



# HAGLEY-FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD

## AGENDA

WEDNESDAY 1 APRIL 1998

AT 4.30PM

IN THE WOODEN COUNCIL CHAMBER, CANTERBURY PROVINCIAL  
COUNCIL BUILDINGS

DURHAM STREET

**Community  
Board**

Anna Crighton (Chairperson), Richard Boulton, David Cox  
John Freeman, Denis O'Rourke, Liz McRostie,  
Aaron O'Brien, Linda Rutland, Bob Todd

**Community Manager**

Peter Francis  
Telephone: 3722-601

**Committee Secretary**

John Shanks  
Telephone: 3722-602

**HAGLEY-FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD**

**AGENDA INDEX**

This is to advise that the items on the Agenda for the meeting to be held on **Wednesday 1 April** are as follows:- **Please note that this meeting is being held in the Wooden Chamber at the Canterbury Provincial Buildings, Durham Street.**

AGENDA CLAUSE	LOCALITY	ASSOCIATION
1. APOLOGIES 2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT 3. PETITIONS 4. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT 4.1 Summit Road Society 4.2 Community Watch		
<b>RESIDENTS' GROUP WILL BE TAKEN, BY APPOINTMENT, AT 6.00 PM</b>		
5. CORRESPONDENCE 5.1 Roading Upgrade - Nursery Road, Linwood 5.2 Christchurch Family & Foster Care Association 6. REPORT ON WOOLSTON COMMUNITY DAY 7. LINWOOD COMMUNITY RESOURCE CENTRE 8. LINWOOD COMMUNITY TOY LIBRARY - REQUEST FOR FUNDING 9. BEVERLEY PARK - CABBAGE TREE 10. RADLEY PARK – DOG EXERCISE STATIONS 11. LINWOOD PARK - YOUTH ACTIVITIES 12. TRAFFIC ENGINEERING 12.1 Ferry Road - Bus Stops 12.2 Main Road, Redcliffs - School Bus Stop	Linwood Redcliffs Woolston Linwood Linwood Hagley Ferrymead Linwood Ferrymead Redcliffs	Linwood Neighbourhood Committee Redcliffs Residents Assn Woolston Community Assn Linwood Neighbourhood Committee Linwood Neighbourhood Committee Gilby Neighbourhood Committee Woolston Neighbourhood Committee Woolston Neighbourhood Committee Redcliffs Residents Assn

AGENDA CLAUSE	LOCALITY	ASSOCIATION
12.3 Oak Street - No Stopping		Woolston Neighbourhood Committee
12.4 Moncks Spur Road - No Stopping	Redcliffs	Redcliffs Residents Assn
13. TRAFFIC ENGINEERING - (CENTRAL)		
13.1 Hereford Street: P60 Parking Restriction	Hagley	
13.2 Parking Restriction - Worcester Street	Hagley	
13.3 P60 Oxford Terrace	Hagley	
13.4 P30 Parking Restriction - Wordsworth Street	Hagley	
14. CHARGING FOR OCCUPATION OF RESERVE LAND	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
15. SURPLUS ROADING LAND OUTSIDE 186 MAJOR HORN BROOK ROAD	Mt Pleasant	Mt Pleasant Community Centre & Ratepayers Assn
16. WATER EXPO	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
17. RESOURCE CONSENT FOR EFFLUENT DISCHARGE FROM CHRISTCHURCH WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
18. PROTECTING ADDITIONAL TREES IN THE CITY	Ferrymead	Sumner Residents Assoc Redcliffs Res Association
19. HERITAGE MANAGEMENT REVIEW	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
20. PROPOSED RIGHT OF WAY NAME		
21. COMMUNITY MANAGER'S UPDATE		
21.1 Community Groups Meeting	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
21.2 Draft Heathcote Floodplain Management Strategy Meeting	Ferrymead	
21.3 Appointment to Woolston Main Street Project	Woolston	Woolston Neighbourhood Committee
21.4 Public Discussion Document: Towards a Code of Social and Family Responsibility	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
21.5 Elsie Locke Parke	Hagley	Hagley Res Associations
21.6 Beverley Park Consultation	Hagley	Hagley Res Associations
21.7 Forthcoming Meetings	All	All
21.8 Discretionary Funding	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
22. DRAFT YOUTH POLICY AND STRATEGY	Hagley-Ferrymead	All
23. PUBLIC EXCLUDED		

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT**

**Recommendation:** That the report of Wednesday 4 March 1998, as circulated, be confirmed.

**3. PETITIONS**

**4. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

**4.1 SUMMIT ROAD SOCIETY**

The Summit Road Society has requested speaking rights at the Board meeting for a deputation which wishes to outline to the Board the funding needs of the Society and a shortfall in funding. This funding request is to assist the Society to purchase a block of land.

**4.2 COMMUNITY WATCH**

Board members will recall that at its Project Funds Meeting held on 24 February 1998, the Board considered the question of funding for Community Watch and resolved "that this matter lie on the table and that an appropriate officer from the New Zealand Police be invited to wait upon the Board to advise on this matter at its next meeting".

Senior Sergeant Fenton Wood, Acting Inspector in Charge of the Hagley-Ferrymead area will be in attendance at the Board meeting to brief Board members on this matter.

**RESIDENTS GROUPS WILL BE TAKEN, BY APPOINTMENT, AT 6.00PM**

**5. CORRESPONDENCE**

**5.1 ROADING UPGRADE - NURSERY ROAD, LINWOOD**

The following letter seeking priority for an upgrade of Nursery Road has been received from Mr Derek Clark, a property owner in this street:

"I manage a block of residential flats at 101 Nursery Road on behalf of the Clark Family Trust. Several of our tenants are long term, one couple as long as fourteen years and another seven years, and it is our desire to offer as pleasant living conditions as we can to all those who reside in our flats.

Our tenants have recently brought to my attention the speed at which some traffic travels through the narrow section of Nursery Road between Tuam and Cashel Streets. The speed of some vehicles is such that in the early hours of Friday 14 November 1997 a driver lost control of his car, mounted the footpath and drove through a fence before hitting a tree in a property opposite our flats. When vehicles are parked opposite each other there is barely room for a moving vehicle to pass between them but this appears only to be a challenge for speedsters to see how fast they can squeeze through the gap.

I understand that this section of Nursery Road is programmed for upgrading in the 2001/2 year. I believe that the amount of traffic and the speed combined with the narrowness of the road with its exposed gutters is a danger to those who live in this section of Nursery Road and I would ask that the Community Board have the timing of these works reconsidered.

I would like to take the opportunity to offer suggestions for improvement:

- That during the reconstruction and the closing in of the gutters, a portion of the west footpath/grass be taken in order to widen the road. As little as 800mm would make a worthwhile difference. Rental properties are common in this area with the result that many vehicles such as a second car or visitors, are parked on the roadside.
- That a speed hump be constructed half way along Nursery Road between Tuam and Cashel Streets. Perhaps this could be an immediate priority if there is to be some delay in the full upgrading of the road.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.”

The Area Engineer advises that Mr Clark has already written to the Mayor about the issues raised in this letter to the Board and has been advised that the upgrading of Nursery Road from Cashel Street to Tuam Street was scheduled for the year 2001/02. Mr Clark has asked that this upgrading be brought forward. This is a matter which will need to be addressed when the Board looks at the Annual Plan and Budget.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That this matter be addressed when the Board looks at the Annual Plan and budget.

