projects to be specified | | | | | | 59,600 | 310,000 |
| | | | 805,000 | 810,000 | 760,000 | 740,000 | 750,000 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description STREET LIGHTING U | UPGRADING | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Street Lighting -Safety | | | | | | | |
| Minor Works | | | 53,060 | 53,060 | 53,060 | 53,060 | 53,060 |
| Antigua St | Brougham | Tuam | 43,860 | | | | |
| Bassett St | New Brighton | Parnwell | 13,796 | | | | |
| Durham St | Bealey | Springfield | 19,768 | | | | |
| Estuary Rd | Jervois | Ebbtide | 79,070 | | | | |
| Greers Rd | Memorial | Waimairi | 19,000 | | | | |
| Halswell Junction Rd | Springs | Shands | 74,909 | | | | |
| Keyes Rd | Bowhill | Hawke | 42,000 | | | | |
| Owles Tce | Seaview | Union | 26,520 | | | | |
| Parnwell St | Bassett | Travis | 14,280 | | | | |
| Rookwood | Bower | Bowhill | 18,000 | | | | |
| Sawyers Arms Rd | Northcote | Johns | 92,325 | | | | |
| Travis Rd | Frosts | Bower | 27,000 | | | | |
| Union St | Owles | Estuary | 37,740 | | | | |
| Wilsons Rd | Railway | Shakespeare | 6,000 | | | | |
| Avonhead Road | Roydvale | Yaldhurst | | 91,264 | | | |
| Bexley Rd | Wainoni | Breezes | | 75,000 | | | |
| Blighs Rd | Idris | Papanui | | 27,540 | | | |
| Bridge St | Dyers | Marine Parade | | 66,000 | | | |
| Coronation St | Selwyn | Barrington | | 24,480 | | | |
| Ilam Road | Memorial | Wairakei | | 57,222 | | | |
| Ilam Road | Maidstone | Memorial | | 30,172 | | | |
| Langdons Rd | Main Nth | Greers | | 43,697 | | | |
| Montreal St | Brougham | Moorhouse | | 28,091 | | | |
| Roydvale Ave | Memorial | Wairakei | | 43,722 | | | |
| Roydvale Ave | Avonhead | Memorial | | 11,673 | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Wordsworth | Durham | Waltham | | 35,000 | | | |
| Burwood Rd | Lake Tce | Prestons | | | 62,000 | | |
| Clyde Rd | Ilam | Greers | | | 29,131 | | |
| Farrington Ave | Waireki | Harewood | | | 40,000 | | |
| Highstead Rd | Harewood | Sawyers Arms | | | 27,000 | | |
| Lake Tce Rd | Marshlands | New Brighton | | | 120,000 | | |
| Merrin St | Avonhead | Withells | | | 18,000 | | |
| Middleton Rd | Riccarton | Blenheim | | | 27,050 | | |
| Prestons Rd | Main Nth | Grimseys | | | 33,293 | | |
| Prestons Rd | Grimseys | Hawkins | | | 31,836 | | |
| Rose St | Hoon Hay | Lyttleton | | | 18,000 | | |
| Withells Rd | Yaldhurst | Avonhead | | | 83,835 | | |
| Bower Avenue | New Brighton | Queenspark | | | | 77,520 | |
| Bridle Path | Main | Martindales | | | | 43,697 | |
| Unspecified Projects | | | | | | 388,783 | 551,800 |
| | | | 567,328 | 586,921 | 543,206 | 563,060 | 604,860 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Street Lighting - Asset | Improvements | | | | | | |
| Street light Poles | | | 144,324 | 41,616 | 41,616 | 41,616 | 50,000 |
| Algidus St | All | | 2,081 | | | | |
| Brixton St | Waterloo | to bend | 5,202 | | | | |
| Butts Valley Rd | All | | 3,121 | | | | |
| Greenhurst St | Epsom | Main South | 5,202 | | | | |
| Neill St | Springs | Main South | 10,924 | | | | |
| O'Briens Rd | All | | 5,722 | | | | |
| Shaw Ave | Lonsdale | Rawhiti Domain | 4,162 | | | | |
| Vickerys Rd | Haytons | Washbournes | 4,162 | | | | |
| Washbournes Rd | Vickerys | Haytons | 4,682 | | | | |
| Wilson St | Waterloo | to end | 5,202 | | | | |
| Projects to be specified | | | | 239,292 | 239,292 | 239,292 | 346,800 |
| | | | 194,784 | 280,908 | 280,908 | 280,908 | 396,800 |
| Street Lighting - Conv | ersion | | | | | | |
| Unspecified | | | 349,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 |
