

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION
COMMITTEE

Held in the Committee Room No 2, Civic Office,
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch
on Monday 30 August 2010 at 1pm.

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson),
Mayors Kelvin Coe and Ron Keating (until 3.10 pm), Councillors
David Ayers, Dan Gordon (from 1.55 pm), Malcolm Lyall,
Lindsay Phelps, Sue Wells, Chrissie Williams, and
Commissioner Rex Williams.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from Mayor
Bob Parker, Commissioner Tom Lambie, and Councillor
Helen Broughton. An apology for lateness was received and
accepted from Councillor Dan Gordon.

	ACTION
<p>1. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES</p> <p>It was resolved that the minutes of the meeting 28 June 2010, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed, subject to:</p> <p>(i) 7.1 Replace the word "identify" with "identity".</p>	
<p>2. MATTERS ARISING</p> <p>It was reported that when the Greater Christchurch Metro Strategy Review was considered by the Christchurch City Council, some minor changes were made.</p>	
<p>3. UDS BI-MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT</p> <p>The report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager provided an update on UDS implementation matters.</p> <p>In speaking to the report, James Caygill pointed to:</p> <p>3.1 RPS PC1 The 22 Briefs of Evidence were not yet available but would be shortly for members to view. The Christchurch City Council has lodged an Appeal to the Court in respect of Judge Jackson's decision for PC 5 to be heard ahead of PC1.</p> <p>Laurie McCallum added that a careful review of the evidence going to the court was being made, ready to provide rebuttal. It was possible that hearings could commence in April 2011.</p> <p>In the discussion that followed members commented on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The need to keep the public informed, through the likes of exhibition or charette process.• A paper being prepared for one of the larger Planning conferences.• An awareness programme of what is trying to be achieved for Canterbury people.	

	ACTION
<p>It was resolved that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The monthly report of the Independent chair and Implementation Manager be received.2. UDSIMG report to the UDSIC in February 2011 with a proposal to hold a conference and undertake an awareness programme on the UDS.3. UDSIC request the Independent Chair and the Implementation Manager to act on matters of UDS importance during the election break, in liaison with Chief Executives and report to UDSIC in 2011 on any significant activity.4. UDSIC request the Independent Chair and the Implementation Manager to brief incoming councils on the UDS as part of the normal induction process following the local government elections in October 2010.5. The next meeting of the UDSIC be held in February 2011 at a venue to be advised.	James Caygill
<p>4. UPDATE ON PLAN CHANGES WITHIN THE UDS AREA</p> <p>Keith Tallentire provided an overview of the proposed Plan Changes being processed by the UDS Partner authorities, both those lodged by the private organisations and those promulgated by the Council's themselves.</p> <p>In the discussion that followed, comment was made in respect to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• How could the "what if" situation be dealt with.• The affect of the new Environment Canterbury legislation. <p>It was resolved that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The information on Plan Change matters within the UDS Sub-region be received.2. The two matters raised by members be considered at the February meeting.	James Caygill
<p>5. UDS ACTION PLAN UPDATE</p> <p>In speaking to the report, James Caygill advised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Appendix I of the Action Plan has yet to be completed.• Significant work had been done to reach the current point. <p>It was suggested by members that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The process had been a good one.• There was still some gaps in the "achievements".• Transport had been well gone over.• 6.15 Arts Culture & Heritage – this needs to be amended to contain information on the Arts.• 6.21 Transport – the University is doing its own Campus Master Plan which will impact on "Transport". This can be contained in 6.19.2 Key Activity Centres or 6.10 Education.• 6.25 Central Government Engagement and Commitment Actions – in light of current government direction these actions might need to be clarified and then amended if need be.	

	ACTION
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is a direct link with the Christchurch City Council's Strategy – Housing Section and Water Resource Section, which need to be spelt out for the City Council. <p>It was resolved that the report on the UDS Action Plan Update be recommended for adoption by thy Partner Councils.</p>	James Caygill
<p>6. 2010 UDS MONITORING UPDATE</p> <p>Simon Markham in conjunction with his written report, presented a Power-Point presentation of the key findings of 2010 Monitoring Update, which focuses on recent changes in the Greater Christchurch settlement patterns covering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• More recent patterns of change• Employment• Long Term• Issues/Implementation <p>The Chairman concluded by commenting that it was timely to acknowledge the work carried out.</p> <p>It was resolved that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The report be received.2. The Committee notes the recent changes observed and updated projections and the conclusions from them that the growth model underpinning the UDS and PC1 represent a prudent basis to continue implementation.	
<p>7. CHRISTCHURCH Motorways RoNS NETWORK PLAN</p> <p>Steve Higgs provided a Power-Point presentation in conjunction with his Memorandum covering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• National Plan Maps• Purpose• Overall Objective is to provide the NZTA Board with an integrated National Plan for the RoNS• Management Structure• Development Process• Structure• Maps<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Northern Corridor- Western Corridor- Southern Corridor- Public Transport Network- Cycle Network• Next Steps<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Board Endorsement (September)- Further consideration on:<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Corridors & Project issue resolution▪ Development Funding Plan▪ Input into next RLTS and RLTP▪ Input into next LTCCP's <p>The National Plan is to be circulated to members.</p>	

ACTION

It was **resolved** that the Presentation be received.

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- The Chairman in closing the meeting acknowledged the work of the UDSIC Member and staff, both past and present.
- The quality of advice and the collaborative work into the RPCS1 continues on, as does its consuming of resources.
- There were a few challenges ahead, such as the Resource Management Act review, but one of the strengths had been having this form of mechanism in place to deal with them.
- He wished those members standing for re election, his best wishes.

The meeting concluded at 3.55 pm.