**5.2 CHRISTCHURCH FAMILY AND FOSTER CARE ASSOCIATION**

x The following letter has been received from the Christchurch Family & Foster Care Association Inc.

“Further to my discussions with David Cox, I am writing requesting support to enable our Association to place at Barnett Park a memorial seat to recognise the work and life of the late Mary Moodie.

Mary worked with families and children at risk in particular foster children and special needs children. Her own home was in the early years at Sumner and later moved to Redcliffs. After the withdrawal of I.H.C. from the home, the parents and

## 1. 4. 98

- 3 -

families formed the Mary Moodie Trust and purchased property back in Sumner. Barnett Park was our choice for the memorial as families could enjoy picnics and walks.

Last year we planted a tree and at the time we were advised that the cost of a seat and a plaque would be \$550. Fundraising was commenced and on approach to the council last month we were advised that the price did not include the cost of the plaque, installation and board.

As we would like to have this seat unveiled on March 22 which is the commencement of National Family & Foster Care Week, we would appreciate if the ward could meet the additional costs.”

A statement outlining the work of Mary Moodie is attached.

The Area Parks Officer comments:

“Unfortunately, the above letter did not go before the Board at its Budget Meeting on 24 February 1998.

In response to this genuine request which I understand has been planned for some time but not proceeded with until late February, little time was available to meet an installation deadline of 22 March 1998.

I, therefore, proceeded with obtaining underwriting of this project from the Parks unit on the understanding it was before the Board as a request for funding. The project has been completed, leaving a shortfall of \$230, made up of the cost of producing an engraved brass plaque and its installation.

### **Officer's**

**Recommendation:** That the Board meet the shortfall of \$230 from its discretionary funds.

### **Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** Not seen by the Chairperson.

**6. REPORT ON WOOLSTON COMMUNITY DAY**

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Manager	<b>Author</b> Helen Gallagher, Recreation Co-ordinator
Corporate Plan Output: Events Budget	

The purpose of this report is to update the Board on the Community Day held in Woolston on Friday 13 February 1998.

The Recreation Co-ordinator, Helen Gallagher reports:

**INTRODUCTION**

Woolston Pool Party was held on 13 February 1998 from 5.30pm to 7.30pm. The day was organised by Woolston Development Project and the Recreation Co-ordinator from Linwood Service Centre with support from the Woolston Community Centre.

The objectives of the day were to:

- provide a free fun day for children in Woolston
- to promote the Woolston Community Pool

The fine weather attracted 400 children plus parents.

**ORGANISATIONS**

The day was co-ordinated by Helen Gallagher, Diann Lowry and Bev Adams. The Woolston Community Centre provided four volunteers for the afternoon. There was a total of nine volunteers there on the day.

**PROMOTION**

Promotion for the event included fliers to the local primary/intermediate schools (one flyer per child). Media releases in local newspaper and radio plus a mention in the Linwood Service Centre newsletter which went out to 3,000 residences in the Linwood, Woolston and Bromley area.

Photos were taken at the event and sent to the Community Newspaper.

**ACTIVITIES AND ENTERTAINMENT**

We had sausages and drinks for sale, all these went by 7.00pm. Chris Martin from 4YP provided music and a kareoke for the children which was very popular. Woolston Development Trust organised games for the children. An age limit of 8-17 years olds enabled all children to partake in the games. Few people older than 14 years attended. Some younger children were allowed in with parent supervision and used the paddling pool only. Give away prizes of ice creams, chips, Time Out tickets, and small bars were given to the children, all donated by Countdown, Bluebird, Time Out and Tip Top.

**BUDGET**

*Expenses*

Karaoke - Chris Martin	\$150.00	
Todd Heller Meats - sausages	43.18	
Posters (some of newsletter costs)	200.00	
Swimming Pool Donation	50.00	
Barbeque	<u>10.00</u>	
	\$453.18	\$453.18
<i>Income</i>		
Sausages sold	\$82.00	82.00
		<u>\$371.18</u>

**CONCLUSION**

The feedback from participants and helpers was very positive. The day met all the objectives for a fun day offering recreation opportunities for the children in Woolston. The atmosphere was relaxed, friendly and showed great team work on the day which ensured success of the event. This meets the requirements of the Sport and Recreation Policy on meeting the needs of children, and fulfilled the objectives of the day.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the information be received and a letter of appreciation be sent from the Board to the nine volunteers who contributed to the success of the day.

**7. LINWOOD COMMUNITY RESOURCE CENTRE**

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Manager	<b>Author</b> Eleanor Bodger, Community Worker
Corporate Plan Output:	

Eleanor Bodger Community Development Worker Linwood Community Resource Centre reports:

**“GENERAL**

The Centre has been steadily growing and there is now a good group of people who attend regularly and give a lot of their time, skills and resources to the various projects. Loneliness is an issue for many people and it is encouraging to see new friendships being made.



#### **MANAGEMENT**

An interim Management Group meets weekly to fortnightly. (Weekly when funding applications are being made).

#### **PROMOTION**

A variety of promotional methods have been used including leaflet drops to households, fliers placed at key points, personal handouts by volunteers, posters, newspaper promotion, school newsletters, and word of mouth. The most successful method of promotion has been word of mouth. People often hear about the Centre long before they actually make their first visit.

#### **PROJECTS**

The following have been developed by people involved with the Centre. These initiatives highlight the groups commitment to sharing their skills and resources in order to build a stronger community.

- Community Garden. An Organic Gardening Workshop has commenced in association with the garden. All places for the course are filled.
- Food preservation. Informal instruction is being given with further projects planned.
- Catering. The group is exploring ways of using this for fundraising. (One successful venture so far).
- Food co-op beginning next month.
- Oral History group. Planning underway.
- Issues group. This group has made submissions to Council on, Rating Policy and Transport Discussion Document. Submissions have also been made to Government on proposed legislative changes. The Code of Social Responsibility will be discussed.

#### **COMMUNITY FEEDBACK**

A subject that seems to be gaining prominence is the issue of community consultation. A number of individuals and groups have spoken to me regarding their concern about a perceived lack in consultation about issues that affect the community. Two recent issues highlight this; the roading issue at Worcester Street and the proposed youth drug and alcohol residential 'Rock Project.' Linwood people say they do not want their goodwill presumed upon.

The monthly youth Community Liaison meeting is continuing. This co-operative of Linwood workers is a positive initiative for the area. Young people are to be included.

**Recommendation:** For information

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** Not seen by the chairperson

**8. LINWOOD COMMUNITY TOY LIBRARY -  
- REQUEST FOR FUNDING**

RR 7381

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Manager, Linwood	<b>Author</b> John Shanks, Committee Secretary
Corporate Plan Output: Board Discretionary Funds	

The purpose of this report is to request funding to provide educational toys, videos and puzzles for all families in the Linwood area. A letter has been received from the Co-ordinator Tania Hall, of the Linwood Community Toy Library, as follows:

“The Linwood Community Toy Library is a non-profit organisation, which provides fun and educational toys, videos and puzzles for all families who have preschool children in the Linwood and surrounding areas. On Saturday 22nd November 1997, we held an open day to celebrate the opening of our newly built premises, situated at 332 Linwood Avenue. Finally, after five years in operation we have permanent premises of which we can be proud. We would like to extend our sincere thanks to both Anna Crighton and Ian Howell for their kind words of encouragement on the day and also those councillors who showed us their support by attending.