| | | | 349,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 |
| TOTAL STREET LIG | HT UPGRADI | NG | 1,112,062 | 1,124,779 | 1,081,064 | 1,100,918 | 1,258,610 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--|--|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| SEAL EXTENSION | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 400 7 /4003 | 4003/4000 |
| Kinleys Ln | St Albans | 92,840 | | | | |
| Earlham Road | | , | 42,000 | | | |
| Lillian St | Service Lane | | 35,000 | | | |
| Murphys Road | | | 5,000 | 52,840 | | |
| Rothersay Rd | | | | 72,160 | 75,000 | |
| Unspecfied | _ | | | | | 75,000 |
| | | 92,840 | 82,000 | 125,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| MAJOR AMENITY IN | APROVEMENTS | 40.000 | | 200.000 | 200,000 | |
| Cashel Mall upgrade | 1' A '. C'. W. 1 | 40,000 | | 200,000 | 200,000 | |
| Cathedral Junction - Public Amenity Site Works | | 408,000 | | | | |
| Cathedral Square Stage V | | 1,500,000 | | | | |
| Cathedral Square Stage V Traffic Measures only | | 102,000 | | | | |
| | 1 Design (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 27,000 | 20.000 | 250 000 | | |
| _ | III Colombo Hereford to Lichfield | 0 | 30,000 | 270,000 | | |
| | VII Lichfield/Tuam Street one way swap | 60,000 | 1,200,000 | | | |
| • | Northern Approach (Reprogrammed 01/02 | 30,600 | | | | |
| 1 | Worcester St (Manchester - Latimer) | 140,000 | 61.200 | | | |
| Pedestrian and Cycle Fac | cilities | 61,200 | 61,200 | | | |
| Service Lane walkways | | 61,200 | 61,200 | | | |
| 10 | lities at Jade Stadium (R) | 370,300 | = 00.000 | | | |
| New Brighton Commerc | • | 500,000 | 700,000 | | | |
| <u>e</u> | rd St Carparking Development (Reprogram | 200,000 | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Surplus Carparking / or Substitution (R) | -200,000 | | | | |
| Art Gallery - Gloucester | | | 108,120 | | | |
| Art Gallery - Montreal S | t - Lime Trees | | 14,892 | | | |
| Latimer Square Stage II | Latimer Square (Worcester - Hereford) | | 196,600 | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description Cambridge/Cashel/Rolleston CambridgeTce Baradoes to Sailsbury Latimer Square Stage III Through Latimer Square | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 89,760 22,440 168,300 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|---|------------|------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| projects to be identified | | | | | 357,000 |
| | 3,300,300 | 2,372,012 | 750,500 | 200,000 | 357,000 |
| TOTAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS | 14,416,732 | 12,393,267 | 6,798,088 | 6,176,130 | 5,939,822 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description NEW ASSETS | | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| NEW CONSTRUCTION/NEW KERB AND CHANNEL/PATHS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Halswell Junction Rd | Railway | Shands | 52,020 | | | | | | | | |
| Minor Landscape Improvements | | 20,808 | 20,808 | 20,808 | 20,808 | 20,808 | | | | | |
| Muritai Tce | | | 1,530 | 52,020 | | | | | | | |
| New Brighton Rd | Avondale | Wainoni | 156,060 | | | | | | | | |
| New Footpaths | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | | | | | | |
| New Retaining Structures | | 51,000 | 51,000 | 51,000 | 51,000 | 51,000 | | | | | |
| Shands Rd @ Printpacs | | | 5,100 | | | | | | | | |
| Subdivisions | | | 510,000 | 510,000 | 510,000 | 510,000 | 510,000 | | | | |
| Taylors Mistake Rd | Improvements | | | 312,120 | | 224,000 | | | | | |
