## submission form

## **Your Submission**

Submissions close on Friday 13 May 2005

You may use this form for your submission if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please follow the guidelines for preparing submissions on the previous page.

Name	Rodney Routledge
Address	675 Gloucester Street, Linwood, Christchurch
Contact Telephone	389 8660
Email (if applicable)	famcom@ihug.co.nz
Signature	Rodry Foultledg
Please tick which app	olies:
I do NOT wish considered.	to make a personal submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be
I wish to talk t and Friday 1	to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Tuesday 7 June 0 June 2005.
CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2006 : CUTS IN COUNCIL ALLOCATION	
F <u>or community s</u> l	PPORT FOR INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS
T <u>he Situation:</u>	
I <u>n the 2004/05 b</u>	oudget, Council allocated \$4,105,000 for community support for
i <u>ndividuals and</u>	groups; and in the LTCCP plan to spend \$4,115,000 in 2005/06.
In the draft ann	nual plan the amount is being cut by \$448,000 (almost 11% of
	get) to \$3,667,000.
Similarly, in 20	004/05, \$5,476,000 was budgeted for grants to community projects
	and the LTCCP allocated \$4,785,030 for 2005/06. This has now
been cut even fu	urther, to \$4,213,000 - a cut of \$1,263,000, or 23% from this
year.	
•	ivisional Committee of the Family and Community Division of
Please continue your submission on the back of this page. You may add more pages if you wish	

## submission form

Anglican Care are totally opposed to the projected cuts in the Council allocation for community support for individuals and groups. The Family and Community Division: the community development division of Anglican Care, works in partnership with Christchurch City Council in a number of community cottage projects in low income areas across the city. From these projects we know of the growing pressure coming on increasing numbers of low income families and individuals, in spite of the so called "booming" economy for some. Indeed the City Council should be planning to put more resources into the community, not less, if the Council is to meet its commitment to enhancing community consultation, participation and improving mental wellbeing. One reason motivating the push for cutting Council spending to community services is the fallacious myth that there is a duplication of social services in some areas. In our experience, and that of our partner agencies, this is blatantly untrue. Social service agencies are committed to meeting specifically identified social needs that no one else is meeting and receive funding on this basis. As our society changes social service agencies are coming under <u>increasing pressure</u>, especially in responding to the demands of growing numbers of people presenting with mental health issues. As an agency that receives Council funding, for which we are most grateful, we are also highly accountable. This is done by careful preparation of <u>annual</u> budgets, project business plans and community accountability exercises for all our projects. This ensures maximum and appropriate use of resources allocated. In light of the above Iourge Christchurch City Council to totally reject its cut in allocation to the community sector,

Rodney Routledge

Team Leader, Family and Community Division, Anglican Care Submissions close on Friday 13 May 2005