In fitting out our new premises, the Linwood Community Toy Library has spent over \$3,550.00. With this we have purchased carpet, paint, curtains, shelving, desks, a playpen, and the materials needed for the building of a pergola. All the work involved in fitting out of the new double garage has been accomplished purely by the willingness of volunteers, which without this we could not have achieved. We now have designated areas for the specific age group of our preschoolers and we have discovered a real need for educational toys and games for our 3+ age group.

As we have exhausted our financial resources on the fitting out of our wonderful new premises, we are writing to approach your board for a special one-off grant of \$1,000.00, to purchase toys for this age group.

Thank you once again for your ongoing support and we look forward to hearing from you in the near future regarding our request.

**Chairperson's**

- Recommendation:**
1. That a special one-off grant of \$1,000 be made for the purchase of toys for the 3+ age group.
  2. That the toy library supply a detailed list of how the \$1,000 grant is spent.

**9. BEVERLEY PARK - CABBAGE TREE REMOVAL**

RR 7390

<b>Officer responsible</b> Parks Manager	<b>Author</b> John Allen, Area Parks Officer (Consents)
Corporate Plan Output: Environmental, Small Parks (9.4.24)	

This report is to advise the Board of the situation surrounding the removal of the cabbage tree in Beverley Park close to Te Orewai Place behind Avonside Drive on Wednesday 18 March by Works Operations, Green Maintenance South staff.

The cabbage tree was between 10 and 12 years old and approximately 6 metres in height growing between a pear and a Pittosporum euginoides (a native tree). See attached plan. The cabbage tree was largely camouflaged by the foliage of the Pittosporum and adjacent pear it was growing up into.

Before Te Orewai Place and the extension to Beverley Park was constructed the writer worked with the Committee of the Gilby Neighbourhood Group to identify which trees to remove to ensure that the trees which remained, some of which are fine specimens eg Lime, had enough room to develop properly. It was decided at the time that the pear tree, Cabbage tree, and the Pittosporum could remain as a group it not being necessary for them to function as individual specimens.

The Works Operations staff member who is a qualified horticulturist felt that as the head of the cabbage tree was camouflaged by the foliage of the Pittosporum and was interfering with the natural shape of the Pittosporum it should come out. The tree was removed by the staff member without reference to Parks Unit staff who are responsible for the day to day management of Beverley Park.

**TREE REMOVAL PRACTICE**

The practice to follow for the removal of **other** than dangerous, dead or dying trees which have to be removed quickly for safety reasons is outlined below.

1. If a small healthy tree or shrub has to be removed for any reason whatsoever the decision is to be ratified by the Parks Contract Supervisor before the work is undertaken.
2. If a larger healthy tree, needs to be removed for any reason whatsoever, the following practice is to be followed:
  - (a) The tree removal is to be discussed with the council's arboriculturist.

## 1. 4. 98

- 9 -

- (b) If the arboriculturist approves the removal of the tree discuss with local affected residents and residents association the reason why the tree removal is being sought and seek their views as to the tree removal.
- (c) Officers are to prepare a report for the relevant Community Board which discusses the reasons why the removal of tree is being sought including the views of local affected residents and the local residents association. The Board may then recommend a course of action to the Parks and Recreation Committee in the case of trees growing on Parks/Reserves or the City Services Committee in the case of trees on streets. Only these two committees have delegated authority to make decisions relating to trees.
- (d) Where it is necessary to remove the tree a replacement tree or trees will be planted in a suitable position if possible close by.

The Parks Manager has written to the Works Operations Manager reaffirming that no trees are to be removed without referring back to the Parks Unit which will follow the practice outlined above. The Parks Unit intends to have this practice ratified as council policy before the end of this year.

A landscape plan has been prepared for the landscaping of Te Orewai Place which has not been implemented as yet. The writer has discussed this with the City Streets Unit which is responsible for the implementation of the plan and can advise that work will be completed during the 1998 planting season. This work will include the planting of more smaller trees and shrubs along the northern boundary.

- Recommendation:**
- 1. That the Board endorse the Park Manager's actions to reaffirm with the Works Operations Manager that they or any other contractor sub-contracting for them are not to remove any trees or shrubs without first referring back to the Parks Unit who will follow the practice as outlined in the report.
  - 2. That a copy of this report and the Board's resolution be sent to the Gilby Neighbourhood Group and adjacent affected residents for their information.
  - 3. That the planting of the Te Orewai Place be completed in accordance with the landscape plan (L3407) by the end of the 1998 planting season.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** Not seen by the Chairperson

## 10. RADLEY PARK - DOG EXERCISE STATIONS

RR 7410

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Manager	<b>Author</b> Gary Harrow, Area Parks Officer
Corporate Plan Output: 9.4 text 12 Customer Services	

The purpose of this report is to update Board members on progress towards establishing a dog exercise area in Radley Park.

On 4 February 1998, the Board confirmed Radley Park as the site for this proposal and requested further information on how funding of the project is proceeding and that costings be produced. The Board had originally provided \$7,500 towards this project.

Working drawings have since been prepared and costed. With the stations installed to children's playground safety specifications, including adequate information signs at each (or a central) station and rubbish bins, the total cost will be in the vicinity of \$19,000, of which approximately \$2,500 has been spent on the drawings and the letterbox drop for the community consultation.

This leaves a balance of \$14,000 to find to complete the project. As these figures were obtained just before the agenda went to print, no time has yet been able to be spent on obtaining sponsorship, as it is inadvisable to approach any potential sponsor without a bottom line figure to work with. Hopefully this can be done before the Board meeting, and either myself or Mr Mark Vincent, the Animal Control Supervisor, will report at the meeting.

As part of the proposal for sponsorship, we will be following up an idea for a pamphlet covering city-wide facilities for dogs, including information on excluded areas. This may also assist the establishment of other exercise areas in Christchurch if this one proves successful. Already we are fielding a number of calls from throughout Christchurch wanting to know when it will be available for use.

**Recommendation:** For discussion

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** Not seen by the Chairperson

**11. LINWOOD PARK - YOUTH ACTIVITIES**

RR +

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Manager	<b>Author</b> Gary Harrow, Area Parks Officer
Corporate Plan Output: Community Activities, Vol 1. 6.54 Text 6	

The purpose of this report is to inform the Board on the background, recent investigations and consultation with youth in the community regarding youth activities in Linwood Park.

**BASKETBALL HALFCOURT**

On 5 February 1997 the Board received a report on a proposal to install a basketball half court in Woolston Park. This was abandoned and on the verbal advice of officers at that meeting, the finance (\$3,900) was transferred to Linwood Park, subject to public consultation.

At their 7 March 1997 budget meeting, the Board added to this another \$5,100 to complete the project.

**PRACTICE CRICKET WICKET**

On the request of Aaron O'Brien two lots of \$7,500 were allocated for practice cricket wickets at Avon and Linwood Parks at the budget meeting of 7 March 1997.

**COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

On taking up my new position, my first priorities were to the outstanding Community Board projects for the year, as time is passing rapidly to get them completed in time. My main effort was to:

1. obtain working drawings and costings.
2. community consultation.

Community consultation was undertaken with the assistance of Ruth Evans and Helen Gallagher of the Community Activities team who made contact with the Linwood Liaison Group. Through them, 500 questionnaires were sent out to four schools (Linwood Avenue Primary, Linwood Intermediate, Linwood North Primary, Linwood High School) and the Union Church on the corner of Tilford Street and Linwood Avenue. 361 replies (72%) were received. The questionnaire asked respondents to:

1. tick the top 3 of the 4 on offer.
2. indicate which one would they like the most.