| Cashmere Rd (Reprogramn Brookville Happy | | Happy Home | | | 48,800 | | | | | | |
| Centaurus Rd | Aynsley | Glenelg | | | | 183,600 | | | | | |
| Unspecified | - | | | | | 100,972 | | | | | |
| | | • | 846,518 | 995,948 | 680,608 | 1,090,380 | 581,808 | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| SAFETY IMPROVEMENT WORKS | | | | | |
| Aldwins/Ensors/Ferry (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 82,000 | | | | |
| Avonside Drive Safety | 65,000 | | | | |
| Blackspot Remedial Works | 40,800 | 81,600 | 81,600 | 81,600 | 81,600 |
| Buckleys/Kerrs Ped Signals | 30,000 | | | | |
| Dyers Pass Rd at Cashmere School | 35,000 | | | | |
| Eastgate Pedestrian Signals | 50,000 | | | | |
| Evans Pass Safety Improvements | 150,000 | | | | |
| Innes/Rutland | 50,000 | 150,000 | | | |
| Kerb Cutdown Improvements | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Marshs/Springs | 42,000 | | | | |
| Milns/Sparks/Sutherlands realignment | 163,200 | | | | |
| Minor Safety Projects | 62,424 | 62,424 | 62,424 | 62,424 | 62,424 |
| Northcote Rd at Rail Crossing | 50,000 | | | | |
| Pedestrian Safety Initiatives/Crossing Facilities | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 |
| Road Safety at Schools | 101,500 | 101,500 | 101,500 | 76,500 | 76,500 |
| Safe Routes to Schools | 70,800 | 70,800 | 40,800 | 40,800 | 40,800 |
| Bealey/Fitzgerald/London/Hills | | 51,000 | | | |
| Cashmere/Penruddock | | 67,000 | | | |
| Marshlands/Lower Styx close one leg | | 37,740 | | | |
| Berwick/Forfar/Warrington | | | 75,949 | | |
| Bowhill/Rockwood/Keys | | | 35,000 | | |
| Cashmere/Hendersons realignment | | | 280,500 | | |
| Dyers Pass Rd at Bends | | | 92,000 | | |
| Avonside/Fitzgerald | | | , | 160,000 | 165,000 |
| Crash Reduction Studies | | | | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Springfield Rd Pedestrian Signals at Edgeware Rd | | | | 76,600 | , |
| Unspecified | | | | 0 | 91,676 |
| 1 | | | | Ü | , 3 |
| | 1,104,724 | 734,064 | 881,773 | 909,924 | 930,000 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENTS WORKS. | | | | | |
| Aorangi/Brookside | 50,000 | | | | |
| Avonhead Rd - New Kerb Commodore Hotel Vicinity | 6,000 | | | | |
| Aynsley Tce Traffic Calming | 60,000 | | | | |
| Banks/McBratneys | 106,000 | | | | |
| Bealey Avenue – Bulb planting in the median (H/F) | 1,000 | | | | |
| Birdwood/Norwood/Sandwich Rds intersection adjustment (Repro | 33,300 | | | | |
| Bishopdale Mall - Children's Playground (F/W) | 4,000 | | | | |
| Brynley/Springs | 45,000 | | | | |
| Clarence/Peverel | 90,000 | | | | |
| Coloured Paintings - Selected Sites (F/W) | 5,000 | | | | |
| Domain Tce Traffic Calming (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 48,250 | | | | |
| Dominion/Milton | 0 | 40,000 | | | |
| Garvins Road | 40,000 | | | | |
| Goulding Avenue | 20,000 | | | | |
| Hawford Road | 10,000 | | | | |
| Heberden/ Evans Pass (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 37,500 | | | | |
| Joy St Traffic Restraint (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 38,000 | | | | |
| Landscaping of CCC Land 19 Fendalton Rd | 3,500 | | | | |
| Linwood Avenue– Bulb planting in the median (H/F) | 5,000 | | | | |
| Lochee Road | 25,000 | | | | |
| Maidstone Rd Pedestrian I Ray Blank Park | 6,000 | | | | |
| Manchester St (ReprogramBealey Edgeware | 59,000 | | | | |
| Mathers Rd Traffic Calming (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 95,000 | | | | |
| Maxwell Street | 25,000 | | | | |
| Minor Works | 30,300 | 54,600 | | | |
| Neill/Springs | 45,000 | | | | |
| O'Halloran Drive | 0 | | | | |
