From: Paul Thompson [mailto:Paul.Thompson@eliotsinclair.co.nz]

Sent: Wednesday, 18 January 2012 12:04 pm

To: Walton, Joanne

Cc: John Tyler (ceo@hockeycanterbury.co.nz); Selwyn Maister (maister@xtra.co.nz);

David Calder (David.Calder@arrowinternational.co.nz)

Subject: [# - 343137] Nunweek Park Pitch #3

Hi Joanne

Please accept this email as the submission of the Canterbury Artificial Surfaces Trust (CAST) on the proposed lease application for a third hockey pitch at Nunweek Park. CAST wish to be heard in support of our submission and any other matters arising.

In addition to the matters discussed in the application, supplementary plans and further information provided we would emphasis that;

- The applicant has fully engaged in consultation with the Council and members of the public including numerous site visits and a public meeting to explain the nature of the proposal.
- The applicant has obtain specialist resource management, lighting, landscaping and traffic assessments to ensure the proposal before the Council is appropriate for Nunweek Park and the surrounding area.
- At the public meeting principally two areas of concerns were raised the public/private balance of activities in the park and transport effects. The application adequately addresses these matters however we would make the following additional comments.

The proposal complies with the building and open space rule in the City Plan which seeks to ensure an appropriate balance between open space and buildings is maintained. The application site adjoins existing hockey infrastructure which provides efficiencies by grouping similar facilities together as supported by the objectives of the CCC Public Open Space Strategy. The proposal only relates to the southern portion of the park. The larger middle and northern portions of the park remain open space for use by the public.

In relation to transport, the applicant is willing to offer up 15 additional car parks (please note the preliminary design shows 16 spaces as the car park layout requires increments of even numbers) as a gesture of goodwill in recognition of the comments of the public that there is a wider transport problem at peak times, particularly Saturdays. This does not amount to environmental compensation as the parking requirements of the proposal can adequately be provided by the existing car park in terms of the methodology of the City Plan that typically guide developments in the City. However CAST as members of the community themselves recognise that the additional car parks is a helpful response and will hopefully will be welcomed by the residents. In time any wider traffic related matters will most appropriately be addressed by the Council through the North West Area Review which includes Nunweek Park.

We reserve the right to make further comments in response to any other matters raised during the submission process when we are heard.

Kind regards

Paul

Paul Thompson MA BSc (Hons) Assoc. NZPI Resource Management Planner

pt@eliotsinclair.co.nz



Eliot Sinclair & Partners Ltd. Unit 4, 502 Wairakei Road, PO Box 4597, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand phone 03 379 4014, fax 03 365 2449

www.eliotsinclair.co.nz

facebook

The information in this email message is confidential and restricted. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any use, distribution or reproduction of this message (in part or whole) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and then delete this email together with all attachments. Survey, engineering and other data supplied with this email is only to be used for its intended specific purpose and it is not to be modified or used for any other purpose. Views and opinions expressed in this message are those of the individual sender and may differ from those of Eliot Sinclair & Partners Ltd.

This e-mail message has been scanned for Viruses and Content and cleared by **NetlQ MailMarshal**