The table below shows the top three voting in the first column, and the number of votes for the "best" one in the second column.

## 1. 4. 98

- 12 -

	<b>Top 3 Votes</b>	<b>'Best One' Votes</b>
Street skating area	308	95
Basketball halfcourt	311	54
Cricket wicket	213	47
Grass volleyball court	202	37

179 females and 182 males responded.

The results indicate that all four activities would be used in the park if provided.

### **WHERE TO FROM HERE?**

It is proposed to prepare a letterbox drop to the local community and sporting organisations outlining the students' responses and proposed locations, and at least install the halfcourt and the cricket practice nets. There are few choices available in the park to site these because of the park layout and existing equipment, fields, buildings etc. A plan of Linwood Park will be available at the meeting.

### **STREET SKATING AREA**

Now that we have identified the need for a skating facility in the area, further consultation re design can now proceed.

### **VOLLEYBALL AREA**

Of increasing popularity to organised groups such as church groups and sporting groups wanting an alternative sport to assist training, are grass and sand volleyball courts.

The grass ones need irrigation and a relatively large area so that the court area can be moved around to alleviate wear.

In responding to initial returns from the questionnaire, where volleyball was not rejected, I requested that the Parks Unit underwrite the extension of an automated and pop-up irrigation system recently installed in the league fields. As this was actually being installed at the time of the questionnaire, the opportunity was too good to miss. There is only one suitable site on the park and the extension has cost \$4,000.

I would like to request that the Board meet the cost of this extension from their Discretionary Funds. The area involved is able to become a multi-use site and close to the children's playground. The sprinklers under the trees and around the south end of the playground will make the area more conducive for informal play and relaxation for parents and children of all ages.

**Recommendation:** That the Board meet the \$4,000 cost of the Linwood Park irrigation extension from its discretionary funds.

### **Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** Not seen by the Chairperson

**12. TRAFFIC ENGINEERING (HAGLEY-FERRYMEAD)**

12.1 FERRY ROAD - BUS STOPS

RR 7384

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Lorraine Wilmshurst
Corporate Plan Output: Street Markings and Signs 9.5 text 38	

The purpose of this report is to ask the Board to recommend to Council that the bus stops in Ferry Road be relocated to more appropriate locations.

With the proposed marking of cycle lanes along both sides of Ferry Road from Settlers Crescent to Tunnel Road the Canterbury Regional Council has requested that the bus stops be relocated to improve passenger catchment. The new locations have taken in to account the development that is occurring at Waterman Place and the supermarket site.

The proposal for the bus stops requires the removal of four of the present stops, the installation of five new stops and the retention of five of the present stops.

**Recommendation:** That the Board recommend to Council

1. That the bus stop on the north side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 82 metres from its intersection with Settlers Crescent and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 17 metres be removed.
2. That the bus stop on the south side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 30 metres from its intersection with Settlers Crescent and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 17 metres be removed.
3. That the bus stop on the north side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 210 metres from its intersection with Waterman Place and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 17 metres be removed.
4. That the bus stop on the south side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 188 metres from its intersection with Waterman Place and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 17 metres be removed.
5. That a bus stop be installed on the north side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 15 metres from its intersection with Settlers Crescent and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 17 metres.



**1. 4. 98**

- 14 -

6. That a bus stop be installed on the north side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 185 metres from its intersection with Settlers Crescent and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 17 metres.
7. That a bus stop be installed on the south side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 170 metres from its intersection with Settlers Crescent and extending in a westerly direction for distance of 17 metres.
8. That a bus stop be installed on the north side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 100 metres from its intersection with Waterman Place and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 17 metres.
9. That a bus stop be installed on the south side of Ferry Road commencing at a point 120 metres from its intersection with Waterman Place and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 17 metres.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the officer's recommendation be adopted.

12.2 MAIN ROAD, REDCLIFFS - SCHOOL BUS STOP

RR 7385

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets	<b>Author</b> Lorraine Wilmshurst
Corporate Plan Output: Street Markings and Signs 9.5 text 38	

The purpose of this report is to ask the Board to recommend to Council that the times on the school bus stop at Redcliffs School, Main Road be altered.

At present a school bus stop operates from 2.30pm to 3.30pm only. The Clifton Hill school bus uses the bus stop both in the morning and in the afternoon to drop off and pick up children. In the morning, vehicles are often parked where the bus stops, causing it to double park.

Changing the bus stop times to include the morning and afternoon will eliminate any safety problems being experienced by the children.

**Recommendation:** That the Board recommend to Council

1. That the “Bus Stop 2.30 - 3.30pm” on the south-west side of Main Road commencing at a point 164 metres from Raekura Place and extending in a north-westerly direction for a distance of 15 metres be revoked.
2. That a “ Bus Stop 8.30 - 9.30am and 2.30 - 3.30pm” be installed on the south-west side of Main Road commencing at a point 164 metres from Raekura Place and extending in a north-westerly direction for a distance of 15 metres.

**Chairperson’s**

**Recommendation:** That the officer’s recommendation be adopted.

12.3 OAK STREET - NO STOPPING

RR 7383

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Lorraine Wilmshurst
Corporate Plan Output: Street Markings and Signs 9.5 text 38	

The purpose of this report is to seek Board approval for the installation of broken yellow “no stopping” lines in Oak Street.

Large “B” train trucks service De Spa Wool Scourers twice daily. At present the trucks are stopping in Heathcote Street, at the end of Oak Street, and being loaded and unloaded on the street. The forklift unloads from the truck across the footpath blocking pedestrian traffic in the area.

By extending the broken yellow no stopping lines on the east side of Oak Street, these large trucks will be able to pull into Oak Street and reverse into De Spa’s loading area. This removes the need for the forklift to use the street and will enable pedestrians to use the footpath.

**Recommendation:** That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Oak Street commencing at its intersection with Heathcote Street and extending in a northerly direction for 30 metres.

**Chairperson’s**

**Recommendation:** That the officer’s recommendation be adopted.

<b>Office responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Lorraine Wilmshurst
Corporate Plan Output: Street Markings and Signs 9.5 text 38	

x

The purpose of this report is to seek Board approval for the installation of broken yellow no stopping lines on two of the corners on Moncks Spur Road.

This proposal is for safety reasons. Vehicles travelling on Moncks Spur Road are confronted with parked vehicles on these corners and when proceeding along the road move to the middle of the road to negotiate the corner. There is poor visibility round both corners.

Parking is available on the straight sections of Moncks Spur Road to both the north-east and south-west of the corners.

Residents in the immediate vicinity of the corners have been approached about the problem and support the need for broken yellow no stopping lines as a solution to the safety problems caused by the parked vehicles.

- Recommendation:**
1. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north-west side of Moncks Spur Road commencing at a point 21 metres from its intersection with Horizon Heights and extending in a north-east direction for 50 metres.
  2. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north west side of Moncks Spur Road commencing at a point 286 metres from its intersection with Horizon Heights and extending in a north-east direction for 29 metres.
  3. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-east side of Moncks Spur Road commencing at a point 281 metres from its intersection with Horizon Heights and extending in a north-east direction for 40 metres.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the officer's recommendation be adopted.