| Papanui/McDougall (Reprogrammed 01/02) | 0 | | | | 30,600 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Riccarton/Rimu Service Lane (Reprogram | med 01/02) | 51,619 | | | | |
| Rowley Ave Traffic Calming (Reprograms | | 16,000 | | | | |
| Roydvale Ave/ Teesdale (Reprogrammed | * | 34,500 | | | | |
| Selwyn Street – Pedestriannear Ruskin Str | | 15,000 | | | | |
| St Andrews Square (Repro At Croquet Clu | | 39,500 | | | | |
| Stourbridge Street | | 19,000 | | | | |
| Sturrocks Rd | | 12,700 | | | | |
| Suva Street @ Hansons Lane | | 80,000 | | | | |
| Suva Street @ Middleton Road | | 45,000 | | | | |
| Wairakei Rd 2xPedestrian Ilam | Pitcairn | 12,000 | | | | |
| Amyes/Trevor | | | 30,000 | | | |
| Ashgrove / Barrington | | | 40,000 | | | |
| Birdwood @ Waimea | | | 18,000 | | | |
| Bowenvale Avenue | | | 20,000 | | | |
| Brynley Street | | | 30,000 | | | |
| Fifield @ Ensors (East approach) | | | 40,000 | | | |
| Foremans Road | | | 30,000 | | | |
| Glynne Crescent | | | 8,000 | | | |
| Grange Street | | | 13,000 | | | |
| Hastings Street East | | | 10,000 | | | |
| Holliss Avenue | | | 8,000 | | | |
| Horseshoe Lake @ Lake Terrace Intersect | ion Treatment | | 35,000 | | | |
| Jones Road | | | 30,000 | | | |
| Port Hills Road | | | 15,000 | | | |
| Riverlaw (Burnbrae to Wilsons) | | | 15,000 | | | |
| Tuckers Rd | | | 242,000 | | | |
| Waimea Terrace/Birdwood | | | 9,000 | | | |
| Witham Street | | | 30,000 | | | |
| Wychbury Street | | | 10,000 | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Wycola Avenue | | 30,000 | | | |
| Barrington/Howard | | | 9,000 | | |
| Barrington/Wychbury | | | 9,000 | | |
| Bunyan | | | 60,000 | | |
| Cable Street | | | 30,000 | | |
| Cashmere View | | | 15,000 | | |
| Croydon/Southampton | | | 9,000 | | |
| Fifield (Ensors to Beckford) Traffic Calming | | | 10,000 | | |
| Fifield/Ford | | | 30,500 | | |
| Fisher Ave | | | 5,000 | | |
| Jones/Railway | | | 30,000 | | |
| Lewis/Wyn | | | 9,000 | | |
| Nortons Road | | | 30,000 | | |
| Palatine Tce Traffic Calming | | | 15,300 | | |
| Rydal/Sparks | | | 2,500 | | |
| Thorrington Road | | | 10,000 | | |
| Tirangi Street | | | 30,000 | | |
| Wilsons Road (Waltham to Brougham) | | | 8,000 | | |
| Projects to be prioritised | | | 466,700 | 780,000 | 800,000 |
| · | 1,213,169 | 757,600 | 779,000 | 780,000 | 830,600 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description SIGNALS | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ANTTS (Automatic Network Travel Time Sys.) | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 |
| CCTV Installation - City | 42,656 | 42,656 | 42,656 | 42,656 | 42,656 |
| Traffic Signal Upgrade | 208,080 | | | | |
| | 281,948 | 73,868 | 73,868 | 73,868 | 73,868 |
| SIGNS | | | | | |
| Signs - Parking | 26,530 | 26,530 | 26,530 | 26,530 | 26,530 |
| Signs - Regulatory etc | 93,636 | 93,636 | 93,636 | 93,636 | 93,636 |
| Signs - Heavy Vehicle Ban (Hornby) | 20,000 | | | | |
| Signs - Street Racing By-Laws | 25,000 | | | | |
| Advanced Direction Signage | 52,020 | 52,020 | 52,020 | 52,020 | 52,020 |
| School Crossing Equipment | 15,404 | 15,404 | 15,404 | 15,404 | 15,404 |
| | 232,590 | 187,590 | 187,590 | 187,590 | 187,590 |
| PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE | | | | | |
| Bus Interchange | | | | | |
| Real Time Information | 1,155,179 | | | | |
| Public Transport Initiatives | 408,000 | 408,000 | 408,000 | 408,000 | 153,000 |
| Kainga Rd - Bus Shelter (Opposite Riverlea Estate Dr) | 10,000 | | | | |

| 1,573,179 | 408,000 | 408,000 | 408,000 | 153,000 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description EVED A GENERAL | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| FIXED ASSETS Communications Equipment | 2,122 | 2,122 | 2,122 | 2,122 | 2,122 |
| Furniture | 2,122 | 2,122 | 2,122 | 2,122 | 2,122 |
| Traffic Counters | 20,808 | 20,808 | 20,808 | 20,808 | 20,808 |
| | | | | | |
| Property Purchase | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous Hardship Purchases | 135,252 | 135,252 | 135,252 | 135,252 | 132,252 |
| Fendalton Rd | | | | | |
| Blenheim Rd deviation | 2,027,500 | | | | |
| Riccarton/Straven | 550,000 | | | | |
| Property Sale Expenses | | | | | |
| Opawa/Port Hills | 715,500 | 484,500 | | | |
| Unspecified | | | 211,000 | 311,000 | |
| - | 3,453,305 | 644,805 | 371,305 | 471,305 | 157,305 |
| NEW ASSETS | 8,705,433 | 3,801,875 | 3,382,145 | 3,921,067 | 2,914,171 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

| Description | | 2001/2002 | 2002/2003 | 2003/2004 | 2004/2005 | 2005/2006 |
|--|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Property Sales | | | | | | |
| Recoveries - Property Sale Expenses | | | | | | |
| Riccarton/Straven | | -580,000 | | | | |
| Blenheim Rd deviation | | 0 | -4,728,000 | | | |
| Property Sales Unspecified | | -885,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 |
| | | -1,465,000 | -5,028,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 |
| External Contributions | | | | | | |
| Contribution from Transit NZ for Woolston-Bu | ırwood Stage 2 | -1,031,300 | | | | |
| Contribution from Transit NZ for Blenheim Ro | l Deviation | -540,000 | | | | |
| | | -1,571,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | -3,036,300 | -5,028,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | | 36,838,643 | 27,996,809 | 27,007,903 | 26,711,642 | 25,939,925 |
| | J | | | | | |
| SUMMARY | | | | | | |
| RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS | | 16,752,778 | 16,829,666 | 17,127,670 | 16,914,444 | 17,385,931 |
| ASSET IMPROVEMENTS | | 14,416,732 | 12,393,267 | 6,798,088 | 6,176,130 | 5,939,822 |
| NEW ASSETS | | 8,705,433 | 3,801,875 | 3,382,145 | 3,921,067 | 2,914,171 |
| NEW ASSETS | | 39,874,943 | 33,024,809 | 27,307,903 | 27,011,642 | 26,239,925 |
| | | 39,674,943 | 33,024,809 | 27,307,903 | 27,011,042 | 20,239,923 |
| SALE OF ASSETS | | -3,036,300 | -5,028,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 |
| | · | 36,838,643 | 27,996,809 | 27,007,903 | 26,711,642 | 25,939,925 |
| | ! | | | | | |
| Annual Plan 2000/2001 | \$44,377,248 | 27,077,119 | 26,592,989 | 25,418,458 | 25,616,321 | 24,184,876 |
| | | | | | | |
| INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS | | 36,421,638 | 32,380,004 | 26,936,598 | 26,540,337 | 26,082,620 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

Description

| Description | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| YEAR | 2006/2007 | 2007/2008 | 2008/2009 | 2009/2010 | 2010/2011 |
| | YEAR 6 | YEAR 7 | YEAR 8 | YEAR 9 | YEAR 10 |
| RENEWALS AND REPLACEMENTS | | | | | |
| KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWALS | 9,595,082 | 9,595,082 | 9,595,082 | 9,595,082 | 9,595,082 |
| KERB AND CHANNEL ENHANCEMENTS | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 0 | 0 |
| STRUCTURE RENEWALS | 231,540 | 920,040 | 384,540 | 231,540 | 231,540 |
| NEW SURFACINGS SUMMARY (INCLUDES CARRIAGEWAY SEALING | 7,263,509 | 7,426,709 | 7,589,909 | 7,753,109 | 7,916,309 |
| TOTAL RENEWALS AND REPLACEMENTS | 17,549,131 | 18,400,831 | 18,028,531 | 17,579,731 | 17,742,931 |