**13. TRAFFIC ENGINEERING - (CENTRAL CITY)**

**13.1 HEREFORD STREET: P60 PARKING RESTRICTION**

RR 7386

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Peter Atkinson Area Engineer
Corporate Plan Output: On- street Operations Page 9.6.6.12	

The purpose of this report is to extend an existing P60 parking restriction and to create a new P60 parking restriction on the opposite side of Hereford Street outside the Christchurch City Mission.

The activity at the Christchurch City Mission is increasing. Not only are there more visitors to the site but the range of functions has increased with an extension to the development on the north side with new accommodation units on the south side of the street. This has created a road crossing problem as well as a demand for short term parking on both sides of the road. This area is used for commuter parking which has created difficulties for people crossing the road with vehicles double parking.

To assist with these problems it is proposed to extend the existing P60 on the north side of the street and to create a new restriction on the south side. The proposed restrictions are generally located adjacent to existing City Mission properties. The recommendations which follow are supported by the Parking Operations Manager.

- Recommendation:**
1. That the existing P60 parking restriction on the northern side of Hereford Street, east of Barbadoes Street be extended in a westerly direction to a point 52 metres measured in an easterly direction from a point opposite the eastern kerbline of Barbadoes Street.
  2. That a P60 parking restriction be created on the southern side of Hereford Street commencing at a point 60 metres measured in an easterly direction from a point opposite the eastern kerbline of Barbadoes Street and extending in a easterly for a distance of 25 metres.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the officer's recommendation be adopted.

13.2 **PARKING RESTRICTIONS - WORCESTER STREET**

RR 7316

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Peter Atkinson
Corporate Plan Output: On-street Operations Page 9.6.12	

The purpose of this report is to create a 5 minute loading zone and adjust the existing P15 parking restriction outside the Emmanuel Christian School.

The proposal will overcome some of the problems the school is experiencing at present with an expanding roll and its concerns about parents parking outside the school. To assist with solving the problems outside the school it is proposed to create a new P5 loading zone and to relocate the existing P15 parking restriction during school hours. These changes have the support of the school, the adjacent neighbour and the Parking Operations Manager.

- Recommendation:**
1. That a P5 loading zone be created on the southern side of Worcester Street commencing at a point 64 m measured in a westerly direction from a point opposite the western kerblines of Barbadoes Street and extending in a westerly direction of 12 m.
  2. That a parking restriction with a time limit of 15 minutes between the hours of 8.30 and 9.30 am and 2.30 and 3.30 pm be located on the southern side of Worcester Street commencing at a point 88 m measured in a westerly direction from a point opposite the western kerblines of Barbadoes Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 18 m.
  3. That the existing parking 15 restriction in the above described area be revoked.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the officer's recommendation be adopted.

13.3 **P60 OXFORD TERRACE**

RR 7317

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Peter Atkinson
Corporate Plan Output: On-street Operations Page 9.6.12	

The purpose of this report is to introduce a P60 parking restriction on the south western side of Oxford Terrace between Kilmore Street and Barbadoes Street. The residents of this street have made numerous submissions to create a parking restriction so that visitors to their site can find parking in the immediate area. This section of Oxford Terrace is extensively used for commuter parking and the nearest available restricted parking area is in Kilmore Street.

The proposed restriction is supported by the Parking Operations Manager and adjacent property occupiers.

**Recommendation:** That a P60 parking restriction be created on the south western side of Oxford Terrace commencing at a point 38 m measured in a north easterly direction from a point opposite the northern kerbline of Kilmore Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 22 m.

**Chairperson’s**

**Recommendation:** That the officer’s recommendation be adopted.

13.4 P30 PARKING RESTRICTION - WORDSWORTH STREET

RR 7314

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Peter Atkinson
Corporate Plan Output: On-street Operations Page 9.6.12	

The purpose of this report is to extend an existing P30 parking restriction on Wordsworth Street.

A request has been received from a new retail development on the south side of Wordsworth Street to the east of Colombo Street to extend the existing P30 parking restriction past the frontage of the new shop. The proposed area is presently unrestricted and has the support of all the businesses in the immediate area.

The Parking Operations Manager supports the proposed extension.

**Recommendation:** That the existing P30 parking restriction commencing at a point 8m measured in an easterly direction from a point opposite the eastern kerbline and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 72m.

**Chairperson’s**

**Recommendation:** That the officer’s recommendation be adopted.

**14. CHARGING FOR OCCUPATION OF RESERVE LAND**

RR 7325

<p><b>Officer responsible</b> Parks Manager</p>	<p><b>Author</b> John Allen, Area Parks Officer/Team Leader Consents</p>
<p>Corporate Plan Output: Consents - Sub Output: Leases Vol 3/9.4.8</p>	

The purpose of this report is to inform Board Members of a paper that has been sent to all sports clubs and community groups who occupy reserve land to encourage discussion on how to charge for such occupation. This is a metropolitan issue which is being addressed by the Parks and Recreation Committee. The Council resolved at its meeting on 26 November that the draft discussion paper presented to it be circulated to the affected sports clubs and community groups for comment.

**THE NEED FOR THE DISCUSSION PAPER**

The need for this paper arises primarily because of inequity between existing sports clubs and community groups (SCCG). The inequity is largely as a result of the varied policies of the different territorial authorities prior to Local Government amalgamation in 1989. It exists in two forms:

1. Between sports clubs and community groups on reserve land and private land. Those on private land pay rates (subject to remission) while those on reserve land do not pay rates.
2. Between existing sports clubs and community groups leasing reserve land, there is a wide disparity in current annual rent levels. A comparison between two rugby clubs reveals one paying 10c while another pays \$300.00 for one seventh of the area.

In addition to existing charging inequity, from 1 July 1998, the Local Government Amendment Act No 3, 1996 will come into force. This Act requires, among other financial management responsibilities, that the Council conduct prudent management of its assets and expenses.

Reserves are Council assets and fall within the ambit of the Act, and therefore charging for occupation must be developed in line with the requirements of the Act. Other factors to be considered are: maintenance of public participation in these sports clubs and community groups and consistency with the requirements of the Proposed City Plan.

**ESTABLISH A FAIR AND EQUITABLE CHARGING POLICY**

The goal, which is set out, in the discussion paper is to establish a fair and equitable charging policy by firstly establishing equity through a level playing field for all sports clubs and community groups.

The proposal is that:

- All sports clubs and community groups, whether on reserve or freehold land pay rates (subject to remission).
- All sports clubs and community groups on reserves have rent calculated at a standard per square metre rate.

Secondly, establishing fairness by balancing prudent management of the asset and expenses with promotion of community development.

- Prudent management is achieved through recovery of costs and expenses whilst at the same time setting rents that take into account the value of the reserve itself.
- Promotion of community development is achieved firstly by ensuring that the proposed total charges (ie rent plus rates) will not create an unreasonable financial burden on sports clubs and community groups. Rate remission and low rent levels ensure this. Secondly by phasing in the proposed charges to provide a sufficient adjustment period.

#### **THE PROPOSED APPROACH TO CHARGING**

The proposed charging policy applies to preferential use of reserve land under one hectare. This is the building footprint and may include a green space area around or attached to the building which used in association with it. The proposed method is a rate levy and rent charge.

Rates would be levied as per the existing rating policy on freehold land which includes a standard policy of partial remission.

Rent is calculated by use of a standard formula. This sets a rate per square metre which varies dependent on the type of land use, (ie building or green space), and the area of land taken. The rent payable will be the greater of either; a minimum rent to cover essential administrative costs or application of the formula.