| A COLUMN ADD ON THE MENTER | | | | | |
| ASSET IMPROVEMENTS | | | | | |
| ROAD NETWORK IMPROVEMENTS | 3,468,000 | 3,468,000 | 2,448,000 | 2,448,000 | 2,448,000 |
| ADDITIONAL WORKS FROM ALTERNATIVE FUNDING | | | | | |
| Road Network Improvements | 2,189,000 | 2,189,000 | 2,189,000 | 2,189,000 | 2,189,000 |
| Road Pavement Reconstruction | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Property Purchase | 561,000 | 561,000 | 561,000 | 561,000 | 561,000 |
| Alternative Funding for the above | -3,000,000 | -3,000,000 | -3,000,000 | -3,000,000 | -3,000,000 |
| CARRIAGEWAY SEAL WIDENING | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 | 31,212 |
| CYCLEWAYS | 816,000 | 816,000 | 816,000 | 510,000 | 510,000 |
| STREET LIGHTING -SAFETY | 604,860 | 604,860 | 604,860 | 144,840 | 144,840 |
| STREET LIGHTING - ASSET IMPROVEMENTS | 395,760 | 395,760 | 395,760 | 617,100 | 617,100 |
| STREET LIGHTING - CONVERSION | 256,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 | 256,950 |
| SEAL EXTENSION | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| MAJOR AMENITY IMPROVEMENTS | 357,000 | 357,000 | 357,000 | 357,000 | 357,000 |
| TOTAL ASSET IMPROVEMENTS | 6,004,782 | 6,004,782 | 4,984,782 | 4,440,102 | 4,440,102 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS |
| OUTPUT CLASS: | CAPITAL OUTPUTS |

Description

| Description | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| YEAR | 2006/2007 | 2007/2008 | 2008/2009 | 2009/2010 | 2010/2011 |
| | YEAR 6 | YEAR 7 | YEAR 8 | YEAR 9 | YEAR 10 |
| NEW ASSETS | | | | | |
| NEW CONSTRUCTION/NEW KERB AND CHANNEL/PATHS | 893,928 | 581,808 | 893,928 | 581,808 | 893,928 |
| SAFETY IMPROVEMENT WORKS | 1,020,000 | 1,020,000 | 1,020,000 | 1,020,000 | 1,020,000 |
| NEIGHBOURHOOD IMPROVEMENTS WORKS. | 816,000 | 816,000 | 816,000 | 816,000 | 816,000 |
| SIGNALS | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 |
| SIGNS | 179,010 | 179,010 | 179,010 | 179,010 | 179,010 |
| PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE | 153,000 | 153,000 | 153,000 | 153,000 | 153,000 |
| FIXED ASSETS including Property Purchase | 157,305 | 157,305 | 157,305 | 157,305 | 157,305 |
| TOTAL NEW ASSETS | 3,321,243 | 3,009,123 | 3,321,243 | 3,009,123 | 3,321,243 |
| PROPERTY SALES | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 |
| TOTAL PROPERTY SALES | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 | -300,000 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | 26,575,156 | 27,114,736 | 26,034,556 | 24,728,956 | 25,204,276 |
| Annual Plan 2000/2001 | 24,650,876 | 25,179,876 | 24,120,876 | 22,888,876 | |
| TRANSFUND REVENUE PROJECTIONS FOR YEARS 6-10 | 4,878,516 | 4,977,569 | 4,779,276 | 4,539,601 | 4,626,858 |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|-------|--|--|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | | CITY STREETS | | | | | | |
| ACTIVITY: | | FEES SCHEDULF | Ε | | | | | |
| Fees Description | 2000/2001 Present Charge | 2000/2001 Revenue from Present Charge | 2001/2002 Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue From Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue as a percentage of Total Cost | Notes | | |
| Tram Operation Contract | | \$148,625 | | \$148,625 | | | | |
| CITY STREETS Property Rents | | \$125,000 | | \$205,000 | | | | |
| Activities On Street 1. Trenches - normal road opening - high grade pavement opening - footpath and minor openings - sewer - footpath and minor openings (Stormwater) - Trenching Application (Utilities) | \$375.00 \$600.00 \$200.00 \$105.00 \$292.50 |)) \$50,000) \$20,000 | \$375.00 \$600.00 \$200.00 \$105.00 \$292.50 |)) \$50,000) \$356,000 | | (1) | | |