- The effect of the proposed charges is that over 75% of rents go down but when rent is added to rates then nearly all sports clubs and community groups incur an increase in total charges. Despite this overall increase the proposed charges compare favourably with other Local Authorities.
- A phase in period for the proposed charges is proposed to ensure the avoidance of significant adjustment difficulties for sports clubs and community groups.
- The proposed rate charges would not be applied until twelve months after formal adoption of the policy, ie no sooner than 1 July 1999.
- The proposed rent would take effect after formal Council adoption of the policy, ie not before 1 August 1998, when existing leases expire or rent reviews fall due.



**PUBLIC COMMENT**

The Council is presently seeking the input of sports clubs and community groups who occupy reserve land. A paper in which the important issues and options are outlined has been prepared to encourage discussion on how to charge for such occupation.

A choice of the options has been made by Council staff and developed into a proposed policy.

The Council is now seeking the input of sports clubs and community groups to consider firstly whether all the important issues and possible options have been covered. Secondly whether the choice of options is appropriate. An assessment of charges that would be applied using the proposed policy has been provided to the sports clubs and community groups so they can determine the effect on their organisation.

The Council consider that it is important sports clubs and community groups consider the issues. All affected sports clubs and community groups have therefore been supplied with a copy of the discussion paper. If any sports clubs and community groups are uncertain as to how or why the proposed charges are set, they have been asked to direct their enquiries to Felix Dawson 371-1966, Lewis Burn 371-1522 or John Allen 371-1699.

A questionnaire has been sent to the sports clubs and community groups concerned, requesting them to complete and return it as soon as possible, but before 29 May 1998 to The Property Unit, PO Box 237, Christchurch. C/- Felix Dawson.

A hearings committee of the Parks and Recreation Committee has been established to consider all written and oral submissions. A final charging policy will not be developed until after completion of this process. A period of nearly three months has been allowed to ensure that sports clubs and community groups have sufficient time to undertake full discussion, and prepare any written and/or oral submissions. Those sports clubs and community groups wishing to make submissions will be given adequate notice of the hearing date, which is expected to be in mid to late June 1998.

A copy of the information pack supplied to all sports clubs and community groups is available at the Service Centres or the Parks Unit.

**Recommendation:** The information be received.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the officer's recommendation be adopted.

15. SURPLUS ROADING LAND OUTSIDE 186 MAJOR HORN BROOK ROAD RR 7289

<b>Officer responsible</b> City Streets Manager	<b>Author</b> Weng-Kei Chen, Asset Policy Engineer
Corporate Plan Output: Roading Land 9.5 text 14	

The purpose of this report is to seek the Board’s approval in principle for the disposal of a small portion of legal road at present occupied by the adjoining owners. The request is to purchase an approximately 6.0 m wide strip of legal road in front of their property.

**CURRENT SITUATION**

Major Hornbrook Road is a hillside collector road. Access to the property is by a common accessway which is typical in difficult hill terrain.

**ROAD ASSET INFORMATION**

- Road Status:** Collector road in Living Hills Zone.
- Legal Road Width:** Various min 20 m wide.
- City Plan Requirement:** Min 20 m - varies between 26 m to 30 m in front of No 186.
- Roadway Width:** Varies from 7.0 to 10.0 m. In front of No 186 the width is 8.0 m.
- Footpath:** One side footpath.
- Streetscape:** Maintained by residents to a good standard.

**STRUCTURES AND VEGETATION ALONG 186 MAJOR HORN BROOK ROAD**

Concrete driveway, rock retaining wall.  
Established landscape maintained to a high standard.

The hillside falls away steeply. The area shown as A in Plan S2956 is considered to be surplus to roading needs and accordingly I recommend that this portion of the road be disposed of. The remaining legal road width will comply with City Plan requirements.

**Recommendation:** That the Board approve in principle the disposal of the portion of the road as shown in Plan S2956.

**Chairperson’s**

**Recommendation:** That the officer’s recommendation be adopted.

## 16. WATER EXPO

RR 7301

<b>Officer responsible</b> Water Services Manager	<b>Author</b> Christine Heremaia and Craig McGregor
Corporate Plan Output: Education Programme Volume 3 Page 9.3.5	

The purpose of this report is to provide information on the Water Expo programme, an educational programme to increase children's environmental awareness of the city's water resource.

The Water Services Unit has a responsibility to encourage environmental awareness of this resource in accordance with Policy 2.9.1 in the City Plan. This policy states:

*To encourage greater public awareness of important natural features and habitats within the city, particularly waterways, the coast and their margins, the Port Hills and indigenous grasslands.*

The Council's Environmental Policy Statement also states that:

*The Council will promote an awareness within the community of environmental matters as they affect the city.*

In 1996 the Council adopted the recommendations of the Children's Strategy Research Report which included ensuring that policies were good for children and that children's views and perspectives were taken into account in planning. They are also the next generation who will be managing and using this important resource.

The Water Expo Programme focuses on children year 6 to year 10.

The focus of the programme will be on information technology such as the computer and web sites. This technology is being rapidly introduced into schools and will encourage interactive participatory learning by the children as well as provide a lot of information easily. It is important that the Council provide local information, in order that Christchurch children understand their local resource as well as the situation worldwide.

Last year Craig McGregor and the Water Services Unit jointly applied to the Royal Society of New Zealand for a Science and Technology Teacher Fellowship in order to develop this programme and to run a pilot Water Expo competition. Craig is the Deputy Principal of Wharenui School. The application was successful. Craig has now taken a year off school and his replacement is being funded by the Royal Society of New Zealand.

The programme has three main components:

#### 1. **Web Site**

The development of a children's web site on the Water Services Unit's home page. It will contain information on Christchurch's water resource, teacher and student work sheets, links to the school curriculum and information about the competition.

Opportunities will be provided for students to e-mail their questions to the Water Services Unit and having their answers posted to the web.

2. **Audio conferencing**

Audio conferencing will involve linking several schools at the same time by telephone and enabling them to interview an expert on a particular aspect of the water resource.

3. **Competition**

The culmination of children’s study will be their (optional) entry into one of the following competition categories: photographic essay/poster, video presentation, computer multimedia presentation.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received

**Chairperson’s**

**Recommendation:** That the officer’s recommendation be adopted.

**17. RESOURCE CONSENT FOR EFFLUENT DISCHARGE FROM CHRISTCHURCH WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT**

RR 7391

<b>Officer responsible</b> Water Services Manager, Mike Stockwell	<b>Author</b> Walter Lewthwaite, Waste Water Engineer
Corporate Plan Output:	

The purposes of this report are:

- to inform the Hagley-Ferrymead Community Board of progress with seeking a new discharge consent for the Christchurch Wastewater Treatment Plant (CWTP), and
- to invite feedback on progress so far.

**BACKGROUND**

Since the 1960’s the Christchurch Wastewater Treatment Plant has had a consent to discharge treated effluent to the Avon-Heathcote Estuary and this consent expires in October 2001. The Council also has a consent (subject to finalising appeals) to reuse biosolids from the plant (ie the treated solid by-products) as a fertiliser in a number of forests near Christchurch, and will also seek a consent to discharge odours to air – but this report confines itself to the treated liquid effluent.

#### **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT**

The Resource Management Act dictates the process that must be followed to seek a new consent, consisting essentially of two thrusts:

1. an assessment of the actual or potential effect on the environment, involving an investigation of any possible alternative methods of discharge, including any other receiving environment
2. widespread public consultation, which must be a two-way process done with an open mind, aimed at obtaining broad support from the community.

In August 1996 the Council authorised the process for addressing these two matters.

#### **BUDGET FOR CHIRSTCHURCH WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT CAPACITY UPGRADE**

Separate from the resource consent process it has become necessary to start increasing the capacity of the treatment plant. This was a matter of some urgency and in early 1996 the Council sought the advice of consultants Beca Steven. The result was a budget of \$30 million, subject to the outcome of the consent process. It has always been accepted that this is a likely minimum sum, being the least cost that could conceivably obtain a consent.

Initial parts of the works suggested in this plan have been started, including new screens at the intake, airflow improvements in the trickling filters, and some odour control works, and a design statement is being prepared to start work on new circular clarifiers. Care has been taken to ensure these works do not preempt the outcome of the consent process but instead leave all conceivable options open until the consent is finalised.

#### **CONSULTATION**

The following main activities have occurred:

1. The Council sought the advice of public relations consultants over the process of consultation and engaged the services of Gay Pavelka to be an independent facilitator.
2. A public meeting was held in November 1996, leading to the establishment of a Working Party that represents a wide range of community interests, public health and administrative advisers, plus some with relevant individual expertise. The intention is that this Working Party stays with the process right through, building up expertise about the issues and options, and helps the Council and its staff to reach decisions that are in the interests of the community. The Hagley Ferrymead Community Board was represented by Heather Brown, who has recently been replaced by John Freeman and Liz McRostie.
3. A round of public meetings was held late 1996 to early 1997 to hear issues that concerned the community. These meetings were open to all, but targeted particularly Maori groups, recreational interests, ecological experts, industry, and neighbours of the estuary.
4. After these meetings the Working Party developed a brief for an issues-and-options study that received approval from the Council in June 1997.

5. The Council engaged a team of consultants to do the issues-and-options study, after receiving a recommendation from the Working Party.
6. A second series of public meetings was held in late 1997 and early 1998 to inform various interest groups (a similar range of groups to the first round of meetings) of progress with the issues and options study and to seek feedback on whether the study was going in the right direction.
7. Council staff have issued three newsletters so far to about 80 organisations and individuals who have expressed an interest, and have put infomercials in newspapers and articles in the City Scene. All of these have invited feedback from readers. Staff have also encouraged and contributed to about three newspaper articles on aspects of the consent.

#### **ISSUES AND OPTIONS STUDY**

The following main activities have occurred;

1. Following approval from the Council in July 1997 and public advertisement for submissions, a team of consultants was engaged to study the issues and options associated with getting a new consent. The team is led by Woodward-Clyde, environmental and planning consultants, and supported by NIWA, Lincoln Environmental, Taylor-Baines, and some individual experts, including two from outside New Zealand.
2. The Council also engaged the services of a peer-review team, consisting of three selected experts from around New Zealand.
3. For three months the consultants canvassed the subject in the broadest possible way, expanding on the brief, gathering and assessing data, developing all issues and examining all options to a preliminary degree.
4. After this the Working Party selected six options to be compared with the baseline option (the \$30 million proposal submitted by Beca Steven). These six options are now being investigated thoroughly and should be reported to the Working Party in April. The selected options are:

**Baseline option**

Continue to discharge to the side of the estuary, but with a substantially improved effluent quality

**Outfall options**

Discharge direct to centre channel of estuary  
Discharge to the ocean  
Discharge to land – for either irrigation or rapid infiltration

**Treatment options**

Disinfection  
Nutrient removal  
Wetlands

#### **NEXT ACTION**

The next steps will follow the two paths that the Resource Management Act requires – ie technical investigations and public consultation.

**Technical investigations** will be written up by Woodward-Clyde over April for examination by Council staff, the Working Party and the peer review team. The Working Party will meet in June to seek to narrow further the range of favoured options.

It is possible there will be a need to do further field research into the hydrodynamics of the estuary and nearshore areas, especially if investigations favour discharge to the ocean or continuing to discharge to the estuary. This research might be needed to increase confidence in predictions about algal growth and bacteria distribution following discharge. The research, if needed, will take another few months, possibly into 1999.

**Consultation** will continue over April and May with special interest groups, and the Working Party will be intimately involved as they seek further community feedback. Groups to be targeted will include Community Boards, wastewater technologists, ecologists, recreation interests associated with potential discharge options, Maori groups, commercial fishers, industrial and commercial interests, and possibly children.

After the Working Party and peer reviewers have considered the consultants' report, they will report to the Council and then general public consultation will start. The scope of this and its content will depend on whether it is considered necessary to do the extra field research mentioned above. After that the Council will decide on an option to seek a consent for.

**NOTE:**

A similar report to this, plus considerable extra technical detail, will be presented at a seminar being run by the Burwood-Pegasus Community Board on 7 April. The Hagley-Ferrymead Board has been invited to this seminar.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received.

**Chairperson's  
Recommendation:** Not seen by the Chairperson

**18. PROTECTING ADDITIONAL TREES IN THE CITY**

RR 7392

<b>Officer responsible</b> Environmental Services Manager	<b>Author</b> John Shanks, Committee Secretary
Corporate Plan Output: Policy Advice, Environment	

At the Board's meeting held on 4 February 1998, the Board considered a report on the protection of trees and options for the future protection of trees in the city. At this meeting, Board members were asked to identify significant trees in the Hagley-Ferrymead area to be considered for addition to the Protected List.

Linda Rutland has nominated two trees in the Sumner/Redcliffs area which she believes should be considered for protection.

These trees are:

1. a very large rata tree at 3 Clifton Bay Road beside the front fence
2. a rata tree at 260a Main Road on the left hand side of the front fence.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the Board support the nomination of these trees for addition to the Protected List.

**19. HERITAGE MANAGEMENT REVIEW**

RR 7393

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Manager	<b>Author</b> Committee Secretary
Corporate Plan Output: Nil	

In November 1997, the Minister of Conservation the Hon Dr Nick Smith, announced a review of New Zealand's heritage legislation. A discussion paper has been released for public comment by the Department of Conservation. Submissions on this review close on 24 April 1998.

The Chairperson has arranged a public meeting to consider this historic heritage management review to be held at the Linwood Service Centre on Wednesday 8 April 1998, commencing at 7.00pm. Councillors, all Community Board members and a very wide and diverse group of community organisations in the Hagley-Ferrymead Community Board area have been invited to attend, including residents' and community groups. Local Members of Parliament have been invited to attend this public meeting.

Speakers from the New Zealand Historic Places Trust, the Christchurch City Council Heritage Unit and the Department of Conservation will address the issues in this document in the briefing for this meeting.



The purpose of this meeting is both to discuss the Discussion Document and to encourage those participating to present their own submissions to the Minister of Conservation.

It would be appropriate for the Board to establish a Working Party with power to act to prepare and present submissions on this document on behalf of the Community Board.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the Board set up a Working Party of interested Board members with power to act in preparing and forwarding Historic Heritage Management Review submissions on behalf of the Board.

**20. PROPOSED RIGHT OF WAY NAME**

RR 7300

<b>Officer responsible</b> Environmental Services Manager	<b>Author</b> Bob Pritchard
Corporate Plan Output: Subdivisions	

The purpose of this report is to obtain the approval of the Board to a proposed right of way name.