| 2. Vehicle Crossing Inspection | \$60.00 per crossing | \$50,000 | \$60.00 per crossing | \$50,000 | 100.0% | | | |
| 3. Structures on Streets & Application Fees - Landscape Features (retaining walls for landscaping/ private land only) | | | | | 100.0% | | | |
| - Retaining walls for driveways (Board approval not required) | \$200.00 | \$500 | \$200.00 | \$500 | 100.0% | | | |
| - Retaining walls for driveways, parking platforms etc. (Board approval required) | \$300.00 | \$500 | \$300.00 | \$500 | 100.0% | | | |
| -Preparation/Transfer of lease Document | \$100.00 | \$1,000 | \$100.00 | \$1,000 | 100.0% | | | |
| Sub Total | | \$395,625 | | \$811,625 | | | | |
| Note 1. This revenue figure represents the net fig The physical work is done by City Care. | ure after all associated | l costs have been taken a | ccount of. | | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|-------|--|--|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | | CITY STREETS | | | | | | |
| ACTIVITY: | | FEES SCHEDULI | E | | | | | |
| Fees Description | 2000/2001 Present Charge | 2000/2001 Revenue from Present Charge | 2001/2002 Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue From Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue as a percentage of Total Cost | Notes | | |
| 4. Street Site Rentals City Mall Tables & Chairs/Stalls Miscellaneous Tables & Chairs /Stalls Garage Sites Air Space Temporary site rental - development purposes Miscellaneous Sites 5. Application Fee for Discharging Ground Water to Road | Various Various \$90/Single Various \$5.50/m2 \$50 mm/per Month Various | \$85,500 \$15,500 \$10,000 \$6,000 \$5,000 \$41,500 | Various Various \$90/Single Various \$5.50/m2 \$50 mm/per Month Various | \$85,500 \$38,000 \$10,000 \$6,000 \$5,000 \$41,500 | 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% | | | |
| 6 Recoveries - General Miscellaneous recoveries relating to CITY STREETS development. Contributions vary according to work done. Bus Shelter Advertising Revenue 7. Subdivision Contributions 8. Damage Claims | Various Various FCR | \$25,000 \$35,000 \$90,000 \$7,000 \$321,500 | Various Various FCR | \$25,000 \$60,000 \$90,000 \$7,000 \$369,000 | 100.0% | | | |
| Sub Total | | \$717,125 | | \$1,180,625 | | | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | | CITY STREETS | | | | |
| ACTIVITY: | | SUBSIDY SCHED | ULE | | | |
| Fees Description | 2000/2001 Present Charge | 2000/2001 Revenue from Present Charge | 2001/2002 Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue From Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue as a percentage of Total Cost | Notes |
| Road Safety Administration | | | | | | |
| Revenue LTSA | | \$152,000 | | \$152,000 | | |
| Revenue Other | | \$0 | | \$0 | | |
| | | \$152,000 | | \$152,000 | | |
| TRANSFER EX LTDA- CITY STREETS SUBSII PLANNING | DIES | | | | | |
| Planning RAMM | | \$220,000 \$140,565 | | \$226,600 \$191,381 | | |
| CITY STREETS SYSTEM MAINTENANCE CARRIAGEWAYS | | \$360,565 | | \$417,981 | | |
| Overheads Maintenance Rural Maintenance - Summit Rd Cost Share | | \$69,774 \$843,673 \$24,000 | | \$70,169 \$1,019,379 \$24,000 | | |
| KERB & CHANNEL Overheads Maintenance Street Cleaning | | \$47,395 \$305,601 \$209,567 | | \$82,299 \$316,028 \$237,111 | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | CITY STREETS | | | | | |
| ACTIVITY: | | SUBSIDY SCHED | ULE | | | |
| Fees Description | 2000/2001 Present Charge | 2000/2001 Revenue from Present Charge | 2001/2002 Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue From Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue as a percentage of Total Cost | Notes |
| BRIDGES & STRUCTURES Overheads Structures Maintenance Bridge Mtce - Cost Share - Waimakariri DC | | \$20,640 \$30,883 | | \$21,259 \$31,809 | | |
| STREET LIGHTING Overheads Maintenance | | \$12,958 \$1,363,689 | | \$12,568 \$1,410,218 | | |
| TRAFFIC SIGNAL CO-ORDINATION & MTCE CITY STREETS Overheads Maintenance Accident Damage | | \$110,075 \$319,816 \$11,000 | | \$100,363 \$331,043 \$11,000 | | |
| TRAFFIC SIGNAL CO-ORDINATION & MTCE STATE HIGHWAYS AND OTHERS State Highways Overheads State Highways Maintenance Ashburton - Overheads Ashburton - Maintenance Accident Damage | | \$73,825 \$329,300 \$500 \$5,500 \$4,500 | | \$98,153 \$319,800 \$500 \$5,500 \$4,500 | | |
| TRAFFIC SERVICES Overheads Maintenance Recoveries | | \$73,427 \$331,766 \$0 | | \$95,780 \$391,382 \$0 | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | | CITY STREETS | | | | |
| ACTIVITY: | | SUBSIDY SCHED | DULE | | | |
| Fees Description | 2000/2001 Present Charge | 2000/2001 Revenue from Present Charge | 2001/2002 Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue From Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue as a percentage of Total Cost | Notes |
| AMENITY AREAS Overheads Maintenance | | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | | |
| CITY STREETSCAPE MAINTENANCE Overheads Maintenance | | \$22,858 \$192,662 | | \$30,182 \$199,235 | | |
| CYCLEWAYS Overheads Maintenance | | \$2,160 \$32,775 | | \$2,528 \$33,893 | | |
| PASSENGER TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE Overheads Maintenance | | \$3,214 \$59,098 | | \$8,399 \$106,206 | | |
| INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSETS | | \$4,500,655 | | \$4,963,304 | | |
| RENEWALS & REPLACEMENTS Kerb & Channel Renewals Road Pavement Replacement Carriageway Sealing Carriageway Surfacing Carriageway Smoothing Footpath Resurfacing | | \$2,475,227 \$0 \$838,540 \$392,492 \$179,781 \$0 \$3,886,040 | | \$2,417,341 \$240,000 \$960,575 \$508,798 \$185,175 \$0 \$4,311,888 | | |

| RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE: | | CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|-------|--|--|
| BUSINESS UNIT: | | CITY STREETS | | | | | | |
| ACTIVITY: | | SUBSIDY SCHED | ULE | | | | | |
| Fees Description | 2000/2001 Present Charge | 2000/2001 Revenue from Present Charge | 2001/2002 Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue From Proposed Charge | 2001/2002 Projected Revenue as a percentage of Total Cost | Notes | | |
| ASSET IMPROVEMENTS Major Construction / Widening Seal Widening Safety Works St Lighting Upgrading Major Amenity Improvements Cycleways (Enhancement Projects) NEW ASSETS New Construction/ New Kerb & Channel Safety Improvements Network Improvements Signals Signs Passenger Transport Infrastructure | | \$689,916 \$0 \$47,338 \$0 \$0 \$737,254 \$110,080 \$188,956 \$189,802 \$105,703 \$39,474 \$86,000 \$720,015 | | \$1,173,474 \$0 \$22,816 \$0 \$0 \$1,196,290 \$0 \$378,292 \$288,638 \$107,817 \$40,263 \$175,440 \$990,450 | | | | |
| CITY STREETS PROPERTIES Transfer ex LTDA Sale of Properties TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURAL & FIXED ASSETS | | \$92,880 \$2,575,420 \$2,668,300 \$8,011,609 | | \$264,000 \$3,036,300 \$3,300,300 \$9,798,929 | | | | |
| Grand Total (Revenue) | | \$13,741,954 ====== | | \$16,512,838 ====== | | | | |