East/96/1315 Photogloss Limited 85 Glenstrae Road

This subdivision creates twelve new allotments served by a formed and sealed right of way that will be constructed to roading standards. The applicant has proposed the name GAZELLE LANE for the right of way. Some effort was made to arrive at a name with some historical significance, however all the roads in this area are relatively new names.

**Recommendation:** That the name Gazelle Lane be approved for use.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the officer's recommendation be adopted.

## **21. COMMUNITY MANAGER'S UPDATE**

### **21.1 COMMUNITY GROUPS MEETING**

A community groups meeting was held on Tuesday 10 March 1998, in the Board room, Linwood service Centre at 7.00pm. A number of community group representatives were present to hear Paul Melton, Financial Planning Manager, outline the Annual Plan process, and Chris Freeman, Parks Management Planning Officer, speak on open space deficiencies in the Hagley Ward.

The result was that another meeting will be held on Tuesday 12 May 1998 for community groups to be informed of the current annual plan and budget to assist them to make submissions where appropriate.

**Recommendation:** For information.

### **21.2 DRAFT HEATHCOTE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT STRATEGY MEETING**

A public meeting to discuss the Draft Heathcote Floodplain Management Strategy was held at the Linwood Service Centre on Monday 16 March 1998 at 7.30pm. Anna Crighton chaired this meeting, John Freeman and Cr Charles Manning were in attendance along with some sixteen interested persons.

Those attending the meeting were briefed by Mr Laurie McCallum, the Natural Resources Planning Manager of the Canterbury Regional Council, on the issues involved and on the propensity for the lower Heathcote river to flood, a problem exacerbated by the increasing urbanisation of the Heathcote Catchment areas.

At the conclusion of Mr McCallum's presentation, he, Mr Allan Watson the Council's Water Services Manager and Mr Tony Olliver, Planning Engineer in the Water Services Unit answered questions from the floor of the meeting.

The meeting concluded at approximately 9.00pm with tea and coffee and vigorous informal debate on the issues raised which continued for some time after the conclusion of the formal meeting.

### **21.3 APPOINTMENT TO WOOLSTON MAIN STREET PROJECT**

Board members will recall that, at its meeting on 4 February 1998, the Board considered a report on the composition of working parties and committees with particular reference to the filling of vacancies that had arisen due to Heather Brown's resignation.

As part of this process, a number of positions were considered and appointments made to them. During the discussion on these appointments reference was made to the Woolston Main Street Project with the intention that the Board would appoint Mr David Cox as its representative on the Woolston Main Street Project. In the event, this decision was not recorded in the formal minutes of the meeting and the Board may now wish to formalise this appointment.

**Chairperson's**

**Recommendation:** That the Board appoint Mr David Cox as its representative on the Woolston Main Street Project.

**21.4 PUBLIC DISCUSSION DOCUMENT: TOWARDS A CODE OF SOCIAL AND FAMILY RESPONSIBILITY**

A public meeting has been arranged to consider responses to the public discussion document: Towards a Code of Social and Family Responsibility. This meeting is to be held in the Aranui High School Hall on Thursday 16 April 1998, commencing at 7.00pm and is a discussion forum jointly hosted by the Hagley-Ferrymead and Burwood-Pegasus Community Boards.

The purpose of the discussion forum includes:

1. An exchange of views and information to enable residents to make more informed decisions.
2. Encouraging community responses to the Discussion Document.
3. Encouraging community submissions in addition to the formal response form included as part of the Discussion Document.

Jane Higgins, Lecturer in Sociology at the University of Canterbury, will be in attendance at the discussion forum to discuss points of interest in the public discussion document.

Some 600 invitations have been sent out to community organisations in the Burwood-Pegasus and Community Board areas.

**21.5 ELSIE LOCKE PARK**

Board members have questioned how the proposed park in Oxford Terrace came to be named without prior consultation with this Community Board. This matter came about by way of a public excluded Notice of Motion at the Council meeting held on the 23 April 1997. The Notice of Motion was proposed to recognise the services to Christchurch and in particular to the inner city of Mrs Elsie Locke. In considering this Notice of Motion, the Council resolved to refer it to the Parks and Recreation Committee for consideration. On 23 July 1997, the Council resolved that the proposed park adjacent to the Centennial Pool in Oxford Terrace be named as the "Elsie Locke Park". This matter was considered in the Public Excluded part of the Council meeting.

A draft landscape plan proposal for the Centennial Pool Redevelopment and Elsie Locke Park has now been published in booklet form. Public comments on the proposed landscape plans are invited and close on Thursday 30 April 1998.

The Board may wish to consider whether it wishes to make submissions on this draft landscape plan.

**Chairperson's**

- Recommendation:**
1. That the Board make submissions on the Draft Landscape Plan.
  2. That a Working Party of interested Board members prepare a submission for ratification at the next Board meeting.

**21.6 BEVERLEY PARK PUBLIC CONSULTATION**

On Tuesday 24 March 1998, the first of two discussion meetings on Beverley Park was held. This meeting was facilitated by Ms Gay Pavelka and considered the view points and concerns of Mrs Frances Ryman and other members of the Gilby Neighbourhood Group. Hagley-Ferrymead Community Board members Anna Crighton, John Freeman and Aaron O'Brien were also present at the meeting.

During a quite detailed discussion session, the meeting explored the concerns of the local residents and considered what they saw as the needs for this park. Ms Pavelka led the meeting participants to discuss whether they had fixed ideas on the outcomes which would be acceptable or whether they were open to a range of ideas and led on to discuss what the next steps in the process would be.

Unfortunately, Liz McRostie was unable to attend this first meeting and her place was taken by John Freeman. Because of the importance of this matter and the need for the same people to hear the view point expressed by both groups it is proposed that John Freeman replace Liz McRostie on this committee.

**Chairperson's**

- Recommendation:** That because of the importance of this matter and the need for the same people to hear the view points expressed by both groups, John Freeman replace Liz McRostie on the Beverley Park Committee.

**21.7 FORTHCOMING MEETINGS**

A sheet setting out forthcoming meetings involving Hagley-Ferrymead Community Board members is attached for your information.

**21.8 DISCRETIONARY EXPENDITURE**

The attached schedule details the Board's allocations to date.

A total of \$23,238 remains.

**Chairperson's**

- Recommendation:** That the information be received.

**22. DRAFT YOUTH POLICY AND STRATEGY**

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Manager	<b>Author</b> Committee Secretary
Corporate Plan Output:	

Over the past 12 months the Youth Strategy Working Party of the Community Services Committee (comprising Councillors, staff and community representatives) has developed a Draft Youth Policy and Strategy. The completed draft document is about to be distributed for comment.

Community Board consideration of this draft document and feedback is sought in time for reporting to the 8 June 1998 meeting of the Community Services Committee.

It is anticipated that this consideration of the Draft Strategy will be undertaken during May and that a small team led by the new Youth Advocate, Robyn Moore will attend the 6 May 1998 meeting of this Board to outline the study and its outcomes.

This advance notice of the steps to be followed is given at this stage as the Board may wish to consider how it wishes to deal with this matter.

Final responses from the Community Board are sought no later than 26 May 1998, for referring on to the 8 June 1998 Community Services Committee meeting.

**Recommendation:** That the Board consider how it wishes to deal with the Draft Youth Policy and Strategy.

**Chairperson's Recommendation:** Not seen by the Chairperson

**23. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

